Pharmacological management of binge eating disorder: current and emerging treatment options

Susan L McElroy
Anna I Guerdjikova
Nicolette Mori
Anne M O’Melia
Lindner Center of HOPE, Mason, and Department of Psychiatry, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA

Abstract: Growing evidence suggests that pharmacotherapy may be beneficial for some patients with binge eating disorder (BED), an eating disorder characterized by repetitive episodes of uncontrollable consumption of abnormally large amounts of food without inappropriate weight loss behaviors. In this paper, we provide a brief overview of BED and review the rationales and data supporting the effectiveness of specific medications or medication classes in treating patients with BED. We conclude by summarizing these data, discussing the role of pharmacotherapy in the BED treatment armamentarium, and suggesting future areas for research.
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Introduction
Binge eating disorder (BED) is an eating disorder characterized by recurrent, distressing binge-eating episodes without the inappropriate compensatory weight loss behaviors of bulimia nervosa. Increasing research indicates BED is an important public health problem. It is common, with an estimated lifetime prevalence in the US and Western Europe of 1%–3%. In addition, BED is increasing in prevalence, is often chronic, and is associated with psychiatric comorbidity, obesity and other medical conditions, and disability.

The therapeutic armamentarium for BED is growing, but treatment remains a challenge (Table 1). Specialized psychotherapies, in particular cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) and interpersonal therapy, and self-help strategies are effective for reducing binge eating, but not all patients respond adequately. Moreover, these treatments are generally not effective for the obesity associated with BED, and patients with more complex yet common comorbid comorbidities, such as bipolar disorder, severe personality disorders, or unstable diabetes or hypertension, have been excluded from study participation, raising questions about the generalizability of treatment effectiveness. On the other hand, bariatric surgery may reduce binge eating and induce clinically significant weight loss in obese patients with BED. However, the literature is inconsistent as to how binge eating affects response to bariatric surgery, with some studies indicating that binge eating is associated with less weight loss and more subsequent weight regain. Moreover, a sizeable proportion of surgical patients have binge eating episodes approximately 2 years after surgery. Therefore, novel treatments are needed for BED.

A number of reviews and guidelines have recently discussed the role pharmacotherapy might play in treating patients with BED. However, no drug has been...
Table 1 Nonpharmacological treatments for BED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Qualitative findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive behavioral therapy</td>
<td>Decreases binge eating behavior, associated eating disorder psychopathology, and depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not result in clinically meaningful weight loss. Antibinging benefits maintained on follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal therapy</td>
<td>Decreases binge eating behavior, associated eating disorder psychopathology, and depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not result in clinically meaningful weight loss. Antibinging benefits maintained on follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialectical behavior therapy</td>
<td>Decreases binge eating behavior and associated eating disorder psychopathology without producing clinically meaningful weight loss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral weight loss</td>
<td>May reduce binge eating behavior and associated eating pathology in some patients. Often produces short-term weight loss. Might be suitable for BED patients without high levels of specific eating disorder psychopathology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-help</td>
<td>Decreases binge eating behavior and eating disorder psychopathology but does not result in clinically meaningful weight loss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination treatments</td>
<td>Decreases binge eating behavior and associated eating disorder psychopathology without producing clinically meaningful weight loss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bariatric surgery</td>
<td>Decreases binge eating behavior and eating disorder psychopathology along with producing clinically significant weight loss. Preoperative BED and postoperative loss of control eating may be associated with less weight loss or more weight gain, but findings are mixed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Randomized controlled trials were preferentially evaluated for this summary, when available; †includes CBT self-help, guided CBT self-help, CBT partial self-help, and telephone-based guided self-help; ‡includes studies of CBT plus weight loss treatment; CBT plus nutritional counseling; and CBT plus physical activity.

Abbreviations: BED, binge-eating disorder; CBT, cognitive behavioral therapy.

approved by the US Food and Drug Administration or any other regulatory agency for the treatment of this condition. Moreover, there has been little discussion as to where pharmacotherapy fits into the therapeutic armamentarium for BED.

In this review, we first provide a brief overview of BED and the rationales for using medication in the treatment of this condition. We then review the data supporting the effectiveness of specific medications or medication classes in treating patients with BED. We conclude by summarizing these data and discussing the role pharmacotherapy might play in the treatment of BED. We also suggest future areas for research in BED pharmacotherapy.

Overview of binge eating disorder

BED is the most common eating disorder in the US, with a lifetime prevalence of 2.5% in adults and 1.6% in adolescents. It has both similarities to and differences from bulimia nervosa. Like bulimia nervosa, a core feature is recurrent, distressing binge eating episodes. Binge eating episodes are defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV) as: eating in a discrete period of time an amount of food that is definitely larger than most people would eat in a similar period under similar circumstances and with a sense of lack of control over eating during the episode. The regular occurrence of inappropriate compensatory behaviors characteristic of bulimia nervosa do not occur in BED, though some patients engage in such behaviors infrequently. Like bulimia nervosa, BED is more common in females, associated with shape and weight concerns in addition to binge eating, may be chronic, and is associated with elevated rates of mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders. However, in bulimia nervosa, whereas dieting often precedes binge eating, binge eating may antedate sporadic attempts to control weight in BED. Laboratory studies indicate binge eating episodes may be smaller in BED than in bulimia nervosa, while preliminary psychological and neuroimaging findings suggest that BED is disproportionally associated with increased reward sensitivity and stronger medial orbitofrontal cortex responsivity while viewing food compared with patients suffering from bulimia nervosa and healthy controls. Also, in contrast with bulimia nervosa, BED is more common in males, has a later age of onset in adult cohorts, and is more often associated with obesity. For example, in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication, the mean ± standard deviation age of onset for BED was 25.4 ± 1.2 years as compared with 19.7 ± 1.3 years for bulimia nervosa. In addition, 42% of individuals with BED were obese (as defined by a body mass index [BMI] ≥ 30 mg/kg²), with 15% having a BMI ≥ 40 mg/kg², as compared with 31% and 10%, respectively, for individuals with bulimia nervosa.

BED overlap with obesity is so extensive that some have questioned whether they are truly distinct entities. However, not all individuals with BED are overweight or obese. In addition, compared with obese individuals without BED, obese individuals with BED have greater concerns about shape and body weight and greater body dissatisfaction, report lower quality of life, consume more calories in laboratory-based studies, have greater cognitive deficits on
need medication for optimal outcomes.43 Patients, including those with chronic or intractable illness, BED.45,46 Animal models of binge eating suggest potential neuroimaging work is beginning to elucidate a neurobiology dysfunction in the caudate of obese humans with BED as positron emission tomographic study found dopamine systems, all of which influence feeding behavior. A recent involvement of opioid, dopamine, and norepinephrine in treating binge eating disorder There are several rationales for using pharmacotherapy to treat BED. First, mounting evidence indicates that BED, like other eating disorders and the mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders it co-occurs with, is a mental disorder with genetic contributions and neurobiological abnormalities that causes distress and disability and does not always respond adequately to available psychological interventions.42 Some patients, including those with chronic or intractable illness, need medication for optimal outcomes.43

Second, as more is learned about the biology of eating behavior, disordered eating, and obesity,44 preclinical and neuroimaging work is beginning to elucidate a neurobiology of binge eating, especially for bulimia nervosa, but also for BED.45,46 Animal models of binge eating suggest potential involvement of opioid, dopamine, and norepinephrine systems, all of which influence feeding behavior. A recent positron emission tomographic study found dopamine dysfunction in the caudate of obese humans with BED as compared with obese humans without BED.37 Behavioral genetics research has suggested that the serotonin system may play a critical role, because mice lacking functional 5HT2C receptors display marked hyperphagia, leading to the development of obesity.47 Preclinical work showing that alterations to the gastrointestinal tract can affect eating behavior also has implications for BED. Thus, BED is associated with gastric distension,48 and repeated gastric distension alters food intake and neuroendocrine profiles in rats.49 Additionally, BED might be associated with other abnormalities external to the central nervous system, such as in adipose tissue-derived hormones.34 Understanding the neurobiology of BED will promote development of targeted pharmacotherapies.

A third reason is that many available medications have effects on appetite and weight that may translate into therapeutic effects in BED. Thus, antiobesity agents (eg, phentermine and orlistat), stimulants, some antidepressants (bupropion), some antiepileptic drugs (eg, topiramate and zonisamide), and some drugs for type 2 diabetes (eg, exenatide, liraglutide) are associated with decreased appetite and/or weight loss.50–52 Examples of neurotransmitter systems involved in the regulation of feeding behavior and weight control affected by these drugs include the biogenic amines serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine (eg, by antidepressants, stimulants, and zonisamide) and the major excitatory transmitter glutamate (eg, by topiramate).53–55 Affected peptides involved in controlling feeding and body weight include neuropeptide Y (eg, by topiramate and zonisamide),56–58 amylin (by pramlintide), and glucagon-like peptide-1 (by exenatide and liraglutide).54,59,60 Growing research suggests medications with anorectic or weight loss effects may be helpful for patients with BED.51,62 Moreover, novel pharmaceutical compounds for mood and other mental disorders, obesity and diabetes, and epilepsy will likely continue to come to market.63–68 Some of these agents may prove to have beneficial effects on appetite or weight regulation, and ultimately therapeutic effects in patients with BED.

Literature search
PubMed was searched up to February 2012 using the following terms: “binge eating”, “binge eating disorder”, “eating disorder not otherwise specified”, “nonpurging bulimia nervosa”, “pharmacotherapy”, “antidepressants”, “antiepileptics”, “antiobesity”, “antipsychotics”, “mood stabilizers”, “opioid antagonists”, and “stimulants”. We also used the terms of the following individual medications: “acamprosate”, “amphetamine”, “atomoxetine”, “exenatide”, “fenfluramine”, “lamotrigine”, “liraglutide”, “methylphenidate”, “naltrexone”, “pramlintide”, “sibutramine”, “topiramate”, and “zonisamide”. Our search was supplemented by review of reference lists of relevant articles.

Pharmacotherapy
We located 22 prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled pharmacotherapy studies of BED or closely related syndromes (Tables 2–4). Most trials were short-term (6–16 weeks) and all were conducted in adults who were actively binge eating. The majority of studies excluded patients with serious or...
Table 2  Randomized, placebo-controlled studies of antidepressants in BED or BED-like syndromes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design/subjects</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Mean dose (range) mg/day</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tricyclics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCann and Agras&lt;sup&gt;85&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Desipramine (DMI)</td>
<td>188 (100–300)</td>
<td>DMI associated with a significantly greater decrease in binge day frequency (63%) than PBO (16% increase), P &lt; 0.006. Remission rates were 60% for DMI and 15% for PBO (P = NR). Also, DMI had significantly greater reductions in binge frequency (P &lt; 0.04), as well as TEFQ subscale scores for disinhibition (P &lt; 0.001), hunger (P &lt; 0.007), and restraint (P &lt; 0.04). No significant differences between DMI and PBO found for weight (kg), BMI, or BDI scores. Mean weight loss –3.5 kg for DMI versus –1.2 kg for PBO. 50% of patients on DMI and 75% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alger et al&lt;sup&gt;75&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Imipramine (IMI)</td>
<td>NR (150–200)</td>
<td>Analysis conducted for 23 completers. IMI and PBO showed similar significant reductions in binge eating, but PBO response was very high. Compared with PBO, IMI associated with significantly reduced binge duration (P = 0.02) and with numerically greater percentage of weight loss (NS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laederach-Hofmann et al&lt;sup&gt;81&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Adjunctive therapy</td>
<td>Imipramine (IMI)</td>
<td>75 (fixed dose)</td>
<td>IMI associated with significant decreases in binge frequency (P &lt; 0.02), weight loss (P &lt; 0.05), and HAM-D scores (P = 0.02). IMI associated with mean weight loss –2.2 ± 1.8 kg versus +0.2 ± 3.3 kg weight gain for PBO (P &lt; 0.02). Benefits maintained at 32 weeks despite IMI discontinuation at week 8. 93% of patients on IMI and 94% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSRIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson et al&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Fluvoxamine (FVX)</td>
<td>260 (50–300)</td>
<td>FLX associated with significantly greater reductions in binge frequency (P = 0.004), CGI-S scores (P = 0.002), and BMI (P = 0.04), as well as a significantly greater increase in CGI-I scores (P = 0.02) than PBO. Completer analysis showed a higher level of response for FVX than PBO (P = 0.04). Estimated mean weight loss was –1.2 kg for FVX versus –0.1 kg for PBO. Treatment group differences in HAM-D scores were not significant. 88% of patients on FVX and 69% on PBO completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McElroy et al&lt;sup&gt;87&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Sertraline (SET)</td>
<td>187 (50–200)</td>
<td>SET associated with significantly greater reductions in binge frequency (P = 0.008), CGI-S scores (P &lt; 0.001), and BMI (P = 0.002), and a significantly greater increase in CGI-I scores (P &lt; 0.001) than PBO. SET was associated with a higher level of response which approached significance (P = 0.06). Estimated mean weight loss was –5.6 kg for SET versus –2.4 kg for PBO. There were no significant differences in HAM-D score change between SET and PBO. 72% of patients on SET and 81% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold et al&lt;sup&gt;84&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Fluoxetine (FLX)</td>
<td>71 (20–80)</td>
<td>FLX associated with significantly greater reductions in binge frequency (P = 0.033), CGI-S scores (P = 0.032), BMI (P &lt; 0.0001), and weight (P = 0.001). FLX was also associated with numerically greater decreased HAM-D scores (P = 0.061) and a higher level of response than PBO (P = 0.18) (both NS). In completers, the decrease in HAM-D was significantly greater for FLX than for PBO (P = 0.003). Estimated mean difference in weight loss between FLX and PBO at week 6 was 2.4 kg. 76% of patients on FLX and 43% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearlstein et al&lt;sup&gt;84&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Fluvoxamine (FVX)</td>
<td>239 (NR–300)</td>
<td>FVX and placebo showed similar significant reductions in binge frequency, EDE shape and weight concern subscales, and BDI scores. 75% of all patients completed the study (individual numbers by treatment group NR).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### McElroy et al^{20}

**Monotherapy**  
**Acute treatment**  
**n = 38**  
**DSM-IV BED**

Citalopram (CIT)  
58 (2060)

CIT associated with significantly greater reductions in binge frequency \(P = 0.033\), binge day frequency \(P < 0.001\), CGI-S scores \(P = 0.028\), YBOCS-BE total scores \(P = 0.007\), YBOCS-BE obsession subscale scores \(P = 0.046\), YBOCS-BE compulsion subscale scores \(P = 0.002\), BMI \(P < 0.001\), and weight \(P < 0.001\), than PBO. CIT was also associated with greater decreases in HAM-D scores \(P = 0.053\) and a higher level of response \(P = 0.068\) than PBO (both NS). Mean weight loss was \(-2.1 \text{ kg}\) for CIT versus mean gain \(+0.2 \text{ kg}\) for PBO. 84% of patients on CIT and 79% on PBO completed the study.

### Grilo et al^{27}

**Monotherapy and combination therapy^a**  
**Acute treatment**  
**n = 54**  
**DSM-IV BED**

Fluoxetine (FLX)  
60 (fixed dose)

Similar binge eating remission rates found for FLX (22%) and PBO (26%) (NS). No significant difference between FLX and PBO in reductions in binge frequency, score reductions for EDE-Q (including subscales), TFEQ (including subscales), BSQ, BDI, or in BMI. Mean weight loss by treatment group (NS) did not differ across treatments (NR). 78% of patients on FLX and 85% on PBO completed the study.

### Devlin et al^{28}

**Adjunctive therapy^b**  
**Acute treatment**  
**n = 63**  
**DSM-IV BED with BMI ≥ 27**

Fluoxetine (FLX)  
52 (0–60)

Significant baseline to end of treatment decreases in binge eating frequency \(P = 0.000\), weight \(P = 0.000\), BSQ \(P = 0.000\), TEFQ \(P = 0.000\), RSE \(P = 0.000\), IIP \(P = 0.000\), and BSI \(P = 0.000\). Mean weight loss was \(-1.9 \pm 6.9 \text{ kg}\) with FLX alone versus \(-2.4 \pm 5.9 \text{ kg}\) with PBO alone. CBT associated with significantly decreased binge frequency \(P = 0.001\). No interaction effect of CBT versus FLX. 72% on FLX and 55% on PBO completed the study.

### Guerdjikova et al 2007^{29}

**Monotherapy**  
**Acute treatment**  
**n = 44**  
**DSM-IV BED with obesity**

Escitalopram (ESC)  
26 (10–30)

Similar reductions in binge frequency, binge day frequency, YBOCS-BE, and HAM-D scores seen for ESC and PBO. ESC associated with significantly greater reduction in CGI-S scores \(P = 0.029\), weight \(P = 0.002\), and BMI \(P = 0.003\). Significantly greater reductions for ESC in binge frequency \(P = 0.036\) and binge day frequency \(P = 0.042\) than PBO found in secondary endpoint analysis. Binge eating remission rate was 50% for ESC versus 26% for PBO \(P = 0.088\). Mean weight loss for ESC was \(-1.0 \pm 2.6 \text{ kg}\) versus mean gain for PBO \(+0.6 \pm 2.4 \text{ kg}\) \(P = 0.002\). 75% of patients on ESC and 83% on PBO completed the study.

### SNRIs

Guerdjikova et al 2011^{30}

**Monotherapy**  
**Acute treatment**  
**n = 40**  
**DSM-IV BED with concurrent depressive disorder**

Duloxetine (DLX)  
79 (30–120)

DLX associated with significantly greater reductions in binge day frequency \(P = 0.04\), binge frequency \(P = 0.02\), weight \(P = 0.04\), and CGI-S scores for both BED \(P = 0.02\) and depression \(P = 0.01\) than PBO. Changes in BMI, YBOCS-BE, TFEQ, IDS-C, and HAM-A scores between DLX and PBO were NS. Binge eating remission rate was 56% for DLX, 30% for PBO (NS). Mean weight loss was \(-3.2 \pm 6.4 \text{ kg}\) for DLX versus \(-0.3 \pm 2.2 \text{ kg}\) for PBO \(P = 0.07\). 65% of patients on DLX and 70% on PBO completed the study.

### Notes:

^a IMI given adjunctively to dietary counseling with psychological support; ^b 2 × 2 Balanced factorial design which compared FLX alone versus PBO alone as well as CBT plus PBO and CBT plus FLX; ^c 54 out of a total of 108 randomized to either FLX or PBO monotherapy without CBT; ^d 2 × 2 Balanced factorial design comparing FLX alone versus PBO alone versus CBT plus FLX versus CBT plus PBO, all adjunctive to weekly group behavioral weight loss treatment (LEARN-BED), which included nutritional counseling; ^e 63 out of a total of 16 randomized to either FLX or PBO adjunctive to group therapy; ^f Patients unable to tolerate FLX allowed to continue in study.

### Abbreviations:

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BED, binge eating disorder; BES, Binge Eating Scale; BMI, body mass index; BN, bulimia nervosa; BSQ, Body Shape Questionnaire; CBT, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; CGI-I, Global Clinical Impression of Improvement Scale; CGI-S, Global Clinical Impression of Severity Scale; CIT, citalopram; DLX, duloxetine; DMI, desipramine; EDE, Eating Disorder Examination; EDE-Q, Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; ESC, escitalopram; FLX, fluoxetine; FVX, fluvoxamine; HAM-A, Hamilton Anxiety Rating scale; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating scale; IDS-C, Clinician administered Inventory of Depressive Symptoms; IIP, Inventory of Interpersonal Problems; IMI, imipramine; ITT, intent-to-treat; LEARN-BED, group behavioral therapy based on Brownell's lifestyle, Exercise, Attitudes, Relationships and Nutrition program and adapted to BED; NR, not reported; NS, not statistically significant; PBO, placebo; RSE, Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale; SET, sertraline; SNRIs, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; SD, standard deviation; SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TFEQ, Three Factor Eating Questionnaire; YBOCS-BE, Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive scale modified for Binge Eating Disorder.
### Table 3 Randomized, placebo-controlled trials of antiobesity agents in BED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design/subjects</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McElroy et al[102]</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>D-fenfluramine (DXF)</td>
<td>Analysis conducted on 24 completers. From first to last treatment week, mean (±SD) number of binges/week decreased from 2.2 ± 1.3 to 0.6 ± 1.0 with DXF (P &lt; 0.0001) and from 2.3 ± 2.0 to 2.3 ± 2.9 with PBO (P = 0.22). The decrease in binge eating for DXF (mean slope −0.29) was three times that of PBO (mean slope −0.09, P = 0.02). No significant differences between DXF and PBO found for binge day frequency, TFEQ subscale scores, weight, or BDI scores. 86% patients in each group completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appolinario et al[103]</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Sibutramine (SBT)</td>
<td>SBT associated with a greater decrease in binge frequency (P = 0.04), change in binge days from baseline (66% versus 41%, P = 0.03), greater weight loss (−7.4 kg versus +1.4 kg, P &lt; 0.001), and greater decrease in BES (P &lt; 0.001) and BDI (P &lt; 0.001) scores than PBO. 77% on SBT and 83% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milano et al[104]</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Sibutramine (SBT)</td>
<td>Average (±SD) binge day frequency decreased significantly with SBT (from 4.4 ± 1.0 to 1.0 ± 1.0, P &lt; 0.001) but not with PBO (from 4.7 ± 1.3 to 4.4 ± 0.5; P &lt; 0.001 for difference between SBT and PBO). Body Esteem Scale scores also improved significantly with SBT versus PBO (P &lt; 0.001). Average (±SD) weight loss with SBT (−4.5 ± 2.1 kg) was significantly greater than with PBO (−0.6 ± 0.5, P &lt; 0.001). 100% of patients completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilfley et al[105]</td>
<td>Combination therapy</td>
<td>Sibutramine (SBT)</td>
<td>Mean (±SD) binge frequency decreased more with SBT (2.7 ± 1.7) than with PBO (2.0 ± 2.3). Compared with PBO, SBT associated with significantly greater decrease in binge frequency (P = 0.005), as well as significantly greater reductions in binge day frequency (P &lt; 0.001), weight (P &lt; 0.001), BMI (P &lt; 0.001), cognitive restraint (P = 0.042), disinhibition (P &lt; 0.001), and hunger (P &lt; 0.001). SBT patients lost 4.3 ± 4.8 kg while PBO patients lost 0.8 ± 3.5 kg. SBT associated with a significantly higher level of global improvement (P &lt; 0.001) and level of response (P &lt; 0.001). 59% of SBT patients versus 43% of PBO patients had remission of binge eating. 67% on SBT and 57% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grilo et al[106]</td>
<td>Combination therapy</td>
<td>Orlistat (ORL)</td>
<td>After 12 weeks, ITT binge eating remission rates were 64% for ORL versus 36% for PBO (P = 0.048); weight loss rates were 36% versus 8% (P = 0.017). The mean (±SD) difference in binge eating episodes/month between ORL (3.2 ± 5.2) and PBO (3.1 ± 5.0) was not significant (P = 0.62). 76% on ORL and 80% on PBO completed the study. At 3-month follow-up (after ORL and CBTgsh discontinued and study unblinded), binge eating remission rates were 52% for both groups; weight loss rates were 32% versus 8% (P = 0.034).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golay et al[107]</td>
<td>Combination therapy</td>
<td>Orlistat (ORL)</td>
<td>After 24 weeks, mean weight loss from baseline was significantly greater with ORL (−7.4%) than PBO (−2.3%, P = 0.0001); mean number of binges/week was 1.0 for ORL and 1.7 for PBO (statistics NR). At 24 weeks, overall EDI scores were significantly lower in ORL than PBO group (P = 0.01), as were waist circumference (P = 0.005), hip circumference (P &lt; 0.001), diastolic BP (P = 0.048), total cholesterol (P = 0.029), and insulin levels (P = 0.045). 23% of ORL patients and 29% of PBO patients still had BED at study end (NS). 89% on ORL and 71% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** 'Sibutramine or PBO given in combination with dietary/lifestyle psychoeducation; 'orlistat or PBO given in combination with CBT administered individually using guided self-help (CBTgsh); 'orlistat or PBO given in combination with a mildly reduced calorie diet.

**Abbreviations:** BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BED, binge eating disorder; BES, Binge Eating Scale; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CBT, cognitive behavior therapy; CBTgsh, cognitive behavior therapy administered by guided self-help; DXF, d-fenfluramine; EDI, Eating Disorder Inventory; ITT, intent-to-treat; NR, not reported; NS, not statistically significant; ORL, orlistat; SBT, sibutramine; SD, standard deviation; TFEQ, Three Factor Eating Questionnaire.
Table 4 Randomized, placebo-controlled studies of antiepileptic drugs in BED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design/subjects</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Mean dose (range) mg/day</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McElroy et al</td>
<td>Monotherapy</td>
<td>Topiramate (TPM)</td>
<td>212 (50–600)</td>
<td>TPM associated with significantly greater reduction in binge frequency ( (P = 0.0004) ), binge day frequency ( (P &lt; 0.0001) ), CGI-S scores ( (P = 0.02) ), YBOCS-BE obsession, compulsion, and total scores ( (P = 0.04, 0.0088, and 0.004, ) respectively ), BMI ( (P = 0.003) ), and weight ( (P = 0.005) ). TPM ITT group had a mean weight loss of (-5.9 ) kg versus (-1.2 ) kg in PBO group. Level of response greater for TPM than PBO ( (P &lt; 0.02) ). Remission rates were 64% for TPM versus 30% for PBO. 53% on TPM and 61% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McElroy et al</td>
<td>Acute treatment</td>
<td>Topiramate (TPM)</td>
<td>300 (25–400)</td>
<td>In modified ITT analysis, TPM was associated with significantly greater reduction in binge day frequency ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), as well as binge frequency ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), YBOCS-BE obsession, compulsion, and total scores ( (all , P &lt; 0.001) ), cognitive restraint ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), disinhibition ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), hunger ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), CGI-S scores ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), weight ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), BMI ( (P &lt; 0.0001) ), and overall, social, and family life disability ( (all , P &lt; 0.001) ). Topiramate ITT group had a mean ((±SD)) weight loss of (-4.5 ± 5.1 ) kg versus a mean weight gain of (+0.2 ± 3.2 ) kg in PBO group. TPM associated with a significantly greater rate of remission of binge eating ( (58%) ) than PBO ( (29%; , P &lt; 0.001) ). 69% of both groups completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudino et al</td>
<td>Combination therapy</td>
<td>Topiramate (TPM)</td>
<td>206 (100–300)</td>
<td>Binge frequency decreased significantly in both groups ( (P &lt; 0.001) ). TPM associated with significantly greater weight reduction than PBO ( (P &lt; 0.001) ). Weight loss (-6.8 ) kg for TPM versus (-0.9 ) kg for PBO. TPM also associated with a significantly greater rate of remission of binge eating ( (84%) ) than PBO ( (61%, , P = 0.03) ). 81% on TPM and 72% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McElroy et al</td>
<td>Acute treatment</td>
<td>Zonisamide (ZNM)</td>
<td>436 (100–600)</td>
<td>Compared with PBO, ZNM associated with significantly greater reduction in binge frequency ( (P = 0.021) ), as well as weight ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), BMI ( (P = 0.001) ), cognitive restraint ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), disinhibition ( (P &lt; 0.001) ), YBOCS-BE total ( (P &lt; 0.001) ) and compulsions ( (P = 0.006) ) scores, and CGI-S scores ( (P &lt; 0.001) ). ZNM associated with similar binge eating remission rate in the ITT population ( (54% \text{ versus } 45%) ) but higher rate in completer population ( (92% \text{ versus } 44%; , P = 0.018) ). Mean ((SD)) weight loss of (-4.8 ± 5.1 ) kg seen with ZNM versus (-1.0 ± 3.0 ) kg with PBO. 40% on ZNM and 60% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guerdjikova et al</td>
<td>Acute treatment</td>
<td>Lamotrigine (LTG)</td>
<td>236 (25–400)</td>
<td>LTG associated with similar reductions in binge frequency, weight loss, and binge eating remission rates as PBO ( (all , NS) ). 58% on LTG and 72% on PBO completed the study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Median dose of topiramate; ‡topiramate or PBO given in combination with group CBT for 19 sessions. 
Abbreviations: BED, binge eating disorder; BMI, body mass index; CBT, cognitive behavior therapy; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression for Severity Scale; ITT, intent-to-treat; LTG, lamotrigine; NS, not significant; PBO, placebo; SD, standard deviation; TPM, topiramate; YBOCS-BE, Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive scale modified for Binge Eating Disorder; ZNM, zonisamide.
unstable comorbid psychiatric or medical disorders such as bipolar disorder, substance use disorders, diabetes, and hypertension or other forms of cardiovascular disease. Many studies were monotherapy trials where medication alone was compared with placebo alone. Fewer studies were combination or adjunctive therapy trials in which medication plus a psychological intervention was compared with placebo plus the psychological intervention.

The primary outcome was usually a measure of the frequency of binge eating episodes (binge frequency) or binge days (binge day frequency), or the rate of remission or response of binge eating behavior. Less frequently, the primary outcome was weight loss. Secondary outcome measures have included general eating disorder psychopathology; global clinical improvement; mood, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive, and impulsive symptoms; body weight, BMI, and other metabolic parameters; and adherence with study medication.

The medications studied thus far in BED in randomized, placebo-controlled studies have been conducted primarily with three drug classes, ie, antidepressants, antiobesity agents, and antiepileptic drugs.25,42,69 Drug classes that have received less study include antiaddiction (or anticraving) agents and drugs used for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. The individual studies are reviewed in the following text according to drug class, along with the rationales prompting their study in BED.

Antidepressants

Several rationales led to studies of antidepressants in BED. First is that BED is characterized by binge eating similar to that of bulimia nervosa, and antidepressants are efficacious in bulimia nervosa.16,70 Tricyclics, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), and several atypical agents (mianserine, trazodone, and bupropion)71 have all been shown to be superior to placebo for reducing the frequency of both binge eating and purging episodes in bulimia nervosa.23,70,72 Indeed, the antidepressant fluoxetine is the only medication approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of an eating disorder, that being bulimia nervosa. Second is that BED co-occurs with other disorders that are responsive to antidepressants, including major depressive disorder, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, and obsessive-compulsive disorder.1 Third is that BED may be associated with abnormalities in serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine which are targeted by most antidepressant classes.37,73,74 To date, at least 12 prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled studies of antidepressants have been published in patients with BED as defined by all or most of the DSM-IV criteria.75–86 Nine studies evaluated antidepressant monotherapy while three compared antidepressants in combination with weight loss therapy.81 CBT,37 or both.86 Eight trials evaluated SSRIs, three evaluated tricyclics, and one evaluated a serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. The latter assessed duloxetine in BED patients with co-occurring depressive disorders.79 All studies were small, consisting of 20–85 patients, of short duration, ranging from 6 to 20 weeks, and many were associated with substantial dropout rates. Some were also associated with high placebo response rates.75 Tricyclic doses were low as compared with those used in depression; SSRI doses were higher, comparable with those recommended for bulimia nervosa (ie, 60 mg daily of fluoxetine). A study of fluoxetine used doses up to 80 mg/day76 and a study of escitalopram used supratherapeutic doses (up to 30 mg/day).78

When viewed collectively, SSRIs led to greater rates of reduction in target binge eating, psychiatric, and weight symptoms than placebo.21,88 However, most weight reductions would not be considered clinically significant. Tricyclic antidepressants were inconsistent regarding reductions in binge eating and weight loss. Duloxetine led to decreased binge eating, weight loss, and global improvement in eating disorder and depressive symptoms.79

A meta-analysis of seven of these studies (one with a tricyclic antidepressant, six with SSRIs) showed significantly higher binge eating remission rates for the antidepressant group compared with the placebo group: 40.5% versus 22.2% (relative risk [RR] 0.77; 95% CI 0.65–0.92; P = 0.003).89 Evaluating studies that used the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale to evaluate change in depressive symptoms, a statistically significant difference between groups was also seen favoring antidepressants (standardized mean difference −0.38; 95% CI −0.53 to −0.23; P = 0.005). However, no differences between groups were found in the mean frequency of binge eating episodes at the end of treatment (standardized mean difference −0.36; 95% CI −0.74 to −0.01; P = 0.06), in BMI (standardized mean difference 0.03; 95% CI −0.49 to 0.55), or in treatment discontinuation for any reason (RR 1.35; 95% CI 0.61–3.00). The authors concluded that, in light of the frequent chronicity of BED, the data were not sufficient to recommend antidepressants formally as a single first-line therapy for short-term remission of binge eating episodes and weight reduction in BED patients.

Controlled combination therapy studies have had contrasting results. In one, diet counseling with psychological support plus imipramine was superior to diet counseling and
psychological support plus placebo for 8 weeks in decreasing binge eating ($P < 0.01$) and weight ($P < 0.001$). Benefits were maintained at 8-month follow-up despite discontinuation of imipramine. In another 16-week trial, CBT with placebo and CBT with fluoxetine were both superior to fluoxetine alone and placebo alone for decreasing binge eating. There was no difference between fluoxetine and placebo, though patients who responded early to fluoxetine continued to do well, while lack of early response was associated with failure to achieve remission. There was no additive effect for the combination of fluoxetine plus CBT over CBT alone for decreasing binge eating, and no single or combined treatment was effective for weight loss. In the third study, 116 patients with BED receiving group behavioral weight control treatment for 20 weeks were randomized twice to adjunctive CBT or no CBT and to fluoxetine 40–80 mg daily or placebo. CBT, but not adjunctive fluoxetine, was effective for reducing binge eating. However, fluoxetine was effective for decreasing depressive symptoms. Neither CBT alone, fluoxetine alone, nor their combination were effective for weight loss.

Two randomized, placebo-controlled trials showed that SSRIs (fluvoxamine and fluoxetine) may be modestly effective in reducing relapse to binge eating in bulimia nervosa, but comparable relapse prevention studies of antidepressant monotherapy in BED have not yet been conducted. Open-label data have suggested that some patients with BED who initially respond to SSRIs with decreased binge eating and weight loss may maintain these beneficial effects for up to 6 months with continuation of the SSRI. On the other hand, a 2-year follow-up study found that fluoxetine continued to be ineffective for binge eating or weight reduction after it was ineffective during an initial 5-month phase, though the drug remained effective for depressive symptoms. Of note, 77% of patients in this study receiving medication were unblinded before or at the end of the initial 5-month phase of treatment.

Several promising antidepressants have not yet been studied in BED in randomized, placebo-controlled trials. These include bupropion, the serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, and milnacipran, and the novel dual activity antidepressant, vilazodone, an SSRI and partial agonist at the serotonin 5HT$_{1A}$ receptor. According to ClinicalTrials.gov, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of bupropion in binge eating disorder and obesity is ongoing (NCT00414167; retrieved April 25, 2012). Also, a retrospective clinical analysis has suggested that bupropion may be associated with greater weight loss than sertraline for BED patients. Another retrospective chart review found that venlafaxine was effective for reducing binge eating and body weight in 33 patients with BED and obesity. Vilazodone may be promising in light of its partial agonism at the serotonin 5HT$_{1A}$ receptor, and clarify the involvement of this receptor subtype in binge eating.

Antibesity agents

At least two rationales led to studies of antibesity agents in BED. First is that BED is associated with obesity and antibesity agents cause weight loss. Second is that antibesity agents may have antibinge eating properties, either directly through appetite suppressant or satiety-enhancing properties or indirectly through metabolic effects. The antibesity agents studied thus far for BED in randomized controlled trials have been sibutramine, orlistat, and d-fenfluramine (Table 3). Although sibutramine and d-fenfluramine have been removed from the worldwide market because of safety concerns, all three drugs will be reviewed for scientific completeness.

Fenfluramine

Fenfluramine and its isomer d-fenfluramine (the more selective dextro enantiomer of racemic d, l-fenfluramine) are efficacious weight loss drugs with strong proserotonergic properties. D-fenfluramine inhibits the reuptake of serotonin, while its metabolite d-norfenfluramine is a potent serotonin reuptake inhibitor and serotonin releaser. The role of serotonin in enhancing satiety and the weight loss properties of d-fenfluramine led to one randomized controlled trial of the drug in BED before it was removed from the worldwide market in 1997 because of concerns that it caused cardiac valvular abnormalities and pulmonary hypertension. In that study, 28 women with BED and obesity received d-fenfluramine up to 30 mg/day or placebo for 8 weeks. In the 24 women who completed the trial, the rate of binge eating fell three times more rapidly in the d-fenfluramine group than in the placebo group. However, no significant weight changes were observed. Nonetheless, 4 months after discontinuation of d-fenfluramine, binge eating had increased to pretreatment levels.

Sibutramine

Sibutramine is a reuptake inhibitor of norepinephrine, serotonin, and, to a lesser extent, dopamine, that is thought to cause weight loss by increasing satiety. It was removed from the worldwide market in 2010 because of an increased risk of myocardial infarction and stroke (but not death).
found in obese or overweight persons with pre-existing cardiovascular disease.¹⁰⁴

Three placebo-controlled studies, including one large multicenter trial, have been conducted with sibutramine (Table 3). In the first study, 60 patients with DSM-IV BED and obesity were randomly assigned to sibutramine 15 mg/day (n = 30) or placebo (n = 30) for 12 weeks at two centers.¹⁰⁵ Compared with placebo, sibutramine was associated with a significant reduction in number of binge days, greater weight loss, and significantly greater decreases in Binge Eating Scale and Beck Depression Inventory scores. Seven (23%) sibutramine patients and five (17%) placebo patients did not complete the trial. Dry mouth and constipation were more common with sibutramine than placebo. In the second study, 20 women with BED by DSM-IV criteria and obesity were randomized to receive sibutramine 10 mg/day (n = 10) or placebo (n = 10) for 12 weeks.¹⁰⁶ At study end, average binge day frequency was significantly decreased among women receiving sibutramine (from 4.4 ± 1.0 to 1.0 ± 1.0; \( P < 0.001 \)) but unchanged among those receiving placebo (from 4.7 ± 1.3 to 4.4 ± 0.5). Similarly, Body-Esteem Scale¹⁰⁷ scores improved significantly among sibutramine-treated patients but not among those given placebo. The average weight loss among sibutramine recipients (4.5 ± 2.1 kg) was significantly \( (P < 0.001) \) greater than that among placebo recipients (0.6 ± 0.5 kg). The most common adverse events with sibutramine were dry mouth and constipation.

The third study was a multisite trial in which 304 participants with DSM-IV BED were randomized to sibutramine (15 mg/day; n = 152) or placebo (n = 152) for 24 weeks at 18 centers. All patients received dietary/lifestyle psychoeducation. Compared with placebo-treated patients, sibutramine-treated patients had significantly greater reductions in weekly binge frequency (sibutramine group mean 2.7%, placebo group mean 2.0%), binge day frequency, weight, BMI, and measures of cognitive restraint, disinhibition, and hunger as assessed by the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ). Sibutramine-treated patients also showed significantly greater global improvement and level of response, including remission of binge eating (59% for sibutramine versus 43% for placebo). More sibutramine recipients (67%) than placebo recipients (57%) completed the study. Headache, dry mouth, constipation, insomnia, and dizziness were significantly more common with sibutramine.

**Orlistat**

Orlistat is a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor indicated for weight loss and weight maintenance.¹⁰³ Its mechanism of action is inhibition of absorption of dietary fat. Two randomized, placebo-controlled studies have been conducted with orlistat in BED. In one study, 50 patients with BED by DSM-IV criteria and obesity were randomized to receive individual CBT delivered by guided self-help (CBTgsh) in combination with orlistat 120 mg three times daily or CBTgsh in combination with placebo for 12 weeks.⁸⁷ At the 12-week time point, both CBTgsh and study medication were discontinued and the double-blind for medication was broken. Patients were again evaluated at 3-month follow-up. There were two primary outcomes, ie, remission of binge eating, defined as no binge eating episodes in the past month, and weight loss, defined as losing at least 5% of weight from baseline. Binge eating remission rates were significantly higher for orlistat plus CBTgsh than for placebo plus CBTgsh at post-treatment (64% versus 36%) but not at 3-month follow-up (52% in both groups). However, rates for achieving at least 5% weight loss were significantly higher for the orlistat group at both post-treatment (36% versus 8%) and 3-month follow-up (32% versus 8%).

In the second study, 89 patients with BED by DSM-IV criteria and obesity were randomized to orlistat 120 mg three times daily (n = 44) or placebo (n = 45) for 24 weeks, both in combination with a mildly hypocaloric diet.¹⁰⁸ Mean percentage weight loss (the primary outcome) was significantly greater for orlistat-treated patients than for placebo-treated patients (−7.4% versus −2.3%; \( P = 0.0001 \)). Waist circumference, hip circumference, total percentage body fat, total cholesterol level, diastolic blood pressure, and insulin level were also significantly improved with orlistat. Effectiveness of orlistat in binge eating per se was less clear. At 24 weeks, the mean number of binge eating episodes per week was numerically but not significantly decreased (1.0 for orlistat-treated patients versus 1.7 for placebo-treated patients). Also, similar rates of patients in both groups who completed the study continued to suffer from BED (23% for orlistat versus 29% for placebo). However, Eating Disorder Inventory scores at week 24 were significantly lower for orlistat than placebo \( (P = 0.011) \). In addition, fat intake was significantly lower in orlistat-treated patients at week 12; total caloric intake was significantly lower at week 24. Eighteen patients discontinued the study prematurely, five (11%) in the orlistat group and 13 (29%) in the placebo group. No patient discontinued orlistat because of an adverse event. Data on side effects were otherwise not reported.

These studies are noteworthy because they suggest that a drug with no direct action on the central nervous system (the bioavailability of orlistat is <1%) may have beneficial
effects in BED.\textsuperscript{103} Of note, orlistat misuse has been reported in patients with eating disorders, including those with bulimia nervosa\textsuperscript{97,109} and BED.\textsuperscript{110}

Other anorexia agents
Phentermine is the most commonly prescribed medication for obesity in the US, and is approved for short-term use.\textsuperscript{63} It is a sympathomimetic amine that is thought to suppress appetite by promoting norepinephrine release.\textsuperscript{111} Side effect concerns include elevation of pulse and blood pressure. Phentermine is currently under evaluation as a component in combination with topiramate and with pramlintide for weight loss in obesity.\textsuperscript{63,112,113}

There are no reports of phentermine monotherapy in BED, but two open-label trials evaluated the drug in combination with other treatments. In a six-month study, administration of phentermine 15 mg/day plus fenfluramine 60 mg/day, to 22 severe binge eaters, 17 moderate binge eaters, and 16 nonbinge eaters, resulted in improved binge eating and Beck Depression Inventory scores in all three groups.\textsuperscript{114} In addition, 73% of the severe binge eaters, 59% of the moderate binge eaters, and 69% of the nonbinge eaters experienced more than 10% weight loss. However, of 35 patients who received echocardiograms, 20 had evidence of valvular insufficiency in one or more valves. In the second study, phentermine up to 30 mg/day, in combination with fluoxetine up to 60 mg/day, and CBT was assessed in 16 obese women with binge eating, 14 of whom met DSM-IV criteria for BED.\textsuperscript{115} During the 20-week active treatment phase, mean weekly binge frequency declined by 95% from baseline, with 12 (75%) patients showing complete remission. Mean body weight and BMI declined by 8.6% and 8.7%, respectively, from baseline. After 6 months of subsequent maintenance treatment, 12 patients remained on study medication (10 patients on both agents and two patients on fluoxetine alone); binge frequency was 63% lower than at the start of treatment, but only five (42%) of the 12 patients were binge-free. Only six patients completed 18 months of maintenance therapy; two were taking both medications and four were taking fluoxetine alone. Four of five BED patients were in remission from binge eating, but patients had regained most of the weight they had lost at the end of active treatment.

Antiepileptic drugs
Several rationales have prompted studies of antiepileptic drugs in BED. First is that early investigators noted that some patients with binge eating episodes and electrocardiographic abnormalities stopped binge eating when treated with phenytoin.\textsuperscript{116–119} Second is that BED co-occurs with a number of conditions that respond to various antiepileptic agents, including mood, alcohol use, and borderline personality disorders.\textsuperscript{120} Third is that some antiepileptic drugs are associated with weight loss and/or anorexia,\textsuperscript{120} and have been shown to be efficacious as weight loss agents in obesity, either as monotherapy (eg, topiramate and zonisamide)\textsuperscript{121,122} or as components of combination therapies (eg, topiramate with phentermine, and zonisamide with bupropion).\textsuperscript{63,113} Fourth is that a number antiepileptic drugs affect neurotransmitter or peptide systems involved in regulation of feeding behavior. As noted earlier, topiramate affects the glutamate and neuropeptide Y systems, while zonisamide affects neuropeptide Y and enhances serotonin and dopamine function.\textsuperscript{53,56,57} The antiepileptic drugs studied thus far in BED in randomized, controlled trials include topiramate, zonisamide, and lamotrigine. Phenytoin has been studied in the similar condition compulsive or binge eating.\textsuperscript{122}

Topiramate
Topiramate is a sulfamate-substituted monosaccharide that is approved for epilepsy and migraine. It has multiple pharmacological properties, including antagonism of the AMPA/kainate glutamate receptor, state-dependent blockade of voltage-activated sodium channels, enhancement of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) activity at the GABA receptor, antagonism of high voltage-activated calcium channels, and inhibition of carbonic anhydrase.\textsuperscript{53,123,124} In randomized placebo-controlled trials in obese individuals without BED, topiramate monotherapy produces weight loss of about 6–8 kg at 24 weeks with improvements in lipid parameters, glycemic control, and blood pressure.\textsuperscript{125–127} A large program sponsored by Vivus exploring the combination of low-dose controlled-release topiramate with phentermine (at both 46/7.5 mg/day and 92/15 mg/day) in obesity has shown that this combination is efficacious and well tolerated for acute weight loss and long-term weight maintenance.\textsuperscript{128–130} Moreover, this combination was associated with improved cardiovascular and metabolic variables and a decreased rate of incident diabetes.

Three randomized, placebo-controlled trials of topiramate have been published to date in BED. In the first study, 61 BED patients with obesity received topiramate (n = 30) or placebo (n = 31) for 14 weeks.\textsuperscript{83} Topiramate was started at 50 mg/day and could be increased to a maximum of 600 mg/day according to response and tolerability. Topiramate (median dose 212 mg/day) was significantly superior to placebo in
reducing binge frequency, as well as obsessive-compulsive features of binge eating symptoms, as assessed with the Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale Modified for Binge Eating (YBOCS-BE), global severity of illness, body weight, and BMI. Topiramate-treated patients experienced a 94% reduction in binge frequency and a mean weight loss of 5.9 kg, whereas placebo-treated patients experienced a 46% reduction in binge frequency and a mean weight loss of 1.2 kg. However, the dropout rate was high, with 14 (47%) topiramate recipients and 12 (39%) placebo recipients failing to complete the trial. Paresthesias, dry mouth, headache, and dyspepsia were the most common side effects associated with topiramate.

The second controlled study was a multicenter trial in which 407 patients with BED and at least three binge eating days/week, BMI 30–52 kg/m², and no current psychiatric disorders or substance abuse were randomized to receive topiramate or placebo for 16 weeks.61 Topiramate was started at 25 mg/day and increased over an 8-week period to 400 mg/day or the maximum tolerated dose; the median final dose was 300 mg/day. Thirteen enrolled patients failed to meet the inclusion criteria, resulting in 195 topiramate and 199 placebo patients who were evaluated for efficacy. Compared with placebo, topiramate significantly reduced weekly binge day frequency (−3.5 ± 1.9 versus −2.5 ± 2.1), binge frequency (−5.0 ± 4.3 versus −3.4 ± 3.8), weight (−4.5 ± 5.1 kg versus 0.2 ± 3.2 kg), and BMI (−1.6 ± 1.8 kg/m² versus 0.1 ± 1.2 kg/m², all \( P < 0.001 \)). Topiramate also significantly decreased obsession, compulsion, and total scores of the YBOCS-BE; overall, motor, and nonplanning impulsiveness scores of the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale, version 11;131 cognitive restraint, disinhibition, and hunger subscores of the TFEQ; and overall, social, and family life disability scores of the Sheehan Disability Scale. Significantly more topiramate-treated subjects (58%) achieved remission compared with placebo-treated subjects (29%; \( P < 0.001 \)). Discontinuation rates were 30% in each group; adverse events were the most common reason for topiramate discontinuation (16% versus 8% for placebo). Paresthesias, upper respiratory tract infection, somnolence, and nausea were the most frequent side effects of topiramate.

The third controlled study of topiramate in BED was another multicenter trial in which 73 patients with BED and obesity were randomized to 19 sessions of CBT in conjunction with topiramate (\( n = 37 \)) or placebo (\( n = 36 \)) for 21 weeks.132 The final mean dose of topiramate was 206 ± 36 mg/day. Both treatment groups showed significant reductions in binge frequency. However, compared with patients receiving placebo, those receiving topiramate showed a significantly greater rate of weight reduction (the primary outcome measure) over the course of treatment (\( P < 0.001 \)). Topiramate recipients also showed significantly greater mean weight loss (−6.8 kg) compared with placebo recipients (−0.9 kg). Reduction of binge frequencies and Binge Eating Scale and Beck Depression Inventory scores did not differ between the groups, but a greater proportion of topiramate-treated patients (84%) attained remission of binge eating as compared with placebo-treated patients (61%; \( P = 0.03 \)). There was no difference between the groups with regard to completion rates. Paresthesias and taste perversion were more frequent with topiramate, whereas insomnia was more frequent with placebo. The authors concluded that adding topiramate to CBT improved the efficacy of the latter for BED, enhancing both weight loss and binge remission over the short term.132

There have been no randomized, placebo-controlled maintenance studies of antiepileptic drugs in BED. An open-label extension trial suggested that the antibinge eating and weight loss effects of topiramate may be maintained over the long term. BED patients who completed the first randomized, placebo-controlled study of topiramate (\( n = 35 \)) were offered participation in a 42-week open-label extension study of the drug.133 An analysis was conducted for 44 patients who received at least one dose of topiramate (31 who received topiramate in the open-label trial plus 13 who received topiramate in the double-blind study only); 43 patients provided outcome measures at a final median dose of 250 mg/day. Mean weekly binge frequency declined significantly from baseline to the final visit for all 43 patients (−3.2; \( P < 0.001 \)), for the 15 patients who received topiramate during the controlled and open-label studies (−4.0; \( P < 0.001 \)), and for the 15 patients who received topiramate only during the open-label trial (−2.5; \( P = 0.044 \)). Patients also exhibited a statistically significant reduction in body weight. However, only 10 (32%) of the 31 patients entering the extension trial completed the 42 weeks of open-label treatment, with the most common reasons for topiramate discontinuation being protocol nonadherence (\( n = 11 \)) and adverse events (\( n = 8 \)).

There are notable open-label descriptions of the beneficial use of topiramate in difficult-to-treat patients with BED. Thus, topiramate has been reported to reduce binge eating and/or overweight in BED patients with treatment-resistant illness, comorbid depressive or bipolar disorders, traumatic brain injury, and those receiving the drug adjunctively with antidepressants and/or mood stabilizers.134–138 Topiramate has also been used successfully to reduce binge eating and weight
loss difficulties after adjustable gastric banding or gastric bypass surgery. On the other hand, there are reports of topiramate misuse in eating disorder patients.

**Zonisamide**

Zonisamide is a second-generation antiepileptic drug with broad-spectrum efficacy. It has many pharmacologic properties, including antagonism of voltage-gated sodium and T-type calcium channels, reduction of glutamate transmission by blockade of potassium-evoked glutamate release and upregulation of excitatory amino acid carrier-1, modulation of central dopaminergic and serotonergic function, and carbonic anhydrase inhibition. A large program sponsored by Orexigen Therapeutics evaluating zonisamide in combination with bupropion in obesity has shown that the combination is associated with more weight loss than either combination with lamotrigine in BED could not be determined. However, lamotrigine was associated with a numerically greater amount of weight loss (1.17 kg for lamotrigine versus 0.15 kg for placebo) and statistically significant reductions in fasting levels of glucose, insulin, and triglycerides, consistent with the finding that lamotrigine is associated with weight loss in healthy patients with obesity.

**Phenytoin**

Two published placebo-controlled crossover studies of phenytoin in patients with compulsive or binge eating had contrasting results. In the negative trial, four obese patients with compulsive eating showed no significant differences between phenytoin and placebo on any outcome measure. In addition, no patient had a marked response to phenytoin. In the positive study, 19 of 20 women with “binge eating syndrome” completed 12 weeks during which they received phenytoin and placebo for six weeks each in a counterbalanced design. Twelve patients had final serum phenytoin levels of 10–20 µg/mL, and five had levels of 5–10 µg/mL. Patients given phenytoin first experienced a 37% decrease in binge frequency (P < 0.01), but showed no change in binge frequency when switched to placebo. Patients given placebo first experienced no change in binge frequency, and did experience a 39% decrease after switching to phenytoin (P < 0.01). Eight (42%) patients displayed a moderate or better response (≥50% reduction in binge episode frequency) on phenytoin, but only one patient experienced a remission of binge eating. When the two groups were compared, there were significantly fewer binges in the phenytoin-placebo group than in the placebo-phenytoin group (P < 0.02), indicating a carryover effect for the phenytoin-first sequence.

**Other antiepileptics**

A case series of nine obese patients with BED treated with oxcarbazepine had inconsistent findings. Four patients showed a decrease in binge eating and three lost weight. However, three patients reported no change in binge eating, two showed no weight change, and five gained weight. Five patients discontinued the drug and seven reported side effects. The authors noted that the drug appeared to be beneficial for patients with impulsive eating behaviors and depressive symptoms. In contrast, valproate has been reported to worsen
binge eating and enhance weight gain in patients with BED and comorbid bipolar disorder.138

**Drugs for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder**

Several rationales have prompted studies of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder medications in BED. First is that most drugs used to treat attention deficit hyperactivity disorder facilitate function of the dopamine and/or norepinephrine systems, which are involved in eating behavior and reward as well as in the biology of binge eating.37,74,151 Second, many drugs used to treat attention deficit hyperactivity disorder are associated with weight loss or anorectic effects, and there are preliminary reports that stimulants such as methylamphetamine and methylphenidate reduce binge eating in patients with bulimia nervosa.128–130 Third, epidemiological data have indicated a relationship between BED and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in both adolescents28 and adults.3 These observations have led to studies of atomoxetine, methylphenidate, and lisdexamfetamine in BED.

**Norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors**

Atomoxetine is a highly selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. In the only controlled study of atomoxetine in BED, 40 patients were randomized to receive the active drug or placebo for 10 weeks at a single center.61 Atomoxetine was flexibly dosed at 40–120 mg/day; the mean dose at endpoint evaluation was 106 ± 21 mg/day. Compared with placebo-treated patients (n = 20), atomoxetine-treated patients (n = 20) showed a significantly greater decrease in binge frequency (the primary outcome measure), as well as in binge day frequency, weight, BMI, and scores on the Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale, YBOCS-BE obsession subscale, and TFEQ hunger subscale. Fifteen patients (six receiving atomoxetine) did not complete the 10-week trial. The most common side effects associated with atomoxetine were dry mouth, nausea, nervousness, insomnia, headache, constipation, and sweating. The only other selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor studied in BED has been reboxetine. In a 12-week open-label trial in nine patients, significant reductions were seen in binge frequency and BMI.157

**Stimulants**

A double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study in 32 patients with BED and 46 healthy age-matched controls showed that BED patients with at least one copy of the nine-repeat allele of the dopamine transporter showed significant appetite suppression in response to methylphenidate compared with controls with this allele or BED patients with the 10/10 genotype, whose methylphenidate response was indistinguishable from placebo.158 The authors hypothesized that a currently unknown genetic variant, which is overrepresented in BED, interacts with the dopamine transporter to suppress appetite in response to stimulant administration.

Lisdexamfetamine is a prodrug of d-amphetamine marketed for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adults. Amphetamine inhibits the reuptake and enhances the release of dopamine and norepinephrine.111 Two randomized, placebo-controlled trials of this compound are presently ongoing in patients with BED by DSM-IV criteria (NCT01090713 and NCT01291173; retrieved April 25, 2012).1

**Antiaddiction drugs**

The pharmacotherapy of addictive disorders has gained considerable empirical support, such that a class of “anticraving” drugs has been hypothesized to exist that reduces cravings or urges to use alcohol or drugs of abuse.159 Growing research suggests addictive processes, including addiction to highly palatable food, are involved in the development and maintenance of obesity.160 Eating disorders with binge eating, including BED, also appear to be related to addictive disorders, though their precise relationships to food addiction per se have not been fully elucidated.161 Thus, the binge eating of BED is characterized by craving for food and loss of control over eating that are similar to the craving for alcohol and drugs and loss of control over the use of these substances that is seen in persons with addiction. Additionally, BED is associated with elevated rates of substance use disorders.3,20 These observations have led to the hypothesis that binge eating and addiction may share a common pathophysiology involving highly palatable food and drugs of abuse competing for the same brain reward circuitry, and that anticraving drugs may reduce the urge to binge by modulating neurotransmission in the systems that comprise this circuitry.162

**Opioid antagonists**

Involvement of the endogenous opioid system in eating behavior, reward, and addiction has prompted a number of studies of opioid antagonists in the treatment of binge-eating populations. Though placebo-controlled trials of naltrexone at standard doses in bulimia nervosa have largely been negative,75,163 other studies in bulimia nervosa and open reports in BED have suggested that supratherapeutic doses (200–400 mg/day) may decrease binge eating.164–166 In a study of 41 women,
intravenous administration of the opioid antagonist, naloxone, selectively suppressed the consumption of sweet high-fat foods in obese and lean subjects with bulimia nervosa ($n=20$), but not in controls ($n=21$). Moreover, a large program sponsored by Orexigen Therapeutics evaluating naltrexone in combination with bupropion in obesity has shown that the combination is associated with more weight loss than either drug alone.168–170

In the only randomized controlled trial of naltrexone to include patients with BED, 33 obese bingers and 22 normal weight bulimics were treated for 8 weeks with naltrexone 100–150 mg/day, imipramine, or placebo.75 Naltrexone did not significantly reduce binge duration or binge frequency in the obese bingers. However, three favorable case reports of naltrexone in BED have been published. One was a positive on-off-on case of naltrexone monotherapy using doses of 200 and 400 mg/day.171 The two others described the successful augmentation of fluoxetine using naltrexone 100 mg/day.172,173 There are also reports of opioid antagonists being helpful in BED patients with serious comorbid neuropsychiatric and medical disorders.166

ALKS-33 is a novel compound in clinical development by Alkermes for alcohol dependence that binds nonselectively to $\mu$, $\kappa$, and $\delta$ opioid receptors.174 Unlike naltrexone, it does not undergo extensive first-pass metabolism and exhibits high oral bioavailability. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 6-week study designed to assess the safety and efficacy of ALKS 33 10 mg/day in 68 patients with BED was recently completed. While ALKS 33 demonstrated a significant reduction from baseline in the efficacy endpoint of self-reported weekly binge eating episodes, the reduction was not significantly different from that observed with placebo.175

**Acamprosate**

Acamprosate is a glutamate receptor modulator approved in many countries for maintenance of abstinence in patients with alcohol dependence. The drug antagonizes the glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor and may also decrease mGluR5 function.123,176 In addition to the overlap between BED and addictive disorders, preclinical and clinical findings suggesting that reduction of glutamate function may decrease feeding behavior, including binge eating, prompted one randomized, placebo-controlled trial in BED.162 Forty patients with BED received acamprosate ($n=20$) or placebo ($n=20$) for 10 weeks. The mean endpoint daily dose of acamprosate was $2597 \pm 605$ mg/day. Acamprosate was not associated with a significantly greater decrease in binge frequency or any other outcome measure in the primary longitudinal analysis. However, in the secondary endpoint analysis, acamprosate was associated with statistically significant improvement in binge day frequency and in measures of obsessive-compulsive symptoms of binge eating, food craving, and quality of life. BMI decreased slightly with acamprosate and increased with placebo; among completers, BMI decreased significantly more for acamprosate recipients than placebo recipients ($P=0.04$). In addition, the drug was well tolerated, with a significantly higher completion (79%) rate than for placebo (45%, $P=0.05$). Consistent with its adverse event profile in alcohol-dependent patients, diarrhea was the more common side effect. There were no serious adverse events.

**Other agents**

Memantine, a glutamate-modulating agent, has been reported to reduce binge eating in BED in two open-label trials. In the first trial, five women with BED and obesity received memantine 10 mg in the morning and 10–20 mg in the late afternoon. As a group, they also lost weight, on average, 1.2 kg per week.177 In the second, 16 patients received a mean endpoint memantine dose of 18.3 mg/day. Although they did not lose weight as a group, weight loss was seen in four patients who had a remission of binge eating.178

Sodium oxybate, the sodium salt of gamma-hydroxybutyrate, is a Schedule III drug marketed for the treatment of excessive daytime sleepiness and cataplexy in patients with narcolepsy.179 Present in human brain as a metabolite of GABA, and with at least two identified receptors, gamma-hydroxybutyrate modulates a number of neurotransmitters involved in the regulation of feeding behavior, including GABA, dopamine, serotonin, opioids, and glutamate.180 Findings that chronic gamma-hydroxybutyrate and gamma-hydroxybutyrate analogs decrease aspects of excess feeding behavior, the anticraving properties of the drug, and evidence of a link between narcolepsy and binge eating prompted an open-label 16-week study of the drug in 12 patients with BED.181,182 Ten patients completed at least one post-baseline evaluation, and five completed the study. The mean endpoint dose was $7.1 \pm 2.0$ g/day. Sodium oxybate was associated with significant reductions in binge day and binge episode frequencies, as well as measures of clinical severity, eating pathology, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, food cravings, BMI, and body weight. Nine participants had remission of binge eating and five lost $\geq5\%$ of their baseline weight; all five of the latter participants had remission of binge eating.

Baclofen, a GABA(B) agonist, given at 60 mg/day for 10 weeks has also been reported to reduce binge eating
frequency in BED; however, in this study, two of four women with BED gained weight at the trial endpoint despite reporting reduced binge eating.183

There are no published controlled studies of antipsychotics in BED. Rather, second-generation antipsychotics have been reported to induce or exacerbate binge eating in patients with binge eating-related disorders, including bulimia nervosa.184,185 Moreover, second-generation antipsychotics have been reported to induce or exacerbate binge eating, including syndromal BED, in patients receiving the drugs for psychotic disorders.186

### Conclusion
Research into the pharmacotherapeutic treatment of BED is in its early stages. No single medication has received approval from a regulatory body for use in BED. Many of the available pharmacotherapy studies in BED are plagued by limitations, such as small sample size, a high placebo response, high dropout rates, and unclear generalizability of findings to real-world clinical situations.187 No placebo-controlled maintenance trial in a group of patients whose binge eating was in remission has yet been published. There have been no placebo-controlled pharmacotherapy studies devoted to BED patients who have had partial or inadequate responses to pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, or bariatric surgery. Also, there have been no pharmacotherapy studies in children, adolescents, or older adults with BED. Moreover, except for obesity and major depressive disorder, there has been no pharmacotherapy study of patients with BED and a specified psychiatric or medical comorbidity.

However, many individuals with BED receive pharmacotherapy,11,188 and several conclusions regarding the pharmacotherapy of BED from extant research can nonetheless be made. Substantial evidence indicates that antidepressants are modestly efficacious for binge eating in BED over the short term.21,89 Antidepressants, including the serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, duloxetine, may also be useful for the depressive symptoms and/or syndromes that may accompany BED. However, they do not appear to have clinically significant benefits on body weight, and their long-term efficacy in BED is not known. Nor do they appear to enhance the antibinge eating effects of CBT significantly. However, it is unknown whether these findings would generalize to other available antidepressants with different pharmacodynamic profiles, such as bupropion or vilazodone.

Considerable double-blind, placebo-controlled data show that topiramate is effective for binge eating in BED with obesity. These data also show that topiramate is effective for weight loss in this patient population and decreases both obsessive-compulsive eating pathology as well as features of trait impulsivity.61 One controlled study showed topiramate may enhance the effectiveness of CBT in BED,132 while an open-label study found the antibinge eating and weight loss effects of topiramate in BED may persist for up to one year (though drug discontinuation rates were high, in part due to adverse events).133 A comparable amount of controlled data show that sibutramine is effective for reduction of binge eating and weight loss in BED with obesity,62 but this drug is no longer available because of safety concerns. Orlistat, when used in combination with CBT or dietary therapy, leads to enhanced weight loss and possibly reduced binge eating.77,106 Other compounds that are presently available and that show promise for BED are zonisamide, naltrexone (especially at doses higher than those used for substance use disorders), stimulants, and glutamate-modulating agents (eg, memantine). These compounds merit more systematic evaluation in BED.

Further pharmacotherapy research into BED is greatly needed at many levels. Randomized, placebo-controlled maintenance trials would be useful for antidepressants, topiramate, and orlistat in BED. Randomized control trials in which a novel medication strategy (eg, topiramate maintenance treatment of BED) is combined with a specific psychological treatment would be useful. As has been done in other major mental disorders, trials are needed to explore strategies

### Table 5 Examples of novel pharmacotherapies under investigation for obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Putative mechanism of action for weight loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monotherapies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorcaserin</td>
<td>Selective 5-HT receptor agonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesofensine</td>
<td>Reuptake inhibition of NE, DA, and SHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pramlintide, davalintide</td>
<td>Amylin agonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exenatide, liraglutide</td>
<td>Glucagon-like peptide-1 agonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velneperit</td>
<td>Neuropeptide Y Y5 receptor antagonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combination Therapies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion plus naltrexone</td>
<td>Sustained increase in α-MSH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion plus zonisamide</td>
<td>Enhancement of central NE, DA, and SHT function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate plus phentermine</td>
<td>Glutamate antagonism and increased central NE release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pramlintide plus metreleptin</td>
<td>Combined amylin and leptin agonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pramlintide plus phentermine</td>
<td>Amylin agonism and increased central NE release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** DA, dopamine; SHT, serotonin; α-MSH, α-melanocyte stimulating hormone; NE, norepinephrine.
where medications are optimized, switched, augmented, or combined in patients with treatment-resistant BED.\(^{180}\)

For example, studies of topiramate in combination with antidepressants would be important in patients with inadequately responsive or chronic forms of BED, including those with comorbid depressive disorders.\(^{180}\) In addition, studies are needed in BED patients who have clinically important comorbidities beyond obesity and depression, such as bipolar disorder, anxiety disorders, substance use disorders, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and metabolic syndrome.\(^{3,7}\) For example, in epidemiologic samples, it has been estimated that 12% of individuals with BED have bipolar disorder, 65% have an anxiety disorder, and 23% have a substance use disorder;\(^{4}\) while over 5-year prospective follow-up, 10% will develop diabetes, 24% hypertension, and 30% dyslipidemia.\(^{7}\)

Studies with new compounds are also greatly needed in BED. Available drugs that may hold promise for BED and merit evaluation in randomized controlled trials include the serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors desvenlafaxine, venlafaxine, and milnacipran; bupropion; the combined SSRI-5HT\(_{1A}\) agonist vilazodone; memantine and other glutamate-modulating agents, such as riluzole;\(^{64,191}\) and long-acting stimulant preparations, such as OROS-methylphenidate. Novel compounds that hold promise for BED include some of those in development for obesity (Table 5) and mood disorders. Examples of such compounds being developed for obesity include tesofensine, a serotonin-norepinephrine-dopamine (triple) reuptake inhibitor; selective 5-HT\(_{2C}\) receptor agonists (eg, lorcaserin); melanin-concentrating hormone receptor 1 antagonists; combination therapies (eg, bupropion sustained-release with naltrexone sustained-release, bupropion with zonisamide, and topiramate with phentermine); and agents that target hormones and peptides that control appetite or regulate metabolism.\(^{60,65,192–198}\)

Examples of such agents being developed for depression include triple reuptake inhibitors, serotonin-melatonin agents, and corticotropin-releasing hormone antagonists.\(^{64,191,199}\) Drugs with potential for efficacy in both depression and obesity (eg, triple reuptake inhibitors), as well as combination therapies for obesity, might be especially promising candidates for BED. The former (eg, tesofensine and amitifadine) share mechanistic features with sibutramine, while the latter contain components (eg, topiramate and zonisamide) already shown effective in BED as monotherapy. Some of the many drugs in development for epilepsy might also be considered as potential therapeutic agents for BED, particularly if they are associated with anorectic or weight loss properties.\(^{67}\)

Rational comprehensive guidelines for the use of pharmacotherapy in BED need to be developed. These guidelines will need to address the role of pharmacotherapy relative to other treatment modalities for BED, including psychological strategies and bariatric surgery, as well as the individual roles of different medications. Some authorities suggest psychotherapy should be the first-line treatment of BED and that current pharmacotherapies cannot be recommended because of insufficient evidence.\(^{10}\) However, this recommendation needs to account for patient preference, the incomplete effectiveness of psychotherapy, for the fact that pharmacotherapy can be combined with or added to all other BED treatments (both psychological and surgical), and for the potential lack of generalizability of the findings of psychotherapy studies. Moreover, BED is most likely to be a heterogeneous condition, and some patients may only respond optimally to pharmacotherapy, while others may respond to psychotherapy alone.\(^{200}\) Identification of factors predicting requirement of pharmacotherapy, along with the particular medications most likely to be effective, will help realize personalization of BED treatment.

Pharmacotherapy research for BED will need to be informed by advances in both clinical trial design, psychobiology, and molecular genetics. Regarding the former, there is presently a lack of consensus about what constitutes ideal clinical trial design in eating disorder pharmacotherapy research in general, and for BED in particular. This includes lack of agreement on assessment instruments, definitions of primary outcome and of response, remission, recovery, and relapse, stages of illness to be studied, metrics for reporting outcome, and how best to manage low completion rates.\(^{52,88}\) Regarding the latter, intensive research is needed to identify endophenotypes, genes, and other psychological or biological markers that will predict response to treatment and facilitate novel drug discovery.\(^{20,201}\)

In summary, pharmacotherapy has an important role in the management of BED, especially in patients inadequately responsive to psychotherapy and surgery, patients with comorbid psychiatric or medical disorders, and those with chronic or intractable illness. However, the available pharmacotherapeutic armamentarium for BED and its supporting evidence base is far from adequate. Further study is needed to clarify which specific agents might be most useful for which patient subgroups, as well as to delineate the larger role of pharmacotherapy in relationship to other BED treatments. Novel medical treatments for BED are needed. In particular, rational drug discovery devoted to BED needs to occur. In the meantime, current and future medications with...
psychotropic benefits and/or favorable effects on appetite and weight might be considered as potential therapeutic agents for BED.
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