Effects of tiotropium on sympathetic activation during exercise in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients
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Background: Tiotropium partially relieves exertional dyspnea and reduces the risk of congestive heart failure in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. However, its effect on the sympathetic activation response to exercise is unknown.

Aims: This study aimed to determine whether tiotropium use results in a sustained reduction in sympathetic activation during exercise.

Methods: We conducted a 12-week, open-label (treatments: tiotropium 18 µg or oxipropium 0.2 mg × 3 mg), crossover study in 17 COPD patients. Treatment order was randomized across subjects. The subjects underwent a pulmonary function test and two modes of cardiopulmonary exercise (constant work rate and incremental exercise) testing using a cycle ergometer, with measurement of arterial catecholamines after each treatment period.

Results: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second and forced vital capacity were significantly larger in the tiotropium treatment group. In constant exercise testing, exercise endurance time was longer, with improvement in dyspnea during exercise and reduction in dynamic hyperinflation in the tiotropium treatment group. Similarly, in incremental exercise testing, exercise time, carbon dioxide production, and minute ventilation at peak exercise were significantly higher in the tiotropium treatment group. Plasma norepinephrine concentrations and dyspnea intensity were also lower during submaximal isotime exercise and throughout the incremental workload exercise in the tiotropium treatment group.

Conclusion: Tiotropium suppressed the increase of sympathetic activation during exercise at the end of the 6-week treatment, as compared with the effect of oxipropium. This effect might be attributed to improvement in lung function and exercise capacity and reduction in exertional dyspnea, which were associated with decreases in respiratory frequency and heart rate and reduced progression of arterial acidosis.
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Introduction
Bronchodilator medications (including anticholinergics, β2-agonists, and methylxanthines) are central to symptomatic management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).1 Inhaled anticholinergics include the short-acting muscarinic antagonist ipratropium bromide and oxitropium bromide, and the M1- and M3-selective long-acting muscarinic antagonist tiotropium bromide. Regular use of a short- or long-acting anticholinergic improves dyspnea, exacerbations, health-related quality of life, and lung function in COPD patients.2,3 It was widely believed that anticholinergic inhalation therapy may exacerbate congestive heart failure because of its cholinergic effects (eg, tachycardia and activation of the sympathetic nerve system). A negative association between treatment with...
ipratropium and an increase in cardiovascular (CV) mortality was noted in an earlier report from the Lung Health Study. However, no statistically significant differences were found in all-cause mortality or CV mortality between tiotropium and placebo or ipratropium. However, tiotropium also significantly improves scores on the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire and reduces the likelihood of COPD exacerbation when compared with ipratropium. Tiotropium therapy is suggested to increase endurance by reducing hyperinflation. Recently, the UPLIFT (Understanding Potential Long-term Impacts on Function with Tiotropium) trial demonstrated that tiotropium provides sustained improvements in lung function as well as improved health-related quality of life, reduced exacerbation, and increased survival without altering the rate of decline in the mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) as compared with the placebo group. Furthermore, tiotropium was associated with a reduction in the risk of CV mortality and CV events. Therefore, although one study has not found differences in mortality, there seems to be a noticeable difference between short-acting and long-acting muscarinic antagonists in terms of CV mortality as found in the other studies.

We have previously reported that the pathophysiology of COPD during exercise differs significantly among patients with different exercise capacities. Patients with lower exercise capacity, whose survival prognosis is extremely poor, suffer from sympathetic overactivation, severe gas exchange disorder, and ventilatory disturbance during daily activity. It is well known that enhanced sympathetic nerve activity, as evidenced by an increased plasma norepinephrine level, is deleterious for the pathophysiology of congestive heart failure. We hypothesized that use of tiotropium would be associated with sustained reduction in sympathetic activation during exercise, due to improvements in exertional dyspnea and exercise performance in patients with COPD. In addition, differences in pathophysiology during exercise between the two types of muscarinic antagonist are not well understood. Therefore, we conducted a randomized, 12-week, open-label (tiotropium: long-acting muscarinic antagonist, and oxitropium: short-acting muscarinic antagonist), crossover study using cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) to evaluate differences between the two drugs in the pathophysiology (especially in sympathetic activation and dynamic hyperinflation) during exercise in stable patients with COPD.

Methods

Subjects

Subjects included clinically stable outpatients with COPD aged ≥40 years, with a cigarette smoking history of ≥10 pack-years and postbronchodilator FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) <70% and FEV1 < 80% of the predicted value. Patients with the following conditions were excluded: (1) significant diseases other than COPD that could contribute to dyspnea and exercise limitation; (2) a history of asthma, allergic rhinitis, or atopy; (3) a blood eosinophil count of ≥600/µL; (4) treatment with an anti-allergic drug or anti-histamine drug; (5) change in the dose of steroid inhalation, oral β2 stimulant, theophylline preparation, or expectorant within 1 month prior to the screening test; (6) treatment with an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or change in the dose of an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor within 1 month prior to the screening test; (7) symptomatic glaucoma or prostatic hypertrophy; (8) tuberculosis (currently active) or definite sequel of tuberculosis; (9) recent history of carcinoma (within the past 5 years); (10) history of lung surgical resection; (11) important contraindications for clinical exercise testing; (12) exercise limitations not related to fatigue or exertional dyspnea; (13) recent onset of upper airway infection (within 1 month prior to the screening test); or (14) participation in a rehabilitation program for COPD within 6 weeks prior to the screening visit. Concomitant use of β-blockers was prohibited.

Concomitant respiratory medication was permitted if the patient’s condition was stable for at least 1 month prior to and throughout the duration of the study. Permitted medication included regular intake of the drugs required for the management of COPD, such as oral corticosteroids and inhaled corticosteroids, oral theophylline preparations, oral β-adrenergics and long-acting inhaled β-adrenergics, and mucolytic agents not containing bronchodilators. Inhaled corticosteroids, oral theophylline preparations, oral β-adrenergics, and long-acting inhaled β-adrenergics were washed out at least 24 hours prior to the pulmonary function testing days of Visit 2, Visit 3, and Visit 4. Open-label salbutamol (metered-dose inhaler: 100 µg · actuation−1) was provided as rescue medication during the run-in and treatment periods but was withheld for 12 hours prior to each visit. Medication not allowed for at least 1 month prior to the beginning of the run-in period and throughout the study period included the following: ipratropium bromide, all β-blockers, antileukotrienes, cromolyn sodium and nedocromil sodium, antihistamines (H1 receptor antagonists), tricyclic antidepressants, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors.

Study design

We conducted a randomized, 12-week, open-label, crossover study in 17 stable patients with COPD (women:men, 3:14,
68.7 ± 1.9 years). The research protocol used in this study was approved by the institutional review board of the National Hospital Organization Toneyama Hospital, Osaka, Japan, for experimentation on human subjects, and complies with the Declaration of Helsinki for studies involving humans. All patients gave their written informed consent before study enrolment.

During an initial screening visit (Visit 1), patients were assessed for their eligibility to participate in the study. At this visit, patients performed pulmonary function tests (body plethysmography, spirometry, and single-breath diffusing capacity). On Visit 2 (4 weeks after Visit 1), patients were randomized to 6-week treatment periods with either 18 µg tiotropium (Spiriva®; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany) or 0.2 mg oxtropium inhalation aerosol (Tersigan®; Boehringer Ingelheim). Tiotropium is a long-acting muscarinic antagonist and was taken once daily at 7:00 am. Oxitropium has a relatively short duration of action that requires administration every 6–8 hours, and was taken three times daily at 7:00 am, 2:00 pm, and 9:00 pm. Potential carryover effects from the first treatment are not relevant, due to the short-term effects of either drug treatment. On Visit 3 after the initial 6-week treatment, patients underwent the pulmonary function tests, symptom-limited incremental CPET performed as after the first treatment period (Assessment 2). After Assessment 1, the second 6-week intervention was performed. After the second 6-week treatment period, the same assessments were performed as after the first treatment period (Assessment 2). We compared all parameters between the tiotropium and oxtropium treatment groups. A schematic representation of the study design is shown in Figure 1.

Pulmonary function test and cardiopulmonary exercise testing

Pulmonary function data were measured for all subjects with an Autospirometer System 9 (Minato Medical Science, Tokyo, Japan) and CHESTAC-3000 DN (Chest, Tokyo, Japan) following the manufacturers’ recommendations.11 All spirometric tests were conducted in triplicate, and the highest measurements were used for subsequent analyses. On Visits 3 and 4, progressive increment CPET starting at 10 W and increasing by 10 W/2 minutes was performed by all patients first, and symptom-limited constant-load CPET (intensity at 75% of maximal work capacity) was then performed after resting for more than 30 minutes.9,12,13 CPET was discontinued when the subjects experienced marked breathlessness and/or leg fatigue. Expired gas data were collected breath by breath using a Vmax (Sensor Medics Corporation, Yorba Linda, CA). The following measurements were recorded as 30-second means: (1) “rest” was defined as the end of the 3-minute resting period; (2) exercise data were collected during the fourth 30-second period of each 2-minute interval of exercise; and (3) “peak” values were defined as the mean of the last 30 seconds of loaded exercise. Subjects rated the intensity of their breathing discomfort using the modified Borg scale at rest, within the last 10-second period of each 1-minute interval during exercise and at the end of the exercise.

During incremental CPET, arterial blood samples were drawn through an indwelling radial artery cannula placed under local anesthesia. These samples were taken before exercise when the patient was sitting, during the last 10 seconds of each 2-minute interval exercise stage, and at the end of the exercise. Arterial blood gases (arterial oxygen pressure and arterial carbon dioxide pressure), pH, and plasma lactate were measured using a blood gas analyzer (ABL-800; Radiometer Medical Aps, Tokyo, Japan) immediately after sampling. In addition, concentrations of arterial plasma catecholamines (norepinephrine and epinephrine) were measured using high-pressure liquid chromatography.

During constant-load CPET, an inspiratory capacity maneuver was performed at rest within the last 30-second period of each 2-minute interval exercise stage during exercise and at the end of exercise. Four main time points were used for the evaluation of exercise parameters: ie, pre-exercise rest, 2-minute exercise, submaximal isotime, and peak exercise. “Submaximal isotime” was defined as the end of the stage 2 minutes prior to the time of shorter peak exercise either during the period of tiotropium treatment or during the period of oxtropium treatment. Values at isotime were measured directly. Measured CPET parameters included heart rate (HR), respiratory frequency, tidal volume, minute ventilation (VE), oxygen uptake, ventilatory equivalent for oxygen and ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide,
and oxygen pulse. Maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV) was indirectly calculated as MVV (L/minute) = FEV\textsubscript{1.0} (L) × 35/minute. The dyspnea index (%) = [VE (L/minute) at peak exercise/][MVV (L/minute) × 100] and the predicted maximum HR (adult) = 220 – age (years).

### Dyspnea evaluation

The intensity of dyspnea was evaluated using the Borg scale during exercise testing.\textsuperscript{14} Before testing, the Borg scale was explained and its endpoints were anchored such that 0 indicated “no difficulty in breathing” and 10 represented “the most severe (maximal) difficulty in breathing that the subject had previously experienced or could imagine.” By pointing to the Borg scale, subjects rated dyspnea at rest, every minute during exercise, and at peak exercise. Immediately after exercise cessation and completion of mechanical measurements, subjects were asked the reason(s) for exercise termination (ie, dyspnea, leg fatigue, both, or other).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP Start Statistics (JMP\textsuperscript{®}6; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). Values in tables and text are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Bars in figures are expressed as mean ± standard error. Differences between the two groups were statistically analyzed using the crossover analysis of variance. Relationships between plasma norepinephrine levels and the other parameters obtained during CPET were assessed using simple and multiple (backward variable selection, P < 0.05) regression analyses. The results were considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. No correction for multiple testing was made.

### Results

Table 1 compares static parameters between the treatments with tiotropium and oxitropium. FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC were significantly (P = 0.003 and P = 0.002, respectively) greater in the tiotropium group than in the oxitropium group. The arterial oxygen pressure (P = 0.002) significantly improved during the treatment with tiotropium, although no differences were found in VE and oxygen uptake. There were no differences in the concentrations of norepinephrine or lactate between the groups at rest.

In the constant workload exercise tests, the endurance time was significantly longer in the tiotropium group, including five patients with shorter endurance time; however, the peak oxygen uptake was significantly smaller than that in the oxitropium group (Table 2 and Figure 2). The dyspnea index (peak VE/MVV) was also significantly (P = 0.002) lower in the tiotropium group. Borg scale scores at 2 minutes of exercise and submaximal isotime were significantly lower, and inspiratory capacity was significantly higher at 2 minutes of exercise and throughout exercise in the tiotropium group (Figure 3).

In incremental exercise testing, exercise time, carbon dioxide production, and VE at peak exercise were...
significantly higher in the tiotropium group than in the oxitropium group (Table 3 and Figure 4). However, the differences were relatively small. The exercise times were longer for four patients in the oxitropium group (in which three patients discontinued exercise due to leg fatigue). The Borg scale scores \( (P = 0.009) \) and concentrations of plasma norepinephrine \( (P = 0.015) \) were significantly lower at submaximal isotime and throughout exercise in the tiotropium group (Figure 5). No differences in VE, output of carbon dioxide, arterial lactate, arterial blood gases, oxygen uptake, or HR at submaximal isotime between the two groups were found in incremental exercise testing. Longer exercise time was significantly correlated with an increase in VE at peak exercise \( (r = 0.59, P = 0.015) \).

The difference in exercise time between the tiotropium and oxitropium groups \( (43.3 \pm 64.4 \text{ seconds}) \) was more strongly correlated with differences in VE \( (r = -0.72, P = 0.001) \), oxygen uptake \( (r = -0.76, P = 0.0004) \), arterial pH \( (r = 0.61, P = 0.0114) \), arterial norepinephrine level \( (r = -0.66, P = 0.0044) \), carbon dioxide production \( (r = -0.81, P < 0.0001) \), and arterial lactate level \( (r = -0.79, P < 0.0001) \) at submaximal isotime than with other parameters of pulmonary function or CPET in incremental exercise (Figure 6, Table 4). The increase in exercise time was caused by the decrease in oxygen uptake and VE for submaximal workload and the suppression of combined respiratory and lactic acidosis progression.

At submaximal isotime, this difference in plasma norepinephrine \( (-0.26 \pm 0.37 \text{ ng/mL}) \) was significantly correlated with differences between the two groups in respiratory frequency \( (r = 0.60, P = 0.0135) \), HR \( (r = 0.68, P = 0.0026) \), arterial pH \( (r = -0.64, P = 0.0063) \), VE \( (r = 0.66, P = 0.0042) \), oxygen uptake \( (r = 0.56, P = 0.0219) \), and carbon dioxide production \( (r = 0.62, P = 0.0101) \) (Figure 7, Table 5). The suppression of sympathetic activation resulted from the improvement of ventilatory efficiency, causing the reduction in oxygen uptake and HR.

Each of the patients was instructed to record daily his or her inhalation compliance and adverse events. Dry mouth was found in two cases of oxitropium treatment and in one
Table 3 Comparison of the parameters at peak exercise between treatments with tiotropium and oxitropium in incremental exercise testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Tiotropium</th>
<th>Oxitropium</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise time (s)</td>
<td>541 ± 227</td>
<td>498 ± 217</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake (mL/minute)</td>
<td>855 ± 287</td>
<td>821 ± 275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide production (mL/minute)</td>
<td>923 ± 362</td>
<td>889 ± 356</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake/weight (mL/kg/minute)</td>
<td>15.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>14.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen uptake (%)</td>
<td>63.8 ± 25.7</td>
<td>61.5 ± 24.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mEq/L)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (%)b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR/predicted maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/minute)</td>
<td>125 ± 17.8</td>
<td>122 ± 16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minute ventilation (L/minute)</td>
<td>34.4 ± 10.9</td>
<td>32.6 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea index (%)a</td>
<td>95.7 ± 19.2</td>
<td>102.0 ± 25.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR/predicted maximum</td>
<td>83.1 ± 12.4</td>
<td>81.6 ± 11.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea index (%)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine (ng/mL)</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: aDyspnea index (%) = (minute ventilation (L/minute) at peak exercise)/(maximal voluntary ventilation (L/minute) × 102); bpredicted maximum HR (adult) = 220 – age (years). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Abbreviations: HR, heart rate; PaCO2, arterial carbon dioxide pressure; PaO2, arterial oxygen pressure.

Discussion
We evaluated the differences in therapeutic effectiveness between tiotropium and oxitropium on pathophysiology during exercise in stable patients with COPD. On Visits 3 and 4 after a 6-week treatment period, patients underwent pulmonary function tests and incremental and constant-load CPET between 12:00 pm and 3:00 pm. The therapeutic effects of the two drugs were considered to be at steady state during this time of day, which was also well suited for evaluating their effectiveness in daily life. Compared with oxitropium, tiotropium provided more effective improvements in patient exercise performance as evaluated by both symptom-limited incremental and constant-load CPET using a cycle ergometer. The effect on endurance time using constant-load CPET was most beneficial and was associated with a reduction in lung dynamic hyperinflation and exertional dyspnea (Figure 3). These observations are consistent with those of previous studies that conducted comparisons with a placebo.6 Unfortunately, the concentration of norepinephrine could not be measured during the constant-load exercise, because of the complexity of combining arterial blood sampling and an inspiratory capacity maneuver at the same time during the exercise. In addition, the cost of arterial blood gas analyses and measurements of catecholamines was prohibitive.

We previously reported that arterial norepinephrine levels increased rapidly beyond the threshold, similar to the intensity of dyspnea, during incremental exercise in stable patients with COPD, regardless of their exercise capacity.9,10

In this study, Borg scale scores and plasma norepinephrine concentrations also increased in the same manner during incremental exercise. Exertional dyspnea and sympathetic activation (Borg scale scores: 3.1 ± 1.9, norepinephrine: 1.07 ± 0.36 ng/mL) significantly improved at the submaximal isotime and throughout exercise in the tiotropium group compared with those in the oxitropium group (Borg scale scores: 4.4 ± 2.4, norepinephrine: 1.34 ± 0.52 ng/mL) (Figure 5). This decrease in norepinephrine levels at the
submaximal isotime was significantly and negatively correlated with longer exercise time (Figure 6). Lactate concentrations also increased beyond the inflection point (lactic threshold) during exercise. However, no significant differences were found between plasma lactate concentrations at the submaximal isotime (tiotropium 2.84 ± 1.15 mEq/L vs oxitropium 2.75 ± 1.28 mEq/L) or at peak exercise. Overall, these findings indicate that tiotropium depressed sympathetic activation with improvement in dyspnea during exercise compared with oxitropium.

Whereas the CV safety of tiotropium has been questioned, results of the UPLIFT trial and a recent pooled analysis of data from 30 trials of tiotropium demonstrated that tiotropium is associated with reductions in the risk of all-cause mortality, CV mortality, and CV events. The selectivity for M3-over M2-receptors may also confer a clinical advantage. Accordingly, clinically significant treatment-related disorders of conduction or rhythm or changes in HR were not observed with tiotropium. From all these data, one may hypothesize that tiotropium will suppress the increase of sympathetic activation during exercise, as compared with the effect of oxitropium. A placebo-control study would have been more appropriate but could not be conducted due to certain ethical considerations. Short-acting anticholinergics have been confirmed to be effective for the management of COPD and are very popular in Japan.

“Submaximal isotime” was defined as the end of the stage 2 minutes prior to the shorter peak exercise, and represented the same intensity of workload. At this isotime, differences in several parameters, including reductions in VE, oxygen uptake, carbon dioxide production, and reduced progression of arterial acidosis, were significantly associated with improvements in exercise performance (Figure 6). The decreased ratios of oxygen uptake or carbon dioxide production versus power (W) likely indicate that the metabolic

Figure 5 Borg scale and concentration of plasma norepinephrine in incremental exercise testing.
Notes: *P = 0.009; **P = 0.015. Bars represent mean ± standard error.

Figure 6 The degree of difference in exercise time correlated with the differences in ventilation (D-VE), oxygen uptake (D-VO2), arterial pH (D-pH), norepinephrine (D-NE), carbon dioxide production (D-VCO2), and lactate (D-Lactate) at submaximal identical levels in incremental exercise.
efficiency of oxygen utilization in the muscles improved and then suppressed the progression of acidosis (respiratory and lactic), which induced ventilatory drive in the medullary respiratory center.15 The reduction in VE response to the same workload was clearly associated with those of oxygen uptake \((\text{the same workload was clearly associated with to lactic acidosis (Figure 7). In addition, it was negatively correlated with oxygen uptake, and carbon dioxide production at submaximal isotime. These diminished effects on VE and oxygen uptake response to the same workload during exercise, which differed in the tiotropium and oxitropium groups, were associated with suppression of sympathetic activation. The improvement in exercise time also correlated strongly with a decrease in oxygen uptake and sympathetic activation at submaximal isotime. These results suggest that the improvement in exercise tolerance was associated with a decrease in the oxygen uptake response to work rate during exercise, in addition to an increase in ventilatory capacity. This decrease in oxygen uptake response to work rate may be attributable to suppression of sympathetic activation and HR with symptomatic improvement.

In a previous study, COPD patients with severely reduced exercise capacity (peak oxygen uptake \(<654\ \text{mL/minute}\) demonstrated sympathetic overactivation at a low-grade workload (plasma norepinephrine level, \(1.4 \pm 0.94 \text{ng/mL at 20 W}\), equivalent to light activities of daily living.10 The sympathetic nerve activity, estimated by an increase in
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**Table 4** Analysis of contributing factors for the differences in exercise time between both groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing factors</th>
<th>Simple regression</th>
<th>Multiple regression (backward variable selection (P &lt; 0.05))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Minute ventilation (L/minute)</td>
<td>−10.970</td>
<td>(−17.033, −4.908)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Oxygen uptake (mL/minute)</td>
<td>−0.457</td>
<td>(−0.672, −0.241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Arterial pH</td>
<td>−0.409</td>
<td>(430.835, 3061.407)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Norepinephrine (ng/mL)</td>
<td>−111.811</td>
<td>(−185.165, −38.457)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Carbon dioxide production (mL/minute)</td>
<td>1746.121</td>
<td>(−0.573, −0.246)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Lactate (mEq/L)</td>
<td>−61.461</td>
<td>(−88.392, −34.530)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: D, difference.

![Figure 7](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 7** The difference in norepinephrine between the two groups correlated with the differences in respiratory frequency (D-RF), heart rate (D-HR), arterial pH (D-pH), minute ventilation (D-VE), oxygen uptake (D-VO2), and carbon dioxide (D-VCO2) production at submaximal identical levels in incremental exercise.
the arterial norepinephrine level/△VO₂ and the norepinephrine threshold during exercise, was significantly associated with survival time.¹⁶ We previously demonstrated that acceleration of the sympathetic nervous system during light exercise is a predictor of poor prognosis in patients with COPD.¹⁶ Additional results indicating marked sympathetic activation in patients with COPD have recently been obtained.¹⁷–¹⁹ However, the manner in which tiotropium contributes to risk reduction of CV mortality and CV events has been poorly understood. The results of this study suggest that suppression of sympathetic activation during daily life activities may be one mechanism resulting in a reduction in the risk of CV mortality and CV events.

### Conclusion

At the end of the 6-week treatment, tiotropium suppressed the increase of sympathetic activation during exercise, as compared with the effect of oxisuprine. This effect might be attributed to improvement in lung function and exercise capacity and a reduction in exertional dyspnea, which were associated with decreases in respiratory frequency and HR and reduced progression of arterial acidosis.
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### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing factors</th>
<th>Simple regression</th>
<th>Multiple regression (backward variable selection P &lt; 0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regression coefficient</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Respiratory frequency</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>(0.014, 0.112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Heart rate (beats/minute)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>(0.010, 0.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Arterial pH</td>
<td>−10.853</td>
<td>(−18.328, −3.374)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Minute ventilation (L/minute)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>(0.020, 0.094)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Oxygen uptake (mL/minute)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>(0.000, 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submax D-Carbon dioxide production (mL/minute)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>(0.000, 0.0003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: D, difference.
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