Clinical features and treatment of maturity onset diabetes of the young (MODY)
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Abstract: Maturity onset diabetes of the young (MODY) is a heterogeneous group of disorders that result in β-cell dysfunction. It is rare, accounting for just 1%–2% of all diabetes. It is often misdiagnosed as type 1 or type 2 diabetes, as it is often difficult to distinguish MODY from these two forms. However, diagnosis allows appropriate individualized care, depending on the genetic etiology, and allows prognostication in family members. In this review, we discuss features of the common causes of MODY, as well as the treatment and diagnosis of MODY.
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Introduction
Maturity onset diabetes of the young (MODY) was a term first used in the 1970s1,2 to describe inheritable diabetes distinct from type 1 (insulin-dependent) and type 2 (noninsulin-dependent) diabetes. In these initial reports, MODY patients displayed a familial form of noninsulin-dependent diabetes, which showed autosomal dominant inheritance and which typically presented before the age of 25 years. The molecular genetic basis of MODY was subsequently recognized in the 1990s,3–7 indicating that genetic mutations result in diabetes primarily through their effects on β-cell dysfunction. The clinical features of patients with MODY are now known to be heterogeneous, depending on the genetic etiology.

To date, there are mutations in at least nine different genes (Table 1) that result in the MODY phenotype, which accounts for approximately 1%–2% of patients diagnosed with diabetes.8 This prevalence is likely underestimated, since large population screening studies have not been performed. Depending on the genetic etiology, the different genetic subtypes differ in terms of age of onset, pattern of hyperglycemia, response to treatment, and extra-pancreatic manifestations. Thus, it would be more appropriate to use the correct monogenic names for young-onset diabetes, since MODY is not a single entity.

Mutations in the genes encoding the nuclear transcription factor 1 homeobox A (HNF1A), the hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 homeobox (HNF4A), and the enzyme glucokinase (GCK) are the most common causes of MODY, representing 52%, 10%, and 32% of MODY cases in the UK, respectively.9 The reported prevalence of these causes varies across countries due to differences in the frequency of screening for diabetes. For example, in countries where glucose is more frequently performed to screen for diabetes in asymptomatic individuals, GCK mutations (manifesting with mild hyperglycemia) are detected more often. As a consequence, GCK mutations predominate in countries...
The prevalence of diabetes in Asian patients.12 MODY testing, even though there is a significantly higher prevalence in other ethnicities may be underrepresented, which is omitted outside the honeymoon period (typically 5 years following the diagnosis of diabetes)). These features are atypical for type 1 diabetes, thus increasing the probability of monogenic diabetes. However, in those with apparent type 2 diabetes, the absence of insulin resistance features (a lack of obesity, the absence of acanthosis nigricans, normal triglyceride levels, or elevated or normal high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]) can indicate the presence of monogenic β-cell forms of diabetes.

There are distinct phenotypes in clinical presentation, and these are dependent on the genetic etiology. The various genes involved, and the associated clinical features, are described and then summarized in Table 1.

**Genes involved in MODY**

**HNF1A**

Mutations in the HNF-1α (or HNF1A) gene are the most common cause of MODY in the UK,14 and they are a common cause of MODY in other European countries, such as Germany,15 Finland and Sweden,16 Italy,17 and Spain.18 Mutations in HNF1A have also been described in the Canadian,19 North American,20 Japanese,21 and Chinese 22 populations.

The HNF1A gene consists of ten exons and studies of HNF1A knockout animals show a reduction in the key steps of glucose transport and metabolism.23 In β-cell lines, mitochondrial metabolism also appears to be reduced.24,25 These HNF1A mutations demonstrate a high penetrance,
with 63% of carriers developing diabetes by 25 years of age, 79% by 35 years of age, and 96% by 55 years of age. The age at diagnosis is partly determined by the location of the mutation: those with mutations in the terminal exons (8–10) are diagnosed on average 8 years earlier than those with mutations in exons 1–6.26

Typically, patients heterozygous for HNF1A mutations present in adolescence, or early adult life, with progressive β-cell failure and increasing hyperglycemia. In fact, β-cell dysfunction is seen to occur before the onset of diabetes in HNF1A mutation carriers. Even when blood glucose is in the normal range, HNF1A mutation carriers are seen to have a lower insulinogenic index and a lower early insulin response when compared to non-mutation carriers in the same family.27 This is clear when the individuals undergo an oral glucose tolerance test, with the 2-hour glucose level frequently being more than 6 mmol/L higher than the fasting level, even when the fasting glucose level is less than 6 mmol/L.28 Another feature of this group of patients is a low renal threshold for glucose. Glycosuria has been observed in young nondiabetic HNF1A mutation carriers.27 This is thought to be due to a reduced expression of the sodium-glucose transporter-2, reducing glucose re-absorption through the proximal tubule.29

Due to its progressive nature, those with HNF1A mutations are at considerable risk of microvascular and macrovascular complications,30,31 similar to those with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. The frequency of hypertension appears to be similar to type 1 diabetes.30 Raised, rather than low, HDL-C levels are observed in HNF1A MODY patients, which is potentially a useful feature for distinguishing between MODY and type 2 diabetes.32

### Treatment

Another important distinguishing feature of HNF1A mutations is that afflicted individuals are extremely sensitive to the hypoglycemic effects of sulphonylureas.33–35 A randomized cross-over trial, which assessed glycemic responses to the sulphonylurea gliclazide and the metformin, compared patients with HNF1A mutations and type 2 diabetes, who were matched for fasting glucose and body mass index.36 While the effects of metformin and gliclazide were similar in those with type 2 diabetes, there was a fivefold greater response to gliclazide than metformin in those with HNF1A mutations. In addition, the response to gliclazide was fourfold greater in those individuals than in type 2 diabetes patients, while the response to metformin remained similar in both groups.

This finding has large implications, particularly for those previously misdiagnosed with type 1 diabetes, as they may be able to discontinue insulin therapy and be treated with sulphonylureas without risk of ketoacidosis,37 even after insulin treatment for a mean of 20 years. A further report, where 34 patients were taken off insulin and given sulphonylurea after a diagnosis of HNF1A MODY, showed that 24 of 34 patients were able to remain off insulin for over 3 years, with no deterioration in glycemic control.38 Nateglinide has been used in 15 patients with HNF1A MODY, suggesting that prandial secretagogues may be a useful alternative.39 Although control may be maintained for many years, most patients eventually progress to insulin treatment.

### GCK

GCK mutations are another common cause of MODY, and those with heterozygous mutations demonstrate mild, stable fasting hyperglycemia (5.5–8.0 mmol/L) that shows little deterioration with age. Patients are generally asymptomatic, and hyperglycemia is commonly discovered during routine screening, for example during pregnancy or through insurance medicals.

GCK catalyzes the rate-limiting step of glucose phosphorylation, thus enabling the β-cell and the hepatocyte to respond appropriately to the degree of glycemia.40 Heterozygous inactivating mutations reset the glucose threshold for the insulin secretion being regulated to a higher level, producing a higher fasting glucose level.41 The insulin production is adequate; therefore, the glucose levels in these individuals rapidly return to normal when they are given an oral glucose load. Most patients with mutations in the GCK have a small increase in plasma glucose (<3 mmol/L in 70% of patients) 2 hours after an oral glucose load.42

The majority of patients with MODY due to GCK mutations maintain glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c level) below 8%,42 and observational studies suggest that these patients do not develop diabetes-related microvascular complications, despite exposure to hyperglycemia over an average period of 50 years.43,44 Although there are little data on long-term macrovascular complications, one larger cohort study seems to suggest there is no increase in the prevalence of dyslipidemia or hypertension in these patients, which raises the possibility that their macrovascular risk may not be significantly elevated.43

### Treatment

Given the mild hyperglycemia, the absence of long-term microvascular complications, and the observation that
treatment has little effect on glycaemia in this group of patients,\textsuperscript{45} the general consensus is that the majority of these patients do not require treatment.\textsuperscript{46} The only exception is during pregnancy, in which insulin may be required to prevent excess fetal growth.\textsuperscript{47} This is also dependent on whether the fetus has inherited the \textit{GCK} mutation (50\% chance). If it does not inherit the same \textit{GCK} mutation as the mother, it will respond to maternal hyperglycaemia by producing more insulin, resulting in excess growth. Conversely, if it does inherit the \textit{GCK} mutation, it will produce normal amounts of insulin, despite the higher level of glycaemia, and thus growth will be normal. The glucose-sensing threshold for insulin production is upregulated, such that if a person with the \textit{GCK} mutation is given exogenous insulin, endogenous insulin secretion is lowered so that the glucose is maintained at their homeostatic set point. Thus treatment with insulin in this situation requires higher than replacement doses to lower the fasting glucose.\textsuperscript{47}

**HNF4A**

While \textit{HNF1A} and \textit{GCK} mutations are the most common mutations encountered in monogenic diabetes, \textit{HNF4A} mutations are much less common, reportedly representing 2\%–5\% of all MODY cases.\textsuperscript{48–50} They present in a similar manner to \textit{HNF1A} carriers, with progressive \(\beta\)-cell dysfunction, and many patients develop diabetes by the age of 25.

The detection of \textit{HNF4A} mutation carriers amongst those presenting with type 2 diabetes may be difficult. Useful clinical features include the presence of a family history of diabetes, in particular when onset is before the age of 40, and the absence of insulin resistance markers or obesity in an individual with young-onset type 2 diabetes.\textsuperscript{51} Using these criteria, and excluding those with features suggestive of \textit{GCK} deficiency, \textit{HNF4A} mutations have been found to be as high as 10\%–29\% amongst those negative for \textit{HNF1A} mutations.\textsuperscript{51,52} \textit{HNF4A} mutations should be considered when \textit{HNF1A} analysis does not detect a mutation, but the clinical features are strongly suggestive of \textit{HNF1A} mutations.\textsuperscript{53}

\textit{HNF4A} mutation carriers may also have a lower serum HDL-C, possibly due to reduced ApoA2 transcription,\textsuperscript{51} resulting in lipid profiles not dissimilar to those with type 2 diabetes. In addition, \textit{HNF4A} mutation carriers tend to have a higher birth weight (mean 800 g greater), with 56\% having macrosomia.Transient neonatal hypoglycaemia (15\% of mutation carriers) may precede the onset of diabetes at a later age.\textsuperscript{54} This is thought to reflect \textit{HNF4A}-induced hyper-insulinism during fetal and neonatal life, with a switch to defective insulin secretion later in life. The mechanism for this remains unclear.

**Treatment**

As \(\beta\)-cell dysfunction is progressive, treatment is required, and low-dose sulphonylureas (12.5\% or less of the maximum licensed dose) appear to be effective for \textit{HNF4A} diabetes,\textsuperscript{51} which is similar to the response seen with \textit{HNF1A} diabetes. In fact, treatment efficacy with sulphonylureas appears to be sustained even after three decades.\textsuperscript{55} Similar to those with \textit{HNF1A} mutations, the homeostatic model assessment analysis suggested a marked \(\beta\)-cell defect in these subjects, but no reduction in insulin sensitivity.

**Extra-pancreatic features and other etiologies**

There are several rarer mutations resulting in diabetes-related extra-pancreatic features. Among this group of disorders, one of the more common mutations is in hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 homeobox B (\textit{HNF1B}).

**HNF1B**

\textit{HNF1B} is encoded by the \textit{TCF2} gene and plays a role in the tissue-specific regulation of gene expression in various organs, such as the liver, kidney, intestines, and pancreatic islets, thus influencing their embryonic development.\textsuperscript{56} Patients can develop renal disease, characterized by renal cysts, renal dysplasia, renal-tract malformations, or hypoplastic glomerulocystic kidney disease.\textsuperscript{57} Renal involvement appears to be heterogeneous with a tubulointerstitial profile at presentation, and slowly progressive renal decline throughout adulthood in the absence of diabetic nephropathy. In addition, pancreatic atrophy, genital tract abnormalities in females, and abnormal liver levels have been observed in afflicted individuals.\textsuperscript{58–60} Birth weight is reduced by 800 g due to reduced insulin secretion in utero.\textsuperscript{61} About half of the \textit{HNF1B} mutation carriers present with early-onset diabetes, which is similar to those with \textit{HNF1A} mutations.\textsuperscript{62} Importantly, spontaneous de novo mutations occur relatively frequently; thus, testing for \textit{HNF1B} mutation should not be discouraged by the absence of a family history of renal disease or diabetes.\textsuperscript{57}

**Other causes of diabetes with extra-pancreatic features**

These are extremely rare and include the Wolfram syndrome, also known as the DIDMOAD (diabetes insipidus, diabetes mellitus, optic atrophy, and deafness) syndrome,
the thiamine-responsive megaloblastic anemia syndrome, and maternally inherited diabetes with deafness. The latter disorder is due to mutations within the mitochondrial genome, resulting in manifestations in metabolically active organs. In particular, in the case of diabetes, mitochondrial dysfunction in the pancreatic islets leads to abnormal β-cell function, reduction in β-cell mass, and insulin deficiency. Afflicted individuals can manifest with mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes. Although treatment with dietary modification and oral antidiabetic agents may help initially, insulin will usually be required eventually. Metformin is usually avoided due to the theoretical risk of exacerbating lactic acidosis.

Other etiologies
Mutations in other genes (predominantly transcription factors), such as neurogenic differentiation 1 (NEUROD1) and paired box 4 (PAX4), are exceedingly rare causes of MODY; thus, limited data is available. Mutations in the gene encoding the enzyme carboxyl ester lipase have been shown to result in both diabetes and pancreatic exocrine dysfunction.

Why is the diagnosis of MODY important?
Although the diagnosis of MODY is rare, and constitutes only a small proportion of the people afflicted with diabetes, it has important implications. For the individual, it informs optimal treatment options in some instances (eg, the efficacy of sulphonylureas in HNF1A and HNF4A mutations) and obviates the need for treatment in others (in the case of mild hyperglycemia in GCK mutations). It also allows the future course of the illness to be predicted. It can guide management for the next generation (as in the case of a pregnant patient). Furthermore, once the mutation in the index case is known, this information can be used to quickly and readily confirm the diagnosis in affected family members.

Interestingly, common variants in several genes involved in MODY are associated with an increased risk for developing type 2 diabetes. In recent meta-analyses conducted on type 2 diabetes in East Asians and South Asians, HNF4A single nucleotide polymorphisms were found to be associated with increased risk for type 2 diabetes. Similarly, an insulin (INS) common variant has also been implicated in increased type 2 diabetes risk. Elucidating the pathophysiology behind monogenic forms of diabetes can help unravel some of the mysteries underlying the pathogenesis of the more common type 2 diabetes.

How is MODY diagnosed?
MODY is diagnosed through sequencing of the suspected gene, and detecting a mutation. However, molecular genetic testing is expensive and not widely available. It is also evident from the description of the various MODY phenotypes that the clinical spectrum is extremely varied and has significant overlap with both common types of diabetes, making it a challenge to identify MODY patients. As a consequence, many patients with MODY remain undiagnosed. A targeted selection of individuals for genetic testing is necessary to improve the yield of diagnosis, particularly in situations where there are limited resources.

How can we identify patients for testing using clinical criteria?
Individuals with a strong family history of diabetes, presenting from the second to the fifth decade, should prompt further assessment. The best clinical practice guidelines produced in 2008 advocate assessment for MODY, particularly when the age of presentation for diabetes is <25 years old. The next step would be to look for features inconsistent with the diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (insulin independence, persistently detectable C-peptide, and negative antibody status) or type 2 diabetes (lack of insulin resistance and its markers). Even using these criteria, the detection of MODY is low.

Specific features may increase the likelihood of certain genetic defects and improve the cost-effectiveness of targeted molecular diagnostic testing. This will hopefully improve detection rates for this currently under-diagnosed condition. For example, a low renal threshold (glycosuria at blood glucose levels <10 mmol/L) or a large increase of >5 mmol/L on OGTT may raise the suspicion of a HNF1A mutation. Recently, genome-wide association studies have found that common variants mapping near the HNF1A gene are associated with small alterations in serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels in healthy individuals. The presence of HNF1A binding sites on the CRP promoter, and the loss of CRP expression resulting from a loss of HNF1A binding, further supports the hypothesis that HNF1A expression and binding influences CRP expression. Motivated by this finding, high-sensitivity CRP was studied and found to be significantly lower in individuals with HNF1A gene mutations. This has since been replicated in larger cohorts. In combination with clinical criteria, the use of high-sensitivity CRP has been shown to greatly improve sensitivity in the detection of HNF1A MODY (up to 90%), without much loss of specificity. As another example, mutations in the GCK gene result in mild fasting hyperglycemia or a small
increment of <3 mmol/L of glucose on the OGTT. However, it is clear that many MODY individuals remain undiagnosed, or do not fulfill the criteria for referral.

Conclusion
MODY is a genetically and clinically heterogeneous group of conditions. Its identification remains a challenge for physicians, and the condition is largely underdiagnosed. Yet diagnosis has important implications for the individual, allowing individualized care to be tailored to the underlying genetic causes, and it provides information about the natural history of the disease in individuals. Knowledge of these rare causes of diabetes, the use of additional clinical clues, and other biomarkers will hopefully improve its detection rates, allowing appropriate care and advice to be given to the afflicted person and their family members.
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