Treatment of premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) with a novel formulation of drospirenone and ethinyl estradiol
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\textbf{Abstract:} Premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) is a severe form of premenstrual syndrome (PMS). Pharmacologic options studied for treating severe PMS and PMDD may include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, anxiolytic agents, gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists and the diuretic spironolactone. However, the use of combined oral contraceptives (COC) may be a therapeutic option in treating PMS and PMDD. The combination of drospirenone with ethinylestradiol (EE/drospirenone) was approved for marketing as an oral contraceptive in Europe and the United States. The preparation is characterized by a high contraceptive efficacy in combination with excellent cycle control, good tolerability, and a favourable impact on lipid and glucose metabolism. Recently, some placebo-controlled, randomized studies have tested clinical efficacy and tolerability of this COC in the treatment of PMDD. The aim of the present review was to elucidate the possible benefits or disadvantages of PMDD treatment with this novel formulation of EE/drospirenone. The results of trials evaluating the use of EE/drospirenone combination in the treatment of PMDD are encouraging but further studies are needed. However, the reported clinical efficacy and the relative good tolerability of EE/drospirenone may contribute to widen the therapeutic spectrum of PMDD.
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\textbf{Introduction}

Premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) is a severe form of premenstrual syndrome (PMS). The essential symptoms are markedly depressed mood, appreciable anxiety, pronounced affective swings, and decreased interest in activities. For a precise definition of the syndrome these symptoms should have regularly occurred during the last week of the luteal phase in most menstrual cycles during the past year. They should also remit within a few days of the onset of menses (follicular phase) and are always absent in the week following menses (Endicott et al 1999). A change in symptoms from the follicular to the luteal phase of at least 50% is suggested for the diagnosis of PMDD (Steiner and Born 2000).

While majority of women regularly experience some degree of symptomatology during the premenstrual or late luteal phase of their reproductive cycles some menstruating women meet diagnostic criteria for PMDD (Johnson et al 1988). Early descriptions of premenstrual syndrome by Frank (1931) have now evolved into a diagnostic category that has striking similarities to major depression. The diagnostic criteria for PMDD are found in the appendix of the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4th ed. (DSM-IV) (APA 1994) and are also listed in the text as “depressive disorder not otherwise specified.”
Epidemiologically, PMDD seems to be less common than PMS, with 12-month prevalence rates of 3%-8% (Angst et al 2001; Wittchen et al 2002), and differs from PMS in that mood symptoms predominate over somatic complaints, overall symptoms are severe, and functional impairment is pronounced (Steiner and Born 2000). However, the diagnosis (and conversely the differential diagnosis) may be not so simple. As specified, a diagnosis of PMDD requires documentation with prospective charting of mood, behavioural, and physical symptoms for at least two consecutive menstrual cycles in order to establish the cyclic nature of symptoms and to exclude a premenstrual exacerbation of an underlying psychiatric or organic disorder (Frackiewicz and Shiovitz 2001).

Some instruments may help the diagnostic process and are used in clinical trials; these include the daily record of severity of problems (DRSP), the premenstrual record of impact and severity of menstruation (PRISM), the calendar of premenstrual experiences (COPE), the daily symptom report (DSR) and the use of visual analogue scales (VAS) (Futterman and Rapkin 2006). As an alternative to formal rating scales, patients may keep an informal diary of symptoms throughout the month (Steiner et al 1999).

Pharmacologic options studied for treating severe PMS and PMDD may include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), anxiolytics, gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists and the diuretic spironolactone. The US food and drug administration (FDA) (2002) has labelled fluoxetine (Sarafem), sertraline (Zoloft) and paroxetine (Paxil CR) for the treatment of PMDD. The ACOG (2000) recommends SSRIs as initial drug therapy in women with severe PMS and PMDD (Evidence level C, expert/consensus guidelines). To date, SSRIs are considered to be the gold-standard in the treatment of this disorder (Freeman 2004).

Concerning premenstrual symptoms, Foidart and colleagues (2000) reported that they were lower in women using drosperone/30EE compared with desogestrel/ethinylestradiol. In addition, Apter and colleagues (2003) conducted an open study of 336 women to evaluate the actions of 30EE/drospirenone on fluid-related symptoms during the luteal phase of the cycle and the effects of these symptoms on overall wellbeing. The use of EE/drospirenone was associated with a significant reduction in the incidence and severity of the abdominal bloating and breast tenderness associated with the menstrual cycle as well as a positive effect on psychological well-being as measured by the Psychological General Well Being Index. These findings were also confirmed later by Sangthawan and Taneepanichskul (2005).

More recently, Schultz-Zehden and Boschitsch (2006) conducted an uncontrolled survey of 10947 women using the EE/drospirenone in 15 European countries and found that the severity of premenstrual symptoms including depressed mood, irritability, breast tenderness or pain, abdominal bloating or swelling, skin and hair problems, and swelling of the extremities all improved during treatment with the 30EE/drospirenone. Moreover, 10441...
(95%) respondents were satisfied or very satisfied with the EE/drospirenone and 9016 (82%) would recommend it to a friend.

**Rationale for COCs treatment of PMDD**

The pathophysiology of severe PMS and PMDD is closely linked to an active hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis (Lobo and Stanczyk 1994). There is a clear relationship between the presence of PMS/PMDD symptomatology and the levels of sex steroids associated with ovulation (Freeman 2002). The menstrual cyclicity of the ovarian hormones is most likely the trigger for the psychological as well as the somatic premenstrual symptoms. PMS and PMDD do not occur during pregnancy, premenarchally or in post menopausal women who are not receiving hormone replacement therapy, or for the most part during spontaneously anovulatory cycles (Rapkin 2003). Moreover, Casper and Hearn (1990) have showed that symptoms of severe PMS may resolve with oopherectomy. So, researchers’ attention has thereby focused on ovulation suppression with COCs for the treatment of PMS/PMDD.

The decreasing levels of ovarian steroid hormones in the late luteal phase of the menstrual cycle may be accounted as one of probable cause of PMDD as it has been demonstrated that decreases in estrogens levels after ovulation may correlate with depressed mood during the late luteal phase (Watson et al 1990; Michener et al 1999).

Moreover, it has been demonstrated that estrogen has also been found to increase serotonergic activity by increasing the number of serotonergic receptors, transport, and uptake (Halbreich et al 1995). As it is well known that serotonin levels may influence the mood, low estrogens levels may further explain the depressed mood seen in women with PMDD.

Concerning progesterone, although decreased levels were a long-standing hypotheses in PMDD (Dalton 1964), the majority of controlled trials have failed to find that progesterone is superior to placebo in reducing premenstrual symptoms (Freeman et al 1995). In fact, the rationale for progesterone therapy in PMDD is not supported by studies of gonadal hormone levels in this disorder because they have showed a relative lack of consistency in results. In fact, whereas it has been reported that low progesterone levels may be present during the luteal phase (Wang et al 1996), some studies showed instead increased progesterone in this phase (Redei and Freeman 1994; Watts et al 1985) or no differences in PMDD women versus controls (Parry et al 1991; Girdler et al 1993). Thus, despite the overwhelming evidence for an obligatory role of the gonadal hormones in the pathophysiology of PMDD, it is generally agreed that neither a deficiency nor excess in progesterone levels is etiologically relevant to the disorder (Rubinow and Schmidt 2006).

Conversely, it has been reported that progesterone-derived neuroactive steroid allopregnanolone may be involved in the pathogenesis of PMDD (Wang et al 1996). Allopregnanolone is a metabolite of progesterone and is produced not only by ovary and adrenals but also de novo in brain (Paul and Purdy 1992). Girdler and colleagues (2001) observed a relationship between premenstrual symptom severity and allopregnanolone levels in the PMDD women. The results of this study showed a dysregulation of allopregnanolone in PMDD and suggested that allopregnanolone may negatively modulate the HPG-axis in human female subjects as it does in animals, and that allopregnanolone levels in PMDD women may influence severity of premenstrual symptoms also through GABA-A brain receptors. Moreover, has been reported that the allopregnanolone has the ability to cause augmentation of allopregnanolone in PMDD and suggested that allopregnanolone may negatively modulate the HPG-axis in human female subjects as it does in animals, and that allopregnanolone levels in PMDD women may influence severity of premenstrual symptoms also through GABA-A brain receptors. Moreover, has been reported that the allopregnanolone has the ability to cause augmentation of GABA(A)-mediated inhibition of 5-HT neurons of dorsal raphe nucleus (Stromberg et al 2005; Kaura et al 2006). As COCs may regulate allopregnanolone levels (Rapkin et al 2006), these compounds may be useful to treat psychic symptoms associated with PMDD (Sangthawan and Taneeepanichskul 2005). However, COCs may act also on other neuroactive steroids such as pregnenolone sulphate (PS) and dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEAS) that are in general GABA-A receptor antagonists (Paoletti et al 2004; Dubrovsky 2005).

Taken together, these findings may suggest that a possible mechanism involved in the pathogenesis of PMDD may be rather related to a hormonal ratio imbalance, and not to hormone fluctuation (Halbreich et al 1986). In fact, a relative higher level of estrogens compared to relative low levels of progesterone may account for triggering PMDD symptomatology. Halbreich and colleagues (1986) found a significant association between the rate of change of progesterone (increase and decrease) and to a lesser degree, rate of change of estrogens, and severity of symptoms. When progesterone and estrogens fluctuated in different rates there was a significant correlation between the difference of rate and severity of symptoms.

So, the use of COCs in the treatment of PMDD may restore balanced hormone levels leading to the therapeutic success (Rapkin et al 2006).
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Treatment of PMDD with EE/drospirenone combination

In the recent years, only few placebo-controlled studies have examined the efficacy of the OC formulation containing EE/drospirenone in the treatment of PMDD.

Freeman and colleagues (2001) conducted a double-blind placebo-controlled trial that included 82 women with PMDD who were randomized to EE 30 µg/drospirenone 3 mg (Yasmin®) (n = 42) or placebo (n = 40). Diagnoses were made according with DSM-IV criteria and the primary end point was change from baseline in luteal phase symptom scores as assessed on the COPE scale. The EE/drospirenone combination was observed to have a positive effect on symptoms of PMDD, with the between-group differences reaching statistical significance in appetite, food cravings, and acne (P = 0.03). Moreover, the secondary end points, Beck Depression Inventory and Profile of Mood States, were consistent with the primary end point in that patients treated with the oral contraceptive and showed a numerically greater improvement from baseline compared with those treated with placebo.

Recently, the availability of a low-dose oral contraceptive with drospirenone (EE 20 µg/drospirenone 3 mg – Yasminelle®, Yaz®) stimulated researchers to verify its potential efficacy in the treatment of PMDD. In this novel formulation, the active hormones were administered for 24 consecutive days (24/4) rather than the conventional 21 days in a 28-day cycle (21/7). This new treatment platform is associated with greater suppression of follicle development and a more stable hormonal status than the standard 21/7 regimen. Therefore, together with the reported efficacy of spironolactone for premenstrual symptoms, the follicular suppression found with a 24/4 regimen as well as the lower estrogens dose may be effective in the treatment of premenstrual symptoms.

Pearlstein and colleagues (2005), in a small, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study, administered EE 20 µg/drospirenone 3 mg formulation or placebo for 24 days in a 28-day cycle (24/4), rather than the usual 21-day active treatment, to 64 women who were randomized to either study treatment for three cycles and then after a washout period of one treatment-free cycle switched to the alternate treatment. They observed that the mean decrease from baseline for total DRSP scores while using EE/drospirenone was signifi cantly greater than for placebo with a positive response (ie, a score of 1 or 2 in the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement scale) occurring in 61.7% and 31.8% of subjects while taking EE/drospirenone and placebo, respectively. They concluded that EE/drospirenone, given in a 24/4 regimen, was superior to placebo for improving symptoms associated with PMDD.

In the same period, Yonkers and colleagues (2005) studied 450 women with symptoms of premenstrual dysphoric disorder randomized to either placebo or COC formulation containing EE 20 µg/drospirenone 3 mg. This multicenter, double-blind, randomized clinical trial consisted of 2 run-in and 3 treatment cycles with daily symptom charting with DRSP. At endpoint, the total DRSP symptom score in subjects receiving EE/drospirenone decreased by 47% compared to 38% of placebo group. Moreover, overall improvement according to the Clinical Global Impression-Improvement observer-rated scale was greater for subjects receiving active treatment than for those in the placebo group. In conclusion, this placebo-controlled, double-blind study showed that the new drospirenone-containing COC formulation administered for 24 of 28 days in a cycle ameliorates symptoms associated with PMDD.

Adverse effects in clinical trials with drospirenone/EE combination in PMDD

The EE/drospirenone combination was generally well tolerated in all studies.

In the Pearlstein and colleagues (2005) trial, 28 (48.2%) subjects using EE/drospirenone experienced adverse effects related to the study drug. The most frequent were nausea, upper respiratory infection, headache, intermenstrual bleeding, breast pain, nervousness and asthenia. The discontinuation rate due to adverse effects in the active treatment group was 13%. One subject discontinued due to an increased serum potassium levels during washout phase but authors classified this event as “not likely to be study related.”

In the trial of Yonkers and colleagues (2005) adverse events considered by investigators possibly related to the study drug occurred in 118 (51.1%) subjects using EE/drospirenone. The most frequent were intermenstrual bleeding, headache, nausea and breast pain. There was also one severe adverse event (dysplasia) considered by the investigator to be possibly related to study drug in the active-treatment group. 35 subjects (29.7%) in the active treatment group discontinued due to adverse events, but no significant difference in serum potassium levels between active and placebo groups during the treatment period was reported.
Conclusions
The results of trials evaluating the use of EE/drospirenone combination in the treatment of PMDD are encouraging but further studies are needed. In addition, a burgeoning body of literature has emerged that supports the role of the SSRIs as first-line treatment of PMDD and severe PMS. Moreover, further complicating the issue is the fact that many somatic symptoms of PMDD such as breast tenderness, headache, bloating, and nausea can also be adverse effects of some oral contraceptive pills including EE/drospirenone.

So, in the authors’ opinion, to date, the EE/drospirenone should be taken into consideration not as a first-line treatment but should be reserved to SSRI-resistant subjects. In particular, future large, double-blind, randomized studies should be evaluate a comparison between SSRI and EE/drospirenone in the treatment of PMDD to verify efficacy and tolerability of these two therapeutic options.

However, the clinical efficacy and the relative good tolerability of EE/drospirenone may be useful to widen the therapeutic spectrum of PMDD.
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