Evaluation of the surgical learning curve for I-125 episcleral plaque placement for the treatment of posterior uveal melanoma: a two decade review

Nisha V Shah¹
Samuel K Houston¹
Timothy G Murray¹,²
Arnold M Markoe²
¹Department of Ophthalmology, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, ²Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

Purpose: To evaluate the surgical learning curve in episcleral plaque brachytherapy placement in the management of posterior uveal melanoma.

Methods: A retrospective chart review of two cohorts of 250 consecutive patients undergoing plaque placement for posterior uveal melanoma from 2002 to 2004 and from 2008 to 2009 was conducted. The plaque–tumor apposition rates verified by intraoperative echography were evaluated and correlated with surgical volume over a 19-year period.

Results: In an initial study of 29 consecutive patients undergoing plaque placement from January 1992 to January 1995, a suboptimal plaque placement rate of 21% (n = 29) was identified. This percentage declined to 12% (n = 100) from January 2002 to January 2004, and further declined to 4% (n = 150) from June 2008 to August 2009. The tumor–plaque apposition rates for these three groups were 79% (1992–1995), 88% (2002–2004), and 96% (2008–2009). An estimated surgical volume of 1275 cases was performed to achieve a >90% precision rate for first application of primary plaque centration.

Conclusion: There are challenges to mastering the precise placement of radioactive plaques for posterior uveal melanoma. We have demonstrated a significant learning curve for plaque placement techniques, and have emphasized the importance of intraoperative ultrasound in the verification of plaque placement, thus allowing for intraoperative repositioning.
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Introduction

Surgical proficiency and the statistical evaluation of the individual surgeon’s learning curve have been of interest in multiple specialties, including orthopedic surgery, neurosurgery, otorhinolaryngology, and cardiovascular surgery, typically by utilizing quantifiable precision outcomes.¹-⁴ The value of standardizing such outcomes, as measures of surgical competence to ultimately develop predictive models of surgical learning curves in training residents, has been addressed by authorities such as the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) and the American Board of Ophthalmology.⁵ It is evident that surgeon experience improves outcomes and that volume of cases is one of the most important factors for establishing the length of the learning curve,¹-⁴ or to determine “time to mastery”, which we defined as the predicted number of hours to attain >90% precision rates for first application of plaque placement. In ocular surgery, for instance, the number of cases required to achieve proficiency for phacoemulsification was found to be 75, while the number of scleral buckling operations found to achieve clinically stable results was 30.⁶,⁷ Numerous other studies have explored mastery of ocular surgical techniques, especially...
in phacoemulsification, micro-incisional surgery, primary vitrectomy, glaucoma surgery, and LASIK.\textsuperscript{3–14} Other fields have reported learning curves for accurate placement of prosthetic devices,\textsuperscript{15} and the contributory role of imaging to evaluate optimal device placement.\textsuperscript{16–18}

Tumors treated with iodine-125 (I-125) plaque brachytherapy require an accurate placement typically including a minimum of 2 mm border around the tumor.\textsuperscript{19,20} Techniques to facilitate accurate plaque placement include a modified fiberoptic light source combined with indirect ophthalmoscopy, and postoperative imaging studies with MRI or ultrasound.\textsuperscript{21–24} Currently, the most effective way to test for adequate plaque margins is intraoperative echography, used in conjunction with transillumination and indirect ophthalmoscopy.\textsuperscript{20,24,25} Using such imaging modalities helps overcome the challenges in tumor localization, especially for posterior and juxtapapillary tumors,\textsuperscript{22} and allows the assessment and location of various structures lying close to the tumor, such as the optic nerve.\textsuperscript{24} Intraoperative echography also allows for the determination of the degree of displacement and the direction of displacement during adjustment. Furthermore, the real time nature of intraoperative diagnosis allows for immediate repositioning of the plaque as needed.\textsuperscript{25}

This study tracks the reposition rates over a 19-year time span divided into three major periods or groups. Group 1 includes data published from Bascom Palmer Eye Institute (Miami, FL) in 1996 by Harbour et al.\textsuperscript{20} which included 29 consecutive patients with medium-sized posterior uveal melanoma undergoing I-125 plaque placement by a single surgeon. This study showed that 4/29 (14%) plaques did not cover at least one tumor margin and 2/29 (7%) were displaced away from the sclera due to the optic nerve or other structures. A total of 6/29 (21%) plaques required repositioning, achieving a precise first placement position rate of 79%. A larger series during this time period (1992–1998), also from our institution, looked at choroidal tumors of medium size, and demonstrated similar satisfactory tumor–plaque apposition rates of 76% ($n = 117$).\textsuperscript{26} The current study addresses the learning curve (time to mastery) associated with I-125 plaque placement in the management of uveal melanoma as a measurable determinant of surgical proficiency.

Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine. Clinical records including operative reports were reviewed on 250 patients undergoing plaque placement for posterior uveal melanomas by one of the authors (TGM) at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute. Group 1 consisted of 29 consecutive patients starting January 1992, acquired from the study by Harbour et al.\textsuperscript{20} Group 2 consisted of 100 consecutive patients between 2002 and 2004. Group 3 consisted of 150 consecutive patients between 2008 and 2009. All procedures were performed by one surgeon (TGM). The data recorded for each patient included patient demographics, tumor size, plaque size, date of plaque placement, plaque–tumor relationship as evaluated by intraoperative echography, need for plaque repositioning, last follow-up date, and presence of tumor recurrence or metastasis.

All patients presenting with posterior uveal melanoma underwent placement with I-125 radioactive plaque using standard surgical techniques.\textsuperscript{20,27} Briefly, after initial prepping, draping, and anesthesia, patients underwent 360 degree conjunctival peritomy. All four rectus muscles were isolated using 2-0 Ethibond (Ethicon Inc, Somerville, NJ) suture, and the globe was transilluminated to mark the tumor’s location. If needed, one of the rectus muscles was disinserted in order to make room for plaque insertion. A standard Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study plaque (a silastic implant including integrated I-125 seed grooves placed into a gold-backed shield) with I-125 plaque seeds designed to deliver 85 Gy to the tumor apex, was used in all cases. After the plaque was brought into the field, 5-0 nylon sutures were used to secure the plaque. The plaque position was assessed with intraoperative ultrasound by either the surgeon (TGM) or a registered diagnostic medical ultrasonographer using a contact B-scan instrument (Ophthascan S; Alcon Surgical Inc, Irvine, CA, or Innovative Imaging Inc, Sacramento, CA). Echographic studies were performed to view the tumor both longitudinally and transversely in order to verify plaque location relative to the intraocular tumor. If the plaque was found to be suboptimally positioned, the nylon sutures were removed and using ultrasound guidance, the plaque was repositioned with placement of new nylon sutures through the sclera to secure the plaque. The position was then reconfirmed using intraoperative ultrasound. At the conclusion of the procedure, all plaques showed excellent positioning. If removed, the rectus muscle was reattached, and the conjunctiva was closed. The operated eye was patched and shielded with a lead shield, and the plaque was removed after an average of 3 days, 3 hours (range: 3–4 days).

Patients were examined at follow up visits every 3–6 months and yearly by medical oncology. Ophthalmology visits included a complete ophthalmic examination, echography, wide-field imaging and currently, spectral...
domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT). Tumor growth greater than 0.3 mm verified either echographically or clinically was considered indicative of tumor recurrence.

**Results**

Group 1 (January 1992) revealed a plaque suboptimal position rate of 21% (n = 29). This percentage declined to 12% (n = 100) from January 2002 to January 2004 (Group 2), and further declined to 4% (n = 150) from June 2008 to August 2009 (Group 3), (Figure 1).

Group 2 analysis in Table 1 shows that of plaques that were placed suboptimally, 25% (3/12) of plaques were displaced superiorly, 17% (2/12) were displaced inferiorly, 8% (1/12) anteriorly, 8% (1/12) nasally, 8% (1/12) postero-inferiorly, and 33% (4/12) were decentered in an unspecified direction. Eighty-three percent (10/12) of tumors were of medium size (thickness 2.5–10 mm) and 17% (2/12) were large (thickness > 10 mm). Mean plaque size for Group 2 was 18.8 mm (range: 14–22 mm). In terms of tumor location, 33% (4/12) of tumors were macularly located, 8% (1/12) exclusively involved the ciliary body, 25% (3/12) involved both the ciliary body and the choroid, and 8% (1/12) were juxtapapillary. Average follow-up time after plaque placement was 53 months (range: 4–101 months) of which no patients were shown to have tumor recurrence or metastasis to other organs.

Group 3 (Table 2) tumor sizes were medium in 50% of cases and large in the other 50%. Ultrasound revealed that 50% (2/4) of plaques were originally decentered, 25% were displaced superiorly (1/4), and the other 25% (1/4) were displaced inferiorly. Twenty-five percent of tumors in Group 3 were macularly located, and 25% of cases were characterized as diffuse. Mean follow-up time for patients in Group 3 was 21.3 months (range: 20 days–36.6 months). For all Group 2 and Group 3 patients, time required for repositioning and evaluation ranged from 3 minutes to 15 minutes. At last follow up visit, none of the patients undergoing plaque repositioning displayed metastasis. All patients tolerated the procedure well without any complication.

From 1992 to 1995, precision rates of plaque placement were 79% (n = 29). After a mean time of 9.5 years, the precision rate increased to 88% (n = 100) which further increased to 96% (n = 150) after a mean time of 15.5 years. The positive trend of precision rates was found to be statistically significant (P = 0.0007) via Chi-squared analysis. Figure 2 summarizes the positive correlation between precision rates and cumulative surgical volume. Trend analysis for this 18-year period shows
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**Figure 1** Reposition rates from 1992–1999.

**Notes:** Graph shows the declining trend (P = 0.007) of suboptimal plaque placement from January 1992 to August 2009 (17.6 years) performed by the same surgeon. Rates correlate to consecutive patients undergoing plaque placement for posterior uveal melanoma from January 1992 to January 1995 (n = 29), January 2002 to January 2004 (n = 100), and June 2008 to August 2009 (n = 150).

### Table 1 Group 2 patient characteristics – plaque reposition (12/100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Plaque displacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Ciliochoridal</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Ciliochoridal</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Superior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Macular w/basal extension</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Superior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Choroid</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Decentered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Choroid</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Postero-inferior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Ciliochoroidal</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Decentered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Choroidal (amelanotic)</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Macula</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Decentered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Ciliary body</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Superior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Juxtapapillary</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Decentered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Macula</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Macula with juxtapapillary component</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean = 68**

**Notes:** Twelve patients required plaque repositioning from January 2002 to January 2004 (Group 2). Eighty-five percent of these tumors were medium-sized. On primary placement of plaque, ultrasound showed that 4/12 plaques were decentered, 3/12 plaques were displaced superiorly, 2/12 were displaced inferiorly, 1/12 anteriorly, 1/12 nasally, and 1/12 postero-inferiorly. Tumor sizes ranged from medium (10/12) to large (2/12). Mean plaque size was 18.8 mm (range: 14–20 mm). Average follow-up time after plaque placement was 53 months (range: 4–101 months) of which no patients were shown to have tumor recurrence or metastasis. Medium tumors 2.5–10 mm thickness; large tumors >10 mm thickness.
that >90% precision was achieved at approximately 1275 episcleral plaque surgical procedures.

**Discussion**

Precise plaque localization is critical to ensure that a malignant melanoma receives optimal radioactive dosage, which is calculated on the assumption of precise plaque–tumor alignment. Correct plaque positioning ensures appropriate radiation delivery and improved local tumor control. The importance of local tumor control has been highlighted by findings that the risk of metastasis is higher for tumors that fail local treatment. Karlsson et al showed that the risk of metastasis at 5 years following local tumor recurrence was 42% versus 18% without failure. In the current study, we show that there is a significant learning curve to the surgical placement of plaques in the treatment of choroidal melanoma.

With the higher risk of metastasis with failure of local tumor control, this learning curve should not be underestimated.

Over the course of two decades, apposition rates increased 21.5%; over 1200 cases were performed to achieve a >90% plaque precision rate. Given that the mean time for plaque procedure is 30 minutes, 1275 procedures corresponds to time to mastery with >90% precision at 637.5 hours. Hence, acquiring surgical techniques for optimal plaque placement can be challenging. Overall, this evolution in the learning curve illustrates the challenges of mastering precise placement of radioactive plaques for posterior uveal melanoma. It also suggests that the addition of ultrasonography for plaque placement verification is a critical and beneficial tool.

The majority of the tumors in this study with suboptimal plaque positions were posteriorly located. In addition to tumor location, ocular structures such as the optic nerve, 24

<p>| Table 2 Group 3 patient characteristics – plaque reposition (4/150) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Plaque displacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Decentered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Macula</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Decentered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Superior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data from four patients that required plaque repositioning from June 2008 to August 2009 (Group 3). Tumor sizes were medium in 50% of cases and large in the other 50%. On primary placement of plaque, ultrasound showed that 2/4 plaques were suboptimally positioned, 1/4 plaques were displaced inferiorly, and 1/4 plaques were displaced superiorly. Mean plaque size was 19.75 mm (range: 16–22 mm). Average follow-up time after plaque placement was 21.25 months (range: 1–36 months) of which no patients were shown to have tumor recurrence or metastasis. Medium tumors: 2.5–10 mm thickness; large tumors >10 mm thickness.

Figure 2 Cumulative number of plaque cases and precision trend.

**Notes:** Graph shows the trend in the cumulative number of cases involving plaque placement over a 19-year period. Mean number of cases in study window was 85 cases/year.

The linear trend is matched with the precision percentage in initial plaque placement, indicating that plaque precision rates increase as surgical volume increases.
Learning curve for plaque placement procedure

inferior oblique muscle, and posterior ciliary vessels and nerves can provide a challenge for plaque placement and may even lead to subsequent plaque tilting.\textsuperscript{32} Overall, intraoperative ultrasonography at plaque insertion will help verify both tumor location and plaque placement.\textsuperscript{32} Factors not affecting the apposition rates in our study include patient age, gender, and eye undergoing surgery. Juxtapapillary tumors also present a challenge in treatment, with notched plaques aiding in appropriate plaque placement. Future studies on apposition rates of notched plaques are warranted.

Limitations of this study include a small sample size and single surgeon, however the values between the three groups were found to be statistically significant (\(P = 0.0007\)).

In conclusion, the current study emphasizes significant learning curve associated with episcleral plaque radiotherapy treatment. With an increased risk of metastasis following failure of local tumor control, use of intraoperative ultrasound should be encouraged to ensure adequate plaque placement.
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