The interactions between hemostasis and resistance training: a review
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Abstract: Physical inactivity is considered a risk factor for cardiovascular disease and is strongly associated with changes in arterial structure. Regular physical activity and exercise contributes to the prevention of coronary artery disease. Therefore, cardiovascular and resistance training improve hemostatic parameters and promote a less thrombotic blood profile. This review highlights the studies, mechanisms, and outcomes relating to the effectiveness of resistance training on the process of hemostasis. The Pubmed, Scopus, Medline, Scielo, Lilacs, Ibecs, and Cochrane databases were used to locate the original articles. Seventeen studies were found during the research process. Of these, ten articles were excluded. Those protocols using a high volume of training for young adults showed a greater fibrinolytic response, and training protocols with intensities above 80% of 1 maximum repetition showed an increased platelet activity. In subjects with coronary artery disease, just one session of resistance training resulted in improvement in the fibrinolytic system (tissue plasminogen activator) without raising potential thrombotic markers.
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Introduction

“Hemostasis,” defined as the balance between the processes of coagulation and fibrinolysis, is commonly investigated with regard to its clinical and prognostic relevance to cardiovascular disease. Smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and physical inactivity are considered risk factors and are strongly associated with changes in blood morphology, including significant effects on the hemostatic system, coagulation, fibrinolysis, platelet activation, vascular endothelial function, and the risk of venous and arterial thrombosis. Endothelial function is crucial in the regulation of vagal tone modulation, inflammation, platelet aggregation, and coagulation. In contrast, regular physical activity is associated with the prevention of cardiovascular disease risk factors and its effects are considered similar in magnitude (~30%) to pharmacological strategies.

In this context, cardiovascular training promotes significant acute and chronic effects on hemostasis balance and contributes to a less thrombotic blood profile. However, most published studies present an exercise program consisting of only aerobic exercises. Both resistance training and aerobic training demonstrate positive effects on health and the prevention of diseases related to physical inactivity. However, there are limited studies investigating the effects of resistance training on coagulation and fibrinolysis.

Thus, the review highlights the studies, the mechanisms, and the outcomes that relate the effectiveness of resistance training to the process of hemostasis.
Methods

For the review, databases from 1990 to 2011 (Scopus [1990–2011], MEDLINE® [2008–2011], SciELO [1990–2011], LILACS [1990–2011], and the Cochrane Library [1990–2011]) were used to locate the original articles. The last search was conducted on March 27, 2011. For a search of the references related to resistance training, we used the following terminology registered in the MeSH database: “resistance training”, “strength training”, and “resistance exercise” associated with “hemostasis,” “blood coagulation factors,” “platelet activation,” “blood platelet count,” “blood platelet counts,” “blood viscosity,” “blood platelets,” “blood clotting,” “platelet count,” “platelet adhesiveness,” “fibrinolysis,” “beta-thromboglobulin,” “thrombin-antithrombin complex,” “fibrinogen,” “hemorheology,” “tissue plasminogen activator,” “plasminogen activator inhibitor 1,” “Factor VIII/FVIII,” “thrombin” and “fibrin fragment D” (for the abbreviations of terms, see Table 1). Once the abstracts were reviewed, complete versions of articles that met the criteria noted below were obtained. The contributing authors and the impact factors of the journals in which articles were published were noted and the study design, methodology, and clinical relevance were assessed. The five criteria for inclusion and exclusion of the studies were as follows:

1. Presented only the short-term and long-term effects of resistance training on markers of coagulation or fibrinolysis (beta-thromboglobulin, fibrinogen, thrombin-antithrombin complex, tissue plasminogen activator, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1, platelet aggregation and factor VIII/FVIII).
2. Published in English.
3. Sampled ages from 18 to 90 years, of either gender and in any medical condition.
4. Had any of the following hemostatic factors in the article title, keywords, or methodology: beta-thromboglobulin, fibrinogen, thrombin-antithrombin complex, tissue plasminogen activator, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1, platelet aggregation, or factor VIII.
5. Published at the date of the searches.

We excluded articles that were ahead of print and those that used the effect of resistance training as a variable in experimental hemostasis associated or compared with other forms of exercise (aerobic training), food intake, fluids, and the use of devices (restricting the blood flow by sphygmomanometer tourniquet) but did not use the resistance training as a primary dependent variable.

Results

Seventeen studies were found during the research process. Of these, ten articles were excluded (one article was in Turkish, three included food intake, one included water intake, three used vascular occlusion, one article included a combination of resistance training with aerobic training, and one compared resistance training with aerobic training).

Thus, seven studies were selected for analysis. The main findings of the studies involving resistance training and hemostasis are summarized in Table 1.

Blood hemostasis: general overview

Hemostasis is achieved by a delicate balance between the coagulation and fibrinolysis (a process by which fibrin is dissolved into soluble components) systems. Normal hemostasis is the result of a well-regulated set of processes that perform two important functions: keeping the blood in a fluid state and free from clots in normal vessels and inducing a rapid and localized hemostatic plug at the vascular injury site. In abnormal hemostasis, inappropriate blood clotting occurs to stop blood flow in the intravascular compartment.

According to Porth, hemostasis is divided into five stages: (1) vascular spasm, (2) platelet plug formation, (3) blood clotting, (4) dissolving, and (5) clot retraction. The vascular spasm is triggered by the occurrence of cutting or endothelial disruption. Immediately after blood vessel damage or trauma to the vascular wall, the smooth muscles contract instantly to reduce blood flow to the injured vessel. Platelets cause much of the vasoconstriction by releasing a vasoconstrictor called tromboxano A₂.

The development of the platelet plug is initiated by contact of the platelets with the injured vascular wall. This activates endothelial cells that serve primarily to inhibit platelet adhesion and blood clotting, resulting in a procoagulant phenotype and increasing clot formation. In this process, their characteristics change dramatically and they release active factors called “von Willebrand factors,” which are sticky and adhere to the collagen and connective tissue protein and act on the neighboring platelets, in turn activating those platelets and sticking them to the platelets originally activated. These phenomena are also observed during intense exercise, but they affect the platelet function differently. The influence of shear stress or a disturbance of the laminar blood flow promoted by high-intensity exercise may increase the risk of arterial vascular thrombosis, in which the platelet adhesion is proportional to the magnitude of the change in the blood flow. In the third mechanism, the clot begins to develop between 15 and 20 seconds after...
the injury to the vascular wall if the trauma is severe and between 1 and 2 minutes after the injury if the trauma is minor.23

Depending on its intensity, exercise can either accelerate clot formation or lead to the dissolution of clot formation (fibrinolysis).26 A few minutes after clot formation occurs, the muscles retract, expelling the whey and uniting the edges of the injured vessel, contributing to the final stage of hemostasis.22

### Blood coagulation pathways

According to El-Sayed et al.,2 the activation of blood coagulation is the result of the formation of thrombin and, ultimately, the formation of fibrin. The key function of thrombin is to convert soluble fibrinogen into insoluble fibrin.2

The main pathway of clot activation is the extrinsic pathway although both routes are involved in the formation of a platelet plug. In physiologic situations, the intrinsic pathway seems to be only a supplement.26

The intrinsic pathway is initiated when contact is made between the blood and the exposed endothelial surfaces, and the extrinsic route is initiated by vascular injury, leading to the exposure of tissue.22,23 Although they are initiated by different mechanisms, both pathways lead to the formation of a platelet plug, and both are complex and involve numerous different proteins called “clotting factors.”

### Hemostasis and resistance training: short-term effects

The methodologies employed in the related studies were heterogeneous, showing that changes occurred according to exercise,27–29 clinical status and age of the participants,29 experience in resistance training,30,31 gender,32 hemostatic variables analyzed,31,33 and intensities.29,33 However, the rest

### Table 1 Short-term effects of resistance training on hemostasis in healthy individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Age in years (SD)</th>
<th>Training protocol</th>
<th>Hemostatic variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El-Sayed et al33</td>
<td>25 (3.2)μ</td>
<td>Protocol 1: 1–5 reps; 90%–100% 1 RM; 6′60s</td>
<td>MPV, PCT, PLT, B-TG</td>
<td>PAI-I, t-PA, VIII/FVIII (HV vs LV) = @ ↑; PAI-I (HF vs LV) = @ ↓; VIII/FVIII (HV vs LV) = @ ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagelkirk et al27</td>
<td>23 (5.0)μ</td>
<td>Protocol 10: 10 reps; 70% 1 RM 1 exercises, 6′60s</td>
<td>TAT, t-PA, PAI-I</td>
<td>PA-1 in rest (HF vs LF) = @ ↑; PAI-I in rest (HF vs LF) = @ ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmadizad et al29</td>
<td>29 (4.5)μ</td>
<td>Protocol 7: 80% 1 RM 3 exercises, 6′60s</td>
<td>B-TG e PA</td>
<td>PA (morning rest vs evening rest) = @ ↑; B-TG (morning vs evening) = NS, B-TG (AE morning and evening vs rest) = @ ↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Study without control group; †trainability not reported by the authors; ‡use of medications; §rest interval; †exclusion of the other participants not specified by the authors; @ = significant increase and decrease.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; CAD, coronary artery disease; reps, repetitions; RM, maximum repetition; s, second (time); t-PA, tissue plasminogen activator; PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor; FvW, von Willebrand factor; FVIII, factor antihemophílic; MPV, mean platelet volume; PLV, plasma viscosity; PCT, plateletcrit; PLT, platelet count; TAT, thrombin-antithrombin complex; PA, platelet activation; B-TG, beta-thromboglobulin; LV, low volume; HV, high volume; NS, no significant difference between the groups or between the protocols in the aspects evaluated; LF, low fat; HF, high fat; AE, immediately after exercise; rec, followed by 30 minutes recovery.
interval between sets and the type of intervention showed little variation (between 30- and 60-second intervals; all protocols were short-term).

El-Sayed was the pioneer in investigating the effect of resistance training and the variables of resistance training on volume in the hemostatic and fibrinolytic system. In the study, six healthy men and one healthy woman performed three experimental conditions, separated by 7 days. The first condition was the control, the second condition was performed using a low volume protocol, and the third condition was performed using a high-volume (HV) protocol (Table 1).

The results of this study showed increased fibrinolysis (tissue plasminogen activator) in response to both protocols. However, the fibrinolytic response was significantly higher with the HV protocol when compared with the low volume protocol. The HV protocol showed a significantly lower response of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 than was elicited during the low-volume protocol. The VIII/FVIII showed a significant increase after exercise and its magnitude depended on the volume of the training, being higher for the HV protocol.

Ahmadizad and El-Sayed determined the effects of the intensity of resistance training on platelet function and activation in 13 healthy men. The participants performed the resistance training on three different days at different intensities separated by 7 days (Table 1). The results showed no significant difference in the mean platelet volume of the three conditions analyzed. The platelet count and plateletcrit were significantly higher in the three conditions compared with rest. The beta-thromboglobulin was significantly higher only with intensities of 80% of 1 maximum repetition (RM) when compared with rest.

Ahmadizad and El-Sayed examined the short-term change on blood rheological variables after a single session of resistance training in 21 healthy men (Table 1) and concluded that plasma viscosity and fibrinogen were significantly higher immediately after exercise when compared with rest.

Ahmadizad et al investigated the effect of a resistance training session on the platelet activation and platelet function during training and recuperation. The study included 21 healthy men who completed the resistance training at an intensity corresponding to 80% of 1 RM (Table 1). They concluded that high-intensity resistance training induces platelet activation that is manifested by a significant increase in beta-thromboglobulin after the exercise, but this effect decreased significantly on recuperation, although it remained significantly different from the resting values.

DeJong et al evaluated the hemostatic and fibrinolytic responses to acute resistance training in patients with coronary artery disease. The study included 14 men with coronary artery disease and each participant performed a session of 10 RMs (Table 1). The results showed that tissue plasminogen activator increased and plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 decreased after training. However, the reduction in plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 persisted significantly for an hour after training. They concluded that a session of resistance training induced acute improvements in the fibrinolytic system (tissue plasminogen activator) in men with coronary artery disease without elevating potential thrombotic markers.

Ahmadizad et al investigated the interaction between the time of day and resistance training on platelet activation (Table 1). The study included ten healthy men who performed resistance training on two different occasions (8 am and 8 pm) followed by a 30-minute recovery. Results showed that the platelet activation at rest was significantly increased in the morning session and not in the evening trials. They also found a significant difference in beta-thromboglobulin immediately post-exercise but with no difference between the trials.

Nagelkirk et al evaluated the coagulation and fibrinolytic response to resistance training in 23 healthy women and determined the influence of body compositions on these responses, separating them into low and high-fat percentages (Table 1). Results revealed that tissue plasminogen activator significantly decreased after training for both groups but body composition modulates this response and the increase was less for the group with the highest percentage of fat. Participants with a lower percentage of fat exhibited significantly lower levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 in the pretest than participants with the highest percentage of fat, but there was no significant difference in the posttest.

**Discussion**

We conclude that there are apparent indications that resistance training induces changes in the hemostatic system to a fibrinolytic blood profile and these changes depend on the training intensity and volume. However, the present review indicates that the effects in the concluded studies were controversial, which reinforces the importance of future randomized controlled trials to better understand the effects of resistance training on hemostasis. It also reinforces the importance of future randomized controlled trials to better understand the effects of resistance training on hemostasis.

The young adults in the protocol using HV training showed a greater fibrinolytic response and the training protocols with intensities above 80% of 1 RM induced greater platelet activity as assessed by beta-thromboglobulin.
In subjects with coronary artery disease, just one session of resistance training with a set of ten repetitions resulted in improvement in the fibrinolytic system (tissue plasminogen activator) without raising potential thrombotic markers (plasminogen activator inhibitor). However, more studies are needed to better understand the effect of this type of exercise on hemostatic parameters. Health professionals such as cardiologists should consider resistance training as an aid in the treatment, prevention, and rehabilitation of cardiovascular disease and circulatory problems.

Limitations of the study
As the transparency of this review evidencing the intervention of resistance training and effectiveness in hemostasis is impaired, it should be interpreted with caution. Further, the small number of studies reviewed here do not offer a firm conclusion regarding the positive effects of resistance training on hemostasis.

The composition of the samples in the studies, including young people with and without experience in resistance training, age, and gender, as well as the composition of the control groups, should also be considered.

Finally, another potential limitation is that the identification of relevant publications was performed by the author, and therefore has the potential for subjectivity.

Conclusion
Given the variety of studies and the controversial results, practitioners and researchers need to be aware that the results presented above are based on limited evidence. The protocols using an HV of training for young adults showed a greater fibrinolytic response, and training protocols with intensities above 80% of 1 RM showed greater platelet activity. In subjects with coronary artery disease, just one session of resistance training resulted in improvement in the fibrinolytic system (tissue plasminogen activator) without raising potential thrombotic markers. To better understand the possible benefits of resistance training on hemostasis, further research is necessary. Future studies should examine the chronic effects of resistance training on hemostatic parameters.

In addition, the number of participants in the studies analyzed was small; therefore, future research requires a representative sample of the general population. Comparisons between genders and the effect of resistance training on hemostatic parameters in different populations (hypertensive and obese patients with metabolic syndrome) should be taken into consideration.
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