Enhancing the specificity and efficiency of polymerase chain reaction using polyethyleneimine-based derivatives and hybrid nanocomposites
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Abstract: There is a general necessity to improve the specificity and efficiency of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and exploring the PCR-enhancing mechanism still remains a great challenge. In this paper we report the use of branched polyethyleneimine (PEI)-based derivatives and hybrid nanocomposites as a novel class of enhancers to improve the specificity and efficiency of a nontarget PCR system. We show that the surface-charge polarity of PEI and PEI derivatives plays a major role in their effectiveness to enhance the PCR. Positively charged amine-terminated pristine PEI, partially (50%) acetylated PEI (PEI-Ac50), and completely acetylated PEI (PEI-Ac) are able to improve PCR efficiency and specificity with an optimum concentration order of PEI > PEI-Ac50 > PEI-Ac, whereas negatively charged carboxyl-terminated PEI (PEI-SAH; SAH denotes succinamic acid groups) and neutralized PEI modified with both polyethylene glycol (PEG) and acetyl (Ac) groups (PEI-PEG-Ac) are unable to improve PCR specificity and efficiency even at concentrations three orders of magnitude higher than that of PEI. Our data clearly suggests that the PCR-enhancing effect is primarily based on the interaction between the PCR components and the PEI derivatives, where electrostatic interaction plays a major role in concentrating the PCR components locally on the backbones of the branched PEI. In addition, multiwalled carbon nanotubes modified with PEI and PEI-stabilized gold nanoparticles are also able to improve the PCR specificity and efficiency with an optimum PEI concentration less than that of the PEI alone, indicating that the inorganic component of the nanocomposites may help improve the interaction between PEI and the PCR components. The developed PEI-based derivatives or nanocomposites may be used as efficient additives to enhance other PCR systems for different biomedical applications.
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Introduction

Developed in 1983 by Mullis,1 the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been identified as a fundamental technique in contemporary molecular biology and clinical medicine. This gene-amplification technique can increase the number of copies of target genes by six orders of magnitude.2 The unique features of PCR enable its wide applications in sequencing,3 pathogen detection in food/environment/animals,4,5 and genetic analysis.6 However, the specificity of PCR does not always match its remarkable sensitivity.7 The development of various additives to enhance the specificity and efficiency of PCR is essential. Besides the conventionally used small molecular...
additives, such as formamide,11 tetramethylammonium chloride12 and its derivatives,13 and betaine,14 nanoparticles (NPs) have received considerable attention due to their unique physicochemical properties, which are significantly different from those of their bulk counterparts. Various NP systems, including nanogold,15–18 carbon nanotubes (CNTs),19 carbon nanopowder,20 magnetic NPs,21 semiconducting NPs,22,23 and dendrimers24 have been used as additives to improve the PCR specificity and efficiency. Nonspecific amplification problems can be overcome in the presence of NPs.

In our previous studies,24,25 we showed that electrostatic interaction between the PCR components and positively charged dendrimers or dendrimer-entrapped gold NPs (Au DENPs) plays a major role in optimizing an error-prone two-round PCR system. Our studies revealed that amine-terminated dendrimers have a higher PCR-enhancing effect than those with terminal acetyl and carboxyl groups. Similarly, in the presence of amine-terminated Au DENPs, the PCR-enhancing effect is more pronounced than in the scenario of the dendrimers without Au DENPs.25 In another study,26 we showed that polyethyleneimine (PEI)-modified multiwalled CNTs (MWCNT/PEI) are able to improve the specificity and efficiency of the error-prone two-round PCR system, whereas after acetylation of the PEI amines on the surface of the MWCNTs, the composites are unable to improve PCR specificity and efficiency. All these studies suggest that effective electrostatic interaction between the NPs and PCR components could be the dominating mechanism for enhancing PCR. We also showed26 that, as a branched polymer, PEI itself is able to achieve a similar PCR-enhancing effect to that of MWCNT/PEI. Since the structure of PEI polymer is significantly different from that of NPs (eg, dendrimers, Au DENPs, and MWCNTs), it is logical to explore whether the modification and degree of modification of the PEI amines leads to alteration of the PCR-enhancing effect, which would be helpful for further illustration of the PCR-enhancing mechanism. In addition, since the entrapment of AuNPs within amine dendrimers is able to significantly enhance the PCR due to the reservation of the three-dimensional spherical dendrimer structure,25 it is also interesting to investigate the influence of the PEI support (MWCNT for the case of MWCNT/PEI and AuNPs for the case of PEI-stabilized AuNPs) on the PCR enhancing effect of PEI polymer. This is because the electrostatic interaction between PEI polymer and PCR components may be different from that between NP-supported PEI and the PCR components.

In this present study, we explored a new application of branched PEI-based derivatives and hybrid nanocomposites as PCR enhancers. The positively charged amine-terminated pristine PEI was modified with acetic anhydride or succinic anhydride to generate PEI with charge neutral surfaces (PEI-Ac,[n denotes the percentage of acetylation]; PEI-PEG-Ac) or negative surface charge (PEI-PEL-Ac). We systematically investigated the effect of the surface charge and surface modification of PEI-based derivatives on the specificity and efficiency of a nonspecific PCR system, which is different from the error-prone two-round PCR system extensively investigated in our previous studies.24–26 In addition, the effect of the inorganic support of PEI (in the cases of MWCNT/PEI and PEI-stabilized AuNPs) on the PCR-enhancing performance of PEI was also investigated. Possible molecular mechanisms were discussed. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive report relating to the optimization of a nonspecific PCR system using PEI with different surface-charge polarities and hybrid nanocomposites.

**Methods and materials**

**Materials**

Amine-terminated pristine branched PEI (molecular weight = 25,000), acetic anhydride, and succinyl anhydride were purchased from Aldrich (St Louis, MO). The water used in all the experiments was purified using a Milli-Q Plus 185 water purification system (Millipore, Billerica, MA) with a resistivity higher than 18 MΩ cm. The derivatives of PEI with positively charged (PEI-Ac,[n]), charge-neutral (PEI-Ac, PEI-PEG-Ac), and negatively charged (PEI-SAH) surface groups were synthesized and characterized in our previous work.27 The structures of the PEI derivatives are schematically illustrated in Figure 1. MWCNTs and MWCNT/PEI were synthesized in our previous work.28–30 Colloid AuNPs with a diameter of 5 nm and 20 nm (0.01% HAuCl₄) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Synthesis and characterization of PEI-stabilized gold nanoparticles**

PEI-stabilized AuNPs (Au/PEI) were synthesized according to the procedures described in the literature, with slight modification.31,32 Briefly, PEI (6 mg) was dissolved into 10 mL water, and then HAuCl₄ (48.6 mg/mL) dissolved in 100 μL water was added dropwise to the PEI solution while stirring. The reaction was stopped after 5 days. The obtained Au/PEI in aqueous solution without further purification was stored at 4°C before use. The synthesized PEI/Au was characterized by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy. UV-Vis spectra were collected using a Lambda 25 UV-Vis spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, OH).
electron microscopy was performed using a JEOL 2010F analytical electron microscope with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV (JEOL Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Five microliters of an aqueous solution of sample was dropped onto a carbon-coated copper grid and air dried before measurement. The size distribution histogram of the sample was measured using ImageJ software (WS Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Three hundred NPs from different images were randomly selected to analyze the size distribution.

Optimization of a nonspecific PCR system

In order to test the effect of the additives on PCR, we set up a nonspecific PCR system. In the first-round PCR, a 396 bp target DNA segment was amplified from Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome DNA (extracted in our laboratory) by using one pair of primers with high specificity. Then the PCR products of the first round (diluted 1000 times) were used as the template for the second-round PCR amplification using the same primers. PCR reagents were mixed in a final volume of 25 µL according to the following conditions: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 µM primers (Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering and Technology and Service Co, Ltd, Shanghai, China), 0.25 mM each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate (Takara Bio Inc), 0.025 U/µL Taq DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan), and 0.8 ng/µL P. aeruginosa genome DNA. The PCR procedure was: 2 minutes at 94°C for pre-denaturation, followed by 27 cycles of: 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C. Then the cycling was terminated after 5 minutes’ incubation at 72°C. The sequences of the primers were as follows: primer 1: 5’-GACAACGCCTCAGCAT-CACCAGC-3, and primer 2: 5’-CGCTGGCCCATTCGCTCAGCGCT-3’. Amplifications were carried out in an S1000™ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Inc, Hercules, CA).

PCR products (3 µL) were examined by using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis with 0.6 µL loading buffer. All the products of PCR were sequenced in order to determine the fidelity of PCR. The effectiveness of the PEI derivatives and hybrid nanocomposites was described through the assignment of two densitometric quantifications, termed specificity and efficiency. From electropherograms, the specificity of amplification was calculated as a ratio of the densitometric value of the specific band and that of all bands amplified by PCR, including undesired nonspecific bands. By definition, maximal value of specificity, in the absence of nonspecific bands, equals 1.0. The efficiency of an additive is defined as a ratio of the densitometric value of the target DNA band determined after PCR to 500 bp of DL2000 DNA marker, which is assigned to a value of 1.0. The concentration of each additive that enabled the PCR to produce the most specific and the brightest target band (maximum efficiency) on the gel was identified to be the optimum concentration.

Results and discussion

The effect of PEI-based derivatives on the nonspecific PCR system

In the designed nonspecific PCR system, the specific band was able to be observed in the first round. However, even if the same primers were used, nonspecific bands in the
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**Figure 1** Schematic illustration of the structures of PEI, PEI-SAh, PEI-Ac, PEI-PEG-Ac, and PEI-Ac₅₀.  
**Note:** x, y, m, and n represent the number of R₁ or R₂ groups in different PEI derivatives, respectively.  
**Abbreviations:** PEI, polyethyleneimine; PEI-SAh, negatively charged carboxyl-terminated PEI (SAh denotes succinamic acid groups); PEI-Ac, completely acetylated PEI; PEI-PEG-Ac, neutralized PEI modified with both polyethylene glycol and acetyl groups; PEI-Ac₅₀, partially (50%) acetylated PEI.
second-round PCR always appeared. Below the DNA segment with a target band of 396 bp, the nonspecific band is visible (Figure 2, Lane 1 for each panel), which may be caused by side-reaction products. Therefore, as in our previous studies utilizing an error-prone two-round PCR system, this PCR system was selected as a model to test the PCR-enhancing effect using PEI-based derivatives.

The PEI-based derivatives with different surface functionalities were separately added into the PCR reaction mixture at different concentrations to optimize the PCR, and the PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 2). Five different PEI derivatives were tested: pristine amine-terminated PEI; 50% acetylated PEI (PEI-Ac$_{50}$); 100% acetylated PEI (PEI-Ac); negatively

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** The effects of PEI-based derivatives on the nonspecific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) system. Lane M is the DL 2000 marker, and the rightmost lane in each panel is the negative control amplified without template and additives. (A) PEI was added into the PCR mixture. From lane 1 to 4, its final concentration is 0, 20, 40, 60 µg/L, respectively. (B) PEI-Ac$_{50}$ was added into the PCR mixture. From lane 1 to line 5, its final concentration is 0, 45, 90, 112, and 135 µg/L, respectively. (C) PEI-Ac was added into the PCR mixture. From lane 1 to 4, its final concentration is 0, 36, 360, and 720 µg/L, respectively. (D) PEI-SAh was added into the PCR mixture. From lane 1 to 7, its final concentration is 0, 17, 34, 102, 170, 238, and 340 mg/L, respectively. (E) PEI-PEG-Ac was added into the PCR mixture. From lane 1 to 7, its final concentration is 0, 16.4, 32.8, 65.6, 84, 131, and 164 mg/L, respectively.

**Abbreviations:** PEI, polyethyleneimine; PEI-Ac$_{50}$, partially (50%) acetylated PEI; PEI-Ac, completely acetylated PEI; PEI-SAh, negatively charged carboxyl-terminated PEI (SAh denotes succinamic acid groups); PEI-PEG-Ac, neutralized PEI modified with both polyethylene glycol and acetyl groups.
charged PEI (PEI-SAHA), and PEI modified with both PEG and acetamide (PEI-PEG-Ac). It is clear that PEI, PEI-Ac50, and PEI-Ac improve the efficiency and specificity of the nonspecific PCR system. The semiquantitative results of the PCR specificity and efficiency data in the presence of each additive are listed in Table 1. When PEI, PEI-Ac50, and PEI-Ac were respectively added into the PCR mixture, the nonspecific band started to decrease as the additive concentration increased and vanished at the optimal concentration. When the concentrations of the additives exceeded their optimum concentrations, the amplification of target band and the nonspecific products were significantly inhibited, in agreement with literature data.21,22,24–26 The detailed mechanism remains unclear and it has been difficult to explain why highly concentrated PCR additives are able to inhibit the PCR. The optimum concentrations of PEI, PEI-Ac50, and PEI-Ac were estimated to be 40, 90, and 360 µg/L, respectively, which can be transformed to PEI concentrations of 40, 66, and 209 µg/L, respectively27 with an order of PEI < PEI-Ac50 < PEI-Ac. This indicates that the PCR-enhancing effect of the PEI derivatives follows the order of PEI > PEI-Ac50 > PEI-Ac. Our previous work has shown that electrostatic interaction between dendrimers or MWCNTs and the PCR components may play an important role in enhancing PCR specificity and efficiency.24–26 The used DNA template, DNA primers, and the DNA polymerase (Taq DNA polymerase) with an isoelectric point of 6.0333 are all negatively charged under the PCR conditions (pH 7.4), enabling effective electrostatic interaction with the positively charged PCR additives. As the degree of acetylation increases, the optimum concentration of PEI tends to increase, which is presumably due to the decreased number of surface amine groups, making the electrostatic interaction between PEI derivatives and PCR components weaker. These results are consistent with those reported in our previous study related to dendrimer-based additives with different degrees of acetylation.24 It is interesting to note that even for PEI-Ac, the surface amines of PEI cannot be completely acetylated due to the formation of ion pairs between the formed acetic acid and the PEI amines during the acetylation reaction.34 Therefore, weak interaction between the PEI-Ac and PCR components still contributes to the PCR-enhancing effect. In contrast, the negatively charged PEI-SAHA and the neutral PEI-PEG-Ac were unable to enhance the efficiency and specificity of PCR even at the concentration of three orders of magnitude higher than that of PEI, which is possibly due to the lack of interaction between the negatively charged PCR components and the negatively charged PEI-SAHA or neutralized PEI-PEG-Ac with antifouling properties.35 These results imply that the surface interaction between additives and PCR components plays an important role in enhancing the specificity and efficiency of PCR.

### The effect of PEI-based hybrid nanocomposites on the nonspecific PCR system

Our earlier study shows that PEI can be modified onto the surface of MWCNTs,28 and both PEI and MWCNT/PEI are able to improve the specificity and efficiency of an error-prone two-round PCR system.29 Since the PCR-enhancing effect of the PEI-based derivatives is primarily based on the electrostatic interaction of the polymers and the PCR components, it would be interesting to compare PEI and MWCNT/PEI in terms of their enhancement of the nonspecific PCR system in this study, which is very different from the PCR system reported in our previous studies.24–26 With the supporting MWCNTs, the electrostatic interaction between PEI and the PCR components may be enhanced due to the enlarged surface area and increased binding sites, therefore the PCR-enhancing effect may be different. In this study, the PCR-enhancing effect of pristine acid-treated MWCNTs, MWCNT/PEI, and PEI was compared.

### Table 1 Efficiency, specificity, and optimum concentrations of additives in a nonspecific polymerase chain reaction system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Optimum concentration (µg/L)</th>
<th>Optimum PEI concentration (µg/L)</th>
<th>Maximal efficiency</th>
<th>Maximal specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT/PEI (PEI 30.6%)a</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI-Ac50 (PEI 73.5%)b</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI-Ac (PEI 58.2%)b</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/PEI (PEI 68%)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The percentage of PEI in the hybrid nanocomposites can be found in Shen et al.28 The percentage of PEI was calculated according to Wen et al.27 The percentage of PEI was calculated based on the weight ratio of PEI and hAuCl4 in the reaction (see Methods and materials).

**Abbreviations:** PEI, polyethyleneimine; MWCNTs, multiwalled carbon nanotubes; MWCNT/PEI, PEI-modified MWCNTs; PEI-Ac50, partially (50%) acetylated PEI; PEI-Ac, completely acetylated PEI; Au/PEI, PEI-stabilized gold nanoparticles.
Figure 3 The effects of MWCNTs and MWCNT/PEI on the specificity of the nonspecific polymerase chain reaction system. Lane M is the DL 2000 marker, and the rightmost lane is negative control in each panel. (A) MWCNT was added into the polymerase chain reaction mixture. From lane 1 to 4, its final concentration is 0, 3.1, 6.2, and 12.4 mg/L, respectively. (B) MWCNT-PEI was added into the polymerase chain reaction mixture. From lane 1 to 5, its final concentration is 0, 23.6, 47.2, 94.2, and 188.8 µg/L, respectively.

Abbreviations: MWCNTs, multiwalled carbon nanotubes; MWCNT/PEI, polyethyleneimine-modified multiwalled carbon nanotubes.

Figure 4 Ultraviolet–visible spectrum of the polyethyleneimine-stabilized gold nanoparticles (Au/PEI). The inset shows a photograph of the nanoparticles suspended in water.

The somewhat aggregated particles shown in Figure 5A are presumably due to the transmission electron microscopy sample preparation method. Air-drying of the aqueous suspension of the samples before transmission electron microscopy measurement may lead to a partial aggregation or interconnection of particles, in agreement with previous literature.36

The addition of Au/PEI was able to enhance the nonspecific PCR, similar to the PEI polymer (Figure 6). The optimum concentration of PEI in Au/PEI nanocomposite was measured to be 36 µg/L, which is lower than that of free PEI (40 µg/L). This further suggests that the support of PEI by AuNPs with a diameter of 7.6 nm with a narrow size distribution (Figure 5). The addition of Au/PEI was able to enhance the nonspecific PCR, similar to the PEI polymer (Figure 6). The optimum concentration of PEI in Au/PEI nanocomposite was measured to be 36 µg/L, which is lower than that of free PEI (40 µg/L). This further suggests that the support of PEI by AuNPs with a diameter of 7.6 nm with a narrow size distribution (Figure 5).
The mean diameter of 7.6 nm could also improve the electrostatic interaction between PEI and the PCR components, thereby enhancing the PCR more efficiently than free PEI. This result is consistent with that obtained using MWCNT/PEI as an additive. It should be noted that the approach to synthesizing Au/PEI is quite “green” (i.e., not harmful to the environment since there is no need to use additional toxic chemicals and no byproducts can be formed in the reaction that need additional purification steps) — without any additional chemical reducing agents. Only a quite small amount of HCl is expected to be produced after the reaction. The formed HCl is likely to form ion pairs with the PEI surface amines, which makes their purification by washing with water (centrifugation or dialysis) difficult. Given the fact that the reaction solution to form Au/PEI is quite dilute and that the used Au/PEI in the PCR mixture solution (pH 8.8 buffer) is also quite dilute with a concentration less than ppm level, the HCl that forms ion pairs with PEI surface amines is likely to be dissociated in the PCR mixture solution and would not have any appreciable impact on the PCR amplification. Additionally, commercially available colloid AuNPs of two different sizes were also used to enhance the nonspecific PCR in comparison with the Au/PEI. Our results showed that colloid AuNPs of two different sizes were able to enhance the PCR (Figure S1, Supporting information), however the optimal Au concentrations for 5 nm AuNPs and 20 nm AuNPs were one and two orders of magnitude higher than that of the Au/PEI, respectively (Table 2), implying that the coating of positively charged PEI onto the surface of AuNPs for the Au/PEI is very important to allow for effective electrostatic interaction with the negatively charged PCR components, thereby greatly enhancing the PCR.

The amplification fidelity of nonspecific PCR using PEI derivatives

An ideal PCR additive should not interfere with the final PCR products. Therefore, it is important to know whether the

Table 2 Efficiency, specificity, and optimum gold (Au) concentrations of additives in a nonspecific polymerase chain reaction system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Optimum Au concentration (µg/L)</th>
<th>Maximal efficiency</th>
<th>Maximal specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au/PEI (Au 32%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au (5 nm)</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au (20 nm)</td>
<td>3480</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1 The percentage of Au was calculated based on the weight ratio of PEI and HAuCl₄ in the reaction (see Methods and materials); 1 the Au concentration was calculated based on the manufacturer’s specification.

Abbreviation: Au/PEI, polyethyleneimine-stabilized gold nanoparticles.
studied PCR additives influence the fidelity of target DNA product. All the PCR products in the absence or presence of the different additives were sequenced to confirm the fidelity of the PCR. For example, we used the primer 1, primer 2, and 396 bp PCR product in lane 3 of Figure 2A (in the presence of PEI as additive) for sequencing tests. The sequencing result is shown in Figure 7. It is clear that the sequence of the PCR product in the presence of PEI additive is quite consistent with that in the absence of PEI. All our sequencing data confirmed that the PCR in the presence of the effective additives of PEI derivatives and hybrid nanocomposites had similar fidelity to that of the PCR in the absence of the additives.

Conclusion
In summary, PEI-based derivatives and hybrid nanocomposites were utilized as additives to enhance the specificity and efficiency of a nonspecific PCR system. Our data show that the positively charged PEI derivatives are able to enhance the specificity and efficiency of the nonspecific PCR system, whereas negatively charged PEI derivatives and neutralized PEI-PEG-Ac with antifouling property have no such capacity, even at concentrations three orders of magnitude higher than that of PEI. In addition, the PEI polymer supported onto the surface of MWCNTs or AuNPs appears to have a more pronounced effect on the enhancement of the PCR. Our results clearly suggest that the electrostatic interaction between PEI derivatives with PCR components plays an important role in enhancing PCR specificity and efficiency. PEI and PEI-based hybrid nanocomposites may be used as effective additives for enhancing other PCR systems for different biomedical applications.
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Supplementary figure

Figure S1 The effects of commercial colloid gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) on the nonspecific polymerase chain reaction system. (A) The effect of AuNPs with a diameter of 5 nm. Lane M is the DL 2000 marker, and lane 6 is the negative control amplified without template and additives. AuNPs (5 nm) were added into the polymerase chain reaction mixture. From lane 1 to 5, its final Au concentration is 0, 116, 232, 348, and 464 µg/L, respectively. (B) The effect of AuNPs with a diameter of 20 nm. Lane M is the DL 2000 marker, and lane 8 is the negative control amplified without template and additives. AuNPs (20 nm) were added into the polymerase chain reaction mixture. From lane 1 to 7, its final Au concentration is 0, 2.32, 3.48, 6.96, 11.6, 16.24, and 23.2 mg/L, respectively.