Phase 1 clinical study of the acute and subacute safety and proof-of-concept efficacy of carbohydrate-derived fulvic acid
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Background: The purpose of this research was to determine the acute and subacute safety and proof-of-concept efficacy of carbohydrate-derived fulvic acid (CHD-FA).

Methods: In this double-blind study, 30 male volunteers with predetermined atopy were randomly assigned to either Group A or Group B, each consisting of 15 participants. In part 1 of the study, the groups were administered increasing amounts of CHD-FA, ranging from 5 mL to 40 mL, provided that no adverse events had occurred at the previous dosage. In part 2, Group A participants received 20 mL of 3.8% CHD-FA twice daily for 3 days and were monitored for a week. Because no adverse events occurred, Group B received 40 mL of 3.8% CHD-FA twice daily for a period of 3 days. In part 3, both groups received either 40 mL of 3.8% CHD-FA or placebo twice daily for a period of one week, followed by a one-week washout period before crossover to the alternative treatment schedule. Parameters used to establish safety were electrocardiography, a physical examination, a health questionnaire, and hematology and biochemistry, determined at baseline, during regular calculated intervals, and at the end of each part of the study. A skin prick test was done as part of the screening process and, from the result, the allergen the participant was most allergic to was then selected, along with the positive histamine and negative control to be repeated at the start and end of each respective stage.

Results: Safety parameters remained constant throughout the trial. A significant decrease in skin prick test results was observed.

Conclusion: No severe adverse events occurred, establishing that CHD-FA to be safe at doses up to 40 mL twice daily for a week and that at this dosage CHD-FA acts as an anti-inflammatory agent. These findings confirm earlier animal data.
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Introduction
Humic substances

Humic substances are present wherever organic matter is being decomposed,¹ and can be defined as “... a general category of naturally occurring, biogenic, heterogeneous organic substances that can generally be characterized as being yellow to black in color and of high molecular weight”. Humic acids are found in soils, waters, sewage, compost heaps, marine and lake sediments, peat bogs, carbonaceous shales, lignites, and brown coals. Humic substances can be subdivided into humic acid, fulvic acid, and humin. The average molecular weight of humic substances may range from 500 to 5000 Da for fulvic acid to 3000 to 1,000,000 Da for humic acid.²

The Department of Pharmacology at the University of Pretoria has been involved in researching the novel medicinal properties and applications of humic acid and...
fulvic acid since 2000. Oxifulvic acid, so-called because it is derived from controlled wet oxidation of carbon-containing coal, was used for topical application research.\[^3\,^4\]

Fulvic acid 4.5% and 9% showed equivalence when compared with diclofenac sodium 1% and betamethasone 0.1% in a murine model when applied topically to suppress a cutaneous inflammatory response, and found to have none of the adverse effects seen with the aforementioned anti-inflammatory agents.\[^3\]

In feline and canine models, fulvic acid showed anti-inflammatory properties by inhibiting the accumulation of pus in pyotraumatic dermatitis when applied topically.\[^5\]

Carbohydrate-derived fulvic acid (CHD-FA) is a new heavy metal-free carbohydrate-derived fulvic acid. In a topical toxicity and sensitization study, 60 6–8-week-old female Balb C mice were used. The mice were randomized into three groups consisting of 20 mice per group. Group 1 received ung emulcificans aqueosum (UEA) only, Group 2 received CHD-FA, and Group 3 received CHD-FA neutralized to a pH of 5.5. From day 8, they received the products topically on the left ear twice a day for 30 days (up to 38 days). The amount of CHD-FA preparation used was calculated using 8 mg CHD-FA/mouse, or 400 mg/kg body weight, twice a day. Morbidity was determined on a daily basis by evaluation of reduced food and water intake resulting in weight loss (more than 20% original weight), observation of abnormal movement (particularly pertaining to the ability of the animal to obtain food and water), and ease of breathing. Blood samples (500 \(\mu\)L/mouse) were drawn after termination at the end of the study to determine kidney and liver enzyme levels (creatinine and gamma-glutamyl transferase, respectively).

Analysis of variance was used to analyze data. The data suggested that the CHD-FA, when applied topically, did not produce any hypersensitivity reactions and was nontoxic with regards to liver and kidney function in rats over a period of 6 months, when administered orally.\[^6\]

In healthy volunteers, fulvic acid had no significant effect on safety parameters and did not induce sensitization when applied topically. Fulvic acid cream 4.5% caused inhibition of the elicited inflammatory reaction, and this was comparable with hydrocortisone.\[^2\]

A new product with a lower metal content was developed for systemic application. An investigation of the safety of this product administered by gavage in rats (175 mg/kg) was performed. The rats showed no sign of discomfort, and results obtained from blood specimens sent to Clinical Pathology Laboratories indicated that the CHD-FA administered systemically for a period of 6 weeks was indeed safe.\[^6\]

The in vivo human data demonstrating topical efficacy and safety as well as significant animal data supporting in vivo efficacy and safety after systemic use, as well as observational data confirming safety of oral administration of this complimentary or alternative medicine in humans motivated this in vivo safety study. The aim of this research was to establish the acute and subacute safety of CHD-FA over the anticipated dose range and also to determine if CHD-FA potentially has anti-inflammatory properties that would warrant further investigation.

**Materials and methods**

In this double-blind study, 30 male volunteers with predetermined atopy were randomly assigned to Group A or Group B on arrival by random allocation of an alpha numeric, eg, A06 or B11 from a computer-randomized list, each consisting of 15 participants. The participants were recruited into the study after approval was obtained from the University of Pretoria research ethics committee.

**Part 1: acute exposure safety**

A one-off oral dosage of either 5 mL and 20 mL or 10 mL and 40 mL (3.8%) CHD-FA was administered and the volunteers were monitored at various intervals for 72 hours, with a final visit 7 days after oral dosage, with the following parameters being monitored: vital parameters, clinical investigation, patient perception of general health questionnaire, electrocardiography, and hematology and biochemistry, including full
blood count and liver and kidney function. After successful completion of part 1, ie, no adverse events that were of clinical relevance, part 2 was commenced.

**Part 2: subacute toxicity**
This was a 3-day exposure in the same volunteers, ie, 20 mL or 40 mL of 3.8% CHD-FA was administered twice per day, with the following parameters being monitored at various intervals: vital parameters, clinical investigation, patient perception of general health, electrocardiography, hematology and biochemistry, including full blood count and liver and kidney function. After successful completion of part 2, ie, no adverse events that were of clinical relevance, part 3 was commenced.

**Part 3: proof of concept**
This was a 7-day exposure in the same volunteers, ie, 40 mL of 3.8% CHD-FA or placebo administered twice per day, followed by a two-week washout period and subsequent crossover, with the following parameters being monitored at various intervals: skin pricks, vital parameters, clinical investigation, patient perception of general health, electrocardiography, and hematology and biochemistry, including full blood count and liver and kidney function. For each participant, the skin prick test was done as part of the screening process and from the result the allergen to which the participant was most allergic was then selected along with the positive histamine and negative control to be repeated at the start and end of each respective stage. Wheals were measured using a digital caliper 10 minutes after exposure.

**Outcomes**
Outcomes included safety, assessed by clinical parameters and vitals. Biochemistry included urea, uric acid, creatinine, chloride, potassium, sodium, gamma-glutamyl transferase, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase, and alanine aminotransferase. Hematology included a full blood count and differential, with hemoglobin and white and red blood cells. All biochemistry and hematology measurements were done in an ISO (International Organization for Standardization) accredited laboratory using a Roche P800 and Siemens Advia 2120, respectively. Electrocardiograms were read by an independent clinician who reported on changes from baseline. Proof of concept was determined as a significant $(P < 0.05)$ reduction in size of wheal formation post CHD-FA treatment.

**Inclusion criteria**
Male patients aged 18 years and older who had a pollen allergy and were not on antiallergic treatment were recruited into the study. Patients gave their written informed consent. Patients were otherwise healthy with no other systemic disease that could influence the immune response. Normal hematology and biochemistry values, electrocardiograms, and clinical investigations were confirmed before randomization.

**Exclusion criteria**
Women and subjects with systemic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus, kidney failure, liver failure, or autoimmune, hematologic, psychiatric, gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, or lung disease that could significantly compromise health were excluded. Any immune system disease other than atopy was also an exclusion criterion, as were an inability to understand or give written informed consent, any abnormality in hematology or biochemistry values, alcoholism or drug addiction, and any type of immunosuppressant therapy.

**Treatment**
In the acute toxicity study, Group A received 5 mL and 20 mL of CHD-FA 3.8% in syrup as single doses and Group B received 10 mL and 40 mL of CHD-FA 3.8% as a single dose. In the subacute toxicity study, Group A received 20 mL of CHD-FA 3.8% twice daily for 3 days and Group B received 40 mL of CHD-FA 3.8% twice daily for a 3-day period. For proof of concept, both groups received either 40 mL of CHD-FA 3.8% or placebo for a 7-day period, followed by a 7-day washout period, then a crossover. All treatments were taken without food.

**Statistical analysis**
The results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 4.0. The Wilcoxon rank test was used for the safety aspects of the study. For the proof of concept results, an analysis of covariance was used, with $P < 0.05$ regarded as statistically significant when comparing the before and after results of the treated versus placebo groups, respectively.

**Results**
Twenty-one participants successfully completed the trial, one failed to comply after screening, one failed to comply at the first visit, one experienced an adverse event (namely hypertension which appeared to be unrelated to the study medication), and two subjects failed to comply during part 1 of the study. Four subjects were withdrawn as a result of either an arrhythmia or bradycardia that was present at baseline.

**Safety**
All safety parameters remained within normal limits for the duration of the acute phase of the trial, with only one subject...
having elevated aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase levels after 40 mL dosing, which was more likely to be associated with a viral infection rather than a side effect of the CHD-FA. These values did return to within normal limits. Due to the vast amount of testing done, the parameters of interest are tabulated below, illustrating the battery of tests used to determine safety, namely liver function (Figure 1) and kidney function (Figures 2 and 3), respectively.

**Efficacy**

With statistical analysis, using analysis of variance and adjusting for baseline, a significant difference was observed, with \( P < 0.05 \) when comparing the before and after results of the treated versus placebo groups, respectively; 81% of the participants had a decreased wheal after CHD-FA treatment versus 62% of those treated with placebo (Table 1). Because there is no known method of detecting plasma levels of fulvic acid compounds, clinical outcome served as evidence of systemic exposure, ie, absorption. Side effects were monitored, and are summarized in Figure 4.

**Discussion**

**Safety**

With extensive safety testing, indicated by hematology and biochemistry markers, it can be stated with confidence that safety parameters were not affected in any way, demonstrating that the dose range of CHD-FA tested correlated well with that of the rat studies, in which no significant fluctuations in safety parameters were observed after 9 months of dosing.4

**Efficacy**

Comparing wheal measurements (an inflammatory response to an allergen), there was a significant difference between CHD-FA and placebo, ie, wheal measurements decreased significantly on CHD-FA, demonstrating that CHD-FA has a measurable in vivo effect on immediate hypersensitivity and warranting further investigations into the anti-inflammatory effect as well as the mechanism of action.

**Side effects**

The only noteworthy side effects were diarrhea, headache, and a sensation of a burnt or sore throat, all likely attributable to the low pH of the CHD-FA administered orally (the manufacturer’s recommendation is to dilute the product in juice or water). The majority of these side effects occurred after ingestion of the upper limit of the CHD-FA dose range, ie, 40 mL twice daily. These side effects were not severe, and mostly subsided after a short period of time or after ingestion of food. The diarrhea resolved without medical intervention. After clinical review, the panel’s decision was that the side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter of wheal (mm)</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHD-FA</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.97*</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *\( P < 0.05 \).  
Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; CHD-FA, carbohydrate-derived fulvic acid.
effects observed were mild and transient, and not causally linked to CHD-FA.

Conclusion
No severe adverse events occurred, demonstrating CHD-FA to be safe at the dose tested. There was a significant decrease in wheal formation in the skin prick test, confirming that CHD-FA acts as an inhibitor of the immediate hypersensitivity reaction and that the product is systemically available. In light of the data from this research and previous toxicity studies, it can be stated that a 15 mL daily dose of CHD-FA diluted in water or juice, as recommended by the manufacturer, can be used safely.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References