Advances in the diagnosis and management of lymphoma
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Abstract: The lymphomas are a heterogeneous group of cancers that have played a prominent role in the history of oncology, being among the first cancers to respond to radiotherapy or systemic chemotherapy. Progressive improvement in the understanding of the biology and natural history of these diseases has led to changes in both classification and management. Because of the heterogeneity present among the lymphomas, accurate diagnosis and staging are essential prerequisites to their effective management. Lymphoma stage frequently informs treatment decisions, but in contrast with solid tumor malignancies carries limited prognostic value. This has led to the development of prognostic models in lymphoma, which use patient and disease characteristics to stratify patients by risk. Modern approaches to Hodgkin’s lymphoma include chemotherapy only, combined-modality therapy with both chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and risk-adapted approaches that modify treatment based on initial response. Management of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) varies widely depending upon histology. Use of rituximab, the anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, is included in the management of most B cell lymphomas and has improved outcomes in these diseases. The T cell lymphomas are less common and generally less well understood than the B cell diseases, and their management has only recently become disease-specific. Though effective therapy is available for many types of lymphoma, relapse remains common in a number of subtypes, and management of relapsed and refractory disease remain research priorities.
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Pathology and classification

The lymphomas are characterized by neoplastic transformation of lymphocytes at specific stages in lymphocyte ontogeny. There are more than 50 distinct lymphomas in the current World Health Organization (WHO) classification system, existing along a broad spectrum with regards to pathophysiology, natural history, prognosis, and optimal management.

The first systematic attempts to classify the various lymphomas occurred in the 1930s, at which time their biology was poorly understood, and distinct clinicopathologic entities had yet to be well defined. Successive revisions in lymphoma classification schemes have occurred since then, and have paralleled developments in molecular biology and genetics, resulting in improvements in our ability to understand these diseases.

The Rappaport classification is generally considered the most important of the early systems, and was the first to incorporate prognosis. Like the other early systems, it relied entirely on morphologic appearance, predating such fundamental concepts in
immunology as the distinction between B cells and T cells. As understanding of lymphoma biology progressed, the need for new classification schemes was met with numerous candidate systems, the relative merits of which were subject of intense debate. Two dominant systems eventually emerged in the early 1970s. The Kiel classification was generally favored in Europe, and the Lukes and Collins classification, the first to distinguish B and T cell neoplasms, took hold in North America.

The absence of a single accepted classification system became an impediment to progress in the growing field of clinical research, leading Rosenberg, DeVita, and Kaplan, under the auspices of the National Cancer Institute, to bring experts together in 1982 in an attempt at unification. The goal went unmet, but the conference did result in the creation of an “international working formulation” to allow comparison across the various classification schemes. The international working formulation remained in use until the 1990s, when a second attempt at unification resulted in the Revised European–American Lymphoma classification, first published in 1994 and later subsumed by the first WHO classification which includes all hematologic malignancies, both lymphoid and myeloid. The Revised European–American Lymphoma classification abandoned the attempt, common among earlier schemes, to privilege morphologic, immunophenotypic, or genetic information as the most important aspect of classification, but instead recognized that the importance of these factors varies across individual disease entities, and that clinical behavior varies within each entity. The most recent update of the WHO classification was released in 2008 (Table 1). It is broadly based on cell of origin, and initially distinguishes B cell, T cell, and natural killer cell disorders, with subsequent characterization based on stage of lymphocyte ontogeny. Key characteristic features of specific lymphoid neoplasms are listed in Table 2.

### Epidemiology

It is expected that 66,360 cases of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) and 8830 cases of Hodgkin’s lymphoma will be diagnosed in the United States in 2011. The two most common types, diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and follicular lymphoma (FL), will account for about half of these. Other relatively common lymphomas include small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia [CLL] type, 6%), peripheral T cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS, 6%), mantle cell lymphoma (MCL, 6%), and marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT, 5%).

### Table 1 World Health Organization classification scheme for lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mature B cell neoplasms</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell prolymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic marginal zone lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy cell leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldenström macroglobulinemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Heavy chain diseases</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α Heavy chain disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ Heavy chain disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ Heavy chain disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma cell myeloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary plasmacytoma of bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramedullary plasmacytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramedullary marginal zone (MALT) lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal marginal zone lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary cutaneous follicle center lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLBCL, NOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cell/histiocyte rich large B cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary DLBCL of the CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary cutaneous DLBCL, leg type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLBCL associated with chronic inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphomatoid granulomatosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary mediastinal (thymic) large B cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravascular large B cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK-positive large B cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmablastic lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large B cell lymphoma arising in HHV8-associated multicentric Castleman disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary effusion lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkitt lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between diffuse large B cell lymphoma and Burkitt lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between diffuse large B cell lymphoma and classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hodgkin’s lymphoma</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nodular lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular sclerosis classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte-rich classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed cellularity classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte-depleted classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mature T cell neoplasms</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T cell prolymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cell large granular lymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive NK cell leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic EBV-positive T cell lymphoproliferative disease of childhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroa vacciniforme-like lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramedullary marginal zone (MALT) lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramedullary marginal zone (MALT) lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous panniculitis-like T cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides/Sézary syndrome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of common lymphomas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtype</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunophenotype</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Molecular lesions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD15+ CD30+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular lymphocyte-predominant Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+ CD15− CD30−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+ CD10+ CD5−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL2, BCL6, C-MYC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small lymphocytic lymphoma (chronic type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20− CD5+ CD23+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53, ATM, +12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral T cell lymphoma, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20− CD3−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+ CD5+ CD23− BCL1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal zone lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+ CD5− CD23−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL10, MALT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary mediastinal large B cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20− CD30+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic large cell lymphoma, T/null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20− CD30+ CD15−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal zone lymphoma, nodal type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+ CD5− CD23−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3, +18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkitt lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+ CD10+ BCL6+ CD5− BCL2− TdT−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-MYC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DLBCL, Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; NK, natural killer; PTLD, post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorder; CNS, central nervous system; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; NOS, not otherwise specified.

Most cases of lymphoma are not associated with an identifiable epidemiological risk factor, but specific chronic infections, immunodeficiency states, or hereditary traits have been implicated in the pathogenesis for some. The Epstein–Barr virus has direct effects on host cell genomes that are implicated in the pathogenesis of various lymphomas, including a subset of Hodgkin’s lymphoma, post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD) in both solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, and the endemic form of Burkitt lymphoma, seen mostly in Africa.9–11 Chronic stimulation of the immune system can be lymphomagenic, a phenomenon most clearly characterized in the cases of gastric MALT lymphoma due to infection with the bacteria Helicobacter pylori and splenic and extranodal marginal zone lymphomas associated with chronic hepatitis C infection; similarly, patients with common variable immunodeficiency and its consequent recurrent infections are at elevated risk for both MALT and aggressive lymphomas of both B cell and T cell origin.12–14

Autoimmune conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren’s syndrome, and celiac sprue, are also associated with lymphoma, an effect thought to be mediated by chronic inflammation.15 The increased incidence of certain lymphomas in patients infected by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) with low CD4 counts and in patients treated with anti-tissue necrosis factor therapy for inflammatory bowel disease or the autoimmune arthritides suggests that immunosuppression confers an increased risk of lymphoma, although coexisting infections may play key roles.16,17 Certain industrial products, including pesticides and hair dyes prior to (but not after) 1980, have also been epidemiologically associated with lymphoma.18–20 The relative risk of all types of lymphoma is increased in patients with a family history of these diseases;21–24 However, because of the low baseline risk, the absolute risk of lymphoma remains small, even in patients with affected family members.

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of lymphoma is made primarily by pathologic evaluation of an appropriate tissue specimen, including morphologic, immunophenotypic, and cytogenetic evaluations as necessary, in the appropriate clinical setting. There are major differences in the management of individual lymphomas, making an accurate and specific diagnosis critical for appropriate patient care. A frequent practical issue that arises in the patient suspected to have lymphoma is the choice of biopsy technique and site. Surgical biopsy is preferred for initial diagnosis because the larger tissue sample obtained allows evaluation for processes that may heterogeneously involve the lymph node or extranodal mass, and performance of
immunophenotypic, cytogenetic, and molecular analyses. Fine needle aspiration does not allow evaluation of the histologic architecture, and may provide insufficient tissue for comprehensive analysis including determination of biologic subtype. Fine needle aspiration can confirm relapsed disease in select situations, but even in this setting a core needle or surgical biopsy is preferred. Core needle biopsy may allow for an evaluation of nodal architecture, but samples a smaller amount of tissue than surgical biopsy, potentially missing a heterogeneous process and provides less material for comprehensive testing. Core needle biopsy is recommended for initial diagnosis only in clinical situations where a surgical biopsy cannot be readily obtained.

Despite standardized definitions in the WHO classification, approximately one fifth of lymphoma diagnoses will be revised upon review by an expert hematopathologist, with the rate varying among the individual types of lymphoma. Because appropriate management fundamentally depends on accurate pathologic diagnosis, expert pathology review is recommended and should be considered standard of care.

Medical imaging may be suggestive of lymphoma when the diagnosis is unknown and plays a key role in staging, but a definitive diagnosis of lymphoma and evaluation of the histologic subtype require pathological evaluation. Though not definitive, [18F]-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging can distinguish aggressive from indolent lymphomas based on standard uptake value, and plays an important role in suggesting transformation of indolent to aggressive lymphoma (usually DLBCL). When transformation is suspected, PET can be used to select an appropriate biopsy site where the standard uptake value is highest and thus transformation is most likely to be present, but marked FDG avidity does not establish a diagnosis of transformation, and does not obviate the need for diagnostic biopsy.

**Staging**

All patients with lymphoma should have a baseline evaluation including a physical examination, laboratory studies including complete blood count, comprehensive metabolic profile, and lactate dehydrogenase, and a computed tomography scan of the chest abdomen and pelvis. Bone marrow biopsy is also required for complete staging, but may be deferred until treatment is indicated in patients with indolent lymphoma and normal blood counts for whom watchful waiting would be recommended regardless of marrow involvement. The need for additional studies, such as further laboratory evaluation or functional imaging with FDG-PET, depends in part on the specific diagnosis and presentation. Lymphoma staging in the modern era is generally “clinical”, meaning that it is based on the above-described studies, with or without further noninvasive evaluations to determine the extent of disease. “Pathologic” stage is based on one or more invasive staging procedures, such as staging laparoscopy, and is rarely performed in the modern era.

Stage is assigned according to the Ann Arbor staging system, as subsequently modified at the Cotswolds meeting in 1989 (Table 3). In contrast with most solid tumor malignancies, disease stage in lymphoma carries only limited prognostic significance. Stage IV disease will be found in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>Involvement of a single lymph node region or lymphoid structure (eg, spleen, thymus, Waldeyer’s ring) or involvement of a single extralymphatic site (I&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>Involvement of two or more lymph node regions (II) or localized contiguous involvement of one extranodal organ and its regional lymph nodes (II&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;) with all disease confined to one side of the diaphragm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>Involvement of lymph node regions on both sides of the diaphragm (III), which may also be accompanied by involvement of the spleen (III&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;) or by localized contiguous involvement of one extranodal organ (III&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;) or both (III&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>Disseminated (multifocal) involvement of one or more extranodal organs or tissues, with or without associated lymph node involvement or isolated extralymphatic organ involvement with distant (non-regional) nodal involvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Designations applicable to any disease stage:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Absence of the “B” symptoms described below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Fever (temperature &gt; 38°C), drenching night sweats, unexplained loss of more than 10% of body weight during the previous 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bulky disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Extranodal involvement contiguous with a nodal site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Bulky disease has been defined as any lymph nodal mass ≥ 10 cm in greatest dimension, or a mediastinal mass with transverse diameter ≥ 1/3 of the internal thoracic diameter at the level of the T5/6 intervertebral disk on posteroanterior chest radiograph. E lesions are distinguished from Stage IV disease in that they are contiguous with adjacent nodal disease and can be treated within the same radiation port.

© Adapted from Lister et al.32
many cases of indolent B cell NHL due to involvement of bone marrow. However, in the absence of other extranodal sites, bone marrow involvement carries limited prognostic significance, and evaluation of bone marrow is not universally required at the time of diagnosis unless therapy is planned or cytopenias are present.

**Prognostic models**

Because stage carries limited prognostic value for both Hodgkin’s lymphoma and NHL, clinical prognostic models incorporating both patient and disease characteristics have been developed to define risk groups more accurately. In Hodgkin’s lymphoma, several definitions of “favorable” and “unfavorable” early-stage disease (ie, stage I–II) have been developed by cooperative groups in an attempt to identify a subset of patients for whom treatment intensity can be safely reduced. The International Prognostic Score (Table 4) has been used in patients with advanced-stage disease (ie, stage III–IV). The first prognostic model to be developed in NHL was the International Prognostic Index (IPI), which was initially validated in a cohort of patients with aggressive lymphomas, primarily diffuse large B cell lymphoma, but was also applied to other lymphoma subtypes. In follicular lymphoma, the most common indolent lymphoma, the IPI score is of some prognostic value, but in a majority of patients it is classified as higher risk owing to bone marrow involvement and resultant stage IV disease. This observation prompted development of a model more capable of discriminating cases into risk strata.

| **Table 4** International prognostic scoring system for Hodgkin’s lymphoma |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Risk factors**  | **5-year progression-free survival** | **5-year overall survival** |
| Serum albumin, <4 g/dL | 84 | 89 |
| Hemoglobin, <10.5 g/dL | 77 | 90 |
| Male gender | 67 | 81 |
| Stage IV disease | 60 | 78 |
| Age ≥ 45 years | 51 | 61 |
| White blood cell count, ≥15,000/mm³ | 42 | 56 |

© Adapted from Hasenclever and Diehl.

**Hodgkin’s lymphoma**

Hodgkin’s lymphoma, previously called Hodgkin’s disease, has now been shown to result from malignant transformation of a germinal center or post-germinal center B cell. The Reed–Sternberg cell was initially described in 1898, but it was not until 1994 that Küppers et al demonstrated it to be of lymphoid origin. Representing about 10%–12% of all lymphomas, Hodgkin’s lymphoma is expected to affect 8830 patients in the US in 2011, and to result in...
There is a slight male predominance in the incidence (1.4:1) and a bimodal age distribution in Western countries. The major peak in incidence occurs among patients in their 20s, with a smaller and broader peak over age 50 years. The Epstein–Barr virus is detectable in patients in their 20s, with a smaller and broader peak over age 50 years. The Epstein–Barr virus is thought to be directly involved in transformation. Infectious mononucleosis, which is caused by Epstein–Barr virus, increases the risk of Hodgkin’s lymphoma among young adults. Patients infected with HIV also have an increased risk of Hodgkin’s lymphoma. In contrast with other HIV-associated malignancies, Hodgkin’s lymphoma is not an acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS)-defining condition, and is not prevented by controlling HIV infection with antiretroviral therapy.

Classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma subsumes the morphologic subtypes of nodular sclerosis, ie, mixed cellularity, lymphocyte-rich, and lymphocyte-depleted. Recent studies have suggested that nodular sclerosing Hodgkin’s lymphoma, the most common subtype in Western countries, may be somewhat distinct from the others. Nodular sclerosis Hodgkin’s lymphoma has a female predominance, is more common among younger patients than older ones, and is more likely than other subtypes to present with a large mediastinal mass. Immunophenotypic and cytogenetic characteristics differ between nodular sclerosing Hodgkin’s lymphoma and other subtypes of classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma, but intriguingly, the former shares many molecular and clinical characteristics with primary mediastinal large B cell lymphoma, a subtype of DLBCL. Nonetheless, all of the subtypes of classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma, including nodular sclerosing Hodgkin’s lymphoma, routinely share a single treatment paradigm and all have a similar prognosis except the uncommon and prognostically unfavorable lymphocyte-depleted variant.

Nodular lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NLPHL) is a clinical entity distinct from classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma and recognized as such by the WHO. It often has an indolent relapsing course that in some ways bears closer resemblance to indolent NHL, and its optimal treatment often diverges from standard Hodgkin’s lymphoma therapy.

Classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma

Clinical presentation

Classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma is characterized by a unique pattern of contiguous and predictable spread to successive, adjacent lymph node groups. The most common sites of disease are the mediastinum and bilateral base of the neck. Involvement of the axilla is uncommon without involvement of the ipsilateral cervical or supraclavicular region, as is involvement of either hilum without mediastinal region, and involvement of abdominal (subdiaphragmatic) lymph nodes or spleen without hilar disease. Extranodal spread, which occurs via vascular invasion and hematogenous dissemination, is usually a late event, with the most common sites being bone, bone marrow, lung, and liver. Involvement of the central nervous system (CNS) or leptomeninges, even with multiply relapsed disease, is extremely rare.

Many patients initially present with nonspecific symptoms including lymphadenopathy, fever, and malaise. Peripheral lymphadenopathy is present in most patients at diagnosis; it is found in the cervical or supraclavicular areas in 60%–80% of cases, and in the axillary region in approximately 10%–20%. Clinical distinction between the lymphadenopathy seen in lymphoma from that seen in infectious processes can be difficult, but the former tends to be nontender on palpation, and more often involves the base of the neck and supraclavicular areas rather than the upper neck. Mediastinal lymph node masses, which can be large, are present in 50%–60% of cases, and may be discovered incidentally on imaging in asymptomatic patients, or become symptomatic with respiratory complaints or chest pain. Subdiaphragmatic presentations are uncommon, representing 3%–7% of cases, and are associated with mixed cellularity subtypes of nodular sclerosis, ie, mixed cellularity, lymphocyte-rich, and lymphocyte-depleted. Recent studies have suggested that nodular sclerosing Hodgkin’s lymphoma, the most common subtype in Western countries, may be somewhat distinct from the others. Nodular sclerosis Hodgkin’s lymphoma has a female predominance, is more common among younger patients than older ones, and is more likely than other subtypes to present with a large mediastinal mass. Immunophenotypic and cytogenetic characteristics differ between nodular sclerosing Hodgkin’s lymphoma and other subtypes of classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma, but intriguingly, the former shares many molecular and clinical characteristics with primary mediastinal large B cell lymphoma, a subtype of DLBCL. Nonetheless, all of the subtypes of classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma, including nodular sclerosing Hodgkin’s lymphoma, routinely share a single treatment paradigm and all have a similar prognosis except the uncommon and prognostically unfavorable lymphocyte-depleted variant.

Nodular lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NLPHL) is a clinical entity distinct from classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma and recognized as such by the WHO. It often has an indolent relapsing course that in some ways bears closer resemblance to indolent NHL, and its optimal treatment often diverges from standard Hodgkin’s lymphoma therapy.

Staging

Hodgkin’s lymphoma is staged according to the Ann Arbor staging system as described above. It is categorized as “early” for nonbulky stage I–II or “advanced” for stage III–IV, and bulky disease of any stage. Both chest x-ray and computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis should be performed in order to evaluate...
disease bulk. Bone marrow biopsy should be performed in all patients except those with stage IA disease. FDG-PET is now recommended for routine use in pretreatment evaluation, and should be considered in all patients. For those thought to have early-stage disease, additional sites of involvement may be found and result in a change of stage. Among patients already known to have advanced-stage disease, a baseline FDG-PET may be useful for comparison with subsequent restaging scans. Interim FDG-PET, usually performed after two cycles of therapy and post-treatment FDG-PET are both predictive of ultimate outcome. Ongoing studies of risk-adapted approaches will further elucidate the role of interim PET in guiding treatment modifications.

Management

Improvements in chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and salvage techniques have made Hodgkin’s lymphoma highly curable, with approximately 85% of patients achieving long-term disease-free survival. Optimal management of Hodgkin’s lymphoma depends on the stage of disease (early or advanced), prognostic factors, risk of toxicity, and response to therapy.

Early-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma was once managed with extended field radiotherapy, but combination chemotherapy with subsequent radiation (ie, combined-modality therapy) results in improved failure-free survival, and is now standard of care. However, toxic side effects of such therapy are substantial, with long-term follow-up studies demonstrating that by 25 years after treatment, toxicity overtakes relapse as the most common cause of death (Table 5). Strategies to improve long-term outcomes by reducing toxicity without compromising disease control have become a focus of research over the course of recent decades. The most serious chemotherapy side effect seen with the older, alkylator-based chemotherapy regimens such as MOPP (mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone) was the predisposition to acute leukemia. Investigations in the 1970s and 1980s demonstrated that anthracycline-based regimens such as ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine) resulted in improved disease control with decreased toxicity, and supplanted alkylator-based therapy as the standard of care. Though the risk of leukemia is not eliminated with anthracycline-based therapy, it has been reduced. The risk of radiation-induced toxicity has also been mitigated, but not eliminated, by modern radiotherapy techniques which limit the radiation exposure to non-target tissues.

Similar but nonidentical prognostic factors (Table 6) have been used by various investigators to define a “favorable-risk” subset of patients with early-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma and a low likelihood of relapse. This group has a failure-free survival of approximately 90% after combined-modality therapy with overall survival > 95% due to the availability of effective salvage therapy for relapsing patients. Given these excellent outcomes, and the long-term risks of combined-modality therapy, research has started to focus on reducing treatment intensity. The German Hodgkin Study Group has shown that two cycles of ABVD chemotherapy followed by 20 Gy of involved field radiation (IFRT) is as effective for favorable risk patients as four cycles of chemotherapy and 30 Gy of IFRT, which was previously the standard of care, and remains so for patients with “unfavorable” early-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma. However, even reduced doses of radiation are likely to engender long-term toxicity, and chemotherapy-only programs have also been explored.

A response-adapted chemotherapy-only regimen evaluated by the National Cancer Institute of Canada involved re-evaluation of disease status after two initial cycles of ABVD chemotherapy with a CT scan; patients who had a complete response or unconfirmed complete response by CT criteria went on to receive two more cycles of ABVD, and those with lesser responses went on to receive four additional cycles for a total of six. Patients who achieved at least an unconfirmed complete response after two cycles of therapy had outstanding rates of cure, suggesting that the reduction

| Table 5 Toxicities associated with treatment of Hodgkin’s lymphoma |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| Acute toxicity  | Alopecia        | Cytopenias      | Mucositis |
|                  | Nausea/vomiting | Neuropathy (vinca alkaloids) | Pneumonitis (bleomycin, radiotherapy) |
| Late cardiovascular toxicity | Accelerated atherosclerosis | Nonischemic cardiomyopathy | Pericardial fibrosis (radiotherapy) |
| Endocrine toxicities | Hypothyroidism (radiotherapy) | Infertility | --- |
Advanced-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma is treated with systematically administered combination chemotherapy, with radiation therapy often used at sites of bulky disease, if such are present. As described above, the preferred regimen has evolved over time from alkylator-based to anthracycline-based regimens. ABVD given every 2 weeks for a total of 12 treatments (six cycles) is the best studied and most commonly used. The Stanford V regimen, which used a shorter course of chemotherapy with increased radiation, is also effective. Recent comparisons of Stanford V and ABVD have been reported in both advanced-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma and bulky early-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma. These have shown equivalent disease control overall, although Stanford V may be inferior for moderate-risk or high-risk advanced-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma. While ABVD remains the standard of care in the US, Stanford V may be useful in select cases where its lower doses of anthracycline and bleomycin and shorter duration of treatment are particularly advantageous.

The prognostic model used for advanced-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma is the International Prognostic Score which identifies age older than 45 years, male gender, serum albumin <4, hemoglobin <10.5, white blood cell count >15,000, lymphopenia (absolute lymphocyte count <600 or lymphocytes representing <8% of differential), and stage IV disease as adverse prognostic features. The International Prognostic Score identifies a group of “unfavorable-risk” advanced-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma patients with a 30%–40% risk of relapse following standard ABVD therapy, which has prompted evaluation of more intensive regimens for use in this group. The German Hodgkin Study Group’s escalated BEACOPP regimen (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone) improves tumor control in this group, and in the initial report also improved overall survival. However, a subsequent study compared ABVD and escalated BEACOPP, with planned salvage therapy using high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell rescue (HDT/ASCR) in all relapsed patients, and showed no survival advantage for the more intensive regimen, despite improved initial tumor control. The escalated BEACOPP regimen has increased toxicity, including a higher rate of infertility and secondary leukemia. At the present time, it is unclear which patients benefit sufficiently from the intensified regimen to justify the increased toxicity, although many investigators are pursuing risk-adapted strategies that either escalate from ABVD to BEACOPP in individuals failing to respond early in the course of therapy, or de-escalate from BEACOPP to ABVD in patients who do respond.
Salvage chemotherapy is administered in patients who relapse after an initial remission, or fail to respond to initial therapy. If a response to salvage therapy is obtained, and the disease is demonstrated to be “chemosensitive”, consolidation with HDT/ASCR is undertaken, and results in long-term remission in 45%–60% of patients.80–86 Allogeneic stem cell transplantation, usually following reduced intensity conditioning, takes advantage of an immunologic response by donor immune cells against the lymphoma, and can also result in durable remission. Its role is less well defined, and it is frequently deferred until after failure of HDT/ASCR.57,86 Brentuximab vedotin, a new agent which consists, molecularly, of the cytotoxic agent monomethyl auristatin E linked to a monoclonal antibody-directed against the CD30 antigen present in most cases of Hodgkin’s lymphoma, has also shown excellent single-agent activity among patients with relapsed Hodgkin’s lymphoma following HDT/ASCR or ineligible for transplantation, and is the first drug approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of relapsed Hodgkin’s lymphoma.89 Its use as maintenance therapy or incorporated into combination therapy regimens is the subject of ongoing investigation. Many other classes of agents, including histone deacetylase inhibitors such as panobinostat, immunomodulatory drugs such as lenalidomide, inhibitors of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) such as everolimus, cytotoxic drugs such as bendamustine, and others are in clinical development for the management of Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and treatment of relapsed disease remains a high priority for clinical trials worldwide.

**Nodular lymphocyte-predominant Hodgkin’s lymphoma**

NLPHL is a distinct clinicopathologic entity with a natural history characterized by an indolent but relapsing course and a treatment paradigm distinct for that used in classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma. It most commonly affects males, with a bimodal age distribution peaking in childhood and the fourth decade of life.90 The pathologic hallmark of NLPHL is the lymphocytic and histiocytic cell, which is a Reed–Sternberg variant derived from a germinal center B lymphocyte origin.91,92 Patients typically present with peripheral adenopathy, and a majority have early-stage disease at the time of diagnosis. In contrast with classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma, mediastinal masses are uncommon, and lymph node stations are less likely to become involved in a sequential and orderly progression.52,93 Clinical staging of NLPHL follows the same procedure as for classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma. FDG-PET has not been validated in NLPHL, and may be confounded by the potential coexistence of a benign lymph node condition called progressive transformation of germinal centers, which may be FDG-PET-avid.94 Bone marrow is rarely involved and need not be evaluated at diagnosis unless peripheral counts are abnormal.

Treatment of NLPHL with classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma regimens, as was previously common, results in high response rates, but frequent relapses and has largely been abandoned in favor of more conservative strategies among early-stage patients. IFRT is appropriate for patients whose disease may be contained within a single radiation port, and may be curative. Combination chemotherapy is used for advanced-stage disease. Randomized trials are not available to guide treatment choice, but nonrandomized data have indicated that the combination of rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CHOP) may perform better than other regimens.95 Unlike the Reed–Sternberg cells of classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma, the lymphocytic and histiocytic cells of NLPHL do express the CD20 antigen, rendering them susceptible to targeting with rituximab, a monoclonal antibody. Due to its low toxicity profile, single-agent rituximab has also been evaluated and produces high response rates, but short durations of response. The ultimate role of this agent in therapy for NLPHL is still being evaluated.41,96,97 Given the indolent relapsing nature of NLPHL and the young age of affected patients, repeated bouts of therapy are employed over the natural history of the disease. Recent recognition of the cumulative toxicity associated with such treatment, including secondary malignancies and heart disease, has prompted attempts to limit therapy when possible.93,98

**Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma**

**Diffuse large B cell lymphoma**

The term DLBCL is applied to a group of clinically aggressive disorders that collectively account for more than 30% of all new lymphoma diagnoses.7 DLBCL consists of several morphologic patterns, and there are multiple clinical subtypes recognized by the WHO. Individual entities in this group have similar clinical behavior, and have similar treatment paradigms. The most common is DLBCL-NOS; other clinical subtypes include primary mediastinal large B cell lymphoma, T cell/histiocyte-rich large B cell lymphoma, primary DLBCL of the CNS, primary cutaneous DLBCL, leg type, primary effusion lymphoma, and intravascular large B cell lymphoma.

More recently, distinct molecular subtypes of DLBCL-NOS have been recognized based on the results of gene
expression profiling, which segregates most cases into one of three generally accepted phenotypic variants, i.e., activated B cell-like, germinal center B cell-like, and primary mediastinal large B cell lymphomas.99–104 These types differ in their molecular pathogenesis, prognosis, and response to treatment. This distinction is yet to change management routinely, however, and is not currently recognized by the WHO classification owing to the technical difficulty of classifying individual cases. Comprehensive gene expression profiling is not practical for routine use, leading to a search for alternative techniques for phenotypic classification. Immunohistochemistry-based algorithms, which are less expensive and more widely available, have been developed, but their accuracy has been questioned and a widely accepted tool does not yet exist.103–106

**Clinical presentation**

DLBCL usually presents symptomatically in the form of a palpable peripheral mass, symptoms resulting from compression of adjacent structures caused by central adenopathy, or symptoms arising from extranodal sites of disease. Fewer than half of patients present with early-stage disease, and about one third will have extranodal sites of involvement.8,107 Systemic symptoms including fatigue and pruritus are present in a majority of cases, and approximately 30% will meet specific criteria for “B” symptoms. Lymphoma can arise in extranodal sites, most commonly the gastrointestinal tract, and associated adenopathy may be minimal in such cases. Patients with primary extranodal disease are more likely to present with early-stage disease. Involvement of extranodal tissues also occurs in primary nodal lymphomas, where it is a late event associated with advanced-stage disease and widespread lymphadenopathy. In this setting, the most commonly involved sites are the bone and bone marrow, liver, lung, and kidney.108

Some cases of DLBCL occur as a transformation of a previously existing indolent lymphoma, which may or may not have been diagnosed. When an undiagnosed indolent lymphoma presents in a transformed state, it is termed “de novo” transformation and identified by the presence of both indolent and aggressive components within a pathologic specimen. The risk of transformation exists in all indolent lymphomas, but is best studied in FL, where the lifetime risk of transformation is estimated to be 20%–50%.109–111 Patients with indolent lymphoma who live >15 years without transformation are unlikely to experience it subsequently. Transformation of FL to DLBCL is thought to result from additional mutations occurring in a single cell in the FL clone, resulting in clonally related coexisting DLBCL and FL.112 In contrast, transformation of CLL/SLL to DLBCL (the so-called Richter syndrome), results in a DLBCL clone distinct from the underlying indolent disease in a significant minority of cases.113 Transformed DLBCL is treated in a manner identical to that used for DLBCL without antecedent indolent lymphoma, but the prognosis is worse in transformed disease. Since indolent lymphomas are typically not curable with conventional therapy, they are expected to recur even in patients cured of the transformed component of their disease.

**Staging**

In addition to routine clinical staging, body imaging with CT and FDG-PET and bone marrow biopsy are performed in the initial evaluation of DLBCL. Lumbar puncture with intrathecal instillation of chemotherapy is indicated for patients with increased risk of CNS involvement based on clinical features. Most experts recommend prophylactic administration of chemotherapy, most typically four to six doses of methotrexate or cytarabine, even in the absence of positive cerebrospinal fluid cytology for lymphomatous involvement. The characteristics that prompt the use of prophylaxis include site of origin, with involvement of the testis, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, breast, or bone marrow; multiple extranodal sites of disease in the presence of an elevated lactate dehydrogenase, regardless of the sites involved, or high-risk IPI.114–119

**Management**

A majority of patients with DLBCL can be cured with aggressive chemotherapy or combined-modality therapy. The CHOP regimen (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone) was first described for use in lymphoma in 1976.120 The National High-Priority Lymphoma Study, reported in 1993, compared CHOP with several more intensive alternatives, and found it to produce equivalent results with less toxicity and lower cost.121 CHOP was established as the worldwide standard of care and remained so until it was improved by the addition of rituximab in the early 2000s. R-CHOP has been evaluated in four large randomized clinical trials which have demonstrated its superiority to chemotherapy alone, and established it as the current standard of care.122–125 The French Groupe d’Etude des Lymphomes de l’Adulte (GELA) study, as an example, compared R-CHOP with CHOP in patients aged >60 years and found 5-year progression-free survival of 54% and 30% in the two groups, respectively.126 The addition of rituximab is beneficial in both older and younger patients, and across all
IPI risk scores. Patients with advanced stage disease typically receive six cycles of R-CHOP, though eight cycles, as was used in the GELA study, may also be given. Nonbulky early-stage disease can, alternatively, be treated with abbreviated R-CHOP chemoimmunotherapy (3–4 cycles) followed by IFRT.123,127 Bulky disease of any stage is usually treated with a full 6–8 cycles of R-CHOP with or without IFRT.

Efforts to improve upon R-CHOP continue, with various approaches undergoing evaluation. Dose-dense therapy, with decreased intervals between treatment cycles from 21 to 14 days, enabled by routine growth factor support and prophylactic antibiotics, offers theoretical benefit according to the Norton–Simon model of tumor growth.128 Initial reports of this strategy showed improved survival with CHOP every 2 weeks compared with the standard 3 weeks.129 However, studies of dose-dense R-CHOP have shown 2-week cycles to be as safe as 3-week cycles, but have failed to demonstrate improvement in outcomes.130,131 The dose-adjusted EPOCH regimen, composed of many of the same drugs as CHOP, is administered by continuous infusion rather than bolus dosing, based on the hypothesis that this may overcome the poor prognosis associated with high proliferative rate tumors, and P glycoprotein-mediated drug resistance.132,133 The regimen is promising, and, though trials have not specifically targeted these groups, some hypothesize that it may have particular benefit for highly aggressive entities, including the “double hit” lymphomas which have abnormalities involving the myc gene in addition to another recurrent genetic abnormality, such as bcl-2 overexpression, and lymphomas diagnosed under the WHO classification as “B cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL and Burkitt lymphoma”.134 A randomized clinical trial comparing R-dose-adjusted EPOCH regimen with R-CHOP is currently being conducted by the Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology (formerly known as the Cancer and Leukemia Group B). A third strategy posits that improved outcomes can be achieved with the use of non-crossreactive sequential initial therapy, in which the initial course of R-CHOP is abbreviated and a regimen such as ICE (ifosfamide, carboplatin, etoposide), which has traditionally been used in the relapsed setting, is used instead to complete initial therapy. This approach has demonstrated excellent results in higher-risk patients, but has not yet been directly compared with R-CHOP alone.135

Management of patients with disease that is refractory to initial therapy, or relapses following an initial response diverges based upon the potential eligibility of the patient for intensive treatment. Treatment of the transplant-eligible patient involves administration of short-course, high-potency, second-line chemotherapy in an attempt to achieve prompt remission, which is then consolidated with HDT/ASCR. This approach offers a second opportunity for cure in patients with relapsed or refractory DLBCL, but as outcomes with first-line therapy have improved in the rituximab era, outcomes for second-line therapy have worsened.136,137 Patients ineligible for HDT/ASCR are managed with systemic therapy and/or radiotherapy, with a goal of disease control; only a small percentage of such patients will be cured by routine second-line therapy, eg, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, etoposide, procarbazine, prednisone (R-CEPP). Allogeneic stem cell transplant may offer a final chance of cure for eligible patients relapsing after or ineligible for HDT/ASCR, though the ability to achieve disease control adequate to justify such treatment is often poor.138

Primary mediastinal large B cell lymphoma

Primary mediastinal large B cell lymphoma (PMLBCL) is a subtype of DLBCL with distinct biological and clinical features. Gene expression profiling studies have shown genetic similarity between PMLBCL and Hodgkin’s lymphoma.139,140 The clinical features of this subtype are also similar to nodular sclerosis Hodgkin’s lymphoma, with a relatively young median age at diagnosis, a female predominance, and a typical presentation with an anterior mediastinal mass that causes radiographic compression of the superior vena cava in 80% of cases and clinical superior vena cava syndrome in 50%.141 It is most commonly managed like other subtypes of DLBCL, with R-CHOP chemoimmunotherapy and radiation. The strategy of sequential R-CHOP and ICE appears particularly promising for PMLBCL, however, and may relieve the need for mediastinal radiotherapy.142–145

Primary diffuse large B cell lymphoma of the CNS

Categorized as a subtype of DLBCL-NOS by the WHO, primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the CNS (PLCNS) represents a specific extranodal presentation with distinct management implications. It may involve the brain parenchyma, spinal cord, cerebrospinal fluid, meninges (except the dura), or eyes, and all should be evaluated in patients with the diagnosis.146 It may involve sites outside the CNS, and body imaging with CT or FDG-PET as well as bone marrow biopsy is required for complete staging, as for other types of DLBCL. PLCNS is associated with immunodeficiency, particularly infection with HIV,
but occurs in immunocompetent patients. Presentation is usually with focal neurologic deficits, personality change, or visual symptoms.\textsuperscript{147} The IPI has not been found to predict risk in PLCNS, but a prognostic model defined by the International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group uses age, performance status, serum lactate dehydrogenase, involvement of deep brain structures, and cerebrospinal fluid protein level to identify three risk groups with 2-year overall survival ranging from 15\% to 80\%.\textsuperscript{148} Treatment with regimens such as R-CHOP, as used for DLBCL of other sites, is limited by poor penetration of the drugs into the CNS. Combined-modality therapy with a high-dose methotrexate-based systemic chemotherapy regimen and whole brain radiation therapy are the mainstays of therapy. Whole brain radiation therapy is associated with a high rate of neurologic toxicity, particularly in patients over the age of 60 years when chemotherapy-only regimens are more often employed. Consolidation of initial responses with HDT/ASCR has shown good results in a nonrandomized investigation.\textsuperscript{149}

**Intravascular large B cell lymphoma**

Intravascular large B cell lymphoma is a rare subtype of DLBCL, characterized by neoplastic lymphocytes localized within the lumina of small blood vessels, usually capillaries, with minimal or no extravascular extension. Nodal disease is typically absent, and additional extranodal sites beyond the intravascular component are relatively common, and may include the CNS. Two forms have been identified which differ in their clinical features and geographic distributions. In Western countries, patients often have CNS or cutaneous involvement, and in Asia they tend to have bone marrow involvement, hemophagocytic syndrome, fever, hepatosplenomegaly, and thrombocytopenia.\textsuperscript{150} Intravascular large B cell lymphoma patients are often elderly and have poor prognostic factors as defined by the IPI, though this is less true of those with the cutaneous variant, which is seen mostly in Western populations. Treatment is with anthracycline-containing regimens such as R-CHOP, as for other forms of DLBCL but outcomes are poor. Use of high-dose methotrexate-based or high-dose cytarabine-based regimens has been advocated for patients with CNS involvement, given that these agents achieve higher levels within the CNS.\textsuperscript{150,151}

**Follicular lymphoma**

FL is the most common indolent B cell NHL and consequently the prototype for indolent B cell lymphomas as a group. It results from malignant transformation of cells derived from the germinal follicle of lymphoid tissues, namely centrocytes and centroblasts. Grading of FL depends on the number and morphologic distribution of centroblasts within neoplastic follicles. The clinically relevant distinction is between low-grade (Grade 1–3A) FL, which will be discussed further below, and Grade 3B FL, which consists of sheets of centroblasts and is usually treated according to the DLBCL paradigm.\textsuperscript{152}

**Clinical presentation**

FL most often comes to attention due to the development of peripheral lymphadenopathy. Central adenopathy may be found incidentally with medical imaging, or due to symptoms, though most patients do not have symptoms related to central adenopathy at presentation. It is an age-associated disease which becomes more common in the sixth decade of life and beyond. Incidence rates differ with geography, being higher in Western countries than in Asia or Africa. Immigrants from Asia to western countries have an incidence rate that is intermediate between their native and adopted homes.\textsuperscript{48} B symptoms are uncommon, except in patients with transformed disease.

**Staging**

Routine lymphoma staging maneuvers are used in FL, with additional investigations targeted at sites of extranodal involvement such as the gastrointestinal tract. FDG-PET scanning after completion of therapy was recently evaluated in a subset of patients from the PRIMA trial, and found to predict progression-free survival independent of other known risk factors.\textsuperscript{153} Its inclusion in baseline staging allows subsequent comparison, but is not universally necessary. Among patients thought to have limited stage disease, which can be cured with radiotherapy, PET can be used to evaluate for additional occult sites of involvement. When transformed disease is a consideration, PET can be useful in determining which site of disease to biopsy.

With polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based molecular techniques, bone marrow involvement can be demonstrated in 80\% of patients, but medullary involvement carries little prognostic significance.\textsuperscript{154} The marrow space should be evaluated prior to start of treatment, and if involved should be re-examined at the time of restaging to determine response. Bone marrow evaluation is not universally necessary in asymptomatic patients with normal counts who do not meet criteria for immediate initiation of anti-lymphoma therapy.
Management

Being both the most common and best studied indolent NHL, FL provides the paradigm by which the indolent lymphomas as a group are approached. Advanced disease, present in the majority of patients is considered to be incurable with standard therapies. Nonetheless, effective therapy is available, and with its use the disease follows a relapsing-remitting course that now extends greater than 10 years from diagnosis in most patients.

Localized FL (ie, stage I or II within a single radiation port) can potentially be cured with IFRT. The proportion of patients who remain free of disease for more than 10 years after such treatment ranges from 20%–60% in various investigations. Relapses typically occur outside the radiation field, but more extensive nodal radiation has not been found to improve survival. Combined-modality therapy has also failed to show a clear survival benefit when compared with IFRT alone in early stage FL, although rituximab-containing regimens have not been evaluated.

In advanced-stage disease, treatment is often administered only when specific criteria are met (Table 7), with the goal of decreasing disease burden and alleviating symptoms. Many patients can achieve long-term disease control with a series of intermittent treatments administered over a period of years. The natural history of FL is heterogeneous, with some patients’ disease spontaneously remitting or remaining asymptomatic and untreated for years, while others experience rapid progression or histologic transformation to aggressive disease. The median time to treatment in initially observed patients is 3 years. The value of early initiation of therapy for nonbulky, asymptomatic FL has been revisited, and some groups have argued that such therapy may be curative in a proportion of patients. However, an increased rate of secondary malignancies is seen after HDT/ASCR, and despite the improvement in remission duration, an overall survival advantage has not been demonstrated in long-term follow-up. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation can be curative, but it is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and is therefore typically reserved for otherwise fit patients in whom the disease has followed an aggressive clinical course, including rapid recurrence or poor response to therapy. Given the advanced median age at which FL is diagnosed, many patients are ineligible for such an approach, and many of those who are eligible can be adequately managed with less intensive therapy.

Table 7 Criteria for initiation of therapy for advanced stage indolent lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Indolent lymphoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Involvement of ≥3 nodal sites, each with a diameter (long axis) of ≥3 cm</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any nodal or extranodal tumor mass with a diameter (long axis) of ≥7 cm</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic splenomegaly</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusions or abdominal ascites</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoopenias (leukocytes &lt;1 × 10^9/L and/or platelets &lt;100 × 10^9/L)</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemic phase (≥5 × 10^9/L malignant cells in peripheral blood)</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient insistence</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Rituximab is now the mainstay of initial treatment and may be used as monotherapy or combined with alkylating agents, purine analogs, or anthracycline-based cytotoxic regimens. The R-CHOP regimen has been the most widely used in the US, but a recently reported comparison with rituximab and bendamustine (R-Benda) demonstrated increased progression-free survival and less toxicity in the R-Benda group. Avoidance of anthracycline-containing therapy in the initial management of FL is preferred by some groups because it allows subsequent use of R-CHOP in those patients who experience histologic transformation to DLBCL. Increasing use of R-Benda will likely make such an approach more common.

When used to consolidate responses to salvage therapy in relapsed disease, HDT/ASCR is associated with longer remission duration than standard dose chemotherapy alone, and some groups have argued that such therapy may be curative in a proportion of patients. However, an increased rate of secondary malignancies is seen after HDT/ASCR, and despite the improvement in remission duration, an overall survival advantage has not been demonstrated in long-term follow-up. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation can be curative, but it is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and is therefore typically reserved for otherwise fit patients in whom the disease has followed an aggressive clinical course, including rapid recurrence or poor response to therapy. Given the advanced median age at which FL is diagnosed, many patients are ineligible for such an approach, and many of those who are eligible can be adequately managed with less intensive therapy.

Radioimmunotherapy with the radiolabeled anti-CD20 antibodies, 90-Y-ibritumomab tiuxetan or 131-I-tositumomab, has been shown to produce high response rates in both the front-line and relapsed settings. When used to consolidate a response to an alternative first-line regimen, a single dose of 90-Y-ibritumomab tiuxetan has demonstrated significant improvement in progression-free survival, particularly benefiting patients with a partial response after first-line therapy, most of whom converted to a complete response with radioimmunotherapy.

Marginal zone lymphoma

The marginal zone lymphomas (MZLs) are a group of diseases with morphologic similarity to cells found in the...
perifollicular margins of lymphoid tissues, including the spleen, where they were first characterized. However, despite their morphologic similarities, the three entities included in this group, ie, splenic MZL, extranodal MZL of MALT and bronchial-associated lymphoid tissue, and nodal MZL, are clinically and genetically distinct entities. The MZLs collectively account for about 12% of all NHL with MALT lymphoma, the most common MZL, comprising approximately 9%.7,8

Splenic marginal zone lymphoma

Splenic MZL typically presents in older patients (median age, 65 years) with symptoms related to splenomegaly, such as early satiety and abdominal fullness, or cytopenias resulting from hypersplenism, autoantibodies, or bone marrow infiltration. Involvement of bone marrow and peripheral blood are common, and circulating lymphoma cells can often be recognized by their villous cytoplasmic projections. Within the bone marrow, a characteristic pattern of intrasinusoidal infiltration is considered a hallmark of the disease. Lymphadenopathy is typically absent or low in volume.17 A minority of patients are infected with hepatitis C virus, and treatment of the infection seems to improve tumor burden in infected patients, though the interaction may be mediated by associated cryoglobulinemia.14,176–179 Splenic MZL is an indolent disease, with a median survival of approximately 13 years.180 Surgical splenectomy has traditionally been recommended for treatment of patients with cytopenias and symptomatic splenomegaly since it typically reverses both of these; interestingly, marrow infiltration is often seen to improve following debunking splenectomy.173 More recently, use of single agent rituximab has also shown high response rates, and avoids the operative and infectious risks associated with splenectomy.181 No direct comparison of rituximab with splenectomy has been undertaken, and the use of rituximab, either alone or in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy, is currently recommended for patients who require treatment but are poor candidates for surgery.

Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of MALT

MALT lymphoma is an indolent disease which may occur at various anatomic sites and is frequently preceded by an autoimmune or chronic infectious process, the best characterized of which is H. pylori-induced chronic gastritis in gastric MALT lymphoma. Other less well established and somewhat controversial associations include Chlamydia psittaci and ocular-adnexal MALT lymphoma, and hepatitis C virus and MALT lymphoma of various sites. The pathophysiology is thought to involve chronic stimulation of B lymphocytes by a persistent infection or autoimmune phenomenon resulting in clonal proliferation. Mutations occurring within the proliferating clone may subsequently confer antigen independence.

The clinical presentation of MALT lymphoma is usually related to local symptoms from the affected site. Anatomic sites at which MALT lymphoma may occur, other than the stomach, include the breast, thyroid, bowel, skin, soft tissue, and dura. The staging evaluation depends on the site of involvement, with endoscopy playing a key role in gastrointestinal disease and pulmonary function testing in bronchial-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. Otherwise, routine clinical staging (including CT and, if relevant, PET and bone marrow biopsy) is followed.

In the case of gastric MALT lymphoma, eradication of H. pylori is generally the first therapeutic maneuver, resulting in regression or remission in 50%–80% of early-stage patients.182 The t(11;18) (q21;q21) chromosomal translocation results in antigen-independent activation of the NF-κB pathway, and is strongly predictive of the failure of H. pylori eradication alone.183 Definitive radiation therapy is recommended in such cases, and results in a 70%–90% cure rate.184,185 Chemotherapy can also be used in the setting of refractory localized disease, or in advanced-stage disease.186 No optimal regimen has been established, and alkylator-based or purine analog-based therapies are frequently employed. Single-agent rituximab has a relatively low complete response rate, but is an appropriate consideration in the frail patient. The effectiveness of antimicrobial therapy for MALT lymphoma at sites other than the stomach has not been definitively established.

Nodal marginal zone lymphoma

Nodal MZL is an uncommon indolent neoplasm of small lymphocytes predominantly involving the lymph nodes. Morphologically, it resembles the nodal involvement of MALT lymphoma, but is a distinct disorder identified in practice primarily by the absence of extranodal disease. Evaluation of regional extranodal sites including the gastrointestinal tract for abdominal adenopathy, lungs for hilar or mediastinal adenopathy, and the thyroid and ocular adnexa for neck adenopathy, should be undertaken when nodal MZL is suspected to rule out unidentified MALT lymphoma. It is distinguished from other indolent lymphomas that commonly affect lymph nodes by its immunophenotype, which is characterized by lack of expression of certain
antigens commonly seen in the other lymphomas, including CD10 (which is usually present in FL), CD5 (which is present in CLL/SLL and MCL), and CD23 (which is also present in most cases of CLL/SLL). Few data are available to guide treatment of nodal MZL specifically, and it is generally managed according to the FL paradigm with alkylation-based or purine analog-based therapy in combination with rituximab when treatment is required (Table 7).

**Small lymphocytic lymphoma of chronic lymphocytic leukemia type**

CLL and CLL/SLL both refer to a single disease entity that can present with bone marrow and peripheral blood involvement, lymph node involvement or both. Cases presenting primarily with lymphadenopathy in the absence of peripheral blood involvement are called CLL/SLL, whereas cases having a peripheral lymphocytosis with an appropriate monoclonal phenotype, regardless of whether lymphadenopathy is also present, are called CLL. It is an indolent disease of the elderly, affecting about 14,500 patients per year in the US, with a median age at diagnosis of 72 years. It is more common among men than women and among non-Hispanic whites than either Hispanics or African Americans. Only about 10% of patients will have a primarily nodal presentation, making CLL/SLL a relatively uncommon lymphoma.

**Clinical presentation**

CLL/SLL, like other indolent lymphomas, typically comes to attention due to painless peripheral lymphadenopathy. In patients with peripheral blood involvement (ie, CLL), the diagnosis is often suspected due to an incidental finding of lymphocytosis on a peripheral blood cell count and subsequently confirmed by immunophenotyping with flow cytometry. Cytopenias in CLL can occur because of marrow infiltration or peripheral destruction due to autoimmune phenomena, and may result in symptoms. Systemic B symptoms are present in <20% of patients without transformed disease.

**Staging**

CLL-specific clinical staging systems include those of Rai and Binet. The Rai system has remained more popular in the US, and includes stage 0 disease consisting of blood and bone marrow involvement alone, with monoclonal lymphocytosis of >5000 cells/mm³. This entity does not by definition include SLL/CLL, since no lymphadenopathy is present. Patients with monoclonal B cells in peripheral blood at a level <5000 cells/mm³ and no other symptoms carry a diagnosis of monoclonal B cell lymphocytosis, and go on to develop overt CLL at a rate of 1.1% per year. Rai stages I, II, III, and IV are characterized by lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, anemia, and thrombocytopenia, respectively, and these stages have prognostic significance. Both cross-sectional imaging with CT and functional imaging with FDG-PET are less well established in CLL than other lymphoid malignancies, and while imaging before and after systemic treatment are recommended in guidelines, the use of routine surveillance scans has been questioned. The utility of routine scans in the subset of patients with a predominately nodal presentation (ie, SLL/CLL) has not been specifically studied, but these patients are more likely to benefit from imaging since disease monitoring with peripheral blood counts is less feasible. Bone marrow biopsy is not required for diagnosis of CLL when peripheral blood is involved, but should be performed in cases that lack a leukemic phase. It is also helpful in patients with cytopenias at diagnosis, because it may allow distinction between marrow infiltration and autoimmune phenomena as the etiology.

Analogous to Ann Arbor staging in other lymphomas, the Rai and Binet staging systems in CLL are limited in their prognostic utility. More recently, genetic profiling studies have revealed specific recurrent abnormalities with prognostic significance independent of stage. The most frequently occurring karyotypic abnormalities with demonstrated prognostic significance are deletion of 13q, deletion of 11q, which is the locus of the ATM gene and deletion of 17p, which is the locus of the p53 gene. While 13q deletions are associated with a more indolent course and favorable prognosis as compared with patients with normal cytogenetics, the latter two abnormalities are unfavorable.

Studies investigating the cell of origin in individual cases of CLL/SLL have also yielded new prognostic information. CLL/SLL can arise from naïve pregerminal center B lymphocytes or from post-germinal center memory B cells which are characterized by somatic mutation of immunoglobulin genes which have occurred in response to antigen stimulation in the germinal center. Patients without mutations of the variable region of the immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (Ig V_H), which are therefore thought to arise from naïve B lymphocytes, have a poorer prognosis than those with mutated Ig V_H genes. An evaluation of early-stage patients, for example, reported median survival of 117 months for those with unmutated Ig V_H genes, compared with 293 months in those with mutated Ig V_H genes.
Management

Like other indolent B cell neoplasms, CLL is incurable with conventional therapy, and the therapeutic goals are therefore to prolong the natural history of the disease and relieve symptoms when such occur. Patients who are asymptomatic and do not have cytopenias at the time of diagnosis can be safely observed without therapy, as demonstrated by an analysis of two trials using early chlorambucil in observable CLL patients which found improvement in survival with such an approach.\(^\text{196}\) As noted above, the estimated length of time that will elapse before treatment is indicated varies widely with the genetic and phenotypic features present in an individual case, as well as the stage.

Multiple regimens are available for treatment once it is indicated, and no initial treatment strategy has demonstrated clear superiority as measured by overall survival. The choice of therapy is individualized, with prognostic variables, patient characteristics, efficacy, and toxicity of the regimen all being considered. In practice, front-line therapy is commonly based on the purine analog, fludarabine, used in combination with rituximab with or without cyclophosphamide.\(^\text{197,198}\)

Patients with p53 deletions or mutations have the worst overall prognosis of any group of CLL patients, and exhibit a poor response to fludarabine-based therapies.\(^\text{199}\) The anti-CD52 monoclonal antibody, alemtuzumab, has shown similar activity in patients with p53 abnormalities as in patients without them, and is therefore an important consideration in this group.\(^\text{200}\) Alemtuzumab is associated with prolonged immunodeficiency, and is less effective in treating nodal disease than medullary disease, making it less useful in the setting of bulky lymphadenopathy.\(^\text{201}\) The alkylating agent, bendamustine, often combined with rituximab, has excellent activity in the front line setting and in patients with relapsed disease.\(^\text{202,203}\)

Ofatumumab, a fully humanized anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody that binds a different CD20 epitope than rituximab, is active in fludarabine-refractory and alemtuzumab-refractory patients, regardless of prior rituximab exposure.\(^\text{204,205}\) Its use in less refractory patients and in combination with other agents (as well as in other lymphoma subtypes, most notably relapsed DLBCL) is a subject of ongoing investigation. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant is the only curative option, and is usually considered in younger patients with high-risk disease or an aggressive course.

Histologic transformation of an indolent B cell neoplasm to DLBCL was first described in CLL where it is referred to as the Richter syndrome.\(^\text{206}\) It carries a worse prognosis than DLBCL arising outside the setting of an underlying CLL, but is managed similarly. New onset of systemic symptoms or a sudden increase in the pace of disease progression should prompt consideration of transformed disease. FDG-PET scanning can be helpful in such cases, because lymph nodes containing transformed disease tend to have higher standard uptake value measurements than nontransformed nodes.\(^\text{31}\) As with transformation in the setting of other indolent lymphomas, pathologic confirmation is required to make a diagnosis of Richter syndrome; biopsy site can be guided by FDG-PET results.

Mantle cell lymphoma

Due to its morphologic similarity to other mature B cell lymphoid neoplasms, including CLL/SLL, MCL was only recognized as a distinct entity in the early 1990s when its characteristic genetic lesion, the t(11;14)(q13;q32) translocation, was found to select for a group of patients with a shared and distinct natural history. This translocation places the $\text{CCND1}$ gene under the control of the immunoglobulin heavy chain promoter-enhancer, resulting in overexpression of cyclin D1, which is thought to be the key event in MCL pathogenesis, though it is insufficient in and of itself to produce the MCL phenotype. Rarely, MCL may lack overexpression of cyclin D1 but is shown to overexpress either cyclin D2 or cyclin D3. Cyclins other than cyclin D1 are not routinely evaluated, however, and identification of t(11;14) negative MCL can be difficult. Immunohistochemical testing for cyclins D2 and D3 may be considered for indeterminate cases of CD5+ small cell lymphomas that lack both CD23 and cyclin D1 expression, making the disease non-classical for CLL/SLL, MCL, or MZL. Canonically held to share the incurability of the indolent B-cell NHLs despite a clinical behavior that is often more aggressive, recent data investigating the use of aggressive first-line therapeutic approaches, including consolidation with HDT/ASCR, have suggested that a subset of patients may indeed be cured with such an approach.

Clinical presentation

MCL most often affects older men, with 2:1 male gender predominance and a median age at diagnosis of 65 years. Most patients present with stage IV disease, with frequent involvement of bone marrow, liver, and Waldeyer’s ring.\(^\text{207}\) Gastrointestinal tract involvement is also very common, and can present along a spectrum from diffuse and symptomatic lymphomatous polyposis to an endoscopically normal lumen with microscopic disease in the absence of symptoms. Up to 80% of patients will have gastrointestinal
accurate diagnosis. Morphologically defined blastoid and pleomorphic variants are associated with poorer prognosis. The blastoid variant may be present at the time of diagnosis or occur as a transformation from the common variant.

Staging
In addition to the standard clinical staging evaluation, upper and lower endoscopy with blind luminal biopsies is likely to identify additional sites of disease involvement. Endoscopy is only likely to change management in patients who are otherwise considered to be early-stage and authorities differ on the need for such evaluation in asymptomatic, advanced-stage patients. FDG-PET is useful in assessing for extranodal disease, is of prognostic value at the conclusion of induction therapy, and may in the future be useful in guiding response-adapted therapy. Bone marrow is frequently involved, and should be evaluated prior to initiation of therapy. The prognostic index used most often in MCL is the MIPI, which uses a mathematical algorithm to determine risk strata. A combined MIPI score can be calculated for those patients in whom proliferative rate has been measured by Ki-67 staining, and may improve prediction, because proliferative rate is the single most powerful prognostic variable in MCL.

Management
Some uncertainty remains regarding the indications for initiation of treatment for MCL. Investigators have shown that deferral of first-line therapy in the absence of signs or symptoms that would necessitate treatment does not appear to affect outcomes adversely, although unlike FL, the median duration of observation prior to need for therapy is only 9 months. A subset of patients can remain asymptomatic without treatment for years, but it can be difficult to determine prospectively which patients fall into this category. In light of emerging data regarding outcomes following aggressive first-line therapy, many experts prefer to treat patients with newly diagnosed MCL regardless of disease or symptom burden.

Combined modality therapy with 4–6 cycles of systemic therapy followed by involved field radiotherapy can be curative in the small proportion of patients who present with truly localized nodal disease. As noted above, bone marrow and gastrointestinal tract are frequently involved, even in asymptomatic patients, and should be carefully evaluated before embarking on such a treatment approach. In the majority of patients with advanced-stage disease, MCL is usually treated with combination chemoinmunotherapy, but the optimal regimen and timing of treatment have not been defined. R-CHOP chemotherapy has been the most commonly used regimen, and results in improved response rate and time to treatment failure than CHOP alone, but the complete response rate is <50% and remissions are of less than 2 years in median duration. Rituximab and bendamustine may offer a somewhat longer response duration with less toxicity.

Attempts to improve on these results have mostly involved intensification of initial therapy, with the goal of achieving complete response, often followed by consolidation with HDT/ASCR. The Nordic 2 regimen, for example, alternates rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone in maximally tolerated doses, with rituximab and high-dose cytarabine, with responders going on to receive high-dose therapy with BEAM or BEAC and autologous stem cell rescue. Unlike previously reported less intensive regimens, in which relapses continued to occur at a steady rate throughout long-term follow-up, the Nordic Lymphoma Group reported a plateau in progression-free survival curves at about 5 years, suggesting that durable remission can be achieved in a subset of patients, particularly the low-MIPI cohort. Others have also sought ways to pursue aggressive first-line therapy with both anthracycline and cytarabine, and programs such as alternating R-CHOP and R-DHAP have also shown great promise. Efforts must now focus on clarifying the optimal induction therapy, the role of PET in response-adapted therapy, the utility of inclusion of radioimmunotherapy as a component of consolidation, and the value of maintenance therapy.

Patients who are not candidates for intensive initial therapy, or who relapse after completing it, are routinely treated sequentially with various chemoinmunotherapy combinations and experience a variably relapsing and remitting course. A recent comparison of rituximab and bendamustine with R-CHOP in initial treatment of indolent lymphomas, which included patients with MCL, showed the former to have superior outcomes and less toxicity. Bendamustine has also shown promising activity in the relapse setting, as have several novel agents including bortezomib, lenalidomide, and temsirolimus. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation in consolidation of remission for patients with relapsed MCL is often a relevant consideration, and is performed with curative intent.
**Burkitt’s lymphoma**

Burkitt’s lymphoma is among the most aggressive of all malignancies, with proliferation rates of 99%–100% and a natural history characterized by rapid progression to death in the absence of treatment. It is an uncommon lymphoma, occurring in three forms. The first described was the endemic form which affects children in specific geographic areas of endemic falciparum malaria, most often in Africa and classically presents with a mass in the jaw. The sporadic form typically occurs in industrialized countries, and involves abdominal organs and lymph nodes, and the immunodeficiency associated form is most often seen in HIV-positive patients. Use of antiretroviral therapy and attainment of adequate CD4+ counts do not appear to prevent the disease in HIV-positive patients. All forms of Burkitt’s lymphoma are characterized molecularly by overexpression of the c-myc oncogene due to translocation of c-myc to an immunoglobulin gene locus.

**Staging**

The most commonly applied staging evaluation discriminates Burkitt’s lymphoma into either low-risk or average-risk based upon clinical parameters. Low-risk patients have both a single site of disease (after complete staging) and a normal serum lactate dehydrogenase; although this defines a relatively small subset of patients, those with low-risk disease may be treated with less intensive therapy than patients with average risk. Burkitt’s lymphoma has a propensity for involvement of both the CNS and the bone marrow, and these sites should be evaluated at presentation and use of CNS prophylaxis is routine in the absence of demonstrated involvement.

**Management**

Intensive combination chemotherapy regimens such as CODOX-M/IVAC or hyper-CVAD are typically used in the initial management of Burkitt’s lymphoma, and should be instituted as early as possible given the tendency of the disease to progress rapidly. Rates of 5-year disease-free survival are in the 50%–75% range following such therapy. As noted above, CNS involvement is common, and both intrathecal therapy and incorporation of CNS-penetrating agents into the therapeutic regimen is routine. Tumor lysis syndrome is a common complication of therapy, and may be present even before treatment begins.

Burkitt’s lymphoma is uniformly CD20+, providing a rationale for the inclusion of rituximab in treatment. Among elderly patients, the addition of rituximab to hyper-CVAD has demonstrated improved survival without excessive toxicity, but an increased risk of infectious complications has been seen in HIV-associated Burkitt’s lymphoma. Recent data supporting the activity of R-dose adjusted EPOCH in Burkitt’s lymphoma have led this to become a frequently recommended therapeutic approach, particularly in patients who would not tolerate CODOX-M/IVAC or with Burkitt-like lymphoma.

**Peripheral T cell lymphoma**

T cell diseases collectively comprise about 5%–10% of newly diagnosed NHL. Pathologic diagnosis of T cell lymphoma can be difficult, with high rates of interobserver and intraobserver variation. Expert review is recommended for these diagnoses, but even in expert hands, only about half of them can reliably be classified into specific disease entities. Immunophenotypic evaluation is essential for accurate classification, and can also assist in distinguishing reactive processes from lymphomas, with the former having normal T cell immunophenotypes and the latter frequently exhibiting aberrant expression of non-T cell antigens or loss of expression of normal T cell antigens. Demonstration of T cell clonality with assessment of the T cell receptor can also assist in this distinction, although clonality of T cell receptor rearrangement is not itself diagnostic of malignancy, as many reactive processes (eg, drug reaction or arthropod bite) can engender inflammatory responses with T cell receptor clonality. The molecular pathogenesis of T cell lymphomas in general is not well understood, and treatment paradigms have only recently begun to diverge from routine B NHL management strategies. We will herein review the three most common lymphomas that routinely present with nodal or systemic disease, the so-called peripheral T cell lymphomas, ie, PTCL-NOS, angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma, and anaplastic large cell lymphoma.

**PTCL-NOS**

The most common subtype of PTCL, representing 25%–30% of cases, PTCL-NOS includes a heterogeneous group of systemic T cell neoplasms that do not otherwise fit into any of the specific subtypes. That said, PTCL-NOS is not a diagnosis of exclusion, but rather a distinct clinicopathologic entity. It has a median age of 60 years, a slight male predominance, and tends to present with advanced-stage disease. Common extranodal sites include liver, spleen, skin, subcutaneous tissue, and lungs. It has a poor prognosis, with 5-year overall survival of 32% in the largest published series. No randomized clinical trials have specifically addressed first-line therapy of PTCL-NOS. CHOP chemotherapy...
Hepatosplenomegaly and bone marrow infiltration are common, as are laboratory abnormalities, including anemia, polyclonal hypergammaglobulinemia, and eosinophilia. The pathologic features are distinctive, with prominent blood vessels, open sinuses, and occasional Epstein–Barr virus–positive B cells in affected lymph nodes, in addition to the neoplastic cells. The follicular T helper cell, a T helper subset found in the germinal center, has now been implicated as the cell of origin, though details of the molecular pathogenesis, like that of other T cell neoplasms, remain obscure.

Angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma is an aggressive disease with a poor prognosis; 5-year overall and failure-free survival among all patients are both 30%–40%. Patients have most commonly been treated with anthracycline-based regimens such as CHOP, as used for B cell lymphomas, but results with this approach are poor, and retrospective analysis suggests that anthracycline-containing regimens are not clearly more effective than other regimens as stand-alone therapy, which is in contrast with the case seen in aggressive B cell diseases. Some patients will respond well to less intensive therapy that is more immunosuppressive in nature, including single-agent corticosteroids or cyclosporine. However, patients who are appropriate candidates for aggressive approaches may benefit from intensified first-line therapy. Retrospective and uncontrolled prospective evaluations suggest that outcomes may be better in patients with chemosensitive disease, whose initial remission is consolidated with HDT/ASCR. Disease status at the time of HDT/ASCR is an important prognostic variable in angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma, as it is with other lymphoma subtypes. Patients with complete remission at the time of consolidation therapy have superior outcomes.

Anaplastic large cell lymphoma
The diagnosis of anaplastic large cell lymphoma has been applied most frequently to diseases of T cell or null cell origin, characterized by expression of the CD30 antigen, which is also expressed on the Reed–Sternberg cells of classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Anaplastic large cell lymphoma is typically further classified as to whether or not overexpression of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) is present; the characteristic t(2;5) translocation results in fusion of a nuclear protein gene and ALK. Patients with ALK+ anaplastic large cell lymphoma tend to be young (most commonly in the third or third decade of life), male, and present with advanced disease, whereas ALK– anaplastic large cell lymphoma tends to present later in life without the same male predominance. Unlike most PTCLs, ALK+ anaplastic large cell lymphoma (particularly in younger
patients with lower IPI scores) typically carries a favorable prognosis when treated with CHOP chemotherapy alone, with 5-year overall survival rates of 70%–80%.\textsuperscript{239,240} ALK-anaplastic large cell lymphoma bears closer resemblance to PTCL-NOS prognostically, and initial therapy of these two diseases has yet to diverge. Consolidation of CR1 for ALK-anaplastic large cell lymphoma is considered only for high-risk IPI disease, older patients with ALK+ anaplastic large cell lymphoma, or relapsed disease. The management of relapsed ALCI lacks a standard of care, although the general pattern of reinduction and consolidation, typically with allogeneic stem cell transplantation, when feasible, holds true here as well. Of note, brentuximab vedotin, the anti-CD30 antibody-drug conjugate (see above), has demonstrated Phase II activity, with an overall response rate of 86% and a complete response rate of 57%,\textsuperscript{89} earning it the distinction of being the first agent ever approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of relapsed anaplastic large cell lymphoma. Ongoing investigations will hopefully clarify the optimal use of this agent in anaplastic large cell lymphoma, exploring its incorporation into first-line therapy, relapsed disease as a component of multi-agent chemotherapy programs, and maintenance therapy both post-induction and post-consolidation.

Summary

The malignant lymphomas comprise a diverse and heterogeneous group of B cell and T cell malignancies that vary in their biology, epidemiology, natural history, response to treatment, and prognosis. They are organized based on cell of origin and our current understanding of the biology of each individual type within the structure of the WHO classification system. Management of malignant lymphomas begins with an accurate and specific diagnosis, based largely on thorough analysis of an adequate tissue specimen. Staging in lymphoma differs from that used in most solid tumors, and is less informative with regard to prognosis. Models that incorporate both patient and tumor specific variables can provide more detailed prognostic information and are used to guide treatment in some, but not all types of lymphoma. Though effective therapies are available for many types of lymphoma, a significant proportion of patients will ultimately develop relapsed or refractory disease. Areas of ongoing investigation will hopefully provide clinicians with more effective and less toxic therapies as well as greater sophistication in selecting optimal therapy for individual patients.
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