Radial keratotomy associated endothelial degeneration
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Purpose: To describe the presentation and clinical course of eyes with a history of radial keratotomy (RK) and varying degrees of endothelial degeneration.

Methods: Retrospective case series were used.

Results: Thirteen eyes (seven patients) were identified with clinical findings of significant guttata and a prior history of RK. The mean age of presentation for cornea evaluation was 54.3 years (range: 38–72 years), averaging 18.7 years (range: 11–33 years) after RK. The presentation of guttata varied in degree from moderate to severe. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) ranged from 20/25 to 20/80. All patients had a history of bilateral RK, except one patient who did not develop any guttata in the eye without prior RK. No patients reported a family history of Fuch's Dystrophy. One patient underwent a penetrating keratoplasty in one eye and a Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) in the other eye.

Conclusions: RK may induce a spectrum of endothelial degeneration. In elderly patients, the findings of guttata may signify comorbid Fuch's Dystrophy in which RK incisions could potentially hasten endothelial decomposition. In these select patients with stable cornea topography and prior RK, DSAEK may successfully treat RK endothelial degeneration.
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Introduction
Radial keratotomy (RK) flattens the central cornea by creating radial incisions to treat myopia. Combined with arcuate keratotomy (AK), astigmatism can also be decreased. By the late 1990s, excimer laser ablation surgery soon replaced RK due to improved predictability and stability compared to the hyperopic and sometimes myopic refractive shift observed over time with RK. In addition, complications related to RK have been described including: keractectasia, corneal perforation, irregular astigmatism, and fluctuating vision.

Although endothelial loss has been reported after RK, some studies suggest that the rate of endothelial loss stabilizes over time. We report seven cases (13 eyes) with a previous history of RK presenting with various degrees of guttata and endothelial dysfunction. Included are the outcomes of the first reported Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) in a patient with prior RK and guttata, which are compared to the fellow eye which underwent a penetrating keratoplasty (PKP).
A 57-year-old white woman presented with a BCVA of 20/25 in both eyes. She had a history of bilateral RK surgery 18 years prior. Slit lamp exam revealed 16 AK incisions in both eyes, and no signs of guttata on exam. No patients reported a family history of Fuch's dystrophy (Table 1). Cases 1–3 are described in detail below.

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with prior RK and guttata on initial exam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age/gender</th>
<th>Age at RK</th>
<th>Years from RK to presentation</th>
<th>MRx (OD;OS)</th>
<th>BSCVA (OD;OS)</th>
<th>Pertinent exam findings</th>
<th>No of RK (OD;OS)</th>
<th>No of AK (OD;OS)</th>
<th>CCT* (OD;OS)</th>
<th>ECD (OD;OS)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>57/F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−2.50 + 3.75 × 5; −1.50 + 0.75 × 25</td>
<td>20/40; 20/50</td>
<td>3 + guttata OU</td>
<td>16; 3</td>
<td>635; 760</td>
<td>630; 772</td>
<td>RGP trial OD</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38/M</td>
<td>21 OD only, enhancement OD 1 year later</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−4.50 + 1.00 × 74; −0.75 + 0.75 × 1787</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>1 + central guttata and inferior corneal steepening OD; wnl OS</td>
<td>4; 0</td>
<td>629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>72/M</td>
<td>55; 2 enhancements OD 1 year later</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−1.25 + 1.50 × 007; −4.00 + 2.75 × 157</td>
<td>20/25; 20/40</td>
<td>IOL and 1+ guttata OD; IOL; 3+ guttata, ERM OS</td>
<td>2; 4</td>
<td>590; --</td>
<td>590; --</td>
<td>RGP tripe OS</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63/F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+0.75 + 0.50 × 20; +1.00 + 1.25 × 33</td>
<td>20/40; 20/50</td>
<td>3 + guttata and cataract OU</td>
<td>8; 3</td>
<td>551; 1345</td>
<td>589; 1186</td>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−1.25 + 1.75 × 40; −1.25 + 2.25 × 164</td>
<td>20/25; 20/25</td>
<td>2+ guttata OU</td>
<td>8; 0</td>
<td>545; 2786</td>
<td>504; 2278</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45/F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+3.00 + 1.00 × 42; +3.00 + 2.25 × 051</td>
<td>20/50; 20/80</td>
<td>3 + guttata OU</td>
<td>4; 2</td>
<td>619; 1190</td>
<td>628; 765</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>59/F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>−0.25 + 1.25 × 10; −1.50 + 1.00 × 105</td>
<td>20/30; 20/25</td>
<td>3 + guttata and cataract OU</td>
<td>8; 2</td>
<td>622; 1089</td>
<td>610; 1937</td>
<td>5% NaCl drops</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AK, arcuate keratotomy; BSCVA, best corrected visual acuity; CCT, central corneal thickness: measured by Pentacam (except case 1 was by ultrasound pachymetry and case 4 was by Orbscan); ECD, endothelial cell density: measured by specular microscopy (except case 7 measured by Nidek confocal microscopy); ERM, epiretinal membrane; Wnl, within normal limits; OD, right eye; OS, left eye; OU, both eyes; RGP, rigid gas permeable lens; IOL, intraocular lens; RK, radial keratotomy.
Figure 1 Slit lamp photo of Case 1 right eye, showing clear cornea with DSAEK graft and prior RK incisions.

Abbreviation: DSAEK, Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty; RK, radial keratotomy.

Because of a progressive decrease in vision, a PKP was performed in the left eye one year later. Histopathology of the right cornea (Figure 2) showed RK incisions of varying depths with epithelial plugs and guttata. The surgery and clinical course was uneventful. After 18 months of astigmatism management with selective suture removal, BCVA in the left eye was 20/30 with spectacle correction of −5.25 + 3.25 × 145. BCVA of the right eye had worsened to 20/100, with increasing cataract formation. Right eye simulated keratometry (K) readings (Atlas) were 33.25 diopters (D) at 102 degrees and 38.87 D at 12 degrees showing a stable asymmetric bow-tie with a skewed radial axis pattern on topography.

With consideration of the long postoperative course after PKP in the left eye, increasing cataract, and the relatively stable topography, a DSAEK triple procedure was performed in the right eye. After phacoemulsification of the cataract, and placement of intraocular lens in the capsular bag, the Descemet’s membrane was stripped with a reverse sinsky hook. Five peripheral radial venting incisions were created avoiding prior RK and AK incisions. Using a Busin glide (Moria USA, Doylestown, Pennsylvania) an 8.25 mm posterior donor lenticule was pulled into the anterior chamber using retinal forceps. A full air bubble was left to tamponade the graft for 15 minutes and interface fluid was removed through the venting incisions. The patient had an uncomplicated postoperative course. Prednisolone acetate 1% was tapered from four times a day to once a day over 6 months, and then gradually to two times per week. Three months after DSAEK, slit lamp exam showed a clear, centered graft, without signs of rejection (Figure 3). BCVA was 20/30 with a MR of −1.75 + 7.25 × 31. Simulated K readings and topographic pattern remained stable at the 3 months and 1 year visits.

Three years after right eye DSAEK and 4 years after left eye PKP, BCVA was 20/50 and 20/40 in the right and left eyes respectively with MR (−3.75 + 6.00 × 42; −6.25 + 3.00 × 172). Pentacam topography (Oculus Inc, Wetzlar, Germany) revealed a similar pattern of irregular astigmatism of the right eye compared to preoperative topography, with equivalent K readings at the 4.3 mm zone of 34.4 D at 122.5 degrees and 37.9 D at 32.5 degrees (Figure 4). Vision fluctuated over the years in both eyes with BCVA ranging from 20/30 to 20/60. There was no significant change in MR after one year from DSAEK surgery. Vision fluctuation was partially due to ocular surface dryness, for which the patient was maintained on cyclosporine 0.05% topical drops twice daily, cevimeline three times a day, and artificial tears four times per day.

Figure 2 Hematoxylin and eosin stain at 40× magnification of Case 1 left cornea, showing RK incisions with an epithelial plug and a thickened Descemet’s membrane with guttata (insert).

Abbreviation: RK, radial keratotomy.

Figure 3 Slit lamp photo of Case 1 right eye, showing clear cornea with DSAEK graft and prior RK incisions.

Abbreviations: DSAEK, Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty; RK, radial keratotomy.
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Exam showed eight RK incisions with two AK incisions in the right eye, and four RK and two AK incisions in the left eye. There was 1+ guttata in the right cornea and 3+ guttata in the left cornea.

The patient had cataract surgery 3 years prior, with a history of endophthalmitis in the left eye treated with pars plana vitrectomy. He developed complications of cystoid macular edema and ocular hypertension, which both improved with topical medication. The patient was intentionally made myopic in the left eye after cataract surgery for monovision correction. Although the right eye had more RK incisions than the left, the left eye had a more complicated postoperative course after cataract surgery, which likely increased the amount of endothelial damage. This patient is the oldest patient in the case series. It is unknown when guttata first developed. Because of the relatively good visual acuity, the plan was made to monitor the patient.

Discussion

It is estimated that several hundred thousand RK procedures were performed annually to treat myopia, in the late 1980’s and early 1990’s.1,2 PKP or deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty is often required to treat the RK induced irregular astigmatism or keratoectasia when uncorrectable with contact lenses. The present case series reports the association between guttata with endothelial degeneration and RK, in which one eye was treated successfully with a DSAEK. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported DSAEK in an eye with RK endothelial degeneration (RKED).

In the 1980’s and 1990’s, several studies analyzed the significance between RK and endothelial cell loss. One of the earliest forms of RK developed by Sato in the 1940’s utilized both anterior and posterior incisions. Ten years after surgery, 60 of 80 eyes developed bullous keratopathy.7 Chiba et al reported a post-RK endothelial cell loss of 14.1% with significant pleomorphism associated with microperforation and a smaller central clear zone of treatment, 6 to 30 months after surgery.6 In animal models, no difference between the reported 14%–15% endothelial cell loss was seen in eight versus 16 incision RK at 6 months.10

With longer follow up, early studies suggested a nonprogressive acute traumatic endothelial cell loss that stabilized over time.8,9,11 In a study of 52 eyes which underwent RK, Hoffer et al reported 10% endothelial cell loss 3 months after the 16-incision procedure, with no difference in the ten eyes with microperforations.12 In a follow up study, the authors reported endothelial cell counts after 2 years increased by 2%.1[9] Mac Rae et al similarly reported a 3.3% rate of...
endothelial cell loss 1 year after RK, which decreased to 0.4% per year after an average of 7 years follow up. In monkey eyes, Dunn et al showed a 13% loss 1 month after RK, with stabilization of polymegathism and no progressive endothelial cell loss 4 years later. In analyzing endothelial cell differences in RK patients and age matched controls, Zhao et al reported no difference was seen in endothelial cell density, however subtle morphologic changes were noted in the RK group with a follow up of 11–18 years. Although these studies show a trend of stabilization, the effects of RK 20 to 30 years after surgery is unknown. The 13 eyes presented with RKED range in longer follow up from 11 to 26 years after RK.

Primary central guttata develop from dystrophic endothelium representing focal thickening of Descemet’s membrane. They pose little clinical significance unless they become confluent and can lead to endothelial dysfunction. Population studies have estimated cornea guttata of patients older than 40–55 years to be 3.7%–11%, increasing with age with a higher prevalence in females. Most patients who had RK were under 40 years of age with presumably healthy endothelium. Salz reported a larger overcorrection and a 50% endothelial cell loss 4 years after RK in a 68-year-old likely due to age related preoperative endothelial dysfunction which rapidly decompensated after RK surgery.

As many of the RK patients become older, comorbid guttata such as seen in Fuch’s dystrophy may also increase. However, the cases presented included three patients (Cases 2, 5, and 6) who were under the age of 50 with RKED. No patients reported a family history of Fuch’s dystrophy, although no family members were examined to confirm these findings. One patient had unilateral guttata in the eye, which underwent RK, with completely normal endothelium in the contralateral eye which had no history of RK. The RK eye also had a remote history of iritis. Secondary guttata may occur after trauma, uveitis, breaks in Descemet’s membrane, posterior keratoconus. Transient guttata (termed pseudoguttata) have been reported after episodes of iritis or cornea inflammation clinically disappearing with resolution of inflammation. As the patient had no signs of anterior chamber or cornea inflammation, these are less likely to be related to the isolated episode of iritis and more likely associated to the history of RK.

The cases presented range in severity of RKED. RK may hasten the presentation of guttata development of endothelial cell compromise in those patients with an underlying predisposition. Additionally, an age related decline in endothelial cell density and function may make older corneas more susceptible to RK-induced trauma over time. The higher number of endothelial cell counts paracentrally and peripherally compared to the central region supports findings that endothelial precursor cells are concentrated peripherally. As RK incisions cut radially into the cornea, it is possible the incisions may also disrupt the pattern of endothelial cell migration and stem cell proliferation. RK incisions may induce focal trauma at the time of surgery with persistent ongoing trauma years after surgery. Histopathologic analysis has demonstrated endothelial damage associated with deeper cuts after RK in monkeys. Posterior Descemet’s membrane folds have been observed under RK incisions even without microperforation.

These subsets of post-RK patients with guttata may present with cataracts and extra caution should be taken to protect the endothelium during surgery. Diurnal vision fluctuations have been described and are thought to correlate with intraocular pressure or cornea hydration changes of unstable peripheral cornea lamella. RK incisions may cause corneal stretching and fluctuations that may add to subsequent and cumulative endothelial injury. As many RK patients have a history of contact lens use both before and after refractive surgery, contact lens induced polymegathism and pleomorphism may further contribute to endothelial cell loss.

Whether treatment of RKED should be managed with a PKP or DSAEK requires careful evaluation of corneal topography. If significant irregular astigmatism, anterior stromal scarring, or ectasia is present, then a PKP may be required since a DSAEK would not improve any of these anterior cornea pathologies. However, if topography is stable over time as was demonstrated in Case 1, a DSAEK may be a better option due to the faster recovery and decreased risk of PKP intraoperative and postoperative complications. Although vision was 20/30 3 months after DSAEK, the vision continued to fluctuate over the next 3 years due to both comorbid ocular surface dryness and the prior AK and RK incisions.

In conclusion, we report the association of prior RK and endothelial degeneration as evidenced by guttata becoming confluent in over half the cases presented. This case series is limited by the retrospective nature, which made it difficult to quantify exact RK incision depth and the inability to follow patients over time from onset of RK. Although not all patients with prior RK will develop signs or symptoms of RKED, those predisposed or perhaps those with deeper RK incisions may present with endothelial damage and decompensation. While some guttata may signify the concurrent development of Fuch’s dystrophy, a previous history of RK may induce a
more rapid decompensation. In select patients, a DSAEK may be considered as an alternative to PKP for RKED.
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