Acamprosate for treatment of alcohol dependence: mechanisms, efficacy, and clinical utility
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Abstract: Acamprosate, or N-acetyl homotaurine, is an N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor modulator approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a pharmacological treatment for alcohol dependence. The exact mechanism of action of acamprosate is still under investigation, but the drug appears to work by promoting a balance between the excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters, glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid, respectively, and it may help individuals with alcohol dependence by reducing withdrawal-associated distress. Acamprosate has low bioavailability, but also has an excellent tolerability and safety profile. In comparison with naltrexone and disulfiram, which are the other FDA-approved treatments for alcohol dependence, acamprosate is unique in that it is not metabolized by the liver and is also not impacted by alcohol use, so can be administered to patients with hepatitis or liver disease (a common comorbid condition among individuals with alcohol dependence) and to patients who continue drinking alcohol. Acamprosate has demonstrated its efficacy in more than 25 placebo-controlled, double-blind trials for individuals with alcohol dependence, and has generally been found to be more efficacious than placebo in significantly reducing the risk of returning to any drinking and increasing the cumulative duration of abstinence. However, acamprosate appears to be no more efficacious than placebo in reducing heavy drinking days. Numerous trials have found that acamprosate is not significantly more efficacious than naltrexone or disulfiram, and the efficacy of acamprosate does not appear to be improved by combining acamprosate with other active medications (eg, naltrexone) or with psychosocial treatment (eg, cognitive-behavioral therapy). In this review, we present the data on acamprosate, including its pharmacology, efficacy, safety, and tolerability in the treatment of alcohol dependence.
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Management issues in alcohol dependence

The harmful use of alcohol is a global public health problem, with nearly 2.5 million deaths per year attributed to alcohol worldwide. The World Health Organization has identified alcohol as the first and second leading risk factor for premature mortality and disability in the Americas and Europe, respectively. Alcohol dependence is one of the most common substance use disorders, with more than 12.5% of individuals in the US (roughly 38 million) meeting criteria for alcohol dependence within their lifetime and 3.8% (roughly 11 million) meeting criteria in the past year, with similar rates in many European countries.

Alcohol dependence has been described as a chronic, relapsing disorder, with the majority of individuals never receiving treatment. One recent survey found that fewer...
than 15% of individuals with a lifetime diagnosis of alcohol abuse or dependence have ever received treatment for alcohol dependence, and of those who do seek treatment, fewer than 13% are provided with prescription medication for alcohol dependence. The majority of individuals with disorders related to alcohol use receive psychosocial intervention, such as cognitive-behavioral treatment, coping skills training, contingency management, motivation enhancement therapy, and mutual support groups (eg, Alcoholics Anonymous). Overall, most psychosocial interventions, including self-help, have been found to be effective in the treatment of alcohol dependence. However, the majority of patients experience a lapse within the first year following a psychosocial intervention, and there is growing evidence that combining psychosocial and pharmacological treatments may be an effective method for treating alcohol dependence.

Currently, there are three medications for the treatment of alcohol dependence that have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), ie, disulfiram, naltrexone, and acamprosate. Disulfiram blocks the metabolism of acetaldehyde, which leads to severe discomfort when alcohol is consumed. Disulfiram has been approved for the treatment of alcohol dependence for over 50 years and has been shown to improve rates of alcohol abstinence, but numerous disadvantages of disulfiram have been identified. Namely, disulfiram is an aversion therapy and is only effective when patient compliance is high, so the continued use of disulfiram requires a significant level of patient motivation. Naltrexone is an opioid receptor antagonist that was approved by the FDA in 1994 as an oral medication, and a long-acting injectable formulation was approved in 2006. Naltrexone appears to work by competitively binding to endogenous opioid receptors and reducing the pleasant and reinforcing effects of alcohol. Unlike disulfiram, which relies on aversion, naltrexone directly targets the learned association of experiencing euphoria following alcohol use. A recent meta-analysis of 50 randomized controlled trials of naltrexone in the treatment of 7793 patients with alcohol dependence found that naltrexone decreased the risk of heavy drinking days by 83% and decreased the number of drinking days by 4% in comparison with placebo.

Acamprosate appears to act as a partial coagonist of NMDA receptors, depending on its concentration and the potential for acamprosate to act on inhibitory receptors was an early focus, but more recent research indicates little influence of acamprosate on GABA or glycine receptors, either independently or in the presence of ethanol, and current efforts have shifted toward examining the mechanism of action of acamprosate at NMDA receptors. Acamprosate appears to act as a partial coagonist of NMDA receptors, depending on its concentration and the pharmacokinetics of acamprosate.
activity of the NMDA receptor, where at low concentrations acamprosate increases low receptor activity and at high concentrations inhibits highly activated NMDA receptors. The precise mode of action is not fully understood, but recent studies suggest that acamprosate is an NMDA receptor modulator rather than a direct antagonist, potentially working via a spermidine-sensitive binding site. Upon further examination, acamprosate may be responsible for regulating NMDA subunit synthesis, indicating an important role in amending the changes caused by chronic alcohol consumption rather than acutely antagonizing NMDA-mediated glutamatergic neurotransmission. Thus, acamprosate helps balance disrupted neurotransmission, mainly by decreasing the overexcitation caused by alcohol dependence. Acamprosate is most likely absorbed via a paracellular route and overall bioavailability is relatively low (11%). Maximum plasma concentrations were observed to range from 4.3–15.3 hours after acute oral administration of varying formulas but dropped to 3.5–9.5 hours when steady state was reached. A steady state has consistently been observed between 5 and 7 days. Limited absorption after oral administration results in a majority of the drug being excreted in fecal matter, so amounts excreted in urine may be directly correlated with its bioavailability. Acamprosate is not processed significantly in the liver and does not appear to have any metabolites, leaving little threat of interactions with other drugs, including naltrexone, disulfiram, diazepam, or alcohol, but taking food with acamprosate does appear to limit its absorption even further.

**Efficacy in alcohol dependence**

The efficacy of acamprosate in the treatment of alcohol dependence was recently evaluated in a comprehensive Cochrane review that included 24 randomized controlled trials of 6894 patients with the disorder. The 24 randomized controlled trials included in the Cochrane review all used a double-blind design to compare the effects of acamprosate (alone or in combination) with placebo or an active treatment control group. The primary outcomes of the review included returning to any drinking and cumulative duration of abstinence (ie, the sum of days a patient remained abstinent during the study). The secondary outcomes included return to heavy drinking (defined in most studies as five or more standard drinks per occasion), liver enzyme levels (gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase), and side effects. A meta-analysis of the 24 trials indicated a statistically significant effect of acamprosate in comparison with placebo on both of the primary outcomes. Receiving acamprosate significantly reduced the risk of returning to any drinking by 86% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 81%–91%) and increased the cumulative duration of abstinence by 11% (95% CI: 5%–16%) compared with placebo during treatment. A statistically significant difference between groups was also observed 3–12 months after treatment was discontinued. Individuals who received acamprosate had a 9% lower risk of returning to any drinking and a 9% higher continuous abstinence duration in comparison with placebo after discontinuing treatment. The Cochrane review did not find significant differences between the acamprosate and placebo groups on return to heavy drinking or on gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, and the review also did not find significant differences between acamprosate and naltrexone on the primary outcomes or return to heavy drinking. There was a significant difference between acamprosate and naltrexone on gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase. Likewise, the combination of acamprosate and naltrexone did not lead to significant differences in primary or secondary outcomes, as compared with placebo or as compared with acamprosate monotherapy.

The Cochrane review and other reviews of acamprosate have generally omitted open-label and single-blind studies because they often lack the rigorous standards of double-blind, randomized trials and can be lower in internal validity. It is also the case that double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trials are sometimes lower in external validity. For this reason, we believe that it is important to consider the findings from less methodologically rigorous evaluations of acamprosate in addition to the review of the double-blind, placebo-controlled trials described above. The Cochrane review excluded 24 trials of acamprosate in the treatment of alcohol dependence for a variety of reasons, including open-label or single-blind design, lack of placebo or active control groups, treatment duration below 30 days, or follow-up duration less than 8 weeks, and nonavailability of data. Of these 24 trials, we provide an overview of the findings from nine open-label and single-blind studies in Table 1.

As seen in Table 1, acamprosate was less effective than naltrexone and disulfiram was more effective than placebo or standard care, and was not significantly different from oxcarbazepine. Overall, the average number of days until the first drinking day among those who received acamprosate ranged from 66 to 81.5 days, while the average days until the first drinking day among the comparison groups ranged from 96 days for oxcarbazepine to 123 days for disulfiram. The average number of days to a heavy drinking day or severe lapse among those who received acamprosate ranged from 17.6 days to 77 days,
Table 1 Review of open-label and single-blind acamprosate trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Treatment groups</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Croissant et al&lt;sup&gt;45&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1998 mg/day versus oxcarbazepine 150 mg/day to 1200 mg/day over 12 days</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>Acutely detoxified alcohol-dependent patients</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NS, oxcarbazepine had more days until first drinking day (96 days) compared with acamprosate (66 days). Days until severe relapse, oxcarbazepine (97 days) versus acamprosate (77 days)</td>
<td>Tiredness (n = 2), vegetative symptoms (n = 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Sousa&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1998 mg/day, disulfiram 250 mg/day</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>Detoxified alcohol-dependent patients</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Days until lapse: disulfiram (123 days)&lt;sup&gt;<em>&lt;/sup&gt; versus acamprosate (71 days). Continuous abstinence: disulfiram (88%)</em>, acamprosate (46%)</td>
<td>Insomnia (n = 28), nausea (3%–4% of subjects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kampman et al (2009)</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1998 mg/day versus placebo</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>Alcohol-dependent patients start of detoxification</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NS, placebo group had fewer percent drinking days (19%) compared with acamprosate (34%)</td>
<td>Aches and pains (n = 11), diarrhea (n = 7), headache (n = 7), skin rash (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiritze-Topor et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1332 mg/day (weight &lt; 60 kg), 1998 mg/day (weight &gt; 60 kg) versus standard care</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Adult patients with alcohol dependence at beginning of detoxification</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>Cumulative abstinence days: acamprosate (81%)* versus standard care alone (67%)</td>
<td>Death (n = 10, not attributable to treatment), adverse events (n = 2, no specific side effects were mentioned)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laaksonen et al&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1998 mg/day, disulfiram 200 mg/day, naltrexone 50 mg/day</td>
<td>119 weeks</td>
<td>Voluntary treatment-seeking alcohol-dependent adult outpatients</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>Days to first heavy drinking day: acamprosate 17.6, disulfiram 46.6*, naltrexone 22.0. Abstinence days/week: acamprosate 4.5, disulfiram 6.3, naltrexone 4.6*</td>
<td>GI, nausea, vomiting (n = 14), skin symptoms (n = 7), dizziness (n = 4), sexual dysfunction (n = 1), other (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paille et al&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1332 mg/day or 1998 mg/day versus placebo</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Alcohol-dependent patients after detoxification</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>Continuous abstinence days greatest for high-dose group (153 days)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;, followed by low-dose group (135 days)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; then the placebo group (102 days)</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelc et al (2002)</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1332 mg/day (weight &lt; 60 kg), 1998 mg/day (weight &gt; 60 kg) versus standard care</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>Alcohol-dependent patients</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>Median time to relapse (average 12 weeks). Total abstinence: average of 24.4%</td>
<td>GI (n = 278), headache (n = 88), nausea (n = 55), psychological disorders (n = 94), sleep disorders or tiredness (n = 107), pruritus (n = 49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubio et al&lt;sup&gt;40&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1665–1998 mg/day (based on weight) versus naltrexone 50 mg/day</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Recently detoxified alcohol-dependent men with moderate dependence</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>Time to heavy drinking day: naltrexone 63 days&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; versus acamprosate 42 days. Number of abstinent days at one-year: naltrexone 243 days&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; versus acamprosate 180 days</td>
<td>Headache (6%), nausea (4%), diarrhea (4%), epigastric discomfort (4%), drowsiness (2%), nasal congestion (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyka et al&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acamprosate 1332 mg/day (weight &lt; 60 kg), 1998 mg/day (weight &gt; 60 kg) + psychotherapy</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>Recently detoxified alcohol-dependent patients</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>Time until first drink was 81.5 days; 33.5% continuous abstinence; 101.6 cumulative abstinent days at 24 weeks</td>
<td>Diarrhea or loose stools (n = 90), itching (n = 36), headache (n = 28), fatigue (n = 16), sleep (n = 11), nausea (n = 10), viral infection (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: <sup>*</sup>P < 0.05 versus comparison groups.

Abbreviations: NS, nonsignificant findings; GI, gastrointestinal.
the average days until the first heavy drinking day or severe lapse among the comparison groups ranged from 22 days for naltrexone\textsuperscript{31} to 97 days for oxcarbazepine.\textsuperscript{35}

Additional randomized controlled trials have been published since the Cochrane review was completed, with the latest studies included in the Cochrane review published in 2006. To address this gap we will briefly summarize each of the randomized trials that have been published since 2006. Most recently, acamprosate was combined with either integrative behavioral therapy or supportive counseling, and was compared with integrative behavioral therapy and placebo in a randomized, double-blind multisite study.\textsuperscript{12} A total of 371 individuals were randomized to one of the three treatments (acamprosate + behavioral therapy, acamprosate + supportive counseling, or placebo + behavioral therapy) administered for 6 months, and patients were followed for 3 and 6 months after discontinuation of treatment. Importantly, individuals were dropped from the study if they used any alcohol during treatment (40.3\% of 201 dropouts) or missed three consecutive sessions (35.3\% of 201 dropouts). At the end of the 6-month treatment and up to 6 months following treatment, there were no significant differences in success (nonlapsed) rates between the three groups. The best outcomes were observed in the acamprosate + behavioral therapy condition (47.6\% success rate at end of treatment and 35\% success rate at 6-month follow-up) and the placebo + behavioral therapy condition (48\% success rate at end of treatment and 32\% success rate at 6-month follow-up), as compared with the acamprosate + supportive counseling condition (37.7\% success rate at end of treatment and 32\% success rate at 6-month follow-up). Other drinking outcomes (e.g., rates of moderate, nonheavy drinking) were not reported.

**Compliance and patient response**

Importantly, compliance with medication plays an important role in the efficacy of acamprosate. One recent meta-analysis of individual patient data (n = 2305) from 11 randomized controlled trials comparing acamprosate with placebo found that those individuals who took at least 80\% of their prescribed medication during the early phases of treatment were five times more likely to complete treatment and had a significantly higher percentage of abstinence days than those individuals who were not compliant.\textsuperscript{37} The authors also found a significant compliance by treatment interaction in the prediction of abstinence days. Individuals who complied with acamprosate had 8.4\% more improvement in percentage of abstinent days than individuals who complied with placebo. Those individuals who complied with acamprosate 50\% of the time had only a 4.2\% improvement in percentage of abstinent days as compared with those individuals who complied with placebo. These findings are noteworthy given the three times daily dosing and unpleasant side effects of acamprosate (e.g., nausea, diarrhea), which may cause disruptions in compliance. In the largest randomized clinical trial of acamprosate conducted to date,\textsuperscript{10} mean adherence to acamprosate monotherapy was only 69.7\% and mean adherence to acamprosate combined with naltrexone was only 64.9\%.

Genetic factors may also play a role in the patient response to acamprosate. Kiefer et al\textsuperscript{38} found that genetic variations in a gene for GATA-binding protein (GATA4) was significantly associated with relapse risk during a 90-day medical treatment period and that the risk was primarily driven by those patients who were treated with acamprosate as compared with those treated with naltrexone or placebo. Similarly, in a novel study of genetic risk factors interacting with either naltrexone or acamprosate in the prediction of alcohol cue reactivity, Ooteman et al\textsuperscript{39} found interactions between medication condition and polymorphisms of the GABRA6 and DRD2 genotypes in prediction of cue-induced craving. The efficacy of acamprosate on cue-induced craving depended on the frequency of the C allele of the GABRA6 gene. For the DRD2 gene, acamprosate outperformed naltrexone among A1 allele carriers and naltrexone outperformed acamprosate among A2 allele carriers. The genetic findings from these two studies need to be replicated prior to making any definite conclusion, but the results are promising for future pharmacogenetic research on the efficacy of matching acamprosate to profiles of genetic risk.

**Safety and tolerability**

Acamprosate has been described as having an “excellent safety profile”.\textsuperscript{40} Perhaps most important for the treatment of alcohol dependence, acamprosate is not metabolized by liver enzymes and alcohol has no effect on the pharmacokinetics of acamprosate. Acamprosate is excreted through the kidneys and is contraindicated for individuals with severe renal impairment. A systematic review of the published literature from 1990 to 2002 found that patients who received acamprosate generally reported few adverse effects and also reported fewer adverse effects than those patients who received naltrexone.\textsuperscript{41} In the Cochrane review, only diarrhea was reported significantly more often for acamprosate than for placebo, but the dropout rate due to side effects was 35\% higher in the acamprosate group than in the placebo group. Other side effects reported...
in the studies reviewed by the Cochrane group included abdominal pain (three studies), constipation (three studies), nausea (five studies), vomiting (three studies), other gastrointestinal symptoms (eight studies), pruritus (four studies), and a variety of side effects were reported in one or two of the studies reviewed.

Rosenthal et al.42 conducted an analysis of acamprosate safety and tolerability data pooled from 13 large randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of acamprosate for alcohol dependence. Their analysis included 2272 patients who received acamprosate doses of 1998–2000 mg/day (n = 1749), 1132 mg/day (n = 440), and 3000 mg/day (n = 83) for an average of 12.7–34.7 weeks. The overall incidence of adverse events pooled across the acamprosate groups was 61% (as compared with 56% in the pooled placebo groups). Dropout due to adverse events was not significantly different between the pooled acamprosate (7% in shorter trials, 8% in longer trials) and pooled placebo groups (6% in shorter trials, 7% in longer trials). Consistent with the data from the Cochrane review, diarrhea was the most common adverse event and was reported significantly more often (P < 0.01) by acamprosate patients (16%) than by placebo patients (10%). The patients reported only mild or moderate diarrhea, and the incidence of diarrhea decreased after 4 weeks. Serious adverse events (defined as any event that was fatal, lifethreatening, or resulted in prolonged hospitalization, disability/incapacity, birth defect, cancer, or overdose) were slightly more common among the acamprosate groups (7% in shorter trials, 14% in longer trials), as compared with the placebo groups (5% in shorter trials, 11% in longer trials), although no significant differences in serious adverse events were found in a between-group analysis of the pooled acamprosate versus pooled placebo data.

Overall, numerous narrative and meta-analytic reviews have concluded that acamprosate is safe and well tolerated by patients with alcohol dependence.51,52,40–43 Notably, of the three FDA-approved medications for alcohol dependence, acamprosate is the only medication that is not associated with liver toxicity (naltrexone is contraindicated in patients with hepatitis or liver failure) and is also not impacted by alcohol use (disulfiram and alcohol consumption results in significant physical symptoms). In a risk-benefit analysis comparing double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of acamprosate and/or naltrexone in the treatment of alcohol dependence, Mason44 concluded that acamprosate is more reliably effective and is often better tolerated, which is consistent with later reviews that found acamprosate to be associated with fewer adverse events in comparison with naltrexone.41

### Acamprosate in clinical practice

Based on the available evidence and reviews of acamprosate conducted to date, we conclude that acamprosate is an efficacious treatment for alcohol dependence and is well tolerated by patients. Acamprosate also has no abuse potential and does not have significant drug interactions with many of the medications that are commonly used to treat alcohol dependence and other psychiatric disorders (including naltrexone, antidepressants, anxiolytics, and hypnotics).42 Few serious adverse events associated with acamprosate have been reported across studies and fewer than 8% of patients have dropped out of treatment because of the side effects of acamprosate,42 which is lower than the 10% rate of dropout due to the side effects of naltrexone.41

The question of whether acamprosate is a cost-effective treatment was recently evaluated in a systematic review of economic evaluations of pharmacotherapy in the treatment of alcohol dependence. Although the number of studies identified for the review was limited to only seven trials, the results clearly indicated a net benefit of acamprosate, naltrexone, or their combination. Cost-effectiveness of the COMBINE (Combined Pharmacotherapies and Behavioral Interventions for Alcohol Dependence) study, the largest randomized controlled trial of acamprosate conducted to date,44 found that naltrexone or the combination of naltrexone with acamprosate produced the greatest cost savings with respect to their effectiveness in increasing percent days of abstinence and increasing the proportion of patients who avoided heavy drinking and were determined to have good clinical outcomes.44 A second analysis of the COMBINE study evaluated the cost-effectiveness of each treatment condition from the patient’s perspective by calculating total costs, effectiveness, and the patient time costs (eg, time spent traveling to and from treatment).47 Again, the results indicated that placebo, naltrexone, or the combination of naltrexone and acamprosate, were the most cost-effective treatments. Finally, there is evidence that acamprosate may be associated with reduced lifetime costs due to greater life expectancy that follows the greater abstinence rates achieved while taking acamprosate.46,49

Given that acamprosate has been shown to be efficacious, but no more efficacious than alternative medications for alcohol dependence,50 an important next step is to examine whether certain individuals are more or less likely to benefit from acamprosate than from other medications.17,51,52 Pharmacogenomics has started to reveal that the efficacy of acamprosate may be enhanced by certain genotypes,41 although these findings will need to be replicated. Some studies have
found that individuals with a goal of total abstinence and those patients who are highly motivated may be more likely to benefit from acamprosate than from naltrexone or placebo.\cite{31,53} While another study found that acamprosate worked better for individuals who were very frequent drinkers prior to starting treatment and less well for those individuals who had more days of abstinence prior to treatment.\cite{54} Another intriguing finding is that acamprosate does not appear to confer additional benefits when prescribed in combination with behavioral interventions,\cite{12} although there may be some benefit to providing a motivational intervention prior to starting treatment with acamprosate.\cite{53}

In addition to understanding who will benefit from acamprosate, more research needs to be done on the neurobiological and behavioral mechanisms of change. Recent data suggest that acamprosate may be effective by normalizing dysregulated NMDA-mediated glutamatergic neurotransmission, which may serve to reduce alcohol withdrawal-related distress and craving.\cite{55,56} Another study found that acamprosate may be partially effective by reducing the sleep disturbances that are often found among individuals in alcohol withdrawal.\cite{13} Preclinical studies have found that acamprosate has effects on alcohol self-administration and cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking,\cite{57-59} so it has been proposed that acamprosate may work by reducing withdrawal-related and cue-induced craving.\cite{18} A recent study in alcohol-dependent patients found acamprosate-induced attenuation of craving in response to a priming dose of alcohol and this effect was correlated with the plasma concentration of acamprosate.\cite{60} Furthermore, individuals who received acamprosate experienced less of an elevation in cortisol levels following alcohol administration, which suggests that acamprosate may play a role in stabilizing hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function.\cite{60}

In summary, acamprosate has been shown to be an effective medication in the treatment of alcohol dependence. However, the effects of acamprosate are only moderate in comparison with placebo and acamprosate has not been found to be consistently superior to naltrexone or disulfiram. Furthermore, there have not been any prospective studies evaluating the long-term (longer than one year) effectiveness of acamprosate in preventing lapse following discontinuation of the medication and retrospective studies have found the long-term effectiveness of acamprosate to be worse than that of disulfiram in a naturalistic clinical setting.\cite{61} Finally, it is imperative that more research be devoted to studying the mechanisms of efficacy for acamprosate and to determine whether certain patients are more or less likely to respond to this agent, as compared with other FDA-approved medications for alcohol dependence.
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