New oral antithrombotics: focus on dabigatran, an oral, reversible direct thrombin inhibitor for the prevention and treatment of venous and arterial thromboembolic disorders
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Abstract: Venous thromboembolism, presenting as deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, is a major challenge for health care systems. It is the third most common vascular disease after coronary heart disease and stroke, and many hospitalized patients have at least one risk factor. In particular, patients undergoing hip or knee replacement are at risk, with an incidence of asymptomatic deep vein thrombosis of 40%–60% without thromboprophylaxis. Venous thromboembolism is associated with significant mortality and morbidity, with patients being at risk of recurrence, post-thrombotic syndrome, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Arterial thromboembolism is even more frequent, and atrial fibrillation, the most common embolic source (cardiac arrhythmia), is associated with a five-fold increase in the risk of stroke. Strokes due to atrial fibrillation tend to be more severe and disabling and are more often fatal than strokes due to other causes. Currently, recommended management of both venous and arterial thromboembolism involves the use of anticoagulants such as coumarin and heparin derivatives. These agents are effective, although have characteristics that prevent them from providing optimal anticoagulation and convenience. Hence, new improved oral anticoagulants are being investigated. Dabigatran is a reversible, direct thrombin inhibitor, which is administered as dabigatran etexilate, the oral prodrug. Because it is the first new oral anticoagulant that has been licensed in many countries worldwide for thromboprophylaxis following orthopedic surgery and for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation, this compound will be the main focus of this review. Dabigatran has been investigated for the treatment of established venous thromboembolism and prevention of recurrence in patients undergoing hip or knee replacement, as well as for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation patients with a moderate and high risk of stroke.
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Introduction
This review will summarize the efficacy and safety data available for dabigatran etexilate (herein after referred to as dabigatran) as anticoagulation therapy for the prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism and for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation. To provide a broader picture of this rapidly advancing area of therapy, we have included a brief overview of recent data on other new oral anticoagulants.

Two million people per year in the United States are affected by venous thromboembolism (deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism), making venous
thromboembolism the third most common vascular disease after coronary heart disease and stroke. In Europe, the number of deaths resulting from venous thromboembolism is five times greater than the combined total deaths from breast cancer, acquired immune deficiency syndrome, and road traffic accidents.

The consequences of developing venous thromboembolism are clinically important. Patients diagnosed with venous thromboembolism, especially pulmonary embolism, are at greater risk of death within the first 3 months than patients without venous thromboembolism, and patients with venous thromboembolism can develop long-term complications, such as post-thrombotic syndrome and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Furthermore, approximately 18% of patients will have recurrences of venous thromboembolism within 2 years, and one third of patients within 8 years.

**Venous thromboembolism in orthopedic surgery**

Without thromboprophylaxis, major orthopedic surgery, such as total hip or knee replacement (THR or TKR), carries a risk of asymptomatic venous thromboembolism. This is because orthopedic surgery triggers a substantial local and systemic thrombin generation and activity, potentially leading to manifestation of thrombotic events on both the venous and arterial side. The relationship between asymptomatic and symptomatic venous thromboembolism has recently been demonstrated using data from prospective randomized trials, ie, one symptomatic venous thromboembolism developed for every five occurrences of asymptomatic deep vein thrombosis after THR, and for every 21 occurrences after TKR.

Even if clinical events have decreased in recent years, rebound thrombin activity has been shown when prophylaxis with dalteparin was suspended at hospital discharge about one week post-surgery. Likely as a result of the early discontinuation of thromboprophylaxis, venous thromboembolism is the most common cause of readmission to hospital in patients who have undergone such surgery. In a study of patients who had undergone major hip and knee surgery with hospital-based thromboprophylaxis, most cases of venous thromboembolism developed after hospital discharge, confirming the need for continued thromboprophylaxis. The increased risk of venous thromboembolism was apparent for about 3 months after hip surgery and 1 month after knee surgery. Similarly, the increased absolute rate of deaths after THR (ie, in the same patient population between 100 and 200 days) was dominated by vascular events and lasted for about 3 months.

In tandem with the increasing age of the population, the number of THR and TKR procedures is increasing in many countries, and this is likely to have a major impact on the number of patients experiencing postoperative systemic thromboembolic events.

**Stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation**

Stroke is an arterial thromboembolic disease and a major complication of nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Atrial fibrillation is the most common cardiac arrhythmia, affecting an estimated 4.5 million people in Europe and 2.3 million in the United States. Because the prevalence increases with age, it is predicted to increase significantly over the next 40 years as a result of the growing elderly population. According to age-adjusted rates from the Framingham Heart Study, patients with atrial fibrillation have a five-fold increase in risk for embolic stroke. It is estimated that atrial fibrillation may account for 45% of all embolic strokes and for 15%–20% of all strokes. Stroke due to atrial fibrillation tends to be particularly severe and disabling, and more likely to be fatal than strokes not due to atrial fibrillation. Patients with atrial fibrillation are at an increased risk of stroke because of the prothrombotic state that is associated with this condition, and this leads to a greater risk of thrombus formation. Without effective anticoagulation therapy, the average incidence of stroke in atrial fibrillation patients is 5% per year. In addition to being associated with an increased risk of stroke, atrial fibrillation is associated with an increased risk of heart failure, death, and cognitive dysfunction, as well as a reduced quality of life.

**Need for appropriate anticoagulation**

The need for effective anticoagulation therapy across multiple thromboembolic disease indications is clearly evident. A central and critical step in the coagulation cascade is the conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin, which is mediated by thrombin. Fundamental to hemostasis is the balance between fibrin deposition and removal, which protects the vascular system from blood loss at the site of an injury, whilst maintaining blood fluidity.

An objective of treatment with an anticoagulant agent is to optimize the balance between efficacy (prevention of thrombus formation) and safety (ie, bleeding and adverse events). For many years, coumarin derivatives (the vitamin K antagonists) have been the only oral agents available for long-term anticoagulation therapy. Although the
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Dabigatran for prevention of venous thromboembolism post orthopedic surgery

Effective anticoagulation reduces the risk of developing venous thromboembolism following orthopedic surgery and is recommended in evidence-based guidelines. Based on the efficacy and safety data from a number of pivotal trials with enoxaparin 40 mg once daily as comparator, dabigatran was initially approved by Health Canada and the European Medicines Agency in 2008 for use in the prevention of venous thromboembolism following THR and TKR surgery and is now available in more than 75 countries. The results from trials in elective major joint surgery showed that dabigatran (150 mg and 220 mg once daily) has similar efficacy compared with the low molecular weight heparin, enoxaparin (40 mg once daily, initiated preoperatively) in adults with normal renal function. In patients with reduced kidney function and the elderly, regulatory authorities have recommended the lower dabigatran dose (150 mg) to avoid drug accumulation and risk of bleeding complications.

In the RE-MODEL™ trial in patients undergoing TKR, the primary efficacy outcome, the composite of total venous thromboembolism and mortality, occurred in 37.7% (193/512) of the enoxaparin group compared with 36.4% (183/503) of the dabigatran 220 mg group and 40.5% (213/526) of the dabigatran 150 mg group. In this trial, both doses of dabigatran were noninferior to enoxaparin on the basis of prespecified noninferiority criteria. In addition, rates of the secondary efficacy outcome, major venous thromboembolism and venous thromboembolism-related mortality, were also similar (3.5% for enoxaparin and 2.6% and 3.8% for dabigatran 220 mg and 150 mg, respectively).

In the RE-NOVATE® I trial in THR, the primary efficacy outcome occurred in 6.7% (60/897) of the enoxaparin group compared with 6.0% (53/880) of the dabigatran 220 mg group and 8.6% (75/874) of the dabigatran 150 mg group. As in the RE-MODEL trial, both doses of dabigatran were noninferior to enoxaparin, and rates of major venous thromboembolism and venous thromboembolism-related mortality were also similar (3.9% for enoxaparin and 3.1% and 4.3% for dabigatran 220 mg and 150 mg, respectively).

A more recent trial in total hip replacement, RE-NOVATE II, confirmed the noninferiority of dabigatran 220 mg. The primary efficacy outcome occurred in 8.8% (69/785) of the enoxaparin group compared with 7.7% (61/792) of the dabigatran group. Moreover, dabigatran was superior to enoxaparin for the clinically relevant endpoint of major venous thromboembolism and venous thromboembolism-related death (4.2% for enoxaparin and 2.2% for dabigatran 220 mg). Similar results for the more clinically relevant endpoint were also supported by a pooled analysis of RE-MODEL, RE-NOVATE I, and RE-MOBILIZE (the latter trial compared dabigatran with a postoperative regimen of enoxaparin 30 mg twice daily [as used in the United States]).

In terms of safety, all of these trials showed similar perioperative bleeding rates to enoxaparin 40 mg once daily (Table 1). In the RE-MODEL trial, major bleeding events (including surgical site bleeds from preoperative baseline) occurred in 1.3% of the enoxaparin group compared with 1.5% of the dabigatran 220 mg group and 1.3% of the...
Clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding events were defined as spontaneous skin hematoma units packed cells or whole blood; fatal, retroperitoneal, intracranial, intraocular, or intraspinal bleeding; bleeding warranting treatment cessation or leading to reoperation. 1.3% of the dabigatran 150 mg group. In RE-NOVATE II, compared with 2.0% of the dabigatran 220 mg group and major bleeding occurred in 1.6% of the enoxaparin group aOne fatal bleeding event in each dabigatran group, no bleeding into critical organs; bno fatal bleeding, one critical organ bleed in the dabigatran 150 mg group.

Notes: aOne fatal bleeding event in each dabigatran group, no bleeding into critical organs; bno fatal bleeding, one critical organ bleed in the dabigatran 150 mg group. In the RE-NOVATE I trial, compared with 1.4% of the dabigatran 220 mg group. Data for the composite of major and clinically relevant bleeding events are also given in Table 1, and bleeding definitions are given in the footnote to the Table.

Many patients undergoing THR or TKR are at increased risk of bleeding because of older age and potential reduction in renal function, which also decreases with age. A pooled analysis from the RE-MODEL and RE-NOVATE I trials showed that in patients older than 75 years and in those with moderate renal impairment, dabigatran 150 mg once daily was as effective as enoxaparin but with significantly less bleeding. 

Dabigatran (220 mg and 150 mg once daily) is approved in many countries for use in the prevention of venous thromboembolism in patients undergoing hip or knee replacement surgery. The 220 mg once daily dose is recommended for the majority of patients, while the 150 mg once daily dose is recommended for patients older than 75 years, with moderate renal impairment, or taking concomitant verapamil, quinidine, or amiodarone. Treatment can be initiated within 1–4 hours of surgery with a half dose and continued from the following day with the full dose. Or, if preferred, low molecular weight heparin can be injected and switched to dabigatran at any postoperative day. Because no data are available, it is not recommended to start the administration of dabigatran until the next dose of parenteral anticoagulant would have been due.

In summary, dabigatran is an effective oral anticoagulation therapy for venous thromboembolism prevention following major joint replacement surgery. Clinical trials have shown that dabigatran offers thromboprophylaxis comparable with enoxaparin 40 mg once daily with a similar or improved safety profile following hip or knee replacement surgery. Dabigatran can be conveniently administered, and a lower dose (150 mg once daily) is available for older patients and those with moderate renal impairment or taking strong P-glycoprotein inhibitors, as supported by the assessment of the European Medicines Agency for dabigatran. Similarly, the European Medicines Agency has recently recommended the use of a lower dose of fondaparinux (1.5 mg rather than 2.5 mg) in elderly patients as a result of evidence on bleeding events from postmarketing reports.

**Other new anticoagulants**

Another novel oral anticoagulant agent licensed for venous thromboembolism prevention following orthopedic surgery is rivaroxaban, which is a direct factor Xa inhibitor. Rivaroxaban was started 6–8 hours after surgery and compared with enoxaparin 40 mg once daily started 12 hours before surgery in three trials, ie, RECORD 1, 2, and 3, and with enoxaparin 30 mg twice daily started 12–24 hours after surgery in one trial (RECORD 4). Only one dose of rivaroxaban is licensed. It appears to be safe and convenient, but concerns have been raised over the potential for increased bleeding and wound complications. The study investigators cite differences in the definition of major bleeding and statistical methodology as possible reasons for the different interpretations of bleeding data from the rivaroxaban program. To investigate this further, a meta-analysis of pivotal studies
Dabigatran in acute venous thromboembolism

The goals of anticoagulant treatment in venous thromboembolism are to prevent the thrombus from increasing in size and embolizing, to prevent recurrence of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, and to reduce the occurrence of long-term complications.71 Current treatment guidelines recommend a rapidly acting parenteral heparin derivative for 5–7 days with overlapping treatment using a vitamin K antagonist that is continued for at least 3 months, depending on the underlying cause and presence of risk factors.72

Recent results from the RE-COVER™ trial, a randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial, show that dabigatran is as effective as warfarin for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism. The study included 2564 patients who had acute, symptomatic, objectively verified proximal deep vein thrombosis of the legs or pulmonary embolism, in whom 6 months of anticoagulation therapy was considered appropriate. Patients were initially treated with parenteral anticoagulation therapy (low molecular weight heparin, unfractionated heparin, or fondaparinux) for at least 5 days and until international normalized ratio (INR) or sham INR exceeded 2.0, followed by 6 months of therapy with either 150 mg dabigatran twice daily or warfarin (target INR 2.0–3.0).73 This strategy was chosen to protect patient safety by using the accepted gold standard therapy during the first few days when patients are most at risk. A previous study showed that ximelagatran, when administered without initial parenteral therapy in acute venous thromboembolism, is associated with a higher early rate of recurrent venous thromboembolism than enoxaparin followed by warfarin.74

In the RE-COVER trial, the primary efficacy outcome (6-month incidence of recurrent symptomatic, objectively confirmed venous thromboembolism and venous thromboembolism-related deaths) occurred in 2.4% (30/1274) of the dabigatran 150 mg twice daily group compared with 2.1% (27/1265) of the warfarin group (P < 0.001 for noninferiority, Figure 1A).73 While rates of major bleeding were similar, 1.6% in the dabigatran group compared with 1.9% in the warfarin group (hazard ratio with dabigatran at 6 months 0.82; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.45–1.48; P = 0.38), major or clinically relevant non–major bleeding was significantly lower with dabigatran (5.6%) than warfarin (8.8%; hazard ratio at 6 months: 0.63; 95% CI 0.47–0.84; P = 0.002). Episodes of any bleeding occurred in 16.1% of the dabigatran group compared with 21.9% of the warfarin group (hazard ratio at 6 months: 0.71; 95% CI 0.59–0.85; P < 0.001, Figure 1B). There were no cases of intracranial bleeding.
hemorrhage in the dabigatran group, compared with three in the warfarin group.\textsuperscript{73}

In summary, the results of the RE-COVER trial support the use of dabigatran as an oral fixed-dose anticoagulant for the long-term treatment of acute venous thromboembolism. Dabigatran was as effective as dose-adjusted warfarin with a significantly lower rate of major or clinically relevant bleeds, and of any bleeding.\textsuperscript{73} In addition, the pharmacologic characteristics of dabigatran mean that it is likely to be more convenient to use than dose-adjusted warfarin in the real-world setting.\textsuperscript{73} Switching from low molecular weight heparin to dabigatran, as in the treatment of venous

Figure 1 Incidence of (A) combined confirmed venous thromboembolism and venous thromboembolism death, and (B) any bleeding in the RE-COVER™ trial. (Schulman S, et al. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(24):2342–2352, \textsuperscript{73} with permission from the Massachusetts Medical Society).
thromboembolism after initial treatment with an injectable agent, is straightforward; dabigatran is administered at the time of the next scheduled dose of parenteral anticoagulant. A second study of dabigatran for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism, RE-COVER II, is underway and expected to be complete in 2011.

Studies are also investigating the efficacy and safety of dabigatran for the secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism compared with placebo and warfarin (RE-MEDY™ and RE-SONATE™). The RE-MEDY trial compares dabigatran (150 mg twice daily) with dose-adjusted warfarin (INR of 2.0–3.0) for the secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism in 2700 patients who have been successfully treated with an anticoagulant for 3–12 months for confirmed acute symptomatic venous thromboembolism. Treatment duration is up to 36 months and the primary efficacy outcome is the composite incidence of recurrent symptomatic deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolism and venous thromboembolism-related death, which have been objectively confirmed by a definitive diagnostic evaluation. The RE-SONATE trial includes approximately 1800 patients with symptomatic deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism who have completed 6–18 months of treatment with a vitamin K antagonist. These patients are to be randomized to double-blind dabigatran (150 mg twice daily) or placebo for 6 months. The primary efficacy outcome is the 6-month incidence of recurrent symptomatic, objectively confirmed venous thromboembolism.

Other new anticoagulants

Two Phase III studies of rivaroxaban in venous thromboembolism treatment or secondary prevention have been completed.

EINSTEIN-DVT was an open-label trial of 3449 patients with acute symptomatic deep vein thrombosis randomized to rivaroxaban (15 mg twice daily for 3 weeks, then 20 mg once daily) or to enoxaparin followed by a vitamin K antagonist for up to 12 months. Rivaroxaban was noninferior for prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism (2.1% versus 3.0% with enoxaparin/vitamin K antagonist), while the rates of the composite of major and clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding were similar between groups (8.1% each). In the double-blind EINSTEIN-Extension trial, 1196 patients who had undergone 6–12 months of treatment for venous thromboembolism were assigned to rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily or placebo for an additional 6–12 months. Rivaroxaban was superior for efficacy (1.3% versus 7.1%) and statistically similar to placebo for major bleeding (0.7% versus 0%, respectively; \( P = 0.11 \)). The composite of first major and clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding events was more frequent with rivaroxaban than with placebo (6.0% versus 1.2%; hazard ratio 5.19; 95% CI 2.3–11.7; \( P < 0.001 \)).

Dabigatran for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation

Current guidelines for the prevention of stroke and other thromboembolic complications in patients with atrial fibrillation recommend treatment with a vitamin K antagonist (eg, warfarin) or aspirin depending on the level of stroke risk. The most recent guidelines from The Canadian Cardiovascular Society and the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society include recommendations for dabigatran as an alternative, and potentially a preferred alternative, to warfarin. As already discussed, conventional therapies have many limitations, and in particular central nervous system bleeding in patients taking vitamin K antagonists is a feared complication, so there is a clear clinical need for new safe oral anticoagulant agents.

The RE-LY® trial was a Phase III, multicenter, prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded, endpoint-adjudication trial in 18,113 patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation and at least one risk factor for stroke. Treatment with dabigatran (150 mg twice daily) was associated with a significantly lower rate of stroke and systemic embolism than warfarin (1.11% per year versus 1.71% per year; relative risk 0.65; 95% CI 0.52–0.81; \( P < 0.001 \) for superiority, Figure 2). The rate of stroke and systemic embolism was 1.54% in the dabigatran 110 mg twice daily group (relative risk 0.90; \( P < 0.001 \) for noninferiority; \( P = 0.30 \) for superiority). Dabigatran 150 mg twice daily was associated with a longer time to first stroke or systemic embolism than warfarin (relative risk reduction of 35%). Both doses of dabigatran had significantly lower rates of hemorrhagic stroke than dose-adjusted warfarin (dabigatran 110 mg: 0.12% per year, \( P < 0.001 \) for superiority; dabigatran 150 mg: 0.10%, \( P < 0.001 \) for superiority; warfarin: 0.38% per year). In terms of vascular mortality, treatment with dose-adjusted warfarin was associated with a rate of 2.69% per year compared with 2.43% per year for dabigatran 110 mg (\( P = 0.21 \) for superiority) and 2.28% per year for dabigatran 150 mg (\( P = 0.04 \) for superiority versus warfarin). A prespecified subgroup analysis of the RE-LY trial demonstrated that the benefit of dabigatran compared with dose-adjusted warfarin was maintained whether patients were vitamin K antagonist-naive or -experienced prior to entering the study.

Furthermore, both dabigatran doses showed a significant reduction in intracranial hemorrhage compared with
Dose-adjusted warfarin (Figure 3). Dabigatran was also associated with significantly lower rates of life-threatening bleeding (relative risk 0.67 for dabigatran 110 mg and 0.80 for 150 mg), and total bleeding (relative risk 0.78 for dabigatran 110 mg and 0.91 for 150 mg). Treatment with dabigatran 150 mg twice daily was associated with a similar rate of major bleeding compared with warfarin (3.32% per year versus 3.57% per year; \( P = 0.31 \)), while dabigatran 110 mg twice daily was associated with a significantly lower major bleeding rate (2.87% versus 3.57% per year; \( P = 0.003 \)).

Dabigatran has not been evaluated for the initial treatment of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. However, about 20% of patients in RE-LY had a previous stroke or transient ischemic attack before entering the study, and dabigatran was effective for secondary prevention in these patients. A subgroup analysis showed that the effects of dabigatran in patients who had a previous stroke or transient ischemic attack before entering RE-LY were consistent with those of other patients in the study.

In summary, dabigatran 110 mg twice daily shows similar efficacy to warfarin for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation but with significantly lower rates of major bleeding. Dabigatran 150 mg twice daily is significantly more effective than warfarin and associated with similar rates of major bleeding.

**Figure 2** Combined risk of stroke and systemic embolism relative to warfarin in the RE-LY\(^\circ\) trial\(^{81,82}\)

**Figure 3** Rate of intracranial hemorrhage with dabigatran vs warfarin in the RE-LY\(^\circ\) trial\(^{81,82}\)
bleeding. Significant reductions in the risks of hemorrhagic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, and total bleeding events were seen with both doses of dabigatran.81,85

Dabigatran has been approved for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation in the United States, Canada, and the European Union at a usual dose of 150 mg twice daily. In the United States, the recommended dose for patients with severe renal impairment is 75 mg twice daily.40 In Canada and Europe, the dose recommended for patients ≥80 years of age or at higher risk of bleeding is 110 mg twice daily.57

**Other new anticoagulants**

The results of the ROCKET-AF trial of rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily versus warfarin for stroke prevention have been presented. Patients included in this trial had a higher stroke risk than those in RE-LY, ie, either a prior stroke, transient ischemic attack, or systemic embolism, or at least two other stroke risk factors. Rivaroxaban was shown to be noninferior to warfarin for prevention of stroke or systemic embolism (1.71% per year versus 2.16% per year, respectively). However, it was not superior to warfarin in the conventional intention-to-treat analysis (although it achieved statistical superiority in an on-treatment analysis). Rates of major bleeding (3.60% per year versus 3.45% per year, respectively) and nonmajor clinically relevant bleeding (11.80% per year versus 11.37% per year, respectively) were similar between treatments, while intracranial hemorrage was reduced with rivaroxaban (0.49% per year versus 0.74% per year, respectively; P = 0.019).58

The AVERROES trial compared apixaban 5 mg twice daily with aspirin in patients with atrial fibrillation who were at increased risk for stroke and for whom vitamin K antagonist therapy was deemed to be unsuitable. Apixaban was superior to aspirin for prevention of stroke or systemic embolism (1.6% per year versus 3.7% per year, respectively) with similar rates of major bleeding (1.4% per year versus 1.2% per year) and similar rates of intracranial hemorrhage (11 versus 13 events).59

The ARISTOTLE trial included patients with atrial fibrillation and at least one additional risk factor for stroke. Patients in the apixaban 5 mg twice daily group had fewer stroke or systemic embolic events compared with patients taking warfarin (1.27% per year versus 1.6% per year, respectively). Lower rates of major bleeding ([apixaban] 2.13% per year versus [warfarin] 3.09% per year) and intracranial hemorrhage (0.33% per year versus 0.80% per year, respectively) were also reported.60

Interestingly, the ARISTOTLE results also showed a decreased overall mortality in patients treated with apixaban as compared with warfarin (3.52% per year versus 3.94% per year; P = 0.047). The RE-LY trial also showed almost the same decreased overall mortality with dabigatran 150 mg twice daily compared with warfarin (3.6% per year versus 4.13% per year; P = 0.051) although this did not reach the formal predefined statistical significance. This could be due to the statistical power of the trials. Furthermore, dabigatran 150 mg twice daily demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in ischemic stroke (the predominant type of stroke associated with atrial fibrillation) compared with warfarin, but the reduction in ischemic stroke did not reach statistical significance with apixaban versus warfarin. More studies are required to understand fully the relative merits of these new agents.

**Safety profile of dabigatran**

Hepatic safety has been carefully investigated in all clinical trials of dabigatran because of the reported experience with ximelagatran, an oral direct thrombin inhibitor associated with idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity.91–94

In the RE-MODEL, RE-NOVATE I and II, and RE-MOBLIZE trials, the incidence of liver enzyme elevations in patients treated with dabigatran was the same as or lower than that in patients treated with enoxaparin, which is not associated with hepatotoxicity. Liver enzyme elevations were infrequent and returned to baseline values in all patients.43,44,46,47 In the longer term, liver function tests from the RE-LY trial demonstrated that elevation of levels of serum aspartate aminotransferase or alanine aminotransferase to more than three times the upper limit of the normal range did not occur more frequently with either dose of dabigatran (2.1% and 1.9%) than with dose-adjusted warfarin (2.2%).81 Similarly, results from the RE-COVER trial showed no evidence of hepatotoxicity associated with dabigatran treatment.73 These findings from different patient populations treated with dabigatran for a variety of indications and treatment durations suggest that hepatotoxicity is not a class effect with oral direct thrombin inhibitors.

Concern regarding rebound coagulation effects following the cessation of thromboprophylactic agents requires careful investigation in clinical trials. In a pooled analysis of three trials, one adjudicated acute coronary event was reported during 3 months of follow-up after use of dabigatran 220 mg once daily, two events after dabigatran 150 mg once daily, and 7 events after enoxaparin in orthopedic surgery, suggesting no rebound coagulation effect once treatment with
dabigatran ends.89 Acute coronary syndrome rates were also similar between treatments in the RE-COVER trial.73

During the RE-LY trial, there was no statistically significant difference in myocardial infarction rates between the dabigatran doses and warfarin, although the rates of myocardial infarction were numerically higher with dabigatran. The overall rates were low (0.82, 0.81, and 0.64% per year with dabigatran 110 mg twice daily, dabigatran 150 mg twice daily, and warfarin, respectively).82 The incidence observed with warfarin was similar to that reported in the warfarin arms of previous clinical trials (eg, SPORTIF III and V, ACTIVE-W).95–97 Similarly, the rates of myocardial infarction reported with ximelagatran and aspirin plus clopidogrel in the same studies were comparable with those seen for dabigatran in RE-LY.95–97 The rates with dabigatran were lower than reported for placebo.98

### Conclusion

The Phase III trials of dabigatran have generated approximately 25,124 patient-years of experience to demonstrate the efficacy and safety profile of dabigatran for the prevention of venous and arterial thromboembolic disorders across multiple indications. Results from trials in orthopedic surgery show comparable efficacy and similar bleeding rates to enoxaparin, and dabigatran has been used in clinical practice in this indication since April 2008.

Results from the RE-COVER trial show that dabigatran has similar efficacy and significantly lower rates of major or clinically relevant bleeding compared with warfarin in prevention of recurrent events after acute venous thromboembolism. In addition, results from the RE-LY trial demonstrate that dabigatran 150 mg twice daily has superior efficacy, similar rates of major bleeding, and a reduction in intracranial hemorrhage compared with warfarin for the long-term prevention of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. In contrast with the currently recommended and widely used oral anticoagulant agent warfarin, dabigatran provides many advantages in this indication, including predictable anticoagulant effects, no food interactions, few drug interactions, and convenient long-term administration without the need for regular anticoagulation monitoring. In conclusion, dabigatran has the potential to improve the prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism and the management of stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation.
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