Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Patient assessment of an electronic device for subcutaneous self-injection of interferon β -Ia for multiple sclerosis: an observational study in the UK and Ireland

Caroline D'Arcy¹ Del Thomas² Dee Stoneman³ Laura Parkes³ 'West London Neuroscience Centre,

Charing Cross Hospital, London, UK; ²Wye Valley NHS Trust, Hereford, UK; ³Merck Serono Ltd, Feltham, Middlesex, UK

Correspondence: Caroline D'Arcy West London Neuroscience Centre, Charing Cross Hospital, Fulham Palace Road, London, W6 8RF, UK Tel +44 0 20 331 11843 Fax +44 0 20 331 17595 Email caroline.d'arcy@imperial.nhs.uk **Background:** Injectable disease-modifying drugs (DMDs) reduce the number of relapses and delay disability progression in patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS). Regular self-injection can be stressful and impeded by MS symptoms. Auto-injection devices can simplify self-injection, overcome injection-related issues, and increase treatment satisfaction. This study investigated patient responses to an electronic auto-injection device.

Methods: Patients with RRMS (n = 63), aged 18–65 years, naïve to subcutaneous (sc) interferon (IFN) β -1a therapy, were recruited to a Phase IV, observational, open-label, multicenter study (NCT01195870). Patients self-injected sc IFN β -1a using the RebiSmartTM (Merck Serono S.A. – Geneva, Switzerland) electronic auto-injector for 12 weeks, including an initial titration period if recommended by the prescribing physician. In week 12, patients completed a question-naire comprising of a visual analog scale (VAS) to rate how much they liked using the device, a four-point response question on ease of use ('very difficult', 'difficult', 'easy', or 'very easy'), and a list of ten device functions to rank, based upon their experiences.

Results: Six patients (9.5%) discontinued the study: one switched to manual injection; two discontinued all treatment; three changed therapy. In total, 59 out of 63 patients (93.7%) completed the VAS; 54 out of 59 (91.5%; 95% confidence interval: 81.3%–97.2%) 'liked' using the electronic auto-injector (score ≥ 6), whereas 57 out of 59 (96.6%) rated the device overall as 'easy' or 'very easy' to use. Device features rated as most useful were the hidden needle (mean [standard deviation] score: 3.3 [3.01]; n = 56), confirmation sound (3.9 [2.45]), and multidose cartridge (4.6 [2.32]). The least useful functions were the dose history list (8.0 [2.57]) and dose history calendar (7.5 [2.30]).

Conclusions: These findings suggest that the electronic auto-injector may be suitable for patients who are new to injectable DMD therapy. Devices that simplify the injection process may help to ensure that patients receive the full benefits of treatment.

Keywords: multiple sclerosis, interferon beta-1a, injection device, training, drug delivery

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory disease of the central nervous system.¹ Patients with relapsing–remitting MS (RRMS) experience episodes of acute worsening of neurologic function, followed by a variable degree of recovery.² Several injectable disease-modifying drugs (DMDs) are available that have been shown to reduce relapses and that may delay progression of disability in patients with MS;³⁻⁶ however, these drugs require frequent administration.

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S26250 Patient Preference and Adherence 2012:6 55-61

© 2012 D'Arcy et al, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

MS therapy is rapidly evolving with the recent introduction of oral agents in some countries, but self-injectable DMDs are expected to remain the first-line treatment for MS in Europe, because of the favorable long-term efficacy, safety, and tolerability data available for these agents.^{5,7,8} Regular selfinjections can be stressful for many patients, and symptoms of MS, such as cognitive impairment and impaired motor skills, may impede self-injection.9 Such issues, in addition to needle phobia, injection anxiety, perceived lack of efficacy, and concerns over injection-related adverse events, can negatively impact treatment acceptance, adherence, 10-13 and, ultimately, efficacy.¹⁴ Adherence to long-term therapies is defined by the World Health Organization as "the extent to which a person's behavior - taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle change - corresponds with agreed recommendations from a healthcare provider".¹⁵ Adherence to treatment may be improved through patient education, correct injection technique, the management of expectations, and accurate monitoring of adherence.¹⁶

Innovative delivery devices have been designed to improve the injection experience and thus potentially improve both adherence to treatment and clinical outcomes.

Auto-injection devices are available for most DMDs and can simplify the injection process, overcome injectionrelated issues, and improve treatment satisfaction.^{17,18} An electronic auto-injection device (Figure 1) (RebiSmartTM; Merck Serono S.A. – Geneva, Switzerland, a branch of Merck Serono S.A., Coinsins, Switzerland, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) has been developed for the subcutaneous (sc) administration of interferon (IFN) β -1a. In an international user trial assessing the auto-injection device, 71.6% of patients considered the device 'very suitable' or 'suitable' for self-injection, and 92.2% reported some degree of suitability. In addition, each device function was rated 'very useful' or 'useful' by at least 80% of patients.¹⁹

The present study was performed to investigate patient response to an electronic auto-injection device in the UK and Ireland, including ease of use and the three device functions that patients found most useful.

Methods

56

Study design

This was a Phase IV, observational, open-label, multicenter study of patient use of and response to an electronic autoinjection device in the UK and Ireland (NCT01195870). Patients who were treatment-naïve to sc IFN β -1a (Merck Serono S.A., Rebif[®]; Geneva, Switzerland) were given the



Figure I The RebiSmart[™] device.

Image reproduced with permission from Merck Serono S.A. – Geneva, Switzerland, a branch of Merck Serono SA, Coinsins, Switzerland, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

option to choose from manually injecting using a prefilled syringe, using the standard Rebiject[™] II auto-injector (Merck Serono S.A. - Geneva, Switzerland), or using the RebiSmart[™] electronic auto-injector. If patients elected to use the electronic auto-injector, they were invited to enter the study and received training in the use and maintenance of the auto-injection device by an MS nurse using standard training materials. Patients self-injected sc IFN β-1a using the electronic auto-injector for 12 weeks, which included an initial titration period if recommended by the prescribing physician. As per the drug label recommendations, prior to injection, and for an additional 24 hours after each injection, use of an antipyretic analgesic was advised to decrease 'flu-like' symptoms (FLS) associated with sc IFN β -1a administration. This observational study did not require Independent Ethics Committee approval within the UK, although approval was sought and obtained in Ireland. Patient materials were approved by each site's Research and Development Committee. This study was performed in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (European Clinical Trials Directive 2001/20/EC),^{20,21} all applicable local regulations, and the Declaration of Helsinki.22

Patients

Patients aged 18–65 years were eligible for the study if they had RRMS (according to the Association of British Neurologists criteria²³ in the UK and the revised 2005 McDonald criteria²⁴ in Ireland); were scheduled to start sc IFN β -1a, administered three times weekly, for the first time; chose to use the electronic auto-injector; were under review by an MS nurse; and gave written informed consent to participate in the study. Patients were excluded if they did not self-inject, were unable to use the electronic auto-injector owing to visual or physical impairment, were unwilling to give informed consent, had a contraindication to sc IFN β -1a as defined in the summary of product characteristics, or had any allergy to antipyretic analgesics that were advised as prophylaxis for FLS.

Assessment

After 12 weeks, patients were assessed according to standard care in the patients' usual MS clinics, and asked to complete a questionnaire. The questionnaire comprised of a visual analog scale (VAS) on which patients indicated how much they liked using the electronic auto-injector (10-cm scale; 0 = 'dislike', 10 = 'like'), a four-point response question on ease of use of the device ('very difficult', 'difficult', 'easy', or 'very easy'), and a list of ten device functions to rank based upon their experiences (1 = most useful function; 10 = least useful function; Table 1). To allow for adjustments to clinical appointments or occurrence of patient holidays around week 12, completion of the questionnaire was permitted

Table I Rank and summary statistics of the recorded score foreach of the ten device functions in the rank population

Rank	Function	Mean (standard deviation)	Median (range)				
				l (most useful)	Hidden needle	3.3 (3.01)	2.0 (1–10)
				2	Confirmation sound	3.9 (2.45)	3.0 (1-10)
3	Three doses in one cartridge	4.6 (2.32)	4.0 (1–10)				
4	Injection button lights up	4.7 (2.16)	5.0 (1–9)				
5	Stepwise injection guide on screen	5.6 (3.14)	6.0 (1-10)				
6	Last injection indicator	5.6 (2.44)	6.0 (1–10)				
7	Comfort settings	5.8 (2.56)	6.0 (1–10)				
8	Dose indicator	6.1 (2.19)	6.0 (1-10)				
9	Dose history calendar	7.5 (2.30)	8.0 (1–10)				
10 (least useful)	Dose history list	8.0 (2.57)	9.0 (1-10)				

Notes: $n=56. \mbox{ Secondary endpoint: top three device functions that patients found most useful.}$

within a window of 11 to 16 weeks following training and initiation of treatment. In exceptional circumstances, if a patient could not attend the clinic within the window of 11 to 16 weeks they were asked to complete the questionnaire by week 16 and return it by post. Patients who discontinued using the electronic auto-injector before week 12, but who continued to participate in the study, completed the questionnaire.

Study endpoints

The primary endpoint was the percentage of patients who liked using the device (VAS score of ≥ 6) at the end of the 12-week treatment period. Secondary endpoints at week 12 were the percentage of patients who found the device 'easy' or 'very easy' to use, and the top three device functions that patients found most useful, from a ten-point list of functions.

Study size

The primary hypothesis was that the proportions of patients who liked and disliked using the electronic auto-injector would be unequal, and that an estimated 70% of patients would like using the electronic auto-injector. The null hypothesis was that 50% of patients would like using the electronic auto-injector. To reject the null hypothesis, using a two-sided test with 5% significance level and 80% power, 47 patients were required. To account for an expected dropout rate of 25%, a total of 63 patients were necessary. Sample size was calculated using a one-sample χ -squared test with normal approximation.

Statistical analysis

The 'completers population' was defined as all patients who completed the VAS. The 'rank population' was defined as all patients who ranked all ten device functions in order of preference. All endpoints were summarized using descriptive statistics, with primary endpoint results presented as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and secondary endpoint results presented as numbers with percentages or means with standard deviations (SDs). The primary hypothesis was retested in a sensitivity analysis, which took into account all patients who entered the study. Scoring for each of the ten device functions was analyzed using summary statistics, and ranked in ascending order, so that the function with the lowest mean score gave the highest rank. The number of weeks a patient used the electronic auto-injector before discontinuing was also analyzed using summary statistics. All statistical tests were two-sided and

57

performed at the 5% significance level using SAS software (v 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results Patient disposition

A total of 63 patients were enrolled in the survey from ten participating sites in the UK and Ireland, between October 2009 and September 2010. Six patients completed the questionnaire outside the protocol-specified time window of 11 to 16 weeks. However, as this was an observational study and these patients returned to the clinic and completed their questionnaires between 9.9 and 18 weeks, they were included in the primary analysis. Six of the 63 patients (9.5%) discontinued the study (all of whom completed the questionnaire at discontinuation). Reasons for discontinuation included a switch to manual sc IFN β -1a injection (n = 1 patient), discontinuation of all treatment (n = 2), and a change in therapy (n = 3). These patients had used the electronic auto-injector for a mean (SD) of 6.8 (2.79) weeks before discontinuation.

Patient opinion of the auto-injector

In total, 59 out of 63 (93.7%) recruited patients completed the VAS and were included in the completers population for the primary endpoint. In the completers population, 54 out of 59 patients (91.5%; 95% CI: 81.3%–97.2%) scored ≥ 6 on the VAS and reported that they liked using the electronic auto-injector (Figure 2); mean (SD) VAS score was 8.4 (1.6). In the sensitivity analysis, 56 out of 63 patients (88.9%; 95% CI: 78.4%–95.4%) scored ≥ 6 on the VAS.

Ease of device use and usefulness of device functions

In the population of patients who completed the survey, 57 out of 59 patients (96.6%) rated the device as 'easy' or 'very easy' to use (Figure 3). The device feature rated as most useful by the 'rank' population (n = 56) was the hidden needle, followed by the confirmation sound, and having all three doses required for 1 week's treatment in a single cartridge (Table 1). Other device functions (injection button lights up, stepwise injection guide on screen, last injection indicator, comfort settings, and dose indicator) were all ranked similarly. Patients considered the least useful functions to be the dose history calendar and dose history list.

Discussion

The electronic auto-injection device was developed to address some of the known factors that affect patient adherence to injectable DMDs,²⁵ as well as the concerns and needs of patients with MS. Needle phobia, self-injection psychology, forgetting to inject, injection-related pain, skinsite reactions, and travel (eg, the need to take cumbersome equipment) all impact on adherence to self-medication.^{10,12} This study showed that the majority of patients liked the auto-injection device (91.5%) and found it 'easy' or 'very easy' to use (96.6%), both of which may lead to increased treatment adherence. Furthermore, results of the sensitivity analysis, in which 88.9% of patients scored \geq 6 on the VAS, support the primary analysis findings.



Figure 2 Visual analog scale (VAS) scores representing patient opinion of the auto-injector in the population of patients who completed the trial at week 12. Notes: n = 59. Primary endpoint: percentage of patients who liked using the device (VAS score of ≥ 6).



Figure 3 Number and percentage of responses to the "ease of use" question in the population of patients who completed the survey at week 12. Notes: n = 59. Secondary endpoint: percentage of patients who found the device 'easy' or 'very easy' to use.

The hidden needle was considered to be the most useful device function, followed by the audible cue to confirm correct administration of the injection, and having three doses (1 week's treatment) in a single cartridge. Concealment of the needle throughout the injection process was very important to a selection of patients who were needle phobic and who did not want to see the needle before or after the injection. A concealed needle also reduces the potential for patient injury through mishandling of the device, and it increases injection discretion. The confirmation sound may aid injection in out-of-sight areas, while the multidose cartridge increases convenience. In addition, the handheld device is portable, does not require refrigerated storage,²⁶ and reduces waste associated with single-use prefilled syringes and single-use injection pens.

The least useful functions, as ranked by patients, were the dose history calendar and dose history list. However, in this 12-week study, patients may have been unaware of the benefits of recording dose history, and such functions may become more beneficial to patients for assisting long-term adherence. In theory, the dose history features may help to promote dialog between healthcare professionals (HCPs) and patients, which is important as treatment and device choice should be a joint decision. In addition, the dose history features may help HCPs to avoid escalating treatment in patients who are non-adherent to their present treatment regimen.

Although a dosing history log may not be of importance to patients, the data relating to dose history are likely to be of interest to payers, who may have access to these data

in the UK; for instance, unused or wasted drugs cost the National Health Service at least £100 million (approximately US\$165 million) every year.²⁷ Furthermore, clinicians may be able to justify their choice of DMD by monitoring patient adherence. The incorporation of adherence data into patient databases may offer the potential to correlate the extent of drug usage to treatment outcome. The dosing log may also provide valuable information in clinical trials and in clinical practice, where assessments of efficacy may otherwise be influenced by the patient's subjective reporting of adherence.28 Patient training and education on use of the dosing log may contribute to a more accurate understanding of the benefits that the function offers, and increase the perceived usefulness of this function. The dosing log may be beneficial to patients with mild cognitive impairment as a memory aid, preventing double dosing and missed injections.

Results from this study support findings from a previous study, in which 95.2% of patients found the functions of the same device 'easy' or 'very easy' to use, and overall convenience was rated as the most important benefit of the device.¹⁹ Although a slightly different range of device features was ranked by patients in the previous study, all features, including injection confirmation sound, last injection display, and dose history, were rated as 'useful' or 'very useful' by at least 80% of patients.¹⁹ Results to date suggest that the electronic auto-injection device may be suitable for patients who are not satisfied with their current method of self-injection or for those who are new to DMD therapy.

The findings of the present study must be considered in the context of the study limitations, including the subjective nature of the scales used, which are difficult to validate. Patients may have found it difficult to interpret differences between 'very difficult', 'difficult', 'easy', or 'very easy' when rating ease of use using a four-point response question. Bias may have been introduced into the study at enrollment as only those patients who elected to use the auto-injection device from a selection of DMD administration options were invited to participate. Cognitive function was not assessed, which may have been useful for determining whether the dosing log would be of benefit to patients with cognitive impairment and whether responses to the questionnaire were affected by patients with cognitive impairment. In addition, the potential benefits of the dosing log for avoiding the risk of double dosing may not have been clearly explained to, or fully understood by, patients. With only 63 patients, the study population was small. Further, six patients discontinued the study. Although study discontinuations could not reasonably

59

Dovepress

be attributed to the electronic auto-injection device, the reasons for switching to manual injection or another therapy or discontinuing treatment were not recorded.

A longitudinal study with a larger sample may be more informative in future trials because patients with MS require long-term therapy. Long-term treatment has been associated with treatment fatigue,¹³ so it would be interesting to evaluate in patients - who are not naïve to DMDs - if switching to a new injection device could re-stimulate interest in injectable therapy and subsequently increase adherence. As patients in this study were naïve to treatment, they would not have been able to compare the additional features of the electronic autoinjection device with those of other injection devices. It would be interesting to examine treatment adherence and disease outcomes in patients using the electronic autoinjection device compared with those using other delivery methods in a longitudinal study. Although it will be important to assess device adherence in a longitudinal study, shorter studies are useful for identifying the factors that influence early device discontinuation.

Use of an auto-injection device may improve concordance, particularly in patients with visual or cognitive impairment, or impaired dexterity.¹⁸ Auto-injection devices reduce injectionsite reactions compared with manual injection,¹⁸ and improvements in devices to simplify the process and reduce discomfort have been associated with reduced injection pain and increased treatment satisfaction.^{17,19}

Conclusion

The results of this study in patients with RRMS suggest that most patients liked the electronic auto-injection device and found it 'easy' or 'very easy' to use. Concealment of the needle throughout the injection process was found to be the most useful feature of the device. These findings, along with those of a previous study assessing the suitability of the same device,¹⁹ suggest that the electronic auto-injector may be suitable for patients who are new to injectable DMD therapy. Devices play an important role in the individualized treatment of patients receiving self-injectable DMDs and may help to ensure that patients receive the full benefits of treatment.

Acknowledgments and disclosure

Marketing authorization for the use of the RebiSmartTM device and the serum-free formulation of sc IFN β -1a (free from fetal bovine serum and human serum albumin), at a tiw dosing regimen, has been granted in Europe by the European Medicines Agency (EMA), Canada, Australia, and other countries for relapsing MS. This therapy is not

currently approved by other regulatory authorities, including the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Neither the serum-free nor serum-containing formulation is approved in any territory for treatment of patients with a first clinical demyelinating event.

Caroline D'Arcy has received honoraria from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Bayer Schering; and financial support for a newly diagnosed study day from Teva. Del Thomas has received honoraria and consultation fees from Merck Serono and Novartis. Laura Parkes and Dee Stoneman are employees of Merck Serono Ltd, UK, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

This study was supported by Merck Serono Ltd, Feltham, Middlesex, UK, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. The authors thank Satellite for data management and analysis, and their co-investigators in the RebiSmartTM User Trial: UK-J Curlis, G Smith (Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne); S Gaughan, M Moir-Holland (Lincoln County Hospital, Lincoln); G Mazibrada, S Colhoun, S Le Tissier (Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham); M Burgess, C Allen, A Bradford, D Winterbottom, G Carter, M Jackson, E Hartley, W Power (Manchester Hope Hospital, Salford); C Lowndes (North Staffordshire Royal Infirmary, Stokeon-Trent); K Mutch, H Leggett (Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Fazakerley); D Owen, G Watkins (Bristol Frenchay Hospital, Bristol). *Ireland* – N Tubridy, L Gribbin, S Jordan (St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin).

The authors also thank John Wiltshire and Alyson Bexfield of Caudex Medical Ltd, Oxford, UK (supported by Merck Serono Ltd, Feltham, Middlesex, UK, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), for assistance with preparing the initial draft of the manuscript, collating the comments of authors and other named contributors, assembling the table and figures, and coordinating submission requirements.

References

- Bennett JL, Stuve O. Update on inflammation, neurodegeneration, and immunoregulation in multiple sclerosis: therapeutic implications. *Clin Neuropharmacol*. 2009;32:121–132.
- Lublin FD, Reingold SC. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: results of an international survey. National Multiple Sclerosis Society (USA) Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials of New Agents in Multiple Sclerosis. *Neurology*. 1996;46:907–911.
- Jacobs LD, Cookfair DL, Rudick RA, et al. Intramuscular interferon beta-1a for disease progression in relapsing multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol.* 1996;39:285–294.
- Johnson KP, Brooks BR, Cohen JA, et al. Copolymer 1 reduces relapse rate and improves disability in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results of a phase III multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology*. 1995;45:1268–1276.
- PRISMS Study Group. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of interferon beta-1a in relapsing/remitting multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol.* 1998;352:1498–1504.

60

- 6. The IFNB Multiple Sclerosis Study Group. Interferon beta-1b is effective in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. I. Clinical results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology*. 1993;43:655–661.
- Kappos L, Traboulsee A, Constantinescu C, et al. Long-term subcutaneous interferon beta-1a therapy in patients with relapsing-remitting MS. *Neurology*. 2006;67:944–953.
- PRISMS Study Group, University of British Columbia MS/MRI Analysis Group. PRISMS-4: long-term efficacy of interferon-beta-1a in relapsing MS. *Neurology*. 2001;56:1628–1636.
- Costello K, Kennedy P, Scanzillo J. Recognizing nonadherence in patients with multiple sclerosis and maintaining treatment adherence in the long term. *Medscape J Med.* 2008;10:225.
- Cox D, Stone J. Managing self-injection difficulties in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *J Neurosci Nurs*. 2006;38: 167–171.
- Mohr DC, Boudewyn AC, Likosky W, et al. Injectable medication for the treatment of multiple sclerosis: the influence of self-efficacy expectations and injection anxiety on adherence and ability to self-inject. *Ann Behav Med.* 2001;23:125–132.
- 12. Treadaway K, Cutter G, Salter A, et al. Factors that influence adherence with disease-modifying therapy in MS. *J Neurol*. 2009;256:568–576.
- Tremlett HL, Oger J. Interrupted therapy: stopping and switching of the beta-interferons prescribed for MS. *Neurology*. 2003;61:551–554.
- Al-Sabbagh A, Bennet R, Kozma C, et al. Medication gaps in diseasemodifying therapy for multiple sclerosis are associated with an increased risk of relapse: findings from a national managed care database. *J Neurol*. 2008;255(Suppl 2):S79.
- World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action. Available from: http://www.who.int/chp/knowledge/ publications/adherence_report/en/index.html. Accessed August 24, 2011.
- Lugaresi A. Addressing the need for increased adherence to multiple sclerosis therapy: can delivery technology enhance patient motivation? *Expert Opin Drug Deliv.* 2009;6:995–1002.
- Cramer JA, Cuffel BJ, Divan V, et al. Patient satisfaction with an injection device for multiple sclerosis treatment. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2006;113:156–162.
- Mikol D, Lopez-Bresnahan M, Taraskiewicz S, et al. A randomized, multicentre, open-label, parallel-group trial of the tolerability of interferon beta-1a (Rebif) administered by auto-injection or manual injection in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*. 2005;11: 585–591.

- Devonshire V, Arbizu T, Borre B, et al. Patient-rated suitability of a novel electronic device for self-injection of subcutaneous interferon beta-1a in relapsing multiple sclerosis: an international, single-arm, multicentre, Phase IIIb study. *BMC Neurol.* 2010;10:28.
- International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. Guideline for Good Clinical Practice E6(R1). Available from: http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/ Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E6_R1/Step4/ E6_R1_Guideline.pdf. Accessed July 11, 2011.
- 21. The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Directive 2001/20/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 April 2001 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the implementation of good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. Available from: http://www. eortc.be/Services/Doc/clinical-EU-directive-04-April-01.pdf. Accessed July 11, 2011.
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: Recommendations guiding physicians in biomedical research involving human subjects. 1996.
- Association of British Neurologists. Revised (2009) Association of British Neurologists' guidelines for prescribing in multiple sclerosis. Available from: http://www.abn.org.uk/abn/userfiles/file/ABN_MS_ Guidelines_2009_Final(1).pdf. Accessed July 21, 2011.
- Polman CH, Reingold SC, Edan G, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 revisions to the "McDonald Criteria". *Ann Neurol*. 2005; 58:840–846.
- Exell S, Verdun E, Driebergen R. A new electronic device for subcutaneous injection of IFN beta-1a. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2011;8:543–553.
- Merck Serono Launches RebiSmart[™], First Electronic Injection Device For Delivery of Multiple Sclerosis Treatment Rebif[®]. News release, June 24, 2009. Available from: http://www.merckserono.com/corp. merckserono/en/images/20090624_en_tcm112_42119.pdf. Accessed July 11, 2011.
- National Audit Office. Prescribing costs in primary care. Available from: http://www.nao.org.uk/publications/0607/prescribing_costs_in_ primary_c.aspx. Accessed February 24, 2011.
- Bruce JM, Hancock LM, Lynch SG. Objective adherence monitoring in multiple sclerosis: initial validation and association with self-report. *Mult Scler*. 2010;16:112–120.

Patient Preference and Adherence

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Patient Preference and Adherence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the growing importance of patient preference and adherence throughout the therapeutic continuum. Patient satisfaction, acceptability, quality of life, compliance, persistence and their role in developing new therapeutic modalities and compounds to optimize clinical outcomes for existing disease states are major areas of interest. This journal has been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/patient-preference-and-adherence-journal

6 I