Non-fistulous urinary leakage among women attending a Nigerian family planning clinic
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Abstract: Urinary leakage is an important gynecological challenge, which has a substantial impact on quality of life. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence and types of non-fistulous urinary leakage among women attending the family planning clinic of the University of Ilorin teaching hospital, Ilorin, Nigeria. The study was a cross-sectional study carried out between January 3 and April 25 2009. One hundred and two women experienced urinary leakage out of 333 women interviewed, giving a prevalence rate of 30.6%. Stress incontinence was the most common urinary leakage (prevalence rate 12.0%). This is followed by urge incontinence (10.8%), urinary incontinence (4.8%), and overflow incontinence (3.0%). None of the women afflicted sought medical help. Conclusively, this study has demonstrated that non-fistulous urinary leakage is a common problem among women of reproductive age in this environment.
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Introduction
To a majority of people in developing countries, leakage of urine will usually refer to vesicovaginal fistula because of its high prevalence, whereas in Western countries urinary incontinence, urge incontinence, and genuine stress incontinence will easily come to mind. It is not that the latter conditions do not exist in Nigeria, but people do not consider them as problems that warrant hospital consultation.

The prevalence of urinary leakage was 39% in a survey conducted in Stockholm, which examined the influence of physical activity and micturition habits on urinary leakage in women before their first pregnancy. Adult women in European countries had a prevalence rate of 35%. Stress incontinence is the most common type of urinary leakage and the prevalence is higher in white than in black women.

Urinary leakage is an important gynecological condition, which has a substantial impact on quality of life. It is a significant cause of disability and dependence. Many urinary incontinence cases go unaid. However, interest and research in non-fistulous urinary leakage receives little or no attention in Nigeria presently. Therefore, this study was designed to determine the prevalence and types of non-fistulous urinary leakage among healthy clients of the family planning clinic of the University of Ilorin teaching hospital, Ilorin, Nigeria.

Methods
The study was a cross-sectional study carried out in the family planning clinic of the University of Ilorin teaching hospital between January 3 and April 25, 2009.
Women that visited the clinic during the study period answered the pre-tested questionnaire (see Appendix). The ethical committee of the University of Ilorin teaching hospital approved the study.

Fisher’s formula was used for sample size estimation,\(^9\) and the calculated sample size was 333. The interviewers were female interns in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Interviewing techniques, eligibility of respondents, and detail of questions were included in the training of the interviewers.

Both books\(^10\) and some published studies\(^5\) on urinary leakage were sources of the information in the questionnaire. The information included age, parity, mode of deliveries, occupation, involvement in heavy load lifting (a load that needs an assistant to get the load on the person’s head), types of symptoms of urinary leakage, and whether they visited any health facility for management. Included in the study were all the women that came to the family planning clinic for contraception during the study period except postnatal women or those with vesicovaginal fistula. Women with vesicovaginal fistula received care at the fistula clinic, which is part of the gynecological clinic.

Definitions of terms used in this study are as follows:
- Urinary leakage: the woman has experienced leakage of urine in the past.
- Urinary incontinence: the woman has involuntary loss of urine that has become a social or hygienic problem.
- Stress incontinence: the woman has experienced leakage of urine on coughing, sneezing, lifting, or exercise.
- Urge incontinence: the woman has a desire to void, but leaks urine before getting to the toilet.
- Overflow incontinence: leakage of urine following urinary retention.\(^3\)

SPSS statistical package (v 17; IBM, Armonk, NY) was used for data analysis. Pearson’s \(\chi^2\) test was used to test significance and \(P\) values <0.05 were regarded as significant.

**Results**

Three hundred and thirty-three eligible respondents’ questionnaires were analyzed. One hundred and two women experienced urinary leakage giving a prevalence rate of 30.6%. The age of the women who experienced urinary leakage ranged between 30 and 51 years with a mean of 37.4 years ± 4.1 (SD). Their parity ranged between 0 and 9 with mean parity 4.1 SD ± 1.8. Figure 1 shows the prevalence of the various types of urinary leakage. Stress incontinence was the most common type with 40 women (prevalence rate [PR]: 12.0%) followed closely by urge incontinence with 36 women (PR: 10.8%). Sixteen women (PR: 4.8%) and ten women (PR: 3.0%) had experienced symptoms of urinary incontinence and overflow incontinence, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the types of urinary leakage by age. The majority of women with urinary leakage – 63% (61.8%) were in the age group 30–39 years. The peak age group was 35–39 years in all types of urinary leakage, except in urge incontinence which was between 30–34 years. There was a general dip following these peaks in the age group 40–44 years, before the second rise. The difference in age distribution is significant only for urinary incontinence \((P = 0.013)\).

Women who experienced urinary incontinence had the least mean age of 36 years ± 7.4 and parity 3.8 ± 2.5, while women with symptoms of overflow incontinence had the highest mean age of 38.5 years ± 5.6 and parity 4.4 ± 1.3. The difference in parity distribution was significant in only urinary incontinence \((P = 0.00)\) and stress incontinence \((P = 0.032)\). Figure 3 shows the types of urinary leakage by parity. Ninety-one of the women (89.2%) suffering from urinary leakage had three or more children, and this is applicable to all types of urinary leakage.

Eighty-eight (86.3%) women who experienced urinary leakage had a vaginal delivery in their previous deliveries. The difference in the mode of delivery and occurrence of urinary leakage was not statistically significant in all types of urinary leakage except in urinary incontinence \((P = 0.001)\). The frequency of women who carried heavy loads and experienced urge incontinence and overflow incontinence symptoms was significantly higher than those who did not carry heavy loads \((P = 0.003\) and \(P = 0.034\), respectively; see Table 1). None of the affected women visited a health facility for management.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of urinary leakage and urinary incontinence varies widely from center to center partly due to differences in parity...
in the definitions employed in the studies. In this series, the prevalence of urinary leakage was 30.6%. This is comparable to 35%, 39%, and 41% reported by Hunskaar et al’s study involving four European countries; Eliasson et al in Stockholm, Sweden; and Jolleys in Leicestershire, UK respectively. This prevalence is far less than the 58% reported by Burgio et al in Pennsylvania, US. In addition, the reason for a lower prevalence rate among these women may be similar to the findings found among the African American women. African American women have smaller bladder capacities, smaller maximum cystometric capacities, and higher maximum urethral closure pressures compared to Caucasians. Urodynamic studies will be necessary on these women to validate this assumption. It is worth noting that the wrong diagnosis could occur in up to 25% of cases, when symptoms alone are considered as in this study.

The prevalence sequence of the types of urinary leakage experienced in this center is comparable with Shaw et al’s multicenter finding in the UK, where stress incontinence was the most common type, followed by urge incontinence. Stress incontinence was also the most common urinary leakage in the Hunskaar et al finding.

The prevalence of stress incontinence was highest in all age groups of women who complained of urine leakage in this study. The Hunskaar et al findings supported this observation. In this series, the peak age group was 30–39 years, which is in contrast to other studies. Peak age is around menopause in other studies. The lower peak age recorded is probably due to the study population, which used women of reproductive age. The cause of the decrease in the prevalence of urinary leakage in the age group 40–44 years, in all types of urinary leakage, is unclear.

The risk of developing urinary incontinence is greater in women with high parity than in women with low parity in this series. Other researchers supported this finding. The mode
of delivery is also a significant factor in the occurrence of urinary incontinence in this study.

The attitudes of these women with regard to seeking help was poor, as shown by the 0% consultation rate. This is the worst rate compared to other studies, although the likelihood of hospital consultation is low even in studies from developed countries like Spain (24%), Germany (25%), France (33%), and Pennsylvania, US (25.5%). The dismal help-seeking attitude of women with urinary leakage may be partly due to ignorance and partly due to the non-incapacitating nature of the condition.

In conclusion, it is obvious from this study that urinary leakage is a common problem among women of reproductive age in this part of the world, and those affected do not seek medical attention. This explains why it is considered a rare condition. The high prevalence calls for further evaluation, including its impact on quality of life. It is recommended that women should be encouraged to report any urinary leakage for possible treatment to improve their quality of life.
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Appendix

Questionnaire

Age:

Occupation:

Is your work related to carrying heavy loads (load that needs an assistant to get the load on your head)? Yes/No

No of births 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and above

Mode of birth deliveries
  - Vaginal delivery
  - Assisted delivery
  - Cesarean delivery (operation)

Who conducted the delivery (ies)?
  - Relatives
  - Doctors
  - Nurse/midwife
  - Traditional birth attendant

Have you experienced urinary leakage in the past? Yes/No

If the answer to the above question is yes, answer the following:

a. Is the involuntary loss of urine twice or more a month? Yes/No
b. Does the leakage of urine occur after coughing, sneezing, lifting, or exercise? Yes/No
c. Do you experience leakage of urine, or do you unintentionally empty your bladder before getting to a toilet when you have the urge to urinate? Yes/No
d. Do you experience leakage of urine following urinary retention? Yes/No
e. Do you use sanitary pads due to your urinary leakage? Yes/No
f. Has urine leakage affected your ability to do household chores? Yes/No
g. Has urine leakage affected your participation in social activities outside the home? Yes/No

i. Have you visited a health facility for treatment? Yes/No