Nanomedicine as an emerging approach against intracellular pathogens
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Abstract: Diseases such as tuberculosis, hepatitis, and HIV/AIDS are caused by intracellular pathogens and are a major burden to the global medical community. Conventional treatments for these diseases typically consist of long-term therapy with a combination of drugs, which may lead to side effects and contribute to low patient compliance. The pathogens reside within intracellular compartments of the cell, which provide additional barriers to effective treatment. Therefore, there is a need for improved and more effective therapies for such intracellular diseases. This review will summarize, for the first time, the intracellular compartments in which pathogens can reside and discuss how nanomedicine has the potential to improve intracellular disease therapy by offering properties such as targeting, sustained drug release, and drug delivery to the pathogen’s intracellular location. The characteristics of nanomedicine may prove advantageous in developing improved or alternative therapies for intracellular diseases.
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Introduction

Worldwide, a number of diseases (eg, HIV/AIDS [human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome], hepatitis, and tuberculosis [TB]) are caused by intracellular pathogens. Such diseases can be due to viral,1–5 bacterial,9–16 fungal,17,18 or other parasitic19–21 infection, as summarized in Table 1. The prevalence of each disease may differ geographically, but the intracellular nature of these pathogens, which may protect them from a variety of antibiotic therapies and host immune responses, presents a treatment challenge for the global medical community. Some antibiotic drugs like aminoglycosides and β-lactams have limited cellular penetration, whereas others such as fluoroquinolones or macrolides have the ability to penetrate host cells but are poorly retained and therefore inefficient.22 Therapeutic drugs targeting the intracellular pathogens should overcome the cell membrane barriers and release and retain the drug intracellularly at the therapeutic level for a desired time period. Moreover, multidrug resistance is increasing23–29 and is making intracellular disease treatment more challenging. Therefore, there is a need for the development of advanced treatment methods to better control intracellular infections. This review will summarize the status of intracellular disease treatments and the current therapeutic strategies against common intracellular diseases, and present how nanomedicine is emerging as an attractive platform for advanced intracellular drug therapy. Note that therapeutic treatments that tune the cell-mediated immune responses against intracellular pathogens are important but will not be discussed here.
Intracellular pathogens and current treatment approaches

A wide variety of pathogens are capable of causing intracellular diseases such as HIV/AIDS, hepatitis, and TB (see Table 1). In this section, a brief description of selected viral and bacterial diseases is given along with a discussion of the current therapeutic strategies against the causative pathogens.

Tuberculosis

TB is a disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*). It is estimated that up to 2.2 billion people carry latent TB infections worldwide. Exposure to TB does not necessarily lead to an active infection, as normal human immune systems are able to effectively control bacteria, and most people remain in a symptom-free latent stage of infection. However, susceptible individuals with poor immune response or complicating factors such as HIV infection may develop an active TB infection. People with active infections typically experience pain in the chest and a cough with blood or sputum (phlegm) lasting more than 3 weeks. These symptoms could also be accompanied by fatigue, weight loss, fever, chills, or night sweats. *M. tuberculosis* is a gram-positive bacterium with a very thick cell wall, which is characteristic of the *Mycobacterium* species. The thick cell wall provides an excellent permeability barrier, making *Mycobacteria* resistant to a wide variety of antimicrobial agents. *M. tuberculosis* bacteria may reside and duplicate within macrophages of the lung. A full description of *M. tuberculosis* pathogenesis is beyond the scope of this discussion and is reviewed elsewhere. However, an important factor is the bacteria’s ability to avoid the cell-mediated immune response through granuloma formation, such that treatment of TB remains a challenge.

At present, the treatment of choice for an active TB infection is long-term antibiotic therapy, with an initial “intensive phase” consisting of the four first-line anti-TB drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide) followed by a typical 4 month course of rifampicin and isoniazid alone. This has been the most effective treatment to date, although, due to the length of antibiotic therapy, side effects frequently develop and the cost is high. These factors may lead to low patient compliance and contribute to the development of drug-resistant bacteria.

HIV

Infection with HIV is a significant ongoing problem worldwide. As HIV infection progresses, infected individuals develop AIDS. According to the latest statistics from the World Health Organization, there are 33.3 million people living with HIV/AIDS. Many of those infected live in sub-Saharan Africa, where access to treatment is extremely costly or unavailable. There have been major developments in the treatment of HIV/AIDS since the approval of zidovudine (Retrovir®; GlaxoSmithKline, Durham, NC) in 1987. Current therapeutic efforts consist of a combination of several drugs, typically from different classes of antiviral drugs. This regimen is referred to as highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) and has become the standard of care for those infected with HIV. There are five classes of drugs available for HIV/AIDS treatment, including nucleoside

---

**Table 1** Summary of disease-causing intracellular pathogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogens</th>
<th>Associated disease(s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viral pathogens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes simplex</td>
<td>Type 1: oral herpes (cold sore, fever blister) or Type 2: genital herpes (warts, ulcers)</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>Liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)</td>
<td>3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory syncytial virus</td>
<td>Pediatric viral respiratory disease</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
<td>Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)</td>
<td>7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial pathogens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>9,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica serovars Typhi, Paratyphi</em></td>
<td>Typhoid fever</td>
<td>11,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brucella species B. melitensis, B. abortus, B. suis</em></td>
<td>Malta fever or undulant fever</td>
<td>13–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>Listeriosis, meningitis in newborn babies</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungal pathogens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Candida albicans</em></td>
<td>Multiple cutaneous and mucosal forms; frequently encountered oral form is thrush</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus fumigatus</em></td>
<td>Pulmonary aspergillosis</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other pathogens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leishmania</em> (parasite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plasmodium species</em> (protozoon) P. vivax, P. ovale, P. malariae, P. falciparum, P. knowlesi</td>
<td>19,20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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reverse transcriptase inhibitors, nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, and viral fusion and integrase inhibitors. Typical regimens are combinations of three or four drugs, with subsequent modifications made based on the patient’s response to therapy. Changes made to a patient’s regimen are often based on drug resistance testing, and take into consideration toxicity and tolerability of the new treatment strategy. Although HAART has increased the median survival time of HIV/AIDS patients from less than a year to about 10 years, patients often develop multidrug-resistant strains of the virus over the course of therapy, leading to poor treatment outcomes.

**Hepatitis C virus**

It is estimated that at least 3 percent of the world’s population is infected with the hepatitis C virus (HCV). The virus affects only humans and is considered a “silent” disease, as infected individuals are usually symptom free until later stages of infection when liver inflammation occurs. HCV can cause liver scarring and cirrhosis, which ultimately leads to hepatocarcinoma (liver cancer) and death. Unfortunately, there is no effective vaccine against HCV, and the “gold standard” of HCV treatment is combination antiviral therapy with ribavirin and interferon-α; however, both of these compounds are highly toxic and may cause severe side effects. Several months of treatment are usually required to eradicate a chronic infection, and the cost of therapy is high. These factors contribute to low patient compliance, making therapy less effective and potentially contributing to the development of viral resistance.

**Typhoid fever**

Typhoid fever is an acute illness caused by the bacterium Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi (S. Typhi) or Paratyphi, which causes about 20 million cases of illness per year. These bacteria are usually ingested by consuming contaminated food or water. Once ingested, the bacteria translocate across intestinal epithelial cells and establish an intracellular growth environment within macrophages. The bacteria survive within Salmonella-containing vacuoles in infected macrophages and later spread to organs such as the liver and spleen. Infected individuals often experience sustained high fevers (up to 103°F), stomach pains, headache, weakness, and appetite loss. Several vaccines against S. Typhi have been developed, although they provide only short-term protection against the disease due to failure of the immune system to build a lasting response. Typhoid fever is endemic in many developing countries, where access to vaccines and antibiotic drugs is limited and expensive even if available. Standard treatment for S. Typhi infection is the antibiotic chloramphenicol, although resistance has been reported. Newer antibiotics like the fluoroquinolones have also proven effective in treating typhoid fever, but the widespread use of these drugs for a range of febrile illnesses in developing countries is contributing to the development of more drug-resistant strains of the bacterium.

### Major challenges in treating intracellular pathogens

Tables 2A and B summarize the common intracellular viruses and bacteria and their related current therapeutic approaches. One can see that intracellular treatment approaches generally involve long-term therapy with a combination of drugs. Side effects can develop due to the drug’s inherent toxicity or the length of drug exposure. One of the critical challenges in treating these types of infections is to get enough drugs to reach the pathogens within their intracellular compartments. After reviewing the literature, we found evidence that intracellular pathogens reside in phagosomes vacuoles, cytosol, and nucleus and may interact with the Golgi apparatus and endoplasmic reticulum of host cells (Figure 1). The host cell membranes make it a challenge for many drugs to reach the invading pathogens. Moreover, some antiviral and antibiotic medications have short half-lives, requiring frequent and large doses to obtain a therapeutic effect, which may lead to high cost, low patient compliance, and severe side effects. In addition, drug resistance may be developed when patients do not fully comply with their treatment regimens or when pathogens are exposed to drugs at suboptimal concentrations for an extended time period. An alerting new phenomenon is that some pathogens that have traditionally been considered extracellular are emerging as intracellular pathogens and may lead to new types of intracellular diseases. As an example, Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) has long been considered an extracellular pathogen, although recent evidence in the literature demonstrates that this bacterium is capable of being internalized and of surviving within host cells (eg, osteoblasts), and may contribute to recurrent infections. In order to reduce side effects, improve patient compliance, and reduce the development of drug resistance, more effective therapeutic approaches need to be developed.

An ideal drug treatment method to eradicate intracellular pathogens is one that has the following characteristics: the ability to penetrate host cells and reach the pathogens, high efficacy and low toxicity, and sustained and site-specific drug release. Recent evidence from the literature shows that nanomedicine is emerging as a promising potential treatment...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus name</th>
<th>Type of virus</th>
<th>Current treatment options</th>
<th>Drugs commonly prescribed</th>
<th>Drug class/mode of action</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)</td>
<td>Retrovirus (lentivirus)</td>
<td>Highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART; combination therapy using three or more antivirals simultaneously). Drugs may be administered individually or as combination pills. Treatment duration is often lifetime of the patient.</td>
<td>Nevirapine, delavirdine, efavirenz, etravirine, Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), azidothymidine, abacavir, lamivudine, zalcitabine, didanosine, stavudine, Atazanavir, darunavir, fosamprenavir, lopinavir, tipranavir, indinavir, emtricitabine, saquinavir, lopinavir, ritonavir, nelfinavir</td>
<td>Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)</td>
<td>8,42–45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus (HCV)</td>
<td>RNA virus (single strand, positive sense)</td>
<td>Combination treatment with interferon and broad-spectrum antiviral drugs. Treatment duration is several months.</td>
<td>Pegylated interferon 2-alpha and ribavirin</td>
<td>Entry/fusion inhibitor (FI)</td>
<td>4,47,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)</td>
<td>RNA virus (single strand, negative sense)</td>
<td>Prophylactic administration of antibodies as disease prevention, or disease treatment with broad-spectrum antiviral drugs.</td>
<td>Ribavirin or palivizumab</td>
<td>PEG-IFN is an immunomodulatory drug and helps enhance natural anti-viral mechanisms (degradation of viral RNA, translation inhibition, etc) although the exact mechanism is unknown. Ribavirin is a purine analog and is incorporated into the genome of the virus, causing lethal mutations. It is ineffective against HCV when administered alone; it must be administered concomitantly with PEG-IFN.</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes simplex virus (HSV)</td>
<td>DNA virus (double stranded)</td>
<td>Antiviral drug administration until symptoms and viral shedding are reduced. suppressive therapy is often recommended.</td>
<td>Acyclovir, valacyclovir, famciclovir, ganciclovir, Cidofovir, Foscarnet</td>
<td>Entry/fusion inhibitor (FI)</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Examples of combination pills include: Combivir, Kaletra, Truvada, Trizivir, Atripla, EpipicompKivexa (all registered trademarks of their respective companies); †HCV-specific antivirals are currently under development in clinical trials. None have been FDA-approved to date; ‡there are multiple RSV-targeted therapies currently under development, including: improved mAb preparations (motavizumab), antisense anti-RSv siRNAs, fusion inhibitors and other small molecule RSV viral epitope inhibitors. A variety of vaccine options are being explored as well (live, attenuated vaccines, vector vaccines and subunit vaccines)."
Table 2B Current therapeutic strategies against common intracellular bacterial pathogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Associated disease(s)</th>
<th>Current treatment options</th>
<th>Drugs commonly prescribed</th>
<th>Drug class/mode of action</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Long-term antibiotic treatment in two phases: intensive 2-month initial phase (four first-line drugs) then 4-month follow up (isoniazid and rifampicin only). Drug resistant strains require additional treatment for up to 12 months.</td>
<td>Isoniazid, pyrazinamide, rifampicin, ethambutol Ethionamide, prothionamide, cycloserine, capreomycin, paraminosalicylic acid, fluoroquinolones</td>
<td>First-line drugs effective against non-resistant <em>M. tuberculosis</em> infections. (non-MDR-TB) Second-line drugs effective against multi-drug resistant strains of <em>M. tuberculosis</em>. (MDR-TB)</td>
<td>30, 31, 34, 37, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em></td>
<td>Typhoid fever</td>
<td>Best therapy is prevention through vaccination. Chloramphenicol is a first-line drug of choice, although there is increasing bacterial resistance to this drug. Other recommendations include treatment with cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones or azithromycin in the case of highly drug resistant strains of <em>Salmonella</em>.</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol Ciprofloxacin Ceftriaxone, cefotaxime Azithromycin</td>
<td>Broad-spectrum protein synthesis inhibitor; emerging bacterial resistance to this antibiotic Fluoroquinolones (inhibit bacterial DNA replication) Cephalosporins (inhibit cell wall synthesis; a type of beta-lactam antibiotic) Macrolide antibiotic (inhibits bacterial protein synthesis)</td>
<td>50, 51, 52, 54, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brucella (melitensis, abortus, suis, canis)</em></td>
<td>Brucellosis, Malta fever</td>
<td>Long-term antibiotic treatment; combination therapy more effective than monotherapy.</td>
<td>Doxycycline with streptomycin, gentamicin or rifampicin</td>
<td>Tetracycline-aminoglycoside combination (protein synthesis inhibitors)</td>
<td>13, 14, 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drugs such as paclitaxel, doxorubicin, and etoposide.96 Liposome-encapsulated drugs may be particularly useful in treating diseases affecting the liver or spleen (eg, typhoid fever, hepatitis). LNCs are another type of lipid-mediated delivery system under exploration. LNCs are considered a “hybrid” between a polymer nanoparticle and a liposome, with an oily core surrounded by a membrane composed of PEGylated surfactants.96 LNCs have shown promise in in vitro and some animal models encapsulating anticancer drugs such as paclitaxel, doxorubicin, and etoposide.96 The results of these studies have indicated higher intracellular drug delivery and reduced tumor size in vivo when LNC formulations were administered.

In contrast to these “soft” polymer-based nanoparticle systems, metals such as gold have also been explored as potential drug delivery vectors. Gold is an attractive drug delivery vector due to the ease with which biomolecules such as protein or DNA can be attached to the gold surface using thiol chemistry.75 This process can also allow attachment of multiple targeting or functional groups to the nanoparticle surface to produce a multifunctional nanoparticle. Although gold nanoparticles can be easily functionalized, these nanoparticles may accumulate in tissues over time because they are not biodegradable. The effects of long-term nanoparticle accumulation are unknown, so in many cases it may be better to use a material that is fully biodegradable. Silica-based compounds are another option, as the biodegradation of silica avoids tissue accumulation concerns,99 and it has been demonstrated that a variety of agents have been successfully incorporated into silica-based nanoparticles99–101 for drug delivery applications.

Although each of these materials offers its own set of characteristics and biocompatible properties, some materials may be more suited to certain applications than others. It is important to determine the desired properties of the nanomedicine for defined applications.

**Cellular penetration and intracellular delivery**

One critical challenge in treating intracellular pathogens is to get enough drugs to reach the pathogen within an intracellular...
compartment. Nanoparticles can be internalized by endocytic mechanisms, which include receptor-mediated or clathrin-coated pit endocytosis. Nanoparticles may reside within acidic endolysosome compartments following endocytosis, and premature drug release within this acidic compartment may cause drug degradation and render treatment ineffective. It is therefore important for the nanoparticle to escape this compartment and gain access to the cytosol where either the drug cargo may be directly released or the nanoparticle goes on to further target a specific organelle. For instance, PLGA nanoparticles carrying doxorubicin are reportedly capable of escaping the endolysosomal compartment by a reversal of their surface charge. This allows the particles to interact with the membrane and escape into the cytosol where the doxorubicin is released.

There are a number of sources that report time- and concentration-dependent uptake of nanoparticles by a variety of cell types such as smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, macrophages, and tumor cells. The uptake of PLGA nanoparticles containing bovine serum albumin as a model drug was found to be concentration dependent in human vascular endothelial cells, approaching first-order kinetics. An in vitro uptake and cellular trafficking study using mesoporous hybrid silica nanoparticles demonstrated that the particles were internalized by receptor-mediated endocytosis, were localized in the endocytic compartment, and then released their cargo within the cytosol. Another in vitro study examined the uptake of drug-loaded thiolated PMA hydrogel capsules. The tracking experiments revealed that nanocapsules were taken up by endocytic mechanisms in a time-dependent manner and the drug was released throughout the cell. Collectively, these studies demonstrate that nanoparticles are capable of cellular penetration and intracellular drug release. This is an important characteristic of a nanomedicine that targets intracellular pathogens and promotes direct killing, as the drug must be able to effectively reach the invading pathogens within the intracellular compartment.

Targeting to specific cell types

The goal of targeting nanomedicines to specific cell populations is to increase the therapeutic efficacy of the drug while minimizing damage to healthy cells and tissues, thereby reducing the incidence and severity of side effects. Currently, the majority of experiments demonstrating the targeting of nanoparticles are related to cancer/tumor targeting, although these same concepts can be applied to pathogen-infected cells and the intracellular compartments where the pathogens reside. Nanoparticles can be targeted toward specific cell populations by conjugating targeting ligands to the surface of the nanoparticles. These targeting ligands can be attached directly to nanoparticle surfaces or via a spacer (e.g., PEG), which acts to enhance the flexibility of the targeting ligand and increase the likelihood that it will bind the appropriate receptor on the target cell. There are a variety of methods available to attach ligands to nanoparticle surfaces. Targeting ligands can include antibodies (whole or fragment), receptors or receptor ligands, peptides, aptamers, or other small molecules.

When incorporating antibodies on nanoparticle surfaces, it is important to consider whether attachment will affect the binding site or structure of the antibody. Hybrid lipid nanoparticles composed of PLGA, phospholipids, and an outer PEG layer have shown targeting capacity to pancreatic cancer cells when coupled with an anticarcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) half-antibody. Nanoparticles incubated with CEA-presenting pancreatic cancer cells showed selective uptake of targeted nanoparticles over nontargeted control nanoparticles.

Receptor ligands can also act as targeting moieties. It has recently been reported that nanosized poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(ε-caprolactone) (PEG-b-PCL) micelles bearing a surface epidermal growth factor (EGF) have been targeted to breast cancer cells overexpressing the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in vitro and in vivo. The uptake of the nanomicelles was two-fold higher using EGFR-overexpressing cells compared with cells that express low levels of EGFR. These results were confirmed using a xenograft mouse model, with mice bearing EGFR-overexpressing tumors demonstrating increased tumor uptake of the particles compared with mice bearing low EGFR-expressing tumors.

Polyster nanoparticles carrying paclitaxel can be targeted to irradiated tumor cells with a short peptide, Gly-Ile-Arg-Leu-Arg-Gly (GIRLRG), which binds specifically to GRP78 receptors expressed by glioma and breast tumor cells in response to radiation therapy. In vitro and in vivo studies were used to compare tumor volumes following treatment with control and targeted nanoparticles. Mice treated with nanoparticles bearing the targeting component had a significant decrease in tumor volume compared with control.

Conjugation of an A10 aptamer to PLGA/PEG nanoparticles has also been shown to be an effective targeting strategy. The A10 aptamer binds to the prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) on the surface of prostate cancer cells, and the A10 aptamer-conjugated nanoparticles showed higher uptake by PSMA-positive cells than by PSMA-negative cells.
Site-specific and tunable drug release

In order to effectively eradicate intracellular pathogens, drugs that are intended to kill the pathogens directly should reach the intracellular locations of infected host cells. As we have summarized in Figure 1, locations may include phagosomes, vacuoles, cytosol, and nucleus and may interact with the Golgi apparatus or endoplasmic reticulum. With proper engineering and design, nanoparticles can be tailored to carry their drug payloads into the infected cells and then release the drug within specific intracellular compartments. One way to accomplish site-specific drug release is to use pH-responsive polymers. In one study, short peptides were conjugated to pH-responsive polymers designed specifically to disrupt the endosomal membrane at pH 5.5 and subsequently release the peptide into the cytosol. The polymers had no membrane-disruptive activity at pH 7.4 due to a “masking” PEG group, which is later cleaved to expose the membrane-disruption domain at pH 5.5. Peptide-polymer conjugates demonstrated a diffuse cytosolic distribution after 1 hour, the time normally required for macrophage endosomes to mature into lysosomes. Unconjugated peptide was located primarily in the lysosome after the same time period, indicating that the peptide itself was unable to escape into the cytosol. This polymer technology may allow for local drug delivery to the cytosol, although it is more critical to reach the specific intracellular location of the pathogen, such as a vacuole or the nucleus.

It has been demonstrated that nanoparticles can be specifically targeted to mitochondria or nucleus and may be capable of entering vacuoles where pathogens such as Salmonella may reside during an infection process. Although intracellular pathogens do not typically live within mitochondria, pathogens such as Listeria monocytogenes can secrete toxins that interfere with normal mitochondrial function. So the ability to target mitochondria may provide a means to treat these types of infection and attenuate the effects of secreted toxins. One study successfully localized fluorescent nanodiamonds conjugated with mitochondrial protein antibodies to mitochondria in live cells. The inherent fluorescence of these nanodiamonds allowed for tracking the localization of the nanoparticles, and the microscopy experiments revealed that these nanodiamonds were capable of specifically binding the mitochondria compared with control nanodiamonds without conjugated antibody.

Nuclear targeting has been demonstrated in a similar manner by conjugating nuclear localization signal (NLS) peptides on the surface of PLGA nanoparticles for the nuclear delivery of doxorubicin to cancer cells. The NLS-targeted nanoparticles demonstrated a six-fold increase in uptake compared with free doxorubicin in solution, and also a 2.5-fold increase in uptake over nontargeted nanoparticles. Cells treated with NLS-targeted nanoparticles also showed a higher toxicity than control nanoparticles, which was expected due to the increased delivery of drug to its nuclear target site. Nuclear targeting would be especially useful in viral infections such as HIV and HSV, as these viruses must enter the nucleus to begin their replicative life cycles.

There is also evidence that demonstrates that nanoparticles loaded with ampicillin are capable of entering cells and delivering the drug within Salmonella-containing vacuoles (SCVs). Ampicillin was tritium-labeled and found to localize within both the cytosol and SCVs using ultrastructural autoradiography. The colocalization of the drug with the bacteria led to enhanced bacterial killing and elimination compared with control cells treated with ampicillin in solution. The colocalization of the drug within the SCVs is an important step in effectively targeting intracellular pathogen compartments.

Another issue plaguing intracellular pathogen treatments is the lower concentration of drug at the target site and the short duration of efficacy of the drug administered. Large and frequent doses of the drugs are often required to obtain a therapeutic effect. Nanoparticles have the potential to overcome this issue by offering sustained release of drugs, which would lower the required dose and decrease the frequency of administration. As an example, polymer-based nanoparticles composed of PLGA are able to sustain release of a variety of drugs, such as rolipram for 7 days, gentamicin for 25 days, and dexamethasone for 15 days. Hyperbranched poly(amine-ester)-PLGA nanoparticles have also demonstrated sustained release of isoniazid, an important anti-TB drug, up to 14 days. Another study targeted gelatin nanoparticles loaded with rifampicin, another anti-TB drug, to macrophages. Test results indicated that the rifampicin-loaded gelatin nanoparticles were capable of localizing in the lungs and reducing bacterial loads in a mouse model of TB. In addition, nanoparticle treatment was as effective as traditional daily oral rifampicin at a reduced dosing frequency (every 3 days), due to the sustained release of rifampicin from the gelatin matrix.

Perspectives for the future

We have reviewed the current therapeutic strategies against commonly encountered intracellular pathogens like viruses and bacteria, and have evaluated the potential of nanomedicine to improve upon the current treatments. When treating
intracellular diseases, it is important to avoid or prevent further development of pathogen resistance, which is becoming a major problem for the management of TB. Generally speaking, the success of an antibiotic drug relies on its ability to penetrate the bacterial cell wall and membranes and to bind to its target site (eg, protein, enzyme). Bacteria may develop resistance by mutating various drug target sites, and viruses may also develop drug resistance through genetic mutation and recombination events that can render our current treatments ineffective. For this reason, there is a need for new drugs with novel mechanisms of action that may slow or stop the development of pathogen resistance.

As an example, recent literature has emerged touting the potential of cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs) to serve as an alternative to conventional antibiotic therapy. Antimicrobial peptides are short, positively charged peptides that are produced endogenously by human epithelial tissues where they function to prevent invasion of pathogens, demonstrating broad-spectrum killing activity against bacteria, yeast, and fungi. The complete mechanism of CAMP action is yet to be fully understood, but one proposed mechanism suggests that the CAMPs interact electrostatically with the negatively charged molecules on the outer surface of bacteria, where they can insert themselves into the membrane and form a pore. Such a disruption in the bacterial cell wall and membranes will affect the osmolytic balance of the bacterium and ultimately cause cell death. Because CAMPs do not have a specific molecular target per se, pathogens may have more difficulty in developing resistance to these peptides. There is also some evidence indicating that CAMPs can help modulate exogenous antibiotic action against several strains of S. aureus. Bacterial cultures treated with both CAMPs and antibiotics had lower antibiotic minimum inhibitory concentration values than for cultures treated with antibiotics alone, indicating complementary action between the CAMPs and antibiotics.

As a result, these CAMPs are attractive targets for the development of novel nanomedicines to treat intracellular diseases. It may be possible to design nanoparticles capable of carrying CAMPs into the cell, or to develop self-assembled CAMP nanoparticles. The development of such nanoparticles may greatly improve intracellular drug therapy by offering high efficacy against a variety of pathogens, and also offer very high biocompatibility, as endogenous CAMPs can be used. We anticipate that CAMP nanoparticles will be a potential advanced nanomedicine for intracellular disease treatments.

Another potential strategy to improve intracellular disease treatments is to take advantage of the preferential accumulation of nanoparticles by the reticuloendothelial system (RES). The RES can also be referred to as the “mononuclear phagocytic system” and is composed of macrophages residing in the liver, spleen, and lungs. Normally, this phenomenon is avoided in nanomedicine, as the RES removes the nanoparticles from circulation and may prevent drugs from reaching target tissues. However, this treatment modality may be particularly useful in treating intracellular diseases such as TB (affecting the lungs) or typhoid fever (affecting the liver and spleen), as these pathogens primarily live and duplicate within macrophages of the affected organs. Using nanoparticles to deliver drugs of interest to fight these diseases may be aided by the natural tendency of these cells to internalize nanoparticles, which would help reduce unnecessary tissue exposure and likely decrease the amount of drug required, because it is being delivered to the appropriate cell.

To create the “perfect” intracellular drug delivery system for fighting infections, certain characteristics of nanoparticles or nanomedicine must be successfully incorporated such that the medicine exhibits acceptable biocompatibility, possesses targeting capacity, and offers efficient and sustained drug release at the target site. Figure 2 illustrates an “ideal” nanoparticle drug delivery system with such characteristics suited to treat intracellular diseases. The nanomaterial used will vary with each application, but there are a variety of biocompatible materials available, as summarized in Table 3. The drug may be used to form the nanoparticle, encapsulated within a polymer matrix, or attached to the surface of a solid “carrier” nanoparticle. The incorporation of surface targeting components to help localize to the affected tissue may improve therapeutic efficacy, along with the presence of molecules to enhance cellular penetration such as cell-penetrating

---

**Figure 2** Components of an “ideal” nanoparticle for intracellular drug delivery. The important components of a nanoparticle used for intracellular drug delivery include choice of nanomaterials (eg, polymer, gold), targeting molecules, cell-penetrating peptides (to promote internalization), and the incorporated drug molecules of interest.

**Abbreviation:** PEG, polyethylene glycol.
Table 3  Examples of biocompatible nanoparticles discussed in this review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of nanoparticle</th>
<th>Materials used</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic or man-made polymers</td>
<td>Poly (lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA)</td>
<td>76–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly-lactic acid (PLA)</td>
<td>77,82,83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polymethacrylic acid (PMA)</td>
<td>84,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol (PEG)</td>
<td>77,86,87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural polymers</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>88,89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>90,91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td>92,93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other types of nanoparticles</td>
<td>Lipids</td>
<td>94–96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>75,97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silica-based compounds</td>
<td>98–101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

peptides (CPPs). CPPs are short, cationic peptides, typically derived from the HIV TAT proteins,135 which have been shown to readily translocate through cell membranes. The addition of CPPs, such as TAT, to the surface of a nanoparticle can increase the efficiency with which the nanoparticles are delivered intracellularly,136,137 although the mechanism by which these CPPs are able to enter cells is currently under debate. It is suggested that either the CPPs promote direct translocation through the membrane via electrostatic interactions or the CPPs bind to specific receptors on the membrane and induce rapid receptor-mediated endocytosis.137 In either case, promoting efficient cellular penetration is critical for the treatment of intracellular diseases.

Nanomedicine meets the requirements for an “ideal” drug delivery system to improve intracellular disease therapy; however, we are still exploring this relatively new field. It is early to assess how quickly nanomedicine will be adopted and integrated into mainstream health care. Research on nanomedicine is relatively well funded,138 so it is likely that many new treatment methods will be approved and available in the future. However, this investment cost for drug development is still high from an economic standpoint,139 and the price will probably be passed down to patients via high prescription copays and may not be available for years in developing areas where these improved therapies are needed most. Initially, this may be a deterrent to promoting nanomedicine, but over the long term with new cost-effective technologies, higher drug efficacy, and better treatment outcomes, the price will become acceptable.

Conclusion

The burden of treating intracellular diseases is continually increasing due to the sheer number of people living with diseases such as HIV/AIDS, hepatitis, and TB worldwide, along with the increasing incidence of drug resistance. We have summarized the locations where these pathogens reside, such as phagosomes,15,33,55 vacuoles,51,52 cytosol,16,56,57 nucleus,1,58,59 Golgi apparatus,60 and endoplasmic reticulum.61–63 The major challenges in treating these invasive pathogens include getting enough drugs to penetrate the host cell and reach the pathogen, having high drug efficacy and low toxicity, and maintaining sustained, site-specific drug release throughout the duration of treatment. In the future, it may be possible to improve disease treatment by utilizing the uptake of nanoparticles by the RES, especially in the case of TB and hepatitis, which affect macrophages. Additionally, looking to endogenous sources such as CAMPs or other immunomodulatory compounds such as interleukins (not covered) may further improve upon current therapies.

The literature presented here shows the potential for nanomedicine to address these challenges and improve upon the current therapeutic strategies. The incorporation of nanomedicine into mainstream health care is a lofty, but achievable, goal. Researchers from multiple disciplines must work together, push the boundaries of science at the nanoscale, and incorporate concepts from biology, engineering, and drug design in order to make this goal a reality.
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