Nanoparticles of carbon allotropes inhibit glioblastoma multiforme angiogenesis in ovo

Abstract: The objective of the study was to determine the effect of carbon nanoparticles produced by different methods on the growth of brain tumor and the development of blood vessels. Glioblastoma multiforme cells were cultured on the chorioallantoic membrane of chicken embryo and after 7 days of incubation, were treated with carbon nanoparticles administered in ovo to the tumor. Both types of nanoparticles significantly decreased tumor mass and volume, and vessel area. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis showed downregulated fibroblast growth factor-2 and vascular endothelial growth factor expression at the messenger ribonucleic acid level. The present results demonstrate antiangiogenic activity of carbon nanoparticles, making them potential factors for anticancer therapy.
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Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is one of the most common tumors of the central nervous system. GBM grows in the brain, where it develops extensive tumors with specific infiltration along nerve fibers, somas, pia mater, and blood vessels. This prevents the total resection of the tumor. Glioblastoma is characterized by high proliferation of cancer cells, increased cellularity, necrosis, and a high potential for new blood vessels to develop from the vessels that already exist (angiogenesis). Angiogenesis is the main reason for the transformation of the small local lesions into extensive metastatic tumors. The intensity of new blood vessel formation depends on the balance between proangiogenic factors, such as basic fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and antiangiogenic factors, such as angiostatin, angiopoietin 2, and endostatin. Shifting of this equilibrium due to the increased expression of antiangiogenic or reduction of proangiogenic factors inhibits angiogenesis. Inhibition of tumor angiogenesis suppresses tumor growth and prevents metastasis, and is a key target in cancer therapy. There have been several trials of angiogenic therapy applied to different places of cancer formation (breast, prostate, colon, liver, or kidney) as well as in the brain. Most utilize VEGF (VEGF-A and VEGF-B) or VEGF receptor (VEGFR1-3, PDGFRβ, and c-Kit) inhibition, while some also block pathways not dependent on VEGF (CD36 receptor, FGF pathway, cyclooxygenase-2, and hypoxia-inducible factors 1α or inhibit endothelial cell migration (integrins αvβ1, αvβ3, αvβ5)). However, up to now, only bevacizumab, containing antiVEGF antibodies, has entered phase III clinical trials for treating GBM.
Recently, new biologically active substances have appeared that can be useful in antiangiogenic therapy: nanoparticles of carbon allotropes. They are not only biocompatible but also bioactive, inhibiting lipid peroxidation and regulating the expression of genes associated with cellular, genotoxic, and oxidative stress.26,27 Anticancer properties are characteristic for water-soluble C60 fullerenes. They inhibit growth of Lewis lung carcinoma tumors in mice, probably by inhibiting specific receptors (eg, endothelial growth factor receptor).28 In anticancer therapy, allotropic forms of carbon are also applied as a drug delivery system. Conjugate water-soluble single-walled nanotube-palitaxel29 and single-walled nanotube-doxorubicin30 enhance permeability and retention in xenograft tumors, while not changing the effect of the medical treatment. Murugesan et al31 proved the antiangiogenic properties of graphite nanoparticles, multi-walled carbon nanotubes, and C60 fullerenes on the blood vessels of chicken chorioallantoic membrane. They resulted from the binding of the proangiogenic factors FGF-2 or VEGF. Results of experiments on embryonic chicken heart have also showed that diamond and graphite nanoparticles downregulate FGF-2 expression.32 There are no data concerning the influence of carbon nanoparticles on the development of blood vessels in GBM tumors.

It was hypothesized that the investigated carbon nanoparticles can downregulate the expression of factors associated with the formation of new blood vessels in GBM tumors. The objective of the study was to determine the effect of carbon nanoparticles manufactured by different methods on the growth of brain tumor and the development of its blood vessels.

Materials and methods
Carbon nanoparticles
Nanoparticles of carbon allotropes: ultradispersed detonation diamond (UDD) and microwave-radiofrequency (MW-RF) carbon allotrope, were obtained from the Technical University of Lodz (Lodz, Poland). UDD were produced by the detonation method described by Danilenko.33 MW-RF was synthesized by a plasma-assisted chemical vapor deposition process using the dual frequency method in MW (2.45 GHz) and RF (13.56 MHz) plasma in methane under a pressure of 100 Pa. The structure of nanoparticles and electron diffraction patterns were visualized by JEM-2000EX transmission electron microscope at 200 kV (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Transmission electron microscope images of UDD and MW-RF nanoparticles and electron diffraction patterns are presented in Figures 1 and 2, showing differences in the phase content and size of the particles. The size of the nanoparticles ranged from 2–10 nm for UDD and 30–100 nm for MW-RF.

Infrared spectroscopy was used to detect the vibrational modes of the nanoparticles and, in particular, to reveal their surface termination. Fine potassium bromide powder and sample mixture at the ratio of 300:1 mg were used to prepare a pellet in the hydraulic press under a 10-ton pressure. Fourier transform infrared spectra were registered in the classic mid-infrared range (4000–400 cm$^{-1}$) with a
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d [Å]</th>
<th>UDD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.06 (111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.261 (220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.075 (311)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. A pellet of pure potassium bromide was used as the background. Twenty-five scans were collected to obtain a spectrum for each sample using a PerkinElmer System 2000 spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Inc, Foster City, CA), operated by Grams 2000 software (PerkinElmer, Inc, Waltham, MA). The Fourier transform infrared spectra of UDD and MW-RF nanoparticles are shown in Figure 3. The measurements confirmed the chemical structures of the nanoparticles and indicated that the UDD nanoparticle had a surface termination at the carbonyl and carbon–oxygen polar groups, while the MW-RF nanoparticle had surface terminations at the carbon–hydrogen group.

Zeta potential of hydrocolloids of nanoparticles was measured by light scattering method, using Zetasizer Nano ZS (ZEN3500; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, Worcestershire, United Kingdom). Each sample was measured after 120 seconds of stabilization at 25°C (20 replicates). Zeta potential of UDD nanoparticles hydrosol was +15 and MW-RF nanoparticles hydrosol was −19.3.

In Table 1, there is a summary of the physical and chemical characteristics of UDD and MW-RF nanoparticles.

### Cells and embryos

Human U87 glioblastoma cells (HTB-14; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, St Louis, MO) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich). The fertilized eggs (*Gallus gallus*) (n = 60) were supplied by a commercial hatchery (Debowka, Poland).

### Culture of GBM on chorioallantoic membrane

After 6 days of egg incubation, the silicone ring with the deposited 3–4 × 10⁶ U87 cells suspended in 30 µL of culture medium was placed on the chorioallantoic membrane. The eggs were incubated for 7 days and then 36 eggs with visible tumor development were chosen. Eggs were divided into three groups of twelve: the control group, UDD group (injected with 200 µL of 500 µg/mL solution of UDD), and MW-RF group (injected with 200 µL of 500 µg/mL solution of MW-RF). The solutions were added directly into the tumors. After 2 days, the tumors were resected for further analysis.

### Calculation of volume of tumor and blood vessel area

Digital photos of tumors were taken by a stereo microscope (SZX10, CellID software version 3.1; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The measurements were taken with cellSens Dimension Desktop version 1.3 (Olympus). The tumor volumes were calculated with the equation:

\[
V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \quad \text{where} \quad r = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{diameter}_1 \times \text{diameter}_2}
\]

Measurement of the blood vessel area was performed in accordance with Seidlitz et al.³⁵ On each of the analyzed images of the tumor, five different areas of a fixed size of 400 µm² were marked. The fragments with large blood vessels were not chosen. All the image pixels in shades of red,
after strengthening of the picture contrast, were converted into the black pixels and counted. The result is a percentage count, in relation to all pixels in the analyzed field.

**Histology analysis**

After resection, the tumors were placed into 10% buffered formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were embedded in paraffin (Paraplast®; Sigma-Aldrich) and cut into 5-µm sections. After staining with Harris hematoxylin (POCH S.A, Gliwice, Poland) and eosin (BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom), the samples were analyzed by light microscopy (DM750; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) using LAS EZ version 2.0 software (Leica) (Figure 5).

**Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis**

Total ribonucleic acid (RNA) from tumor tissue was obtained using SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). Total RNA (2 µg) was reverse-transcribed using reverse transcriptase (Promega), oligodeoxythymidylic acid, and random primers (TAG Copenhagen A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). Relative messenger RNA levels of *FGF-2* (locus NC_006091), *VEGF* (locus NC_006090), and the housekeeping gene *EEF1A2* (locus NC_006107) were determined by real-time polymerase chain reaction using a LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master and LightCycler® 480 Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction System (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), which

---

**Table 1 Physical and chemical characteristics of ultradispersed detonation diamond (UDD) and microwave-radiofrequency (MW-RF) carbon nanoparticles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UDD nanoparticle</th>
<th>MW-RF nanoparticle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>Detonation method</td>
<td>Microwave method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size [nm]</td>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>30–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atom configuration</td>
<td>sp3</td>
<td>sp2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface termination</td>
<td>C=O, C – O</td>
<td>C – H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeta potential</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>−19.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** C, carbon; H, hydrogen; O, oxygen.
was programmed for an initial step of 5 minutes at 95°C followed by 45 cycles of 10 seconds at 95°C, 10 seconds at 60°C, and 9 seconds at 72°C. The oligonucleotides used as specific primers were: 5’GGCAGTGAAATGTGCAACAG3’ and 3’TCCAGGTCCAGTTTTGTC5’ for FGF-2; 5’TGA GGCCCTAGAATGTGTC3’ and 3’TCTTTTGGACC CTCCCTTT5’ for VEGF; and 5’AGCAGACTTT GTGACCTTGCC3’ and 3’TGACATGACAGAGC GTTGC5’ for EEF1A2. All the reactions were performed in triplicate.

Statistical analysis
Results were expressed as mean and standard error. The data were analyzed using monofactorial analysis of variance and the differences between groups were tested by Duncan’s multiple range tests, using STATGRAPHICS® Plus 4.1 (StatPoint Technologies, Inc, Warrenton, VA). Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) were considered significant.

Results
Analysis of the antiangiogenic features of carbon nanoparticles (UDD and MW-RF) in terms of the growth of brain GBM tumors and their blood vessels was performed in ovo on the chicken embryo experimental model.

Tumor weight, volume, blood vessel area, and FGF-2 and VEGF expression levels were assessed. A decrease in tumor growth in terms of its weight and volume was observed in both treated groups (Table 2). In the UDD group, the weight was reduced by 73% and the volume by 61%, and in the MW-RF group, the weight decreased by 69% and the volume by 68% compared to the control group (\( P < 0.05 \)).

A decrease in blood vessel area was detected in the UDD and MW-RF groups versus the control group (Table 2). In the control group, 58% area of vessels was detected on average, but 19% and 25% in the UDD and MW-RF groups was detected, respectively. Except for the decreased blood vessel density after UDD and MW-RF treatment, characteristic changes in the macroscopic images of the observed blood vessels were found (Figure 4). In the control group, distinctive blood vessel branching was observed; however, in the UDD and MW-RF groups, only the fragments of blood vessels and hemorrhagic processes were seen.

In the histology of GBM between the control (Figure 5A and B), UDD (Figure 5C and D), and MW-RF (Figure 5E and F)
groups, strong differences were noticed. Chicken chorioallantoic membrane surrounding the tumor in the control group was thick with strong vascularity, while in the UDD and MW-RF groups, it was thin without any blood vessels. In the central part of the tumor in all the groups, fewer blood vessels were found than in the lateral part in contact with the host.

In the control group, the diameter of blood vessels varied between 2–30 µm, with a wide, transparent, and well-defined lumen. In the UDD and MW-RF groups, the blood vessels had similar dimensions as the capillary vessels (2–7 µm), while the lumen was irregular, narrow, and chink-like shaped. Endothelial cells of blood vessels in the control group had a typical shape with a flattened nucleus. In the UDD and MW-RF groups, endothelial cells had tube-like shapes and their nuclei were round. In these groups, there were also a lower number of blood vessels compared with the control group, with erythrocytes being present between the parenchymal cells of the tumor and necrotic area.

Table 3 shows the results of the transcription of messenger RNA encoding FGF-2 and VEGF. There was a 69% decrease in FGF-2 expression in the UDD group compared to the control group (P < 0.05). A decrease of 33% was observed in the MW-RF group, but this was not significant. The amount of VEGF gene transcripts was also reduced in the UDD (by 72%) and MW-RF (by 48%) groups compared to the control (P < 0.05).

**Discussion**

UDD and MW-RF nanoparticles reduced tumor mass and volume and inhibited new blood vessel development in GBM tumors cultured in ovo. It was observed that UDD nanoparticles significantly decreased FGF-2 and VEGF expression, while MW-RF nanoparticles only reduced VEGF expression, although there was a tendency of reduced FGF-2 expression too. VEGF is one of the most important factors influencing angiogenesis. The VEGF family (VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, VEGF-E, and placenta growth factor) is activated at different stages of the angiogenic cascade, including the induction of endothelial cell formation and proliferation, as well as stimulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase. The increased protein level of VEGF is linked to the higher permeability of the blood vessels and their untypical structure, which is characteristic of tumors. Lowering of the VEGF level contributes to the normalization of blood vessels, facilitating the infiltration of other factors (eg, drugs) into the tumor, while inhibiting VEGF expression limits the transport of nutrients into cancer cells. In the present study, erythrocytes were observed...
to flow out to the parenchyma and necrotic area in tumors from the UDD and MW-RF groups. The occurrence of this process, in association with decreased levels of VEGF and FGF, indicates a breakdown and/or disappearance of blood vessels.

There are many possible mechanisms of action of carbon nanoparticles (UDD and MW-RF). The most probable seems to be the one described by Murugesan et al who showed that multi-walled carbon nanotubes, C60 fullerenes, and graphite nanoparticles inhibited angiogenesis when VEGF and FGF-2 levels were elevated. Such a case takes place in the tumor microenvironment, where the level of proangiogenic factors is higher than that in healthy tissues. Carbon nanoparticles can bind to VEGF and FGF-2 or their receptors and in this way influence signal transduction between cells. The possibility of connecting the factors influencing angiogenesis is the property of carbon nanoparticles, but this has also been observed in experiments with nanosilver and nanogold. Nanosilver inhibits the phosphorylation of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/Akt at serine 473, leading to the inhibition of VEGF-induced angiogenesis. Nanogold, by binding VEGF165 (a heparin-binding growth factor), inhibits its action and lowers the level of proliferation. Moreover, mixing nanogold and nanosilver with heparan sulfate enhances heparan binding to FGF-2 and VEGF because silver and gold nanoparticles can both bind to amines and thiol groups.

The presence of different functional groups on the surface of UDD and MW-RF nanoparticles is probably the reason that although the effect of UDD and MW-RF nanoparticles is comparable (decrease of the weight and volume of the tumor as well as the number and area of the vessels), the mode of action is not identical (UDD nanoparticles inhibit VEGF and FGF-2, while MW-RF nanoparticles inhibit only VEGF [Table 3]). This may be the result of different physical and chemical properties of the nanoparticles (Table 1). They differ not only in the way they are manufactured but also in size, zeta potential, atomic configuration, and surface termination. Due to the different functional groups on the surface, nanoparticles can bind different domains of proangiogenic factors or their receptors. It is interesting to note that despite different physicochemical features, both carbon nanoparticles exhibited antiangiogenic properties, but their intensity of action differed.

Regardless of the unclear mechanism of carbon nanoparticle activity, their biocompatibility together with the present results demonstrating their antiangiogenic properties make them potential factors for anticancer therapy.
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