Bilateral presumed astrocytic hamartomas in a patient with retinitis pigmentosa
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Abstract: Retinal astrocytic hamartomas are benign intraocular tumors classically associated with phacomatoses. Their appearance in isolation is rare. An association between astrocytic hamartomas and retinitis pigmentosa (RP) has been described previously, but controversy still exists regarding the precise nature of these lesions in RP patients. The authors present a case report of a 24-year-old male with RP and multiple bilateral lesions clinically consistent with retinal astrocytic hamartomas. Optical coherence tomography revealed multiple bilateral hyper-reflective intraretinal masses, loss of retinal architecture, intralesional calcifications, and prominent optical posterior shadowing. Comprehensive systemic evaluation was negative for phacomatoses. However, given that a biopsy was not performed, the diagnosis of optic nerve head drusen could not be excluded.
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Introduction
Retinal astrocytic hamartoma is a benign intraocular tumor that appears as a yellow-gray mass, frequently associated with minimally dilated retinal vessels, fine retinal traction, and glistering intrinsic calcifications.1–3 Tumor characteristics, appreciable using optical coherence tomography (OCT), include the gradual transition from a normal retina to a hyper-reflective intraretinal mass, anterior surface hyper-reflectivity, retinal disorganization, discrete internal moth-eaten optically empty spaces (representing intralesional calcification or intratumoral cavities), and optical shadowing posterior to the tumor.1 These tumors, classically associated with tuberous sclerosis or neurofibromatosis, are often diagnosed based on the characteristic ophthalmoscopic features without the need for biopsy.2 Isolated ocular appearance of these tumors without evidence of phacomatoses is rare.

Case report
The authors present a case of a 24-year-old Georgian male with abnormal retinal findings consisting of multiple bilateral retinal astrocytic hamartomas or “giant drusen” (Figure 1A). Other peripheral retinal findings were narrow retinal vessels and sparse hypopigmented lesions on the nasal side of the retina in both eyes. No overt “bone spicules” were detected. The macular area in both eyes showed general thinning (central retinal thickness of 142 µm in the right eye and 147 µm in the left, not shown). There was no evidence of cystoid macular edema. The best-corrected visual acuity
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Discussion

An association between astrocytic hamartomas and RP has been described previously. However, an old controversy persists concerning the nature of the mulberry-like lesions of the optic disc in patients with RP. While several clinical reports have referred to them as astrocytic hamartomas, histopathological reports have often shown these to be simple optic nerve head drusen (ONHD). Puck et al described the pathological findings of enucleated eyes from a 22-year-old female with RP who had died in a car accident. Their conclusion was that the single, half-disc-sized, peripapillary calcified “white lesion” seen only in the right eye was giant drusen and not astrocytic hamartoma. Novack and Foos described a 66-year-old female with RP who had died of congestive heart failure. She had multiple bilateral small lesions over both optic nerves proven histologically to be drusen and not glial proliferations. These rare reports, although thorough, were published before the OCT era and therefore lacked OCT imaging. Moreover, the funduscopic photographs were of low quality, making them difficult to interpret. The histological findings, although both interesting and convincing, were of limited clinical relevance. The present authors believe that, together with the clinical and OCT appearances of the lesions in the patient presented in this case report were consistent with astrocytic hamartomas, leading to the conclusion that these are, indeed, glial proliferations rather than ONHD. Moreover, while visual field loss due to ONHD has been described previously, it more frequently involves the lower nasal quadrant and visual acuity is well preserved, both are not the case in this patient. Finally, ERG of patients with ONHD has been shown to be normal. Taken together, the authors believe that ONHD was not the correct diagnosis in this patient. However, given that histological examination of the lesions was not performed, the possibility that these were simply drusen...
could not be excluded. Other important diagnostic tools such as autofluorescence (AF) might have helped to differentiate between ONHD and astrocytic hamartoma (eg, while ONHD will show strong AF, an astrocytic hamartoma will show blockade of the physiologic background AF, if type 1). In the authors’ case, unfortunately, AF was not performed.

Ulbright et al reviewed 42 histologically proven astrocytic tumors of the retina and found that 29% were not associated with phacomatoses. However, the presence of multiple astrocytic tumors only occurred in one patient in the sporadic group.

**Conclusion**

In this case report, the authors present a patient with a clinical diagnosis of multiple bilateral presumed astrocytic hamartomas, supported by high-quality imaging, in an otherwise systemically healthy RP patient. The differential diagnosis of ONHD could not be excluded.
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