The impact of hypoxia on oncolytic virotherapy
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Abstract: The hypoxic tumor microenvironment plays significant roles in tumor cell metabolism and survival, tumor growth, and progression. Hypoxia modulates target genes in target cells mainly through an oxygen-sensing signaling pathway mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor of transcription factors. As a result, hypoxic tumor cells are resistant to conventional therapeutics such as radiation and chemotherapy. Oncolytic virotherapy may be a promising novel therapeutic for hypoxic cancer. Some oncolytic viruses are better adapted than others to the hypoxic tumor environment. Replication of adenoviruses from both groups B and C is inhibited, yet replication of herpes simplex virus is enhanced. Hypoxia seems to exert little or no effect on the replication of other oncolytic viruses. Vaccinia virus displayed increased cytotoxicity in some hypoxic cancer cells even though viral protein synthesis and transgene expression were not affected. Vesicular stomatitis virus replicated to similar levels in both hypoxic and normoxic conditions, and is effective for killing hypoxic cancer cells. However, vesicular stomatitis virus and reovirus, but not encephalomyocarditis virus, are sensitive to elevated levels of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α in renal cancer cells with the loss of von Hippel–Lindau tumor suppressor protein, because elevated hypoxia-inducible factor activity confers dramatically enhanced resistance to cytotoxicity mediated by vesicular stomatitis virus or reovirus. A variety of hypoxia-selective and tumor-type-specific oncolytic adenoviruses, generated by incorporating hypoxia-responsive elements into synthetic promoters to control essential genes for viral replication or therapeutic genes, have been shown to be safe and efficacious. Hypoxic tumor-homing macrophages can function effectively as carrier cells to deliver an oncolytic adenovirus to the hypoxic/necrotic areas of the tumor. It is envisioned that further improved oncolytic viruses will be highly effective against hypoxic tumor, especially when combined with other therapeutic regimens such as immunotherapy, radiation therapy, and/or chemotherapy.
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Introduction

The utilization of viruses for cancer treatment has garnered interest for several decades. These viruses, either by innate properties per se or modified via genetic engineering, possess the ability to infect and/or replicate exclusively in cancer cells, allowing normal cells to remain unharmed. As the first-generation oncolytic viruses (OVs) have been tested in clinical trials, novel genetic engineering approaches have permitted the design of the next generation of recombinant viruses; these OVs demonstrate increased oncolytic potency in neoplastic tissue without compromising safety in animal models. In both preclinical models and clinical trials, OVs have often been utilized...
in combination with radiation therapy, classic chemotherapy, prodrug therapy, or immunotherapy in order to achieve better efficacy.\textsuperscript{6–10}

Since the first genetically engineered virus, a herpes simplex virus (HSV), was explored as an OV, hundreds of other engineered viruses or naturally selected viruses have been studied in tumor models in preclinical studies. Several of these OVs have been examined in phases I–III clinical trials (for a list of OVs in clinical trials, see the table by Rowan, 2010).\textsuperscript{11} OVs expressing the cytokine granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor have shown great promise. OncoVEX GM-CSF (cytokine granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor) has been tested in phase I/II trials.\textsuperscript{12} A prospective, randomized phase III clinical trial in patients with unresectable stages III and IV melanoma is being completed.\textsuperscript{13} An oncolytic poxvirus expressing cytokine granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, JX-594, is genetically engineered for replication and transgene expression in cancer cells harboring an activated epidermal growth factor receptor/Ras pathway, followed by cell lysis and anticancer immunity. The virus, either alone or in combination with sorafenib, a small molecule inhibitor of B-Raf and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor, was well-tolerated and displayed objective tumor response in a small number of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.\textsuperscript{14} In a recent study, Breitbach et al. showed in a clinical trial that JX-594 selectively infects, replicates, and expresses transgene products in cancer tissue after intravenous infusion in a dose-related fashion in human cancer patients.\textsuperscript{15} China approved the world’s first OV (H101) for cancer treatment in 2005, showcasing the potential of OVs as a new class of pharmaceutical drugs for cancer patients.\textsuperscript{16}

Tumor microenvironment (TME) plays an important role in dictating not only the replication but also oncolytic effects of OVs.\textsuperscript{3,17–19} Hypoxia is one of the hallmarks of solid tumor. The interplay of hypoxic TME and OV is a key factor determining not only the outcome of viral replication, spread, and potential oncolysis in a specific tumor but also the overall efficacy of oncolytic virotherapy.\textsuperscript{3,17–19} The field of oncolytic virotherapy for hypoxic tumor was reviewed by Hay in 2005.\textsuperscript{20} Here, the focus will be on recent new and exciting progress in the field and a discussion of future directions for developing OVs in the setting of treating hypoxic tumors.

**Overview of the biological properties of OVs**

Ideally, an OV is a virus that preferentially infects and lyses cancer cells while leaving normal cells unharmed. These viruses have been explored to treat cancer, both by direct destruction of the tumor cells, and, if modified, as vectors enabling genes expressing anticancer proteins to be delivered specifically to the tumor site.\textsuperscript{1,1–3} In general an OV is able to attach to and infect the tumor cells, replicate in these tumor cells, and “lyse” them, thereby releasing progeny virus into the surrounding TME and infecting neighboring tumor cells. This process would prime the host immune system and generate specific immunity against the tumor cells as well as the virus. Several recent reports have presented evidence of synergistic effects between direct virus-mediated oncolysis and the activation of specific antitumor immune responses.\textsuperscript{21,22} In the clinical setting, more rational, potentially synergistic combination strategies are being tested.\textsuperscript{23} These combination strategies offer an exciting outlook for the future of cancer virotherapy.

There are some naturally occurring OVs that selectively kill tumor cells while leaving normal cells unharmed.\textsuperscript{24} Examples of these OVs include measles virus, Newcastle disease virus, reovirus, and vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), most of which are ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses. The mechanisms of tumor cell selectivity appear to be that these viruses are able to exploit differences in the biology between tumor cells and their normal counterparts that arise during the transformation into a cancer cell.\textsuperscript{24} For example, the selectivity of VSV strains and myxoma virus is mediated by tumor cell-specific defects in interferon responsiveness or innate immunity.\textsuperscript{24–27} Instead, the oncolytic specificity of Newcastle disease virus is selected for apoptosis-resistant cells, not for defect of interferon responsiveness as believed previously.\textsuperscript{28}

Most OVs are generated via genetic engineering for enhanced tumor selectivity. Normally, viruses will infect normal cells. For adenoviruses (Ads), this is via an oral or nasal route of entry and involves the epithelial lining of the nose, throat, and/or gut resulting in a respiratory and/or gastrointestinal infection. When used as an agent in cancer therapy, the objective is to develop recombinant Ads that selectively infect a vastly different set of cells, namely, transformed epithelial cells and tumor-associated endothelium located in distinct locations throughout the body, most of which are not normally seen during the typical Ad infection. Researchers must develop OVs that efficiently and selectively replicate in, and kill, cancer cells to which they would not normally be exposed. An additional requirement is that these OVs can adapt to hypoxic tumor cells for survival, to proliferate, and to exert their potential for oncolysis. There are at least two methodologies to develop OV’s targeting hypoxic tumor environment. The primary one is based on rational design...
by genetic engineering. An alternative approach employs directed evolution as a means of producing highly selective and potent anticancer viruses. Using this “directed evolution” methodology, Bauzan and Hermiston generated ColoAd1, a novel chimeric OV. In vitro, this virus demonstrated a ≥2 log increase in both potency and selectivity when compared to ONYX-015 on colon cancer cells. This approach may be applied to generate other novel and potent OVs targeting hypoxic tumor cells.

**Overview of the tumor hypoxic environment and why current therapies do not work effectively**

Hanahan and Weinberg have recently made a new summary of cancer hallmarks. Adding to the six classic hallmarks are two emerging hallmarks: reprogramming of energy metabolism and evading immune destruction. The key physical and chemical properties of TME often include hypoxia, nutrient deprivation, acidosis, and aberrant stroma. The TME is becoming increasingly recognized as an important determinant of tumor progression as well as of therapeutic response. The TME and its tumor-stromal interactions are capable of altering the delivery and effectiveness of therapeutics into the tumor, including oncolytic virotherapy.

What are hypoxia and tumor hypoxia? As stated by Hockel and Vaupel, biochemists may define hypoxia as molecular oxygen-limited electron transport. Physiologists and clinicians may define hypoxia as a state of reduced oxygen availability or pressures below critical thresholds, thus hindering or abolishing the function of organs, tissues, or cells. In solid tumors, oxygen delivery to the respiring neoplastic and stromal cells is frequently reduced or even abolished by deteriorating diffusion geometry, severe structural abnormalities of tumor microvessels, and disturbed microcirculation. As a result, areas with very low oxygen partial pressures exist in solid tumors, occurring either acutely or chronically. By definition, there are two types of hypoxia in tumor: chronic hypoxia and intermittent hypoxia. Chronic hypoxia refers to the imbalance between oxygen delivery and oxygen consumption, which exists in regions of tumors beyond the diffusion distance of oxygen. The disorganized tumor neovasculature is generally claimed as the common denominator of the above causes of deficit in oxygen supply. The other form, acute or intermittent hypoxia, is a ubiquitous process occurring within most solid tumors. It can exist at a distance (even more than 100 μM) from a tumor microvessel. Fluctuations in pressure of oxygen of approximately 20 mmHg can occur with periodicities of minutes to hours and even days. The major phenotypic shift associated with chronic hypoxia is tumor cell resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy and more invasive and metastatic features. In contrast, acute hypoxia may exert more effects on the phenotype of endothelial cells lining tumor blood vessels, which may have significant therapeutic implications. Acute hypoxia may permit resistance to treatment and thereby affects the survival of hundreds of tumor cells.

Three main oxygen-sensing pathways promote hypoxia tolerance by regulating gene transcription and messenger RNA translation in cells exposed to hypoxia. It has long been known that transcription factors hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and HIF-2α play critical roles in cellular response to hypoxia. The most characterized molecular response to hypoxia is the stabilization and activation of HIF-1. HIF-1 is a heterodimer composed of the HIF-1α subunit and the HIF-1β subunit. During hypoxia, a shift from aerobic metabolism to glycolytic metabolism is mediated in a large part by HIF-1, via upregulation of various metabolic genes, such as glucose transporters, aldolase, lactate dehydrogenase, and pyruvate kinase. Even though both subunits of HIF-1 are constitutively expressed, HIF-1α is rapidly degraded in the presence of cellular oxygen via the ubiquitin-mediated proteasome pathway. Recently, two other oxygen-sensitive signaling pathways have also been implicated: signaling via the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) kinase and through activation of the unfolded protein response. Even though these signaling pathways function independently in response to hypoxia, evidence has accumulated that HIF-, mTOR-, and unfolded protein response-dependent responses to hypoxia act in an integrated way, influencing each other and common downstream pathways that affect gene expression, metabolism, cell survival, tumorigenesis, and tumor growth. Some recent studies have linked mTOR signal and HIF-1α to CD133 expression, a cancer stem cell marker in cancer cells. This might lead to insights into the involvement of the mTOR signal and oxygen-sensing intracellular pathways in the maintenance of stemness in cancer stem cells.

The other response to hypoxia in mammalian cells is a G1 cell cycle arrest, in addition to adaptive metabolic changes. Early work showed that hypoxia inhibits G1/S transition through regulation of p27 expression. However, later work showed the real mechanisms are much more complex. The hypoxia-induced replication arrest initiates a DNA damage response that includes both ataxia telangiectasia mutated-related and ataxia telangiectasia mutated plus Rad3-related mediated signaling. Although G1 cell arrest in response to hypoxia is observed in normal cells and some cancer cells,
little or no effect on S phase has been reported in cells with disrupted HIF-1α signaling and in some cancer cells. G1 arrest of tumor cells in hypoxic conditions may not be critical to limit viral replication for at least some viruses. In fact, hypoxia may induce DNA overreplication in tumor cells.59 DNA replication can be blocked by hypoxia, but only at nonphysiological levels of <0.1% oxygen.20

Hypoxia promotes immune suppression in the TME via multiple mechanisms. It modulates both the innate immunity and adaptive immunity. Hypoxia links to the innate immunity and inflammation via nuclear factor-xB (NF-xB).51 Monocytes are continually recruited into tumors, differentiate into tumor-associated macrophages, and then accumulate in the hypoxic/necrotic areas. Hypoxia via HIF-1α dramatically alters the function of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the TME and redirects their differentiation toward tumor-associated macrophages.52 These macrophages exposed to hypoxia upregulate expression of HIF-1α and HIF-2α, which then bind to hypoxic response elements (HRE) within the promoters of multiple tumor-promoting and adaptive genes to activate their expression.53 In a recent study, the authors showed that HIF-2α modulated macrophage migration by regulating the expression of the cytokine M-CSF receptor and chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4,54 receptors important for tumor cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis.55 In another study, targeted deletion of HIF-1α in macrophages in a progressive murine model of breast cancer resulted in reduced tumor growth, although VEGF-A levels and vascularization were unchanged.56 The authors found that hypoxia powerfully augmented macrophage-mediated T-cell suppression in vitro via HIF-1α expressed from macrophages.56 These responses may help explain the correlation between high numbers of tumor-associated macrophages and poor prognosis in various forms of cancer and offer a potential target for therapy.57-59

Hypoxia can exert direct effects on adaptive immunity. It drives the extracellular accumulation of adenosine in the local TME. The adenosine triggers the immunosuppressive signaling via intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate-elevating A2A adenosine receptors on antitumor T cells.60 In addition, the activated antitumor T cells in hypoxic TME could be inhibited by elevated levels of immunosuppressive HIF-1α. Recent studies have demonstrated that hypoxia leads to a decrease in cytotoxic T lymphocyte-mediated tumor cell lysis via the cooperative induction of HIF-1α and the phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, and the induction of NANOG in target cancer cells.61,62 Another mechanism is that tumor hypoxia also promotes the recruitment of regulatory T cells through induction of expression of chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 28, which in turn promotes tumor tolerance and angiogenesis.63 In summary, hypoxia-induced changes lead to the diminished innate and adaptive immunity against cancer. These results may help explain the poor responses of hypoxic cancer to immunotherapy.

Hypoxia may also lead to resistance to ionizing radiation and chemotherapy by depriving tumor cells of the oxygen essential for the cytotoxic activities of these agents. Hypoxia may also reduce tumor sensitivity to radiation therapy and chemotherapy through one or more indirect mechanisms that include proteomic and genomic changes.64,65 Tumor hypoxia influences the outcome of cancer radiotherapy. Oxygen is a potent chemical radiosensitizer. Oxygen is an extremely electron-affinic molecule that participates in the chemical reactions that lead to the production of DNA damage after the absorption of energy from ionizing radiation. Cells that are anoxic during irradiation are about three times more resistant to radiation than cells that are well oxygenated at the time of irradiation.66 Because the underlying chemical reactions are essentially complete within a few milliseconds after irradiation, oxygen must only be present during irradiation to produce full radiosensitization. Resistance of hypoxic tumor to chemotherapy comes from multiple mechanisms. Three basic categories underlie chemotherapy failure: inadequate pharmacokinetic properties of the drug, tumor cell intrinsic factors (eg, drug efflux pumps), and tumor cell extrinsic conditions which are characterized by such hostile conditions as hypoxia, acidosis, nutrient starvation, and increased interstitial pressure present in the TME.67 For example, Rohwer and colleagues recently identified HIF-1α as a potent regulator of p53 and NF-κB activity under conditions of genotoxic stress derived from chemotherapy in gastric cancer.68 In summary, multiple molecular mechanisms involving hypoxia contribute to resistance to conventional therapeutics. Despite recent advancement in the knowledge regarding tumor hypoxia, there are still major questions to be addressed if the longstanding goal of exploiting tumor hypoxia is to be realized. To address these questions, investigators have studied therapeutic modalities including prodrugs activated by hypoxia, hypoxia-specific gene therapy, targeting transcription factor HIF-1, and tumor metabolism.40,64,69

**The effects of hypoxia and leaky vasculature on the access and spread of OV**

Many OV are large particles, thus they suffer from inefficient extravasations from tumor blood vessels after
systemic delivery. Their ability to reach cancer cells is an important consideration in achieving specific oncolytic targeting and potential viral replication. Hypoxia upregulates the expression of vascular permeability factor, VEGF, thus enhancing the vascular permeability. This should promote both extravasation of input OV into tumor cells and spreading of progeny OVs to neighboring tumor cells. However, increased vascular permeability and inflammation will also promote infiltration of immune cells that will prematurely clear the viruses resulting in reduction of therapeutic efficacy.

A number of research laboratories have come up with strategies to modulate tumor vascular leakiness in order to enhance the efficiency of specific delivery of OVs to tumor cells. Kurozumi and colleagues investigated OV therapy-induced changes in tumor blood vessels and the impact of modulating tumor vasculature on the efficacy of OV therapy. They found that OV treatment increased tumor vascular permeability, host leukocyte infiltration into tumors, and intratumoral expression of inflammatory cytokine genes, including interferon-γ. Pretreatment with cyclic Arg-Gly-Asp peptide, which is angiostatic, reduced inflammatory neutrophil chemoattractants (chemokine [C-X-C motif] ligand 1 and 5) and results in neutrophil attraction into the tumor mass, initiating an inflammatory reaction including immune and inflammatory processes that can infect, replicate in, and lyse the hypoxic tumor cells.

The rationale is to treat the hypoxic tumor with an OV that can infect, replicate in, and lyse the hypoxic tumor cells. Alternatively, it is possible to make hypoxic tumor cells less hypoxic, or even normoxic, so that these “modified” tumor cells or tumor cells in the “modified” TME are now susceptible to infection, replication, and oncolysis by OVs. How inflammation induced by virus infection impacts on the TME is also an important issue. Breitbach et al showed that administration with VSV and vaccinia virus (VACV) resulted in a dramatic transcriptional activation of the proinflammatory neutrophil chemoattractants (chemokine [C-X-C motif] ligand 1 and 5) and results in neutrophil attraction into the TME. The infiltrated neutrophils in turn contributed to acute reduction in tumor vasculature. Kirm et al showed that systemically administered VACV resulted in infection and subsequent destruction of tumor endothelial cells, which led to loss of tumor vascular density. Therefore, treatment with OVs may strengthen hypoxia in certain areas of the tumor.

Nine years ago, Hernandez-Alcoceba and colleagues pioneered the work of genetic engineering of an oncolytic Ad specifically for hypoxic tumor. Since then, many studies from a number of research laboratories have explored the properties of various OVs for hypoxic tumors. The following section summarizes the main findings regarding some representative OVs in the setting of hypoxic tumors. Some key effects of hypoxic conditions on selective OVs are listed in Table 1.

**The effects of hypoxia on the replication and oncolysis of OVs**

The objective of all viruses is to infect target cells, replicate large numbers of progeny virions, and spread these progeny to initiate new rounds of infection. However, target organisms possess both systemic and cell-based defenses to limit virus infection, including immune and inflammatory processes and the execution or suicide of infected cells. Protective mechanisms (such as induction of apoptosis) are in place in normal cells to prevent viral replication. In hypoxic cancer cells, the eventual success or failure of viral replication may depend on the interplay between adaptive processes that allow survival of tumor cells in hypoxia and cellular protective responses to inhibit viral replication. A major cellular response to stresses, such as hypoxia and viral infection, is the shutdown of protein synthesis. Hypoxia affects host cell translational machinery. Since all viruses depend on the host translational machinery to translate their own proteins, the hypoxic state of infected cells may play a critical role in the viral life cycle, replication, and thus the success of oncolytic virotherapy.

As just mentioned, the pioneering work on engineering an OV for hypoxic tumor was from Clarke and associates. The impact of hypoxia on oncolytic viruses...
in 2002.\textsuperscript{76} In that study, they designed an oncolytic Ad in which the early region 1A (E1A) gene was controlled by a HRE-containing promoter. The tight control of E1A expression correlated with the ability of the virus to replicate in and kill the human cancer cells that were maintained under hypoxic conditions.\textsuperscript{76} Subsequently, a number of groups have designed other hypoxia-dependent oncolytic Ads or utilized them to treat various types of cancer models.\textsuperscript{77–80} It is worth pointing out that such an OV could be highly efficient for the von Hippel–Lindau (VHL)-deficient tumor where HIF is constitutively elevated because of impaired ubiquitination of this transcription factor.\textsuperscript{78} In summary, these early studies demonstrated in principle that such genetically designed oncolytic Ads could be used, alone or in combination, to treat hypoxic solid tumors.

In 2005, two groups evaluated how hypoxia affects different aspects of Ad biology, including attachment and uptake, transgene expression, and replication, in cancer cells and primary normal cells.\textsuperscript{81,82} Both studies, one by Shen and Hermiston\textsuperscript{81} and the other by Pipiya et al,\textsuperscript{82} found that viral replication was compromised by hypoxic conditions. Hypoxia-induced reduction in E1A levels was mediated at the posttranscriptional level. The combination of reduced E1A protein and hypoxia-induced G1 arrest of cells may be responsible for the lack of efficient viral replication under hypoxic conditions. A further study using group B Ads (types 3 and 11p) showed that the lytic potential of these viruses is also compromised in a cell-dependent fashion. This study suggests that both group B and group C (Ad-5-based) Ads need to be modified in order to effectively treat hypoxic components of human tumors.\textsuperscript{83}

Recently, investigators have returned to engineer second generation HIF-activated oncolytic Ads that display improved replication, oncolytic, and antitumor efficacy.\textsuperscript{84} These Ads are often armed with a transgene to further enhance its specificity and/or potency. A dual-regulated oncolytic Ad (CNHK500), in which human telomerase reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction analysis confirmed a five-fold hypoxia-induced upregulation of GADD34

### Table 1 Effects of tumor hypoxia on oncolytic viruses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oncolytic virus</th>
<th>Replication efficiency</th>
<th>Oncolytic</th>
<th>Specific mechanisms</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>1. E1A downregulation</td>
<td>Pipiya et al\textsuperscript{82}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxia-dependent adenoviruses</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>2. G1 cell growth arrest</td>
<td>Shen et al\textsuperscript{83}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes simplex virus-1</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Hypoxic response elements-containing promoter to drive an essential gene for viral replication</td>
<td>Post et al\textsuperscript{80}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinia virus</td>
<td>Similar</td>
<td>Enhanced (in some hypoxic cells)</td>
<td>Wang et al\textsuperscript{84}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicular stomatitis virus</td>
<td>Similar</td>
<td>Similar</td>
<td>1. Upregulation of GADD34</td>
<td>Aghi et al\textsuperscript{82}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Targeting p53 cancer cells</td>
<td>Fasullo et al\textsuperscript{87}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Hiley et al\textsuperscript{89}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** GADD34, growth arrest and DNA-damage inducible gene 34.

### HSV

Two studies have demonstrated the enhanced replication of oncolytic HVs by hypoxia.\textsuperscript{92,93} Aghi et al showed that hypoxic glioblastoma U87 cells yielded 4% more wild-type HSV and 3.6-fold more oncolytic HSV G207 after 48 hours of infection when compared with normoxic cells.\textsuperscript{92} Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction analysis confirmed a five-fold hypoxia-induced upregulation of GADD34
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(fluorescent protein) gene expression by HIF-HSV was due to two factors: reversion of the ICP4 gene region to its wild-type configuration and increased HIF-transcriptional activity under normoxia when cells were infected with any strain of HSV-1. The findings have important implications for applications of this oncolytic HSV in cancer therapy and this genetic engineering strategy to other OVs targeting hypoxic tumor.

**VACV**

Hiley and colleagues explored the use of an oncolytic VACV for hypoxic tumors. They examined the Lister strain VACV in a panel of pancreatic cancer cells after exposure to normoxic or hypoxic conditions. Viral protein production and transgene expression were not affected by hypoxia. Interestingly, there was a 3.5-fold and 20-fold increase in viral cytotoxicity for CFPac1 and MiaPaca2 cell lines, respectively, in hypoxic conditions. Cytotoxicity was equivalent in the remaining cell lines. This study suggests that VACV is a promising vector for targeting pancreatic cancer and potentially other hypoxic tumor types. It would be of interest to determine the mechanisms of enhanced cytotoxicity of the virus in the two cell lines under hypoxia.

**VSV**

VSV, an RNA OV, is promising as its replication is naturally targeted to cancer cells with defects in innate immunity. In an early study, Connor and colleagues demonstrated that VSV is capable of replication in cancer cells under hypoxic conditions. In hypoxia-stressed cells, VSV infection produced larger amounts of messenger RNA than under normoxic conditions. However, translation of these messenger RNAs was reduced at earlier times postinfection in hypoxia-adapted cells than in normoxic cells. Surprisingly, at later times postinfection, the virus overcame a hypoxia-associated increase in alpha subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor-2 phosphorylation and initial suppression of viral protein synthesis to produce large amounts of viral proteins. VSV infection caused the dephosphorylation of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor-4E and inhibited host translation similarly under both normoxic and hypoxic conditions. VSV progeny virus was produced at similar levels in both hypoxic and normoxic cells. As expected, virus infection induced classical cytopathic effects and apoptotic cell death. In vivo, VSV infected and replicated in hypoxic areas of tumors in nude mice. These results show for the first time that VSV has an inherent capacity for infecting and killing hypoxic cancer cells. This ability could represent a critical advantage over existing therapies in treating established tumors. Interestingly, HIF promotes the expression of the interferon-β and other genes with antiviral activity upon viral infection. It has been known that VHL tumor suppressor protein targets HIFs for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. Therefore, in VHL mutated renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cells, HIFs are highly elevated. As a result, VSV, and possibly other viruses as well, may not be suitable for treatment of RCC with mutated VHL tumor suppressor protein. In those VHL−/− tumor cells, elevated HIF activity induced by hypoxia confers dramatically enhanced resistance to VSV-mediated cytotoxicity.

It is worth noting that VSV infection can generate complicated immune consequences. Intratumoral VSV induced a transforming growth factor-β-dependent suppressive activity mediated by CD11b(+)/GR-1(+) cells that significantly inhibited both antigen-specific T-cell activation. VSV interferes with tumor dendritic cell function and blocks tumor antigen presentation. Therefore, adjustments need to be made if VSV treatment is combined with other types of immunotherapy regimens.
Reovirus

Cho et al tested how oncolytic reovirus and its target cells would respond to hypoxia. They found that reovirus infection suppresses HIF-1α at the protein level, but not at the messenger RNA level, in colon cancer HCT116 cells under hypoxic conditions. This reduction of HIF-1α is independent of VHL or p53 because it took place in both VHL--/-- renal carcinoma A498 and p53--/-- HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. However, treatment with the inhibitor MG132 restored HIF-1α levels, suggesting that reovirus-induced HIF-1α decrease requires proteasomal activity. The authors proposed to use reovirus together with an HIF-1α inhibitor as a potential therapeutic regimen against chemoresistant or radioresistant tumors that are hypoxic with increased levels of HIF-1α. As a result, there appears to be a functional similarity between reovirus and VSV in their sensitivity to elevated levels of HIF-1α.

EMCV

Picornaviruses have been explored as OVs. Seneca Valley virus-001 is a novel naturally occurring replicating picornavirus with potent and selective tropism for neuroendocrine cancer cell types, including small cell lung cancer. It has been shown to be safe in patients.

Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) is another picornavirus that can function as an OV. As mentioned above, recent evidence has shown that HIF increases NF-κB-mediated anti-apoptotic response in clear-cell RCC that commonly exhibits hyperactivation of HIF due to the loss of its principal negative regulator, VHL. In a recent study, Roos et al showed that EMCV challenge induces a strong NF-κB-dependent gene expression profile concomitant with a lack of interferon-mediated antiviral response in VHL-null RCC. Established RCC cell lines and early-passage primary RCC cultured cells were acutely susceptible to EMCV replication and virulence.

Figure 1 Cotransduced (co-trans) monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) release green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing adenovirus in hypoxic prostate tumors. Human untransduced, singly transduced (singly trans), or cotransduced MDMs were injected systemically into athymic mice bearing LNCaP xenografts. At 48 hours later, tumor xenografts were removed, sectioned for immunofluorescence, or enzymatically dispersed for flow cytometry. Frozen sections were labeled with antibodies against pimonidazole (PIMO; white), human CD68 (red), and GFP (green). (A) White arrows show hypoxic macrophages. (B) Single cell suspensions were stained with antihuman CD14PE or propidium iodide and analyzed by flow cytometry. At right are representative fluorescent histograms from the same treatment groups. Data average ± standard deviation, n = 5 mice/group.

Note: This figure is adapted and reprinted by permission from the American Association for Cancer Research: Muthana M, Giannoudis A, Scott SD, et al, Use of macrophages to target therapeutic adenovirus to human prostate tumors, Cancer Research, 2011, volume 71 issue 5, 1805–1815.

Abbreviations: FL-1H, intensity (height) in the FL-1 channel; FL-2H, intensity (height) in the FL-2 channel; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; SSC-H, side scatter height values.
Intratumoral injection of EMCV led to rapid regression of tumor growth in a murine RCC xenograft model. This study provides compelling preclinical evidence for the use of EMCV in the treatment of RCC and potentially other tumors with elevated HIF and NF-κB-survival signature.

**Hypoxic tumor-infiltrating macrophages as carrier cells to deliver OVs**

Macrophages and their precursors in the bloodstream, monocytes, are continually recruited into tumors and migrate into avascular hypoxic/necrotic areas. The fact that tumor-associated macrophages can infiltrate into hypoxic/necrotic areas of tumors prompted investigators to exploit macrophages as a vehicle to deliver HIF-regulated OV and therapeutic genes to otherwise inaccessible areas in tumors. Earlier this year, Muthana et al described a new cell-based method that selectively targets an oncolytic Ad to hypoxic areas of prostate tumors using macrophages as carriers. Macrophages were cotransduced with a hypoxia-regulated E1A/B construct and an E1A-dependent oncolytic Ad, whose replication is restricted to prostate tumor cells. When such cotransduced macrophages reach an area of extreme hypoxia, the E1A/B proteins are expressed, thereby activating replication of the Ad. This was indeed the case as demonstrated in mice bearing subcutaneous or orthotopic prostate tumors. The cotransduced macrophages migrated into hypoxic tumor areas, upregulated E1A protein, and released multiple copies of progeny Ad. The virus then infected neighboring cells and was cytotoxic in prostate tumor cells, resulting in the marked inhibition of tumor growth and reduction of pulmonary metastases (Figure 1).

This approach is very innovative and efficacious to deliver an oncolytic Ad to hypoxic areas of tumor. However, as correctly pointed out by Baas, it remains unclear whether relying on hypoxia regulation as the only homing determinant will be sufficient to deliver an OV to micrometastatic disease, which are much smaller than solid tumors and not overtly hypoxic. In addition, macrophages may not function effectively as carrier cells for other OVs. For example, murine primary macrophages are not susceptible to infection by an oncolytic poxvirus (Guo et al, unpublished data).

**Conclusion**

Some OVs adapt to the hypoxic environment better than others. Oncolytic HSVs display enhanced replication while Ads show reduced replication potency in hypoxic cancer cells. Other OVs such as VACV and VSV show similar replication efficiency in hypoxic and normoxic conditions. Interestingly, VACV-mediated oncolysis is enhanced in some hypoxic pancreatic cancer cells even though there was no evidence of enhanced viral replication.

**Future directions**

To further improve oncolytic virotherapy, the underlying mechanisms through which hypoxia and an OV interact to control life cycles of both host cells and the particular virus in tumor cells need to be understood, and consequently the means to optimize not only viral production but also the virus-induced cytotoxicity in such pathogenic TME need to be developed. The mechanistic studies may lead to further understanding of regulatory signaling pathways for efficient viral replication, eventual oncolysis, and subsequent immunological responses to both the tumor antigens and the virus itself. This will lead to more effective oncolytic virotherapy. In addition, more efficient OVs for hypoxic TME could be obtained via two approaches. The first is rational design via genetic engineering. Alternatively, “directed evolution” methodology may be used. Under this approach, highly diverse viral pools will be placed under stringent directed selection to generate and identify highly potent agents for hypoxic tumors.

More successful therapeutic regimens for hypoxic tumor may lie in the combination of OV with different OVs or other proven modalities such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and/or immunotherapy, which has become a research trend for oncolytic virotherapy in the last few years. The strategy of combining oncolytic virotherapy with chemotherapy for treating hypoxic areas of cancer is supported by mathematical modeling. Owen and colleagues applied mathematical modeling and predicted synergistic antitumor effects of combining a macrophage-based, hypoxia-targeted gene therapy with chemotherapy. Oncolytic virotherapy itself has been considered to be a type of immunotherapy. Evidence is accumulating that it potentially can function synergistically with other types of immunotherapy. The use of carrier cells to deliver OVs to hypoxic areas of tumor has shown early promise. It is worth exploring further the application of macrophages and other hypoxic tumor-homing cells as carriers for delivering OVs to hypoxic tumor.

An emerging concept in cancer therapy is normalization of tumor vasculation as a complementary therapeutic paradigm. Tumor treated with inhibitors that block epidermal growth factor receptor, Ras, or phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase-Akt resulted in prolonged and durable enhancement of tumor vascular flow, perfusion, and decreased tumor hypoxia. It is tempting to think that,
following such treatments, even “regular” (not hypoxia-targeted) OVIs could be utilized to treat these preconditioned cancers effectively.
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