Intravitreal gas injection for the treatment of diabetic macular edema
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Purpose: This study investigates the efficacy of an intravitreal gas injection in inducing a posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) in patients with clinically significant diabetic macular edema refractory to laser therapy.

Methods: A local ethics committee-approved technique of an intravitreal injection of pure perfluoropropane gas (C₃F₈) was performed for all participants. After a period of prone positioning, the patients underwent regular and detailed clinical review.

Main outcome measures: The induction of a PVD, change in macular thickness, change in visual acuity.

Results: A PVD was induced in all five eyes with subsequent signs of reduction in macular thickness and resolution of exudates. Mean visual improvement was 11 ETDRS (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study) letters (range 4–21). Apart from a transient vitreous hemorrhage in one eye, there were no significant treatment-related complications.

Conclusion: The induction of a PVD by pneumatic retinopexy appears to have a significant influence on diabetic macular edema in eyes which have not successfully responded to macular laser therapy. A randomized clinical trial is justified on the basis of the initial promising data.
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Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy is the commonest cause of blindness in patients of working age in developed countries, principally due to the complications of neovascularization, or to maculopathy (focal or diffuse macular edema).1,2 Although considerable progress has been made in reducing the incidence of blindness through improved medical control, screening, and timely laser therapy,3–6 many patients with maculopathy are either relatively resistant to photoagulation, or develop recurrent edema, with consequent visual deterioration despite undergoing repeat laser therapy.7 Reported outcomes from vitreoretinal surgery for macular edema have been relatively disappointing, with the risk of sight-threatening side effects arising from such a complex procedure.8,9 Intravitreal steroids and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) preparations are increasingly employed, but there is also a recognized morbidity and a requirement for repeated injections.10,11

It is likely that the pathogenesis of diabetic macular edema is due to a complex interaction of a number of factors, and these include vitreoretinal relationships at the macula. Specifically, it seems that an attached vitreous may tend to sustain or exacerbate edema, while conversely a posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) may ameliorate...
existing retinopathy. These observations provided the rationale for the current study, whereby a technique of intravitreal gas injection was employed to evaluate its effect on diabetic macular edema through the induction of a PVD.

**Methods**

The study design was of an open label, nonrandomized, prospective trial. A detailed protocol was submitted to and agreed by the local ethics committee. All patients provided fully informed consent before enrolment in the study.

**Inclusion criteria were:**
- Medically diagnosed diabetes (type I or II);
- Clinically significant macular edema (CSMO), according to the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) guidelines:
  - Retinal thickening within 500 µm of the center of the fovea;
  - Hard, yellow exudates within 500 µm of the center of the fovea with adjacent retinal thickening;
  - At least 1 disc area of retinal thickening, any part of which is within 1 disc diameter of the center of the fovea;
- Four or more previous macular laser treatments;
- No significant macular ischemia on fluorescein angiography;
- An attached vitreous (including vitreomacular traction), identified by biomicroscopy and B scan ultrasonography;
- No untreated retinal pathology (retinal breaks, significant degeneration, etc).

**Exclusion criteria were:**
- Epimacular membrane;
- Macular hole;
- Atrophic macular degeneration;
- Proliferative diabetic retinopathy;
- Inability to provide informed consent.

Pre-treatment investigations included visual acuity (ETDRS chart), biomicroscopy, fluorescein angiography, and optical coherence tomography (OCT) scanning (Stratus OCT, Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany); mydriasis of the treatment eye was accomplished with cyclopentolate 1% drops. Povidone iodine 5% was applied around the affected eye and to the conjunctival sac. A volume of 0.3 mL of 100% perfluoropropane (C₃F₈) gas was then injected into the vitreous cavity at 3.5–4.0 mm posterior to the limbus via a 27-gauge needle. The central retinal artery was visualized with an indirect ophthalmoscope and 20 dioptre lens. If it was observed to be occluded following gas injection, paracentesis was performed. The intraocular pressure was measured after 20 minutes.

Post-treatment evaluation was performed at 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, and 4–6 monthly thereafter. At each visit, visual acuity was measured and dilated biomicroscopy performed, together with OCT scanning. The central foveal thickness was used for analysis. Color fundus photography was also performed, but fluorescein angiography only if biomicroscopy and OCT findings provided insufficient information for an adequate evaluation. A minimum of 3 months was allowed to gauge the effect of the gas injection. A PVD was judged to have developed if there was a visible Weiss ring and/or posterior hyaloid face (PHF). B scan ultrasonography was performed in equivocal cases. If there was persistent CSMO by 3 months, consideration was given to administering additional therapy (laser, or intravitreal injection).

**Results**

Due to the strict inclusion and exclusion criteria for this study, only five eyes of five patients were recruited over a 2-year period. All patients had type II diabetes, with a mean age of 69 (range 64–83) and mean review was 18 months (range 9–36). A PVD was induced in all eyes, with unequivocal vitreomacular separation being observed between 1 week and 1 month following injection in all cases. None of the patients treated had prior vitreomacular traction (although the efficacy of this method for this condition has been indicated in another publication by the authors. Signs of reduction in macular thickness and early resorption of exudates were observed by 1 month, with the beneficial trend continuing for 9–12 months following treatment. Median visual improvement and macular thickness was 11 ETDRS letters (range 4–21) and 157 µm respectively (Table 1 and Figures 1–3). No eyes lost vision over the review period due to persistent or recurrent macular edema. Apart from a transient vitreous hemorrhage in one eye at 1 month (patient 2, possibly due to minor retinal vascular trauma during vitreous separation), there were no significant retinal treatment-related complications. In those eyes which were phakic, there was no observed progression of lenticular opacities. Although no patient required further macular laser therapy over the period of review, one patient (patient 5) developed an area of peripheral neovascularization 9 months following gas injection, and panretinal photocoagulation was performed.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Diabetes mellitus</th>
<th>Visual acuity, pre-treatment</th>
<th>No of laser treatments before procedure</th>
<th>Central subfield macular thickness, μm</th>
<th>Pre gas injection</th>
<th>3 months post injection</th>
<th>9 months post injection</th>
<th>Last follow-up</th>
<th>Change in macular thickness, μm</th>
<th>FFA and color resolution of exudates (Figure 1)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64 II</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65 II</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>219 316 435</td>
<td>344 301 228 285 342</td>
<td>219 316 435 344 301</td>
<td>-359 (Figure 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>83 II</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66 II</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9 + 45 + 33</td>
<td>327 311 296</td>
<td>45 + 33</td>
<td>-359 (Figure 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>66 II</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>67 II</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4 + 33</td>
<td>327 311 296</td>
<td>4 + 33</td>
<td>-359 (Figure 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67 II</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67 II</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4 + 33</td>
<td>327 311 296</td>
<td>4 + 33</td>
<td>-359 (Figure 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study: this patient was treated at a center that did not have an optical coherence tomography machine at the time of treatment.

Abbreviations: FFA, fundus fluorescein angiography; PVD, posterior vitreous detachment.

### Discussion

The influence of the vitreoretinal relationship in diabetic macular edema has been long recognized, and pars plana vitrectomy has been employed to induce a PVD and peel the inner limiting membrane, or any associated epiretinal membrane. The observed postoperative improvement in macular status has however been mitigated by such side effects as cataract formation, retinal breaks, and retinal detachment. There are also logistical considerations with this technique in that it requires access to specialized facilities and must be performed by a vitreoretinal specialist. In many regions and countries, there are insufficient resources to allow the ready availability of this form of treatment.

Previous studies have commented on the effectiveness of pneumatic retinopexy in inducing a PVD for the treatment of diabetic macular edema. However, the use of intravitreal gas injection has been shown to be a viable alternative with fewer complications. When compared to pars plana vitrectomy, intravitreal gas injection offers the advantage of being a minimally invasive procedure with shorter recovery time and less risk of complications such as cataract formation and retinal detachment.

Figure 1: Color fundus photographs and fluorescein angiograms (A and B) before and (C and D) 9 months following pneumatic retinopexy. Note: Gradual resolution of the macular exudates (with no further laser therapy during this time).

Figure 2: Patient 2. Color fundus photographs before and following pneumatic retinopexy. Note: Macular exudates have resolved without further laser or other therapy.
Figure 3 Patient 2. OCT images (A) prior to gas injection and (B) 17 months following pneumatic retinopexy. Reduction in macular thickness: 359 microns.

Note: Separation of posterior hyaloid face (arrowed).

Abbreviation: OCT, optical coherence tomography.
of macular holes and in cases of nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy, with consequent beneficial effects on the pathology.  

In the current study, the observed resolution of exudates and edema in these refractory cases was remarkable. Although the previous laser treatments might have had some beneficial effect, this may have been counteracted by persistent vitreoretinal traction, on which laser treatment alone would have had no significant influence. Apart from relief of traction, induction of a PVD may also confer a secondary beneficial effect in increasing retinal oxygenation derived from the fluid phase between the neuroretina and the PHF. An increase in oxygen tension within the inner retina could have a mitigating effect on macular edema by a number of mechanisms: reduced VEGF production causing decreased retinal vascular permeability; autoregulatory arteriolar vasoconstriction reducing hydrostatic pressure in capillaries and venules. There will be in turn decreased extravascular fluid flow (the Starling equation) and reduced edema formation. 

One notable feature of the current and of these previous studies utilizing gas injection is the low complication rate and in particular the absence of retinal tear or detachment formation, compared with pars planavitrectomy and indeed pneumatic retinopexy for established retinal detachment.

In comparison with a vitrectomy, the relative safety of gas injection may relate to the gas having a gradual effect in separating the PHF from the retina, in the absence of preexisting peripheral retinal pathology (inducing a more “physiological” PVD).

Pneumatic retinopexy for an established retinal detachment confers compressive and tractional forces on vitreous and retina, which are already (at least partially) detached and as a consequence, secondary break formation is commonly observed, often at sites diametrically opposed to the original break location. A different situation is present when gas is injected into an eye where there is no PVD and no preexisting peripheral retinal pathology. Induction of a PVD in this instance is more analogous to the formation of a spontaneous PVD, which is typically associated with a risk of break formation of the order of 10% and a much lower risk of retinal detachment.  

In the current series, the high frequency of clinical review would in any case have identified any fresh retinal break formation, allowing its timely management (although to date this has not occurred).

The low numbers of eyes treated in this series reflects the cautious approach that was taken to a novel application of an established technique in eyes with advanced retinopathy. The promising results of this pilot series, together with the low complication rate now encourages the establishment of a randomized trial to test further the authors’ hypothesis and arguably the incorporation of pneumatic retinopexy in combination therapy with laser photocoagulation and intravitreal steroid and anti-VEGF injection.
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