Novel formulation of a methotrexate derivative with a lipid nanoemulsion
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Background: Lipid nanoemulsions that bind to low-density lipoprotein receptors can concentrate chemotherapeutic agents in tissues with low-density lipoprotein receptor overexpression and decrease the toxicity of the treatment. The aim of this study was to develop a new formulation using a lipophilic derivative of methotrexate, ie, didodecyl methotrexate (ddMTX), associated with a lipid nanoemulsion (ddMTX-LDE).

Methods: ddMTX was synthesized by an esterification reaction between methotrexate and dodecyl bromide. The lipid nanoemulsion was prepared by four hours of ultrasonication of a mixture of phosphatidylcholine, triolein, and cholesteryloleate. Association of ddMTX with the lipid nanoemulsion was performed by additional cosonication of ddMTX with the previously prepared lipid nanoemulsion. Formulation stability was evaluated, and cell uptake, cytotoxicity, and acute animal toxicity studies were performed.

Results: The yield of ddMTX incorporation was 98% and the particle size of LDE-ddMTX was 60 nm. After 48 hours of incubation with plasma, approximately 28% ddMTX was released from the lipid nanoemulsion. The formulation remained stable for at least 45 days at 4°C. Cytotoxicity of LDE-ddMTX against K562 and HL60 neoplastic cells was higher than for methotrexate (50% inhibitory concentration [IC50] 1.6 versus 18.2 mM and 0.2 versus 26 mM, respectively), and cellular uptake of LDE-ddMTX was 90-fold higher than that of methotrexate in K562 cells and 75-fold in HL60 cells. Toxicity of LDE-ddMTX, administered at escalating doses, was higher than for methotrexate (LD50 115 mg/kg versus 470 mg/kg; maximum tolerated dose 47 mg/kg versus 94 mg/kg) in mice. However, the hematological toxicity of LDE-ddMTX was lower than for methotrexate.

Conclusion: LDE-ddMTX was stable, and uptake of the formulation by neoplastic cells was remarkably greater than of methotrexate, which resulted in markedly greater cytotoxicity. LDE-ddMTX is thus a promising formulation to be tested in future animal models of cancer or rheumatic disease, wherein methotrexate is widely used.
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Introduction
Methotrexate (2,4-diamino,N10-methylpteroyl glutamic acid) is an antiproliferative and immunosuppressive agent widely used against a broad spectrum of diseases. Methotrexate is a potent inhibitor of dihydrofolate reductase, and can also inhibit thymidylate synthase and 5-aminoimidazole carboxamide ribotide transformylase. These enzymes are involved in the synthesis of thymidylate, purines, methionine, and serine.1 Methotrexate was introduced in the 1940s, and is still used extensively in clinical practice. Methotrexate has relatively low toxicity compared with other chemotherapeutic agents,2 but is not devoid of side effects, including nephrotoxicity,
hepatotoxicity, myelotoxicity, interstitial pneumonitis, and chronic interstitial obstructive pulmonary disease.3

The effectiveness of methotrexate is often hampered by development of drug resistance mechanisms, such as inhibition of active transport involving the cell folate receptor that mostly internalizes methotrexate, and is hydrophilic and poorly diffused through cell membranes. Aiming to bypass drug resistance and yet retain cytotoxic activity, several strategies have been attempted. One of these strategies consists of chemical modification of methotrexate to improve lipophilicity. In this regard, the γ-carboxyl position is preferentially targeted to attach lipophilic groups to the methotrexate molecule, and in fact modification at the γ and, to a lesser extent, the α-carboxyl position did not decrease the drug cytotoxicity.4 Drug delivery systems have also been used to improve cytotoxicity and reduce toxicity, such as loading of methotrexate into liposomes,3,5 dendrimers,6 micelles,7 chitosan polymers,8 and tuftsin-like peptide carriers,9 as well as attachment to polymeric chains. Other formulations have been described, such as chylomicron-like emulsions, for oral use.10 The use of a solid lipid nanoparticle system as a methotrexate carrier was reportedly shown to improve drug pharmacokinetics and to achieve regression of Ehrlich ascites carcinoma in mice.11,12

The demonstration by Ho et al13 that low-density lipoprotein receptors are overexpressed in neoplastic cells, paved the way for the use of lipoproteins14–17 and subsequently of lipoprotein-like nanoeumulsions18,19 as vehicles to concentrate chemotherapeutic agents in neoplastic tissues. Taking this approach, the low-density lipoprotein receptor-mediated endocytic pathway is used to internalize drug-loaded lipid particles.14–17 We showed that cholesterol-rich nanoeumulsions, with a lipid structure mimicking low-density lipoprotein, has the ability to bind to low-density lipoprotein receptors. A lipid nanoemulsion is made without protein but, in contact with plasma, acquires apolipoprotein E, which is recognized by low-density lipoprotein receptors.19,20 In preclinical studies using chemotherapeutic agents, such as carbustine21 and lipophilic derivatives of paclitaxel22 and etoposide,23,24 the toxicity of these agents was markedly reduced by association with a lipid nanoemulsion, while the pharmacological action was maintained or even increased. In clinical studies enrolling patients with breast and ovary cancer, the amount of lipid nanoemulsion accumulated in tumor tissue was markedly greater than in normal tissue.25–28 In clinical trials enrolling patients with advanced solid tumors and hematological neoplasias,21,29 we showed that the toxicity of high-dose preparations of carmustine, paclitaxel, and etoposide associated with a lipid nanoemulsion was minimal.

This study aimed to investigate whether the association of methotrexate and a lipid nanoemulsion could increase neoplastic cell uptake and cytotoxicity, and decrease the toxicity of the drug. To improve the association yield and stability of the formulation, two dodecyl groups were attached to the methotrexate molecule.

Methods

Materials

Methotrexate (Miantrex®) was purchased from Pfizer (Bentley, Australia); triolein, cholesteryl oleate, cholesterol, phosphatidylcholine, dimethyl sulfoxide, and cesium carbonate from Sigma (St Louis, MO); methanol and acetonitrile from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); and methotrexate sodium salt from Deg Importacao De Produtos Quimicos Ltd (Sao Paulo, Brazil).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) of 1H and 13C used to characterize the ddMTX structure was performed using a Bruker DPX-300 instrument (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). Purity was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography in a Shimadzu SPD-10 AV column (Shimadzu, Columbia, ML). The structure of the ddMTX was also confirmed by mass spectrometry using a Q-TOF Ultima (Micromass, Manchester, UK) and elemental analysis using a Perkin Elmer CHN 2400 elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer, Sciei, Shelton, CT). Transmission electron microscopy imaging of LDE-MTX was performed using a LEO 906E transmission electron microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) at 100 kV.

Isogenic female BALB/c mice (±20 g, aged 30–45 days) were supplied by the Butantan Institute (Sao Paulo, Brazil). All animals used in this study were housed in a temperature-controlled room, with a 12-hour light/dark cycle, and food and water available ad libitum. The ethics committee of University of Sao Paulo Medical School Hospital approved all the animal experiments.

Synthesis of ddMTX

Briefly, ddMTX was synthesized following the method described by Rosowsky et al.30 Methotrexate sodium salt (2.0 g, 4.1 mmol) was diluted in 120 mL of dimethysulfoxide, and over it were added cesium carbonate (1.43 g, 4.40 mmol) and dodecyl bromide (2.5 g, 10 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature, and 100 mL of water was added to quench the reaction. The product was extracted with chloroform (5 × 50 mL). The organic phase was washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution (3 × 100 mL), dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by liquid chromatography using silica gel (230–400 mesh) with...
methanol/chloroform, from 2.5% to 100% of methanol. 

\[ Pf \text{ 122}° \text{C} \text{--} 124° \text{C}. \] 

Yield was 98%.

\[ ^{1} \text{H} \delta \text{ (ppm): } 0.86 (6 \text{H}, t, \text{J} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}), 1.23 (36 \text{H}, s), 1.50--1.68 (4 \text{H}, m), 2.08--2.20 (1 \text{H}, m), 2.21--2.32 (2 \text{H}, m), 2.42--2.50 (1 \text{H}, m), 3.12 (3 \text{H}, s), 4.00 (2 \text{H}, t, \text{J} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}), 4.13 (2 \text{H}, t, \text{J} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}), 4.67 (2 \text{H}, s), 4.75--4.83 (1 \text{H}, m), 5.98 (2 \text{H}, bs), 6.69 (2 \text{H}, d, \text{J} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}); 7.02 (1 \text{H}, bs), 7.69 (1 \text{H}, d, \text{J} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}), 8.56 (1 \text{H}, s). \] 

\[ ^{13} \text{C} \delta \text{ (ppm): } 13.99, 22.54, 25.75, 27.31, 28.42, 29.15, 29.24, 29.53, 30.55, 31.80, 38.90, 52.16, 55.68, 64.85, 65.66, 111.21, 121.37, 121.87, 128.79, 146.65, 146.71, 149.10, 151.31, 154.86, 162.51, 162.89, 166.96, 172.63, 173.21. \] 

Mass: 338.31, 381.27, 675.63, 791.48 (100), 792.49, 793.52. IV ν (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3478.8, 2924.2, 2855.9, 1737.5, 1630.7, 1512.6, 1445.9, 1204.3, 1096.0, 816.9. Elemental analysis: Calc: 66.80 (\text{C}), 8.92 (\text{H}), 14.16 (\text{N}). Expt: 66.50 (\text{C}), 1630.7, 1512.6, 1445.9, 1204.3, 1096.0, 816.9. Elemental analysis: Calc: 66.80 (\text{C}), 8.92 (\text{H}), 14.16 (\text{N}). Expt: 66.50 (\text{C}), 146.71, 149.10, 151.31, 154.86, 162.51, 162.89, 166.96, 172.63, 173.21. Mass: 338.31, 381.27, 675.63, 791.48 (100), 792.49, 793.52. IV ν (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3478.8, 2924.2, 2855.9, 1737.5, 1630.7, 1512.6, 1445.9, 1204.3, 1096.0, 816.9. Elemental analysis: Calc: 66.80 (\text{C}), 8.92 (\text{H}), 14.16 (\text{N}). Expt: 66.50 (\text{C}), 8.68 (\text{H}), 14.10 (\text{N}).

High-pressure liquid chromatography measurements

A high-pressure liquid chromatographic column equipped with an ultraviolet detector at 300 nm was used to analyze and quantify methotrexate and ddMTX. Chromatographic separation was achieved with a ShimPack C\(_{18}\) (2) 5 \( \mu \text{m} \) (15 cm × 6 mm) analytical column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) protected by a Luna C\(_{18}\) (2) guard cartridge. The mobile phase was methanol at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/minute for ddMTX and buffer of sodium acetate:acetonitrile (90:10) for methotrexate. For quantification of both compounds, a calibration curve was constructed at concentration ranging from 78.12 ng to 50,000 ng.

Distribution coefficient

The distribution coefficient is the log distribution coefficient at a particular pH. Log D at pH 7.4 is often quoted to give an indication of the lipophilicity of a drug at the pH of blood plasma. In aqueous/organic systems, the organic phase concentration is, by convention, the numerator, and the aqueous phase concentration is the denominator. 1.0 mL of n-octanol and 1.0 mL of sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 were added to 1 mg of ddMTX. The mixture was gently shaken for 24 hours at 37°C. The amount of drug in each phase was quantified by high-pressure liquid chromatography.

Preparation of lipid nanoemulsion and LDE-ddMTX

In brief, the lipid nanoemulsion was prepared from a lipid mixture composed of 40 mg cholesteryloleate, 20 mg egg phosphatidylcholine, 1 mg triolein, and 0.5 mg cholesterol. Emulsification of lipids by prolonged ultrasonic irradiation in aqueous media and the procedure of two-step ultracentrifugation of the crude emulsion with density adjustment by addition of KBr to obtain a lipid nanoemulsion were carried out as described previously. The lipid nanoemulsion was dialyzed against Tris solution (pH 8.05) and passed through a 0.22 \( \mu \text{m} \) filter for the experiments.

ddMTX 6 mg was incorporated into the lipid nanoemulsion (1 mL, 30 mg of total lipids) by solubilization of ddMTX in ethanol (10% v:v) and adding it into the emulsion. The solution was then sonicated for one hour at 70°C using a Branson Sonifier 450 (Danbury, CT), equipped with a 1 cm flat titanium probe. LDE-ddMTX was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 minutes to separate the LDE-ddMTX from the free form of the drug that precipitated to the bottom of the tube. LDE-ddMTX was then passed through a 0.22 \( \mu \text{m} \) pore polycarbonate filter and kept at 4°C until it was used. The yield of each batch was assayed before use. For quantification of ddMTX-loaded LDE, 10 \( \mu \text{L} \) LDE-ddMTX were dissolved in 1.0 mL of methanol to break the formulation. The ddMTX mass contained in the lipid nanoemulsion was measured in 20 \( \mu \text{L} \) of the previous solution using a calibration curve. The amount of drug associated to the lipid nanoemulsion was always measured by high-performance liquid chromatography before the experiments.

LDE-ddMTX particle size

The average size of the LDE-ddMTX particles was measured using a zeta potential analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, NY) at 25°C. All the samples were kept at 4°C and diluted and filtrated immediately prior to diameter measurement. In order to evaluate the stability of the formulation, the mean diameters of the lipid nanoemulsion and LDE-ddMTX particles were measured over 45 days.

Transmission electron microscopy of LDE-ddMTX

Five microliters of LDE-ddMTX at approximately 0.2 mg/mL were applied on palladium–carbon-coated copper grids of 300 or 400 meshes. After 1–2 minutes, the excess liquid is blotted with tissue paper, leaving a small amount of residual fluid. Negative staining was done with a 5 \( \mu \text{L} \) drop of 2% ammonium molybdate pH 7.2 for 5–10 seconds and then blotted dry. Grids were examined under a LEO 906E transmission electron microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) at 100 kV acceleration voltage. Images were acquired by a charge-coupled device camera (MegaView III) through the universal transmission electron microscope imaging platform program.
In vitro release of ddMTX from lipid nanoemulsion

LDE-ddMTX was dialyzed against human plasma to evaluate drug release from the lipid nanoemulsion. The dialysis bags (Seamless cellulose tubing, Sigma) were soaked in deionized water before placing 1.0 mL of LDE-ddMTX into the bag. The bags were placed into a tube containing 20 mL of human plasma under magnetic stirring for 48 hours at 37°C. Samples of 5 μL were collected at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 20, 24, 48 and 72 hours and analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography for content mass of ddMTX in the nanoemulsion.

Cytotoxic activity assays

Human erythroleukemia cells (K562) and human promyelocytic leukemia cells (HL60) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with streptomycin 50 μg/mL, penicillin 50 IU/mL, and 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (all from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Cells were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 100% relative humidity, and subcultivated 2–3 times a week, with 0.4% Trypan blue staining to assess cell growth and viability.

The starting inoculums of 5 × 10⁵ K562 and HL60 cells/mL were seeded in the exponential phase of growth into 96-well culture plates (Nunc TM, Roskilde, Denmark) with LDE-ddMTX (0.05–0.5 mM), methotrexate (2.5–37.5 mM), and lipid nanoemulsion, at the same volume as the lipid nanoemulsion with the associated drug, were added to the cells incubated. After 48 hours of incubation, the medium was removed and the number of living tumor cells was determined by the colorimetric MTT assay. Briefly, MTT solution was added to each well (1.2 mg/mL) and incubated for 4 hours. MTT was reduced by mitochondrial dehydrogenase in the viable cells to a purple formazan product, which was dissolved in 100 μL dimethyl sulfoxide for measurement of absorbance at 570–655 nm in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader.

Cell viability of each well was expressed as the survival index. The IC₅₀ was determined as the drug concentration required to achieve 50% cell growth inhibition.

LDE-ddMTX uptake by neoplastic cells

HL60 and K562 cell suspensions were seeded in 35 mm Petri dishes at 10⁴ × cells/mL and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 100% relative humidity. After 24 hours of cell growth, the medium was replaced by fresh medium supplemented with 10% lipoprotein-deficient serum, and the cells were incubated for 24 hours in the same conditions. The medium was replaced by fresh medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, and increasing amounts of methotrexate and LDE-ddMTX were added at final drug concentrations ranging from 0.15 to 2.0 mM. After 4 hours of incubation at 37°C, the cells were washed three times with cold phosphate-buffered saline, centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 3 minutes, and then lysed in 1.0 mL of phosphate-buffered saline using a Branson Sonifier 450 sonicator for 10 seconds. Protein precipitation was performed with the addition of 500 μL 50:50 isopropyl alcohol to ethyl acetate and acetonitrile for ddMTX and methotrexate, respectively. The samples were mixed for one minute and centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was dried under nitrogen flow. Methanol and buffer of sodium acetate:acetonitrile (90:10) were used for ddMTX and methotrexate, respectively, as the mobile phase after extracting the drugs using Stracta cartridges (Phenomenex). The organic phase was concentrated and reconstituted in 0.2 mL of methanol and quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography. The Lowry protein quantification method was used to correct drug/mg of protein concentrations.

Maximum tolerated dose and 50% lethal dose of LDE-ddMTX

Groups of 10 mice were intravenously injected via the retro-orbital sinus, following a dose-escalation schedule based on three dose levels of methotrexate and LDE-ddMTX (47, 94, and 180 mg/kg). The studies were performed in two schedules, ie, a single-dose and a three-day multiple-dose schedule. Lipid nanoemulsion and saline control were administered. Animals were observed until day 7 and body weight measurements were performed every 2 days. The maximum tolerated dose (defined as the dose that resulted in approximately 15% loss in body weight and does not cause lethality). The lethal dose of 50% (LD₅₀) was defined as the administered dose which caused death of 50% of the animals, calculated by interpolation of results (death percentage in the group/dose).

Hematological toxicity

Blood samples were collected 24 hours after injection of a single 180 mg/kg dose of LDE-ddMTX and methotrexate by retro-orbital sinus puncture, using heparinized Helmington microcapillary tubes. Hematology parameters included erythrocyte, leukocyte, leukocyte differential count, and platelets were performed using a hemocytometer.
Labor, Friedrichshafen, Germany). Briefly, blood was 1/1000 diluted in saline for erythrocyte count, 1/100 in Becker reagent for platelets, and 10 µL was added to the hemocytometer. For leukocytes, blood was 1/100 diluted in Turk reagent, and an aliquot of nondiluted blood was used on a glass slide for leukocyte differential count. Slides were stained using a Panoptic kit (Laborclin, Parana, Brazil). Erythrocytes and leukocytes were determined by counting the cells in the large squares, and platelets were counted in the central squares of the hemocytometer.

**Statistical analysis**

Cell uptake and IC$_{50}$ data were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean, and were analyzed by the nonparametric $t$-test. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to assess survival in the different treatment groups. Maximum tolerated dose, LD$_{50}$, and hematological profile values were also expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean, and were analyzed by analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni’s test. In all analyses, differences of 0.05 or less were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Synthesis of LDE-ddMTX**

The methotrexate derivative, ddMTX, was obtained by esterification of the α- and γ-carbonyl groups of the glutamic acid residue in the presence of cesium carbonate and dodecyl bromide (Figure 1). The ddMTX structure was confirmed by $^1H$ and $^{13}C$ NMR, in which characteristic peaks corresponding to the dodecyl group were present. Esterification achieved a 98% yield and a high purity rate, as confirmed by high-performance liquid chromatography analysis. Mass spectrometry showed a signal 791.48 m/z corresponding to the molecular weight of the derivative. Those results were corroborated by the elemental analysis.

The esterification reaction markedly enhanced the methotrexate distribution coefficient, which was $-1.4$ before and 3.9 after the reaction. These data confirmed successful and optimized association with the lipid nanoemulsion, which attained a 98% association rate.

As analyzed by laser light scattering, the average particle size of the lipid nanoemulsion without ddMTX was approximately 40 nm and that of the lipid nanoemulsion with ddMTX was approximately 60 nm. Transmission electron microscopy showed particles of quasispherical shape, with diameters in the same range as those measured by laser light scattering (Figure 2).

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1** Esterification reaction of methotrexate in the presence of cesium carbonate.

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Figure 2** Transmission electron microscopy of (A) lipid nanoemulsion and (B) LDE-ddMTX.  
**Abbreviations:** ddMTX, didodecyl methotrexate; LDE, lipid nanoemulsion.
Stability of LDE-ddMTX

During the process of association of the drug with the lipid nanoemulsion, which comprised an ultrasonication step, there was no structural modification of the drug, a finding that was subsequently confirmed after 45 days of storage at 4°C. On the other hand, the average size of LDE-ddMTX increased from 60 nm to 70 nm. The rate of dissociation of ddMTX from the lipid nanoemulsion was 10% ± 5%, as observed at 4°C storage for 45 days. The ddMTX that dissociated from the lipid nanoemulsion was macroscopically observed by the appearance of a yellow powder of ddMTX at the bottom of the flask. The stability of the LDE-ddMTX association was analyzed over 48 hours of dialysis against human plasma. Free ddMTX was released from the dialysis bag at a slow rate of 28%, and most of the dissociation occurred in the first 24 hours of the dialysis period (Figure 3).

In vitro studies

The cytotoxicity of LDE-ddMTX was markedly higher than that of methotrexate. For K562 cells, the cytotoxicity of the new formulation was approximately 10-fold higher and for HL60 was 100-fold higher than for methotrexate (Figure 4, see Table 1). Incubation of HL60 and K562 with the lipid nanoemulsion alone did not inhibit cell growth. It is worthwhile to point out that the cytotoxicity of free unassociated ddMTX was not tested because of its high lipophilicity that does not favor appropriate conditions for incubation with cells. For this purpose, it would be necessary to use organic solvents that would be toxic to the cells.

The IC_{50} for K562 cells incubated with LDE-ddMTX was 1.6 mM, whereas the IC_{50} for cells incubated with methotrexate was 18.2 mM (P < 0.0001). For the HL60 cells, the IC_{50} was 0.2 mM for incubation with LDE-ddMTX and 26 mM for incubation with methotrexate (P = 0.0002).

Figure 5 shows the uptake of LDE-ddMTX and methotrexate by K562 and HL60 cells 4 hours after incubation with increasing amounts of both preparations. The uptake amount refers to the nonmetabolized methotrexate and ddMTX found within the cells. It is notable that the uptake of LDE-ddMTX by K562 cells was 90-fold higher than that of methotrexate (P < 0.0001) while the uptake by HL60 was 75-fold higher (P < 0.0001).

In vivo toxicity

The toxicity of both LDE-ddMTX and methotrexate to mice was tested in two escalating dose schedules, namely single-dose and multiple-dose schedules. Using the single-dose schedule, the tolerability of methotrexate was greater than that of LDE-ddMTX, as evaluated by smaller weight loss and greater survival, despite the hematological toxicity of

**Figure 3** In vitro release profile of ddMTX from LDE in dialysis against human plasma at 37°C (mean ± standard deviation, n = 5).

**Abbreviations:** ddMTX, didodecyl methotrexate; LDE, lipid nanoemulsion.
LDE-ddMTX being lesser than that elicited by methotrexate (Figure 6). In the multiple-dose scheme, with both LDE-ddMTX and methotrexate treatments, all the animals died by the end of 7 days of observation. As shown in Table 2, both the MTD and the LD_{50} were higher for methotrexate than for LDE-ddMTX.

**Discussion**

The major achievement in this study was the manufacture of a formulation for methotrexate endowed with an ability to concentrate the drug into the cell at much greater rates than those of commercial methotrexate.

The excellent approximately 100% yield of the esterification reaction and the excellent approximately 100% association of ddMTX with the lipid nanoemulsion support the assumption that conditions for the manufacture of the LDE-ddMTX were near optimal. Esterification is a powerful strategy used in medicinal chemistry to improve drug features, such as passive transport, lipophilicity, and reduced toxicity. Esterification of both the α- and γ-carbonyl groups used here was a practical alternative to regioselective esterification of only the γ-carbonyl group, which is often a low-yield reaction. The choice of dodecyl group to attach to methotrexate was based on a study by Rosowsky et al\(^\text{30}\) reporting that esters containing 10–12 carbon chains are more suited to maintain drug activity than long-chain methotrexate esters. The latter are less prone to bind to dihydrofolate reductase, which leads to decreased cytotoxicity. The higher distribution coefficient (n-octanol/water) showed by

**Table 1** IC_{50} values of methotrexate, ranging from 2.25 to 22.5 mM and of LDE-ddMTX, ranging from 0.1 to 2 mM in K562 and HL60 cell lines. Cells were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>IC_{50} (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDE-ddMTX</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ddMTX, didodecyl methotrexate; MTX, methotrexate; LDE, lipid nanoemulsion; IC_{50}, 50% inhibitory concentration.
ddMTX compared with methotrexate, ie, 3.9 and −1.4, respectively, was key to achieving the high entrapment efficiency obtained here.

Thus, both the esterification reaction and the procedure for associating the drug with the lipid nanoemulsion involve methods that are easy to perform, do not require expensive reagents, and can be easily adapted to large-scale production conditions. Ultimately, the particles of average size 60 nm resemble previously obtained preparations of lipid nanoemulsion-loaded paclitaxel, carmustine, or etoposide.

Figure 5 Uptake of LDE-ddMTX (●) and methotrexate (○) 4 hours by (A) K562 and (B) HL60 cell lines. Cells were incubated with the formulations (final concentration range 0.15–2.0 mM) for 4 hours at 37°C, lysed, and the drugs were then quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography. Results are presented the mean ± standard error of the mean of three experiments.

Abbreviations: ddMTX, didodecyl methotrexate; LDE, lipid nanoemulsion.
derivatives. These previously described formulations showed the ability to produce a several-fold reduction in the toxicity of the associated chemotherapeutic agents, whereas the pharmacological action was preserved or even improved.21–23

A precondition for drug targeting is the stability of the drug-carrier in the circulation until final uptake by the targeted tissue. In the dialysis experiments, the fact that only a small fraction of the ddMTX associated with the lipid nanoemulsion was released from the bags over 48 hours supports the stability of the complex. A potential limitation of this experimental setting is that the interactions between plasma proteins and lipoproteins are more restricted, because LDE-ddMTX particles are in a solution inside the dialysis bag whereas the plasma proteins are outside. Passage of proteins through the membrane is difficult due to their size, so interactions occur mainly at the membrane pores. ddMTX release from the lipid nanoemulsion could also be evaluated by mixing LDE-ddMTX with plasma inside the dialysis bag, but the drug eventually released from the lipid nanoemulsion could bind to plasma proteins or lipoproteins and remain in the bag, which would prevent dissociation from the lipid nanoemulsion.

LDE-ddMTX was mostly stable for at least 45 days. This was indicated by both the constant particle size and preservation of the ddMTX chemical structure during the observation period. As already mentioned in respect to drug entrapment by the lipid nanoemulsion, the increase in the distribution coefficient also contributes to the stability of the complex. Coalescence is critical for the stability of emulsions and other particulate systems.33 The lipid nanoemulsion is prepared with phosphatidylcholine, a positively charged phospholipid that produces more stable systems than negatively charged phospholipids.34

Table 2 Maximum tolerated dose and 50% lethal dose values of methotrexate and LDE-ddMTX intravenously administered as a single dose in BALB/c mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Single dose</th>
<th>MTD</th>
<th>LD50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDE-ddMTX</td>
<td>47 mg/kg</td>
<td>115 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX</td>
<td>94 mg/kg</td>
<td>470 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ddMTX, didodecyl methotrexate; MTX, methotrexate; LDE, lipid nanoemulsion; LD50, 50% lethal dose; MTD, maximum tolerated dose.

Figure 6 (A) Red cell count, (B) platelet count, (C) leukocyte count, and (D) leukocyte differential count in mice after 24 hours of intravenous LDE-ddMTX or methotrexate (both at 180 mg/kg dose) administration and after intravenous LDE without methotrexate.

Notes: ns indicates P > 0.05; *** indicates P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: ddMTX, didodecyl methotrexate; LDE, lipid nanoemulsion; LYMPh, lymphocytes; NEUTRO, neutrophils; EOSINO, eosinophils; MONO, monocytes; LYMPHOBL, lymphoblast.
performed by folypolyglutaminyl synthase that enhances cell retention of the drug. The enzyme activity varies among tumor cell lines, and it has been suggested that defective polyglutamation may partially account for tumor resistance to methotrexate. Therefore, it is remarkable that the cellular uptake of LDE-ddMTX was 75-fold higher than of methotrexate in HL60 cells and 90-fold higher in K562 cells. This finding can be ascribed to either the receptor-mediated endocytic pathway being furnished by the lipid nanoemulsion drug-carrier or to the lipophilic features of ddMTX. The biological activity of lipophilic derivatives of methotrexate can be either the result of a direct effect on cell enzymes, or being mediated by intracellular release of free drug, that will ultimately be responsible for the activity.

The 75-fold greater uptake of LDE-ddMTX than methotrexate by HL60 cells was matched by 100-fold greater cytotoxicity, whereas the 90-fold greater uptake by K562 cells was linked with 10-fold greater cytotoxicity to these cells. Our results suggest that uptake of methotrexate is an important limitation for cytotoxicity, and when cell internalization of the drug increases, the pharmacological action of the compound can be optimized. However, it is noteworthy that the greatest uptake did not correspond to the greatest cytotoxicity, because the cytotoxicity of K562 was not higher than for HL60. The mechanism of action of methotrexate involves inhibition of tetrahydrofolate synthesis through its bonding to dihydrofolate reductase, interfering with DNA synthesis and repair. The fact that there was no cytotoxicity when the lipid nanoemulsion was incubated with the two cell lines without methotrexate and demonstrates that this vehicle has no toxicity.

In the animal toxicity experiments, the marked differences created by the association of ddMTX and the lipid nanoemulsion were reflected in the fact that escalating doses resulted in lower survival rates for LDE-ddMTX compared with methotrexate at all dose levels. It is possible that the increased toxicity of LDE-ddMTX to animals was consequent to the marked increase in cell uptake and in vitro cytotoxicity. It is interesting that the hematological toxicity was lower for LDE-ddMTX, which suggests an as yet undetermined myeloprotective mechanism conferred by the lipid nanoemulsion.

The 10–90-fold greater cytotoxicity of LDE-ddMTX, which resulted in a four-fold increase in LD50 as estimated by animal survival, and a two-fold increase in the maximum tolerated dose, suggests that LDE-ddMTX can be used in smaller doses, and would still have superior pharmacological activity with low toxicity.

As a limitation of this study, it can be mentioned that a comparison between methotrexate and ddMTX both associated with the lipid nanoemulsion could not be made because methotrexate associates poorly with this nanoemulsion system.

The lipid nanoemulsion also has the ability to concentrate in atherosclerotic lesions, as shown in cholesterol-fed rabbits. In a subsequent as yet unpublished study, we observed that treatment of atherosclerotic rabbits with LDE-ddMTX resulted in marked regression of the lesions. This finding widens the potential therapeutic applications of LDE-ddMTX.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, comparing LDE-ddMTX with other methotrexate delivery systems described in the literature, such as gelatin/methotrexate conjugates, polymers, liposomes, dendrimers, solid lipid nanoparticles, and chylomicron-mimicking carrier emulsions, this novel formulation for intravenous use, in which the association of ddMTX with the vehicle is virtually complete and stable, showed equivalent or superior cytotoxicity. These findings warrant further preclinical investigation.
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