The relationship of psychosocial factors to mammograms, physical activity, and fruit and vegetable consumption among sisters of breast cancer patients
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Abstract: This study examined the relationship of psychosocial factors to health-promoting behaviors in sisters of breast cancer patients. One hundred and twenty sisters of breast cancer patients completed questionnaires assessing response efficacy of mammography screenings, physical activity, and fruit and vegetable consumption on decreasing breast cancer risk, breast cancer worry, involvement in their sister’s cancer care, mammography screenings, physical activity, and fruit and vegetable consumption. Results indicate that greater perceived effectiveness for mammograms was associated with a 67% increase in odds of yearly mammograms. Greater involvement in the patient’s care was associated with a 7% decrease in odds of yearly mammograms. Greater perceived effectiveness for physical activity was significantly related to greater physical activity. There was a trend for greater perceived effectiveness for fruits and vegetables to be associated with consuming more fruits and vegetables. Breast cancer worry was not significantly associated with the outcomes. While perceived effectiveness for a specific health behavior in reducing breast cancer risk was consistently related to engaging in that health behavior, women reported significantly lower perceived effectiveness for physical activity and fruits and vegetables than for mammograms. Making women aware of the health benefits of these behaviors may be important in promoting changes.
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Introduction

Women with a family history of breast cancer have a two to four times increased risk for developing breast cancer. Consequently, sisters of breast cancer patients may feel particularly vulnerable to developing the disease. It is currently not known how the experience of having a sister with breast cancer may impact obtaining mammograms and health-promoting behaviors that could reduce breast cancer risk. The purpose of this study is to identify whether psychosocial factors are related to mammograms and health-promoting behaviors in sisters of breast cancer patients.

Mammograms have been shown to be effective for reducing breast cancer mortality; therefore, it is particularly important for sisters of breast cancer patients to obtain yearly mammograms. While some risk factors cannot be changed, others such as physical activity and maintaining a healthy weight through a proper diet are modifiable. Research has consistently found an inverse relationship between regular physical activity and breast cancer risk. Literature reviews have found that physical activity is associated with, on average, a 20% risk reduction in breast cancer.
and support that there is a dose-response relationship for physical activity and breast cancer risk.6,7 In addition to the direct benefits of physical activity on breast cancer, physical activity can also reduce adiposity,8–10 which is associated with increased risk of lifetime breast cancer in postmenopausal women.11–15 Another way to influence weight is to eat a diet high in low calorie dense foods, such as fruits and vegetables. While research examining the direct relationship between a diet high in fruits and vegetables and breast cancer risk has been mixed,16–20 some studies have found a protective effect for fruits and vegetables.16,17,19 Therefore, a diet high in fruits and vegetables may help to reduce breast cancer risk itself as well as help with weight loss and weight maintenance to reduce breast cancer risk. For sisters of breast cancer patients, being physically active and eating a diet high in fruits and vegetables could be important in decreasing their risk of breast cancer. In order to encourage health-promoting behaviors in sisters of breast cancer patients it is important to know what factors relate to engagement in such behaviors.

The goal of the current study is to examine how perceived effectiveness of a health behavior, breast cancer worry, and involvement in the breast cancer care relate to obtaining mammograms and health-promoting behaviors in sisters of breast cancer patients. We hypothesized that women who reported greater perceived effectiveness for mammograms, greater breast cancer worry, and greater involvement in their sister’s breast cancer care would be more likely to have yearly mammograms. Second, women who reported greater perceived effectiveness for physical activity, greater breast cancer worry, and greater involvement in their sister’s breast cancer care would report higher levels of physical activity. Finally, women who reported greater perceived effectiveness for fruit and vegetable consumption, greater breast cancer worry, and greater involvement in their sister’s breast cancer care would report greater consumption of fruit and vegetables.

Methods
Participants

We first recruited women with breast cancer to obtain their sisters’ contact information and permission to contact their sisters. The breast cancer patients were recruited from the Moffitt Cancer Center. Patient eligibility criteria were: (a) at least 2 months post treatment; (b) no history of additional cancer other than basal cell; (c) diagnosed with Stage 0, I, or II breast cancer; (d) surgically treated with lumpectomy or mastectomy; (e) received chemotherapy, radiation, or both; (f) able to provide informed consent; (g) able to speak and read English. Patients who consented were asked to provide contact information for multiple sisters, and all sisters were contacted for the study.

Sister eligibility criteria were: (a) 45 to 70 years old; (b) able to provide informed consent; (c) able to speak and read English; (d) no history of breast cancer; (e) no breast biopsies within the past 5 years; (f) only one first-degree relative diagnosed with breast cancer; (g) have not been tested for the BRCA1/2 genes. Age eligibility was based on the US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation that women between 40 and 70 years old obtain mammography screenings every 2 years.21 Because women’s mammography screenings for the past 5 years were assessed, eligibility was limited to women between the ages of 45 and 70. Sisters who were interested and eligible were given the option to complete the survey through the mail or online. This research was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of South Florida.

Measures

The sister’s demographic information was obtained through self-report, including date of birth, race, marital status, income, education, and objective risk of breast cancer. Objective risk was assessed using the Gail model which provides a 5-year and a lifetime percentage risk of developing breast cancer.22 The breast cancer patient’s clinical information was obtained through medical records. Variables included date of birth, date of diagnosis, stage of breast cancer, and type of treatments.

Perceived effectiveness of mammograms was assessed with four questions modeled from previous research.23–25 Perceived effectiveness for physical activity and for fruit and vegetable consumption were each assessed with a single item. Items were on 6-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 6 = strongly agree).

The Cancer Worry Scale assessed the extent that breast cancer-specific worry interfered with daily functioning on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = not at all or rarely; 4 = a lot).26

Participant’s involvement in her sister’s cancer care was assessed using six items. The first item “To what extent were you personally involved in your sister’s cancer treatment and care?” was modeled from previous research.27 The other five items assessed the frequency of communication, attending appointments, and providing assistance on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = rarely to 5 = frequently). Items were summed to create a total score with higher scores indicating greater involvement in care.

Women were asked the number of mammograms they obtained in the past 5 years. Participants were classified as
having had annual mammograms if they reported five or more mammograms.

Physical activity was assessed with the Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (LSI). The LSI consists of three questions that assess the average frequency of mild, moderate, and strenuous exercise in a typical week. A total score is created by multiplying the frequency of mild, moderate, and strenuous exercise by 3, 5, and 9, respectively, and summing.

Fruit and vegetable consumption was measured with the By Meal Screener (BMS), a brief fruit and vegetable screener used in the National Institutes of Health’s Eating at America’s Table study. Participants are asked to indicate the frequency and quantity of fruits and vegetables they consumed in the morning, afternoon, and evening in the past month. Additional questions assess frequency and quantity of eight more specific categories of fruits and vegetables consumed at any time of the day in the past month. A total score is calculated by converting quantity to a standard scale for each type of fruit and vegetable, multiplying by the frequency, and summing.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics (means and standard deviation for continuous variables and n sizes and percents for categorical variables) were used to characterize the demographic characteristics of the breast cancer patients and their sisters.

Logistic regression was used to test the association between response efficacy for mammography screening, breast cancer worry, involvement in sister’s care and the odds of obtaining yearly mammography screening, while controlling for blood relationship and an indicator of whether multiple sisters were participating in the study. Preliminary analysis of the correlation between the covariates of interest was carried out in order to test for multicollinearity of the predictors. All potential predictors of yearly mammography screening were mean centered. Residual and influence diagnostics were assessed after a final model was established in order to address model assumptions.

Linear regression models were used to test for the association between response efficacy for physical activity, breast cancer worry, and involvement in sister’s care on the mean of the continuous responses (ie, reported physical activity and reported fruit and vegetable intake), while controlling for income and an indicator of whether multiple sisters were participating in the study. Again, potential predictors were mean centered in order to estimate a meaningful intercept term. Finally, residual and influence diagnostics were assessed to determine whether model assumptions were met.

Results

Participants

Letters were mailed to 723 breast cancer patients about the current study. Of the 497 patients who were able to be contacted by phone and interested in additional information, 150 had an eligible sister. Consent forms were returned by 132 women and provided a total of 193 sisters’ contact information. Of the 193 sisters, 141 were eligible and 120 completed the study. See Figure 1 for a complete breakdown of recruitment and reasons for ineligibility. In summary, 132 of 150 of eligible patients (88%) and 120 of 141 eligible sisters (85%) completed their respective study requirements.

Patients’ demographic and clinical information

The 90 breast cancer patients whose sisters participated in the study ranged in age from 43–71 years (mean = 57.7; standard deviation = 7.2). They had been diagnosed with breast cancer between 1.5 and 6.6 years ago (mean = 3.7; standard deviation = 1.5). Breast cancer was diagnosed at stage 0 for eight patients, stage I for 41 patients, and stage II for 40 patients. Thirty-one received chemotherapy only, 23 had received radiotherapy only, and 35 had received chemotherapy with radiotherapy.

Sisters’ demographic and clinical information

A total of 120 sisters of breast cancer patients, from 90 different families, completed the study. Sixty-seven sisters were the only sisters from their family participating and 53 had at least one sibling in the study. Participants ranged from 45–69 years (mean = 56.2; standard deviation = 6.0), majority had partial college education or greater (82%), were non-Hispanic (94%), White (95%), married (72%), and had a household income of $40,000 or greater (79%). Five-year breast cancer risk estimate averaged 2.5% (range = 1.0%–5.1%) and lifetime breast cancer risk estimate averaged 15.3% (range = 7.8%–27.6%).

Participants’ average ratings for perceived effectiveness were 5.21 (standard deviation = 0.80) for mammograms, 4.32 (standard deviation = 1.47) for physical activity, 4.36 (standard deviation = 1.45) for fruit and vegetable consumption. Compared to mammograms, participants had significantly lower perceived effectiveness for physical activity (t = 6.28,
P < 0.01) and fruit and vegetable consumption (t = 6.05, P < 0.01). The average ratings on the breast cancer worry scale was 1.63 (standard deviation = 0.45). The average rating on the involvement in sister breast cancer care measure was 2.79 (standard deviation = 1.22).

**Annual mammograms**

The reported number of mammograms in the past 5 years ranged from 0 to 10. Eighty-one women were classified as having annual mammograms and 39 were classified as having less than annual mammograms.

There was a trend for effectiveness for mammograms and the odds of having had annual mammograms (b = 0.51, standard error = 0.27, $\chi^2 = 3.59, P = 0.06$). A one-unit increase in perceived effectiveness was associated with a 67% increase in odds of having yearly mammograms, while controlling for the other psychosocial variables and relevant demographics. There was a significant relationship between involvement in the patient’s breast cancer and the odds of having had annual mammograms (b = −0.07, standard error = 0.03, $\chi^2 = 4.28, P = 0.04$). Greater involvement in the patient’s breast cancer care was associated with a 7% decrease in
odds of having yearly mammograms, while controlling for the other psychosocial variables and relevant demographics. Breast cancer worry was not significantly related to annual mammograms (Table 1).

**Physical activity**

There was a significant relationship between perceived effectiveness for physical activity and scores on the LSI ($b = 2.44$, standard error $= 1.09$, $t = 2.24$, $P = 0.03$), while controlling for the other psychosocial variables and relevant demographics, such that greater perceived effectiveness for physical activity was associated with greater engagement in physical activity. Involvement in the patient's care and breast cancer worry were not significantly associated with physical activity. Income was significantly associated with physical activity ($b = 3.27$, standard error $= 1.33$, $t = 2.47$, $P = 0.02$), such that higher income was associated with greater average physical activity (Table 2).

**Fruits and vegetables**

There was a trend for the association between perceived effectiveness for fruit and vegetable consumption and scores on the BMS ($b = 0.23$, standard error $= 0.13$, $t = 1.80$, $P = 0.07$), while controlling for the other psychosocial variables and relevant demographics, such that perceived effectiveness for fruits and vegetables was associated with greater fruit and vegetable consumption. Involvement in the patient's care and breast cancer worry were not significantly associated with fruit and vegetable consumption (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The aim of the study was to examine how psychosocial factors relate to health-promoting behaviors in sisters of breast cancer patients. Results indicated that greater perceived effectiveness for a health behavior was related to engaging in that health behavior. These findings are consistent with previous research, and build upon this research by extending the relationship to sisters of breast cancer patients. Results also indicated that breast cancer worry was not associated with the health behaviors. While this was contrary to our hypothesis, it is consistent with previous research that has found

---

**Table 1** Logistic regression for annual mammography screenings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Chi-Square</th>
<th>Pr &gt; ChiSq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer worry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involvement in care</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE – mammography</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other family in study</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood relation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Linear regression for physical activity

| Parameter                | DF  | Estimate | SE  | $t$ value | Pr > $|t|$ |
|--------------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----------|--------|
| Intercept                | 1   | 7.24     | 6.23| 1.16      | 0.25   |
| Breast cancer worry      | 1   | 0.28     | 0.95| 0.29      | 0.77   |
| Involvement in care      | 1   | -0.06    | 0.26| -0.25     | 0.81   |
| PE – physical activity   | 1   | 2.44     | 1.09| 2.24      | 0.03   |
| Other family in study    | 1   | 3.31     | 3.86| 0.86      | 0.39   |
| Income                   | 1   | 3.27     | 1.33| 2.47      | 0.02   |

**Table 3** Linear regression for fruit and vegetable consumption

| Parameter                | DF  | Estimate | SE  | $t$ value | Pr > $|t|$ |
|--------------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----------|--------|
| Intercept                | 1   | 4.23     | 0.73| 5.81      | <0.01  |
| Breast cancer worry      | 1   | -0.15    | 0.11| -1.38     | 0.17   |
| Involvement in care      | 1   | 0.03     | 0.03| 0.87      | 0.39   |
| PE – fruits and vegetables| 1   | 0.23     | 0.13| 1.80      | 0.07   |
| Other family in study    | 1   | -0.08    | 0.45| -0.18     | 0.86   |
| Income                   | 1   | -0.23    | 0.15| -1.46     | 0.15   |

**Abbreviations:** DF, degrees of freedom; PE, perceived effectiveness; SE, standard error.
mixed results for the relationship between worry and health behaviors.\textsuperscript{32,33} One explanation for the lack of relationship in this study may be due to the low endorsement of breast cancer worry. Although it was hypothesized that greater involvement in sister’s care would be associated with greater likelihood of annual mammograms, the opposite relationship was found. While this was inconsistent with our hypothesis, previous research has not assessed how involvement in a relative’s breast cancer care relates to screening and health-promoting behaviors; therefore, this unanticipated finding provides important new information. This finding may be because the 5-year period assessed for mammograms overlapped with the breast cancer patient’s diagnosis and treatment. Perhaps participants who spent more time taking care of their sister’s had less time for their own care, including obtaining regular mammograms. Involvement in breast cancer care was not significantly associated with physical activity or fruit and vegetable consumption.

Several limitations should be noted. First, the sample was predominantly Caucasian and fairly homogenous, limiting the generalizability of the results. Second, the data was based on self-report, so the accuracy of the information about mammography screening and health behaviors is unknown. Third, the cross-sectional design did not allow for assessing how the psychosocial factors relate to changes in the health-promoting behaviors. Finally, the lack of a comparison group without a family history of breast cancer limits the ability to determine differences between women with and without a family history of breast cancer.

The present study found that women were generally compliant with obtaining annual mammograms, suggesting that sisters of breast cancer patients may not require intervention to promote mammograms. On the other hand, greater involvement in the sister’s cancer care may be related to decreased odds of having annual mammogram. Since sisters of breast cancer patients are at increased risk for breast cancer it may be important to intervene with these women to ensure that additional time taking care of their sister does not ultimately decrease their care for themselves. The current study also found a consistent relationship between perceived effectiveness for a specific health behavior and engaging in that health behavior. However, the sisters in the present study reported significantly lower perceived effectiveness for physical activity and fruit and vegetable consumption than they reported for mammograms. Therefore, making women aware of the health benefits of different behaviors may be one important method to increase the behavior. The current study also found that lower income was related to less physical activity which suggests that interventions targeting women with lower socioeconomic status may be warranted.

Future studies are needed that compare women with and without a family history of breast cancer to examine what impact family history has on women’s health-promoting behaviors. Future research should include more diverse samples. Research should examine ways to intervene with women who may be at increased risk for not engaging in these health-promoting behaviors such as women with greater care giving responsibilities, lower income, and lower perceived effectiveness of the health behavior.
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