Changes in corneal parameters at confocal microscopy in treated glaucoma patients
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Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate corneal parameters in treated glaucoma patients, nontreated glaucoma patients, and normal subjects using confocal microscopy.

Methods: Forty patients with primary open-angle glaucoma and 22 untreated controls underwent confocal microscopy of the cornea using the Heidelberg retinal tomograph cornea module. The glaucoma group was divided into two subgroups, ie, patients on medical treatment for at least two years before inclusion (with beta-blockers or prostaglandin analogs) and nontreated glaucoma patients. The following corneal parameters were evaluated: endothelial cell density and number, reflectivity, and tortuosity of sub-basal nerves. For reflectivity and tortuosity, a dedicated grading scale ranging from 0 to 4 was used. Differences between treatments were also evaluated in the treated glaucoma group.

Results: Number of fibers and reflectivity of the sub-basal plexus were significantly lower in glaucoma patients as compared with controls (2.5 ± 0.7 versus 2.9 ± 0.9, P = 0.006, and 2.3 ± 0.8 versus 2.7 ± 0.9, P = 0.04, respectively), whereas tortuosity was significantly higher (2.6 ± 1 versus 2.0 ± 0.8, P = 0.007). Endothelial cell density (measured as cells per mm²) was lower in the glaucoma group comparing treated patients with nontreated patients (2826 ± 285 versus 3124 ± 272, P = 0.0003). Comparing treated patients with nontreated patients, relevant differences were found in number (2.3 ± 0.7 versus 2.8 ± 0.8, P = 0.004), tortuosity (2.8 ± 1 versus 2.2 ± 0.8, P = 0.004), and reflectivity (2.2 ± 0.8 versus 2.6 ± 0.8, P = 0.04). No differences in corneal parameters were found between beta-blockers and prostaglandin analogs.

Conclusion: This study shows that differences in corneal parameters between glaucoma patients and controls may be due to the medical treatments used for glaucoma. These data should be taken into consideration in long-standing medical glaucoma treatment and in potential candidates for surgery.
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Introduction
Glaucoma is an optic neuropathy characterized by structural changes and functional impairment. It can be divided into primary open-angle glaucoma, which has an insidious and slow onset, and angle-closure glaucoma. Quigley and Broman1 estimate that glaucoma affects 60.5 million people worldwide and that this number will increase to about 80 million by 2020. Approximately 75% of these patients will have primary open-angle glaucoma. Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness in the world and would account for over eight million cases of blindness today, rising to over 11 million by 2020.1

Treatments for glaucoma aim at reducing intraocular pressure, which is the main risk factor for development and progression of the disease. Medical, surgical, and
laser options are available. Topical medical treatments are effective in most cases. Even if monodose treatments are available for beta-blockers and, very recently, for prostaglandin analogs, multidose treatments are more frequently used. Multidose formulations require the use of a preservative in order to guarantee the absence of contamination by microorganisms. Unfortunately, this toxic effect is specific and generates dose-dependent noxious effects on the ocular surface.

Glaumomatous patients often need to use more than one eye drop to obtain adequate reduction in intraocular pressure, and topical therapy is carried on for many years or lifelong, so adverse events and side effects should be minimized to achieve optimal compliance. Therefore, ocular surface impairment in glaucoma has become a topic of great interest. The negative effects of glaucoma medications may be due to both allergic and toxic mechanisms in the conjunctiva, lacrimal film, and cornea. These effects may be due to the active component, as well as to preservatives, but the exact mechanisms involved in inducing toxic or proinflammatory effects of ophthalmic solutions are still unknown. Among the side effects, ocular surface disorders attributable to the drug itself or to drug preservatives are relatively common. Furthermore, the use of antiglaucoma drugs may induce cytotoxicity in corneal endothelial cells, as shown by in vitro studies.

Confocal microscopy is a novel diagnostic technique which provides noninvasive optical sectioning of the tissues of the anterior segment of the eye and allows high-magnification imaging of the corneal epithelium, Bowman’s membrane, stromal keratocytes, and nerves, as well as the corneal endothelium. Confocal imaging is able to show changes on the ocular surface in a number of subclinical eye conditions. The aim of this study was to investigate with confocal microscopy in vivo the subbasal corneal plexus and endothelial cell involvement in medical treatment of glaucoma.

Materials and methods

Patients

This study was conducted at the Eye Clinic of the University of Milan, San Paolo Hospital, Milan, Italy, was approved by the local ethics committee, and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all patient before enrolment.

Caucasian patients with primary open-angle glaucoma referred to the glaucoma service from April 2009 to October 2009 were considered for the study. Inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of glaucoma defined by glaucomatous optic disc changes and corresponding visual field defects, based on the European Glaucoma Society Guidelines criteria. Only patients with intraocular pressure not over 24 mmHg on repeated measurements were enrolled. Glaumoma patients were divided into two subgroups, ie, patients who had had medical treatment (with beta-blockers or prostaglandin analogs) for at least two years before inclusion and nontreated glaucoma patients. Exclusion criteria were ocular trauma, corneal changes of any kind, media opacities, history of ocular surgery other than for uncomplicated cataract, current use of contact lens, and autoimmune disease. Forty consecutive patients who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria and 22 normal subjects were enrolled in the study.

Study procedures

A medical history was taken for all the participants. All the subjects underwent a complete ophthalmic examination, including anterior segment biomicroscopy and fundus examination, refraction, and measurement of best corrected visual acuity using the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart. In vivo laser scanning confocal microscopy of the cornea was performed using the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph 2-Rostock cornea module (Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim, Germany). Data were collected for both eyes, and one eye for each patient was chosen at random (unless only one eye met the inclusion criteria) for analytical purposes.

In vivo laser confocal microscopy of cornea

The Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT) was initially designed to evaluate the optic nerve head in glaucoma. The second HRT version, endowed with a lens system, known as the Rostock cornea module (RCM), allows in vivo confocal study of the cornea layers. The laser source used in the RCM is a diode laser with a wavelength of 670 nm. The two-dimensional images acquired have a definition of 384 × 384 pixels over an area of 400 µm × 400 µm, with lateral digital resolution of 1 µm/pixel and a depth resolution of 2 µm/pixel.

Data collection

After administration of one drop of 0.4% oxybuprocaine (Novesine®; MSD-Chibret, Paris, France) and one drop of a lubricant gel, 0.2% carbomer (Lacrigel®; Europhtha, Monaco) the patient was asked to fixate on a small bright red light while the examination was performed in the
The HRT Rostock cornea module also has a method proposed by Oliveira-Soto and Efron, and graded by comparison with the reference images according to the reflectivity of fibers. The last two parameters were evaluated were considered: number per frame, and tortuosity and cell images were considered for the analysis.

The following parameters of the sub-basal plexus nerves were considered: number per frame, and tortuosity and reflectivity of fibers. The last two parameters were evaluated by comparison with the reference images according to the method proposed by Oliveira-Soto and Efron, and graded from 0 to 4. The HRT Rostock cornea module also has a semi-automated system for endothelial cell counting. On the best focused endothelial cell image, a region of interest is selected, cells are marked, and the system automatically calculates cell density.

Agreement
All study examinations were performed by a single masked expert operator (SR). Before the study began, the reproducibility of data was tested using the following procedure. The evaluator collected five scans of the plexus of a volunteer along the corneal axis, and during the same day, at intervals of 60 minutes and at the end of each acquisition, selected the best-focused image of the plexus. Masking was obtained by an independent investigator mixing these five images with 10 control images, and the operator was asked to grade all images considering number, tortuosity, and reflectivity of fibers. For each parameter, agreement of the five images was defined in the presence of values within ±1 of the mean value, this cutoff value being derived from previous studies on corneal confocal microscopy. Intraobserver agreement for image scores were calculated using the intraclass correlation coefficient as follows:

\[ \text{Intraclass correlation coefficient} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_a^2} \]

where \( \sigma^2 \) = QM(e), or intraclass variability; \( \sigma_a^2 = \frac{\text{QM}(a)-\text{QM}(e)}{n} \) or (interclass – intraclass variability)/number of classes. Agreement was defined according to the guidelines proposed by Landis and Koch: chance agreement, 0.01–0.19; poor agreement, 0.20–0.39; fair agreement, 0.40–0.59; moderate agreement, 0.60–0.79; and substantial agreement, 0.80–1.00. For our series of data, agreement was 0.70 for tortuosity, 0.75 for reflectivity, and 0.9 for number of fibers.

Statistical analysis
Intergroup differences were evaluated using the \( t \)-test for unpaired data. Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) were deemed to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were calculated using the SPSS (version 15.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

Results
The demographic characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. Mean age and gender were not significantly different between the glaucoma patients and controls (\( P = 0.18, t \)-test, and \( P = 0.80, X^2 \), respectively).

The numbers of nerve fibers (2.5 ± 0.7 versus 2.9 ± 0.9, \( P = 0.006 \)) and reflectivity of fibers (2.3 ± 0.8 versus 2.7 ± 0.9, \( P = 0.04 \)) of the sub-basal plexus were significantly lower in glaucoma patients as compared with controls, whereas tortuosity was significantly higher (2.6 ± 1 versus 2.0 ± 0.8, \( P = 0.007 \), Figure 1). Endothelial cell density (given as cells/mm²) was lower in the glaucoma group (2925 ± 313 versus 3187 ± 312, \( P = 0.0003 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographics of study participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP, mmHg (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction, diopters (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT (µm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; IOP, intraocular pressure; CCT, central corneal thickness; F, female; M, male.
Comparing treated and untreated glaucoma patients, significant differences were found in nerve fiber number (2.3 ± 0.7 versus 2.8 ± 0.8, \( P = 0.004 \)), tortuosity (2.8 ± 1 versus 2.2 ± 0.8, \( P = 0.004 \)), reflectivity (2.2 ± 0.8 versus 2.6 ± 0.8, \( P = 0.04 \)), and endothelial cell density (2826 ± 285 versus 3124 ± 272, \( P = 0.0004 \)). No differences were found between prostaglandin analogs and beta-blockers for nerve number (\( P = 0.8 \)), tortuosity (\( P = 1 \)), reflectivity (\( P = 0.9 \)), or number of endothelial cells (\( P = 0.9 \)). Normal subjects and untreated glaucoma patients had similar numbers (\( P = 0.5 \)), tortuosity (\( P = 0.5 \)), and reflectivity (\( P = 0.6 \)) of nerve fibers and endothelial cell counts (\( P = 0.4 \)).

**Discussion**

Several studies have demonstrated that long-term use of intraocular pressure-lowering treatments frequently cause chronic changes on the ocular surface\(^6\) due to the drug itself or preservatives.\(^6\) Benzalkonium chloride is the most commonly used preservative in ophthalmic preparations. It is a quaternary ammonium compound with potent antimicrobial effects, but also with relevant dose-dependent side effects on the cornea and conjunctiva.\(^21\) Due to these characteristics, it has been suggested that benzalkonium chloride be considered as an active compound, the concentration of which should be specified for the product (a fact that, to date, is
not compulsory in many countries, including Italy). Current intraocular pressure-lowering treatments have benzalkonium chloride concentrations ranging from 0.01% to 0.005%, even if the recommended concentration is less than 0.005%. Other less toxic preservatives have been studied and are now clinically available in the glaucoma field, ie, SofZia® (Alcon Inc. Hünenberg, Switzerland), Purite® (Allergan, Inc. Irvine, CA), and Poliquad® (Alcon Inc. Hünenberg, Switzerland). It has been suggested that inflammatory mechanisms combining allergy with toxicity are involved in the complexity of reactions occurring at the ocular surface in patients using preserved topical glaucoma treatments.

The recent introduction of confocal microscopy allows clinical insights at cellular levels, which was impossible with traditional in vivo diagnostics used in clinical practice. In this study, we performed a confocal examination of the cornea in glaucoma patients receiving intraocular pressure-lowering treatments for at least two years, compared with untreated glaucoma patients and controls, and showed that treated patients had relevant changes in systolic blood pressure and endothelial cell density. Nerve fibers are important for corneal trophism and for maintaining a healthy ocular surface. A lower nerve density may impair corneal sensitivity, as observed in glaucoma patients. Overall, our data confirm the findings by Martone et al who showed a decrease in number of nerve fibers and an increase in tortuosity at confocal microscopy in treated glaucoma patients compared with controls. Compared with that study, we found differences in corneal parameters in the control group (2.9 ± 0.9 versus 5.26 ± 1.3 and 2.0 ± 0.8 versus 1.2 ± 0.39) for number of nerves and tortuosity, respectively, as in the glaucoma group. These findings may be due to differences in the study populations (most likely due to the higher duration of therapy in our study), and also to the poor interobserver reproducibility of confocal microscopy. Also, a lower fiber reflectivity was found in our glaucoma group, which may be due to the absence of correction for reflectivity of Bowman’s membrane.

The second confocal parameter evaluated in this study was endothelial cell density. It has been shown that corneal endothelial cell survival, after chronic exposure to commercially available eye drops, is longer in the absence of a preservative. Even if there is some evidence that intraocular pressure-lowering treatments may damage or interfere with the normal physiologic functions of the corneal endothelium, the endothelial cell count was not considered in previous confocal studies. Our study is the first to show that a lower endothelial density is present in patients receiving antiglaucoma drugs by means of confocal microscopy.

A strength of this study was the inclusion of a group of untreated glaucoma patients, which allowed us to show that our untreated glaucoma patients and controls had similar corneal parameters, thus demonstrating that the corneal damage observed was not due to glaucoma itself but to the therapy used.

All confocal studies should be evaluated with caution given the limitations of this technique, ie, it is a qualitative and subjective examination, the reproducibility of which has not been fully explored. Also, we limited our investigations to the center of the cornea, and different results might be obtained by inspecting the corneal periphery.

In conclusion, this study confirms that, in addition to intraocular pressure-lowering effects, specific preserved topical glaucoma treatments induce changes at the ocular surface which can be well documented by means of confocal microscopy. In the balance between efficacy and tolerability, it must be kept in mind that formulations with low cytotoxicity (ie, low or absent concentrations of preservative, or containing preservatives less toxic than benzalkonium chloride) may guarantee fewer side effects, with higher tolerability and better compliance.
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