Infrared imaging of choroidal involvement in Leber’s idiopathic stellate neuroretinitis
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Purpose: Leber’s idiopathic stellate neuroretinitis (LIN) is a rare condition that has been always considered an inflammatory disease, with emphasis given to the optic disc and neuroretina alterations.

Methods: A healthy 54-year-old woman presented a sudden loss of vision in the left eye, referring to periocular pain, headache, and mild fever for 1 month. Tests of best-corrected visual acuity, optical coherence tomography, infrared (IR) filter, fluorescein, and indocyanine green angiography were performed at the follow-up.

Results: The patient submitted to IR imaging, which revealed diffuse patchy choroidal infiltrates involving the posterior pole midperiphery, which were still present after 3 years of follow-up.

Conclusion: In this observation, we reported that choroidal involvement may occur in LIN. The IR filter is an important and noninvasive tool able to distinguish and follow choroidal infiltrates to better delineate the pathological process and elucidate the nature of the disease.
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Introduction
Leber’s idiopathic neuroretinitis (LIN) is a rare pathologic condition. Presumably a cat scratch disease (CSD), neuroretinitis with negative serological test is self-limiting and characterized by monolateral visual loss, macular star, and optic disc edema. The most common pathological manifestations associated with LIN are papillitis and neuroretinitis. The disease may be preceded by viral-like symptoms 2 or 3 weeks before the beginning of visual problems. Usually, this pathology affects young patients between the third and fifth decades without any particular prevalence for male or female. The etiology is unknown, but parafebrile symptoms (eg, headache, mild fever, and weakness) might suggest an infectious cause for LIN.

Dreyer et al were the first to postulate Bartonella infection as a potential cause of LIN. Historically, the study of this maculopathy has always focused attention on the retina. As suggested by the name, optic disc involvement gives emphasis to the peculiar lipid deposition around the macula that appears 1 or 2 weeks after the beginning of visual symptoms.
In this report, we describe a characteristic choroidal infiltration in a patient with LIN who has been followed with infrared (IR) fundus pictures.

**Case report**

A healthy 54-year-old woman was referred to our clinic with sudden loss of vision in her left eye, which was associated with periocular pain, headache, and mild fever for 1 month. Her general history was negative for hypertension and diabetes. Interestingly, she mentioned having cats in her house.

At the time of the examination, the patient’s visual acuity was 20/20 in the right eye (RE) and 20/300 in the left eye (LE). Humphrey visual field analysis detected a centrocecal scotoma in the LE. Slit lamp examination showed no abnormalities in the anterior segment of either eye.

RE fundus examination was normal (Figure 1A) and the LE revealed significant edema of the optic disc with retinal infiltrates at the posterior pole and a characteristic macular star from yellow exudates (Figure 1B). IR imaging (Heidelberg HRA, wavelength 830 nm) showed some optic disc edema surrounded by subretinal fluid, and diffuse, patchy, choroidal infiltrates involving the posterior pole midperiphery (Figure 2) otherwise invisible on ophthalmoscopy.

Fluorescein angiography (FA) confirmed the optic disc swelling and some hypofluorescence from intraretinal infiltrates was detected (Figure 3A and B). Optic disc swelling and some masked hypofluorescence from the exudates as well as retinal infiltrates were recorded (Figure 3C and D) on indocyanine green angiography (ICG). On early ICG, just some choroidal infiltrates were seen as an area of choriocapillaris hypoperfusion (Figure 3C). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) guided by IR imaging was able to confirm different focal loci of subretinal hyper-reflectivity corresponding to the choroidal infiltrates (Figure 3E and F).

Laboratory investigations revealed negative *Bartonella henselae* Ig-antibodies. Serological work-up was negative for other infectious agents, including *Syphilis*, *Salmonella*, *Herpes simplex*, and *Toxoplasma*. The results of chest X-ray, pelvis radiography, and brain magnetic resonance imaging were negative.

On the basis of the diagnosis of presumed chorioretinitis, the patient was treated with bolus of corticosteroids for 3 weeks. Two weeks after therapy, the patient was reevaluated. Visual acuity was unchanged in the LE.

**Figure 1** Color fundus photograph (A) right eye: normal appearance of the fundus; (B) left eye: showing edema of optic disc with some retinal infiltrates and exudates encircling the fovea in a shape similar to a star.

**Figure 2** Infrared montage of the left eye showing the optic disc surrounded by small exudates and choroidal lesions in the posterior pole midperiphery.

**Figure 3** (A and B) Left eye: early (20° magnification) and late-phase of fluorescein angiograms showing leakage of optic disc, stellate maculopathy, and the retinal lesions revealing early blockage and late staining; (C and D) early (20° magnification) and late-phase of indocyanine green angiograms confirming a macular star and revealing early and late blockage in the same lesions observed on FA; (E and F) red-free and optical coherence tomography scan showing a focal increased reflectance at the retinal pigmented epithelium–choriocapillaris complex corresponding to the lesions (red circle).
One year later, visual acuity in the patient’s LE improved to 20/40. Funduscopic examination of both eyes was normal, but LE’s IR imaging demonstrated unchanged choroidal infiltrates involving the posterior pole midperiphery, also observed by OCT (data not shown). The choroidal infiltrates observed by IR, also confirmed by OCT, were still found at the 2- and 3-year follow-up (Figure 4).

Conclusion
Leber’s maculopathy is a rare pathologic condition of the eye that in the literature has always been considered as a monolateral involvement of the optic disc and the retina that causes a discrete reduction of visual acuity and a centrocecal scotoma, usually with good recovery of vision over a period of about 6 months. Some authors consider LIN as a CSD associated with negative serological test results.1 Gass and colleagues postulated that CSD may be a possible cause of LIN.3

In addition, various studies have reported that other infectious and noninfectious causes may be responsible for LIN. Such infectious causes include Syphilis, Salmonella, H. simplex, Leptospirosis, Toxocara, and Toxoplasma. Noninfectious causes include marked hypertension, diabetes, increased intracranial pressure, and ischemic optic neuropathy.4 In our report, the anamnesis and the complete serological work-up, as well as the battery of tests performed by the internist, were negative.

Therefore, we speculate that, based on the history (patient owned cats and showed viral-like symptoms 1 month before presentation), and general clinical and ophthalmology examination, this case may represent a CSD with negative serological test.

Several ocular manifestations of posterior segment damage may occur in patients with LIN, but the most common findings are papillitis and neuroretinitis;2 however, unusual features in posterior segments of patients affected by CSD are also described in the literature.

In a paper by Khurana et al5 a choroidal involvement detected by ultrasound was reported for a patient positive for CSD but was never clearly imaged. Choroidal thickening was described but never visualized, despite long-term follow up.3

Mennel et al6 reported multiple foci of chorioretinitis that developed as chorioretinal spots similar to scars secondary to multifocal choroiditis as clinical signs of the pathology. In a report by Ormerod et al2 and Solley et al,4 retinal or presumably choroidal white lesions in CSD documented only by fundus photography and FA did not explain the choroidal involvement. A recent study using OCT described only fluid accumulation in 2 separate subretinal spaces and in the outer nuclear-plexiform space.7

In our patient, small, round, white retinal spots were noted in the posterior pole of LE, but these were considered as retinal infiltrates that we detected either on color fundus pictures and on FA and ICG angiography, which are common markers of retinal inflammation.

As a worldwide accepted theory, LIN seems to be an infectious disease with negative seriological test.3

We think that our patient had a chorioretinal immunomedi- ated inflammatory reaction and the choroidal involvement could be detected by means of IR imaging. If this assumption is true, choroidal infiltrates may represent areas of immunocomplex deposits which on ICG early frames block choriocapillaris fluorescence. This condition may have a certain similarity with the clinical picture described by Fardeau and colleagues,3 who reported a choroidal involvement observed by ICG in a chorioretinal disease such as birdshot chorioretinopathy. These authors observed that hypofluorescent choroidal dots on ICG remained hypofluorescent during the chronicity of the disease, speculating that some choroidal lesion can be either chronic granulomas or stromal scars.8

In our patient, IR imaging revealed several round, choroidal spots infiltrating the posterior pole midperiphery, not so evident with the above-mentioned exams, that were still evident at 1-, 2- and 3-year follow-up. OCT findings confirmed the persistence of the lesions throughout this period. These findings suggest that a chronic inflammatory condition of the choroid may be an important component in the pathogenesis of LIN, which remains to be further elucidated.

In conclusion, the choroidal involvement in LIN is to be considered as an important manifestation of the disease. IR imaging is an important and noninvasive tool able to
distinguish and follow choroidal infiltrates. Because of these novel identifications, LIN has to be considered as a neurochorio-retinitis and should be evaluated as part of differential diagnosis considering other causes of choroiditis.
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