Contrast-induced nephropathy in interventional cardiology

Doron Sudarsky
Eugenia Nikolsky
Cardiology Department, Rambam Health Care Campus and Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

Abstract: Development of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN), ie, a rise in serum creatinine by either ≥0.5 mg/dL or by ≥25% from baseline within the first 2–3 days after contrast administration, is strongly associated with both increased inhospital and late morbidity and mortality after invasive cardiac procedures. The prevention of CIN is critical if long-term outcomes are to be optimized after percutaneous coronary intervention. The prevalence of CIN in patients receiving contrast varies markedly (from <1% to 50%), depending on the presence of well characterized risk factors, the most important of which are baseline chronic renal insufficiency and diabetes mellitus. Other risk factors include advanced age, anemia, left ventricular dysfunction, dehydration, hypotension, renal transplant, low serum albumin, concomitant use of nephrotoxins, and the volume of contrast agent. The pathophysiology of CIN is likely to be multifactorial, including direct cytotoxicity, apoptosis, disturbances in intrarenal hemodynamics, and immune mechanisms. Few strategies have been shown to be effective to prevent CIN beyond hydration, the goal of which is to establish brisk diuresis prior to contrast administration, and to avoid hypotension. New strategies of controlled hydration and diuresis are promising. Studies are mixed on whether prophylactic oral N-acetylcysteine reduces the incidence of CIN, although its use is generally recommended, given its low cost and favorable side effect profile. Agents which have been shown to be ineffective or harmful, or for which data supporting routine use do not exist, include fenoldopam, theophylline, dopamine, calcium channel blockers, prostaglandin E1, atrial natriuretic peptide, statins, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.

Keywords: contrast-induced nephropathy, contrast media, acute kidney injury, percutaneous coronary intervention

Introduction

Iodinated contrast agents are being widely utilized for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. More than 1.2 million cardiac catheterizations are conducted in the US annually. The continuing growth of coronary interventions coupled with an aging population and increasing procedural complexity has resulted in an increased incidence of renal impairment caused by exposure to contrast agents, a disorder known as contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN).

Definition and incidence

Definition of CIN is based on three essential components, ie, deterioration of renal function compared with baseline status, a temporal relationship between decline in renal function and exposure to a contrast agent, and ruling out alternative explanations.
for renal impairment. For many years, due to ease and low cost, assessment of serum creatinine has been a mainstay to characterize renal function, and until recently, the majority of clinical studies used change in serum creatinine to define CIN. Although the definition of CIN varies across the trials, the most commonly used one includes an absolute increase in serum creatinine of ≥0.5 mg/dL (44.2 µmol/L) or a ≥25% relative increase in serum creatinine from the baseline value at 48–72 hours after exposure to contrast agent in the absence of alternative causes for acute kidney injury.4,5

The lack of a uniform definition of CIN led to diversity in the incidence of CIN reported in the peer-reviewed literature. According to the information on contrast media use available from the US Food and Drug Administration, the incidence of renal failure after contrast administration, based on serum creatinine criteria, ranged from 0.6% to 2.3%.6 However, rates of CIN may be as high as 50%, depending on the presence of well characterized risk factors, the most important of which are baseline chronic renal insufficiency and diabetes mellitus.3,4,7–10

However, serum creatinine is not an ideal marker of kidney function, for several reasons. First, serum creatinine undergoes tubular secretion into the urinary space. Second, levels of serum creatinine can vary widely depending on a large number of nonrenal factors including but not limited to age, gender, muscle mass, and hydration status. Third, serum creatinine is a relatively late marker of kidney injury, and up to 50% of kidney function may be lost before serum creatinine rises. Furthermore, serum creatinine does not accurately depict kidney function until steady state has been achieved (up to 2–3 days after injury) and is altered by renal replacement therapies. And last, but not least, depending on the method of measurement, several substances and drugs (eg, ketones, plasma proteins, and cephalosporins) are known to interfere with laboratory measurements of serum creatinine.11–15

Given the aforementioned drawbacks of serum creatinine as a measure of renal function injury, there is a call for more sensitive definitions of CIN to be included as a primary outcome in randomized clinical trials of CIN prevention. The role of several novel biomarkers is currently being investigated in patients experiencing acute kidney injury, including CIN.

Cystatin C, a protein member of the cysteine proteinase inhibitor family, is produced at a relatively constant rate by nucleated cells, is freely filtered at the glomerulus, and is then reabsorbed and metabolized by the proximal tubule.16

In several settings, including contrast media exposure, cystatin C has been suggested as a sensitive marker of acute kidney injury.17 Compared with serum creatinine, the serum concentration of cystatin C is less dependent on age, gender, muscle mass, and nutrition, and therefore more reliably predicts deterioration of renal function.18–20 Still, serum cystatin C levels do appear to be altered by several factors other than renal function, including thyroid dysfunction and glucocorticoid therapy.21–23

In a study by Briguori et al in 410 patients with preexisting renal dysfunction (estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m²) undergoing coronary and/or peripheral procedures using contrast media, a serum cystatin C increase of ≥10% from baseline at 24 hours postprocedure was the best increment cutoff value for early identification of patients at increased risk of CIN.24 In the same study, a serum cystatin C increase of ≥10% was an independent predictor of all-cause mortality or requirement for permanent dialysis at one year.24 Likewise, in the post hoc analysis of the CARE (Cardiac Angiography in Renally Impaired Patients) study, development of CIN after contrast exposure, defined by changes in serum cystatin C of 15%, 20%, and 25% compared with baseline, was associated with an increased incidence of adverse events at one year.25

Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), a member of the lipocalin family, has been recognized to accumulate in the human kidney cortical tubules, blood, and urine after nephrotoxic and ischemic injuries, prompting its evaluation as a biomarker of acute renal injury.26,27 In a small series of 25 nondiabetic patients, with normal baseline serum creatinine and undergoing coronary angiography, a significant rise in both serum and urine NGAL and serum cystatin C was detected several hours after the procedure, with a return to baseline values within 48 hours, while levels of serum creatinine and creatinine clearance remained unchanged after the procedure.28 Another study of NGAL as a marker of renal function after elective cardiac catheterization and angiography in 91 children with congenital heart disease showed that NGAL allows early (within two hours after contrast exposure) diagnosis of renal injury.29 However, given that the level of NGAL may be increased in several inflammatory conditions, the place of NGAL as a marker of kidney injury needs to be determined further in future trials.30

Other markers of kidney injury have potential use in diagnosis of CIN. Kidney injury molecule is a type 1 transmembrane adhesion protein, the expression of which is upregulated in the proximal tubule cells in response to ischemia. A soluble form can be detected in the urine of
both animal models and in patients suffering from acute kidney injury due to CIN. In patients undergoing coronary angiography, elevated levels of urinary alpha glutathione S-transferase were detected after exposure to contrast media, in the absence of changes in serum creatinine.

Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of CIN is still uncertain and is likely to be multifactorial. Contrast-induced renal injury is thought to depend on the formation of free radicals, generated within the acidic environment of the renal medulla. The hyperosmolar stress that accompanies the use of some contrast agents was shown to trigger prompt cellular generation of reactive oxygen species resulting in direct cytotoxicity and apoptosis of renal tubular and glomerular cells.

The influence of contrast media on renal hemodynamics may also play a major role in the pathogenesis of CIN. The outer medulla is an area at risk for acute kidney injury given the vulnerability of this region to a decrease in oxygen tension. Several animal studies have documented contrast media-related constriction of the vasa recta supplying the outer medulla, and a decrease in renal medullary blood flow, glomerular filtration rate, red blood cell velocity, and oxygen tension, along with an increase in red blood cell aggregation. In another animal study, the intra-arterial administration of contrast media caused a biphasic response, with a short period of renal hyperperfusion followed by vasoconstriction with subsequent hypoperfusion and, finally, restoration of normal flow within several minutes. The viscosity of contrast media has been shown to play a differential role in renal hemodynamics.

In patients with chronic kidney disease (serum creatinine $\geq 1.5$ mg/dL), administration of contrast medium during coronary intervention resulted in a gradual decline in renal blood flow velocity compared with the baseline level. In another small study of 14 patients with chronic renal insufficiency receiving an intravenous bolus of either high osmolar contrast diatrizoate or low osmolar contrast iopamidol, there was an immediate and progressive decline in both renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate.

The level of endothelin, a strong endogenous vasoconstrictor, was found to be increased after exposure to contrast media, and is believed to be involved in the pathogenesis of CIN. Immunological mechanisms have been implicated as well.

Atheroembolic renal disease, also known as atheroembolism, may also contribute to deterioration of renal function after angiographic procedures, and should be considered in the differential diagnosis of acute renal failure after contrast exposure.

Risk factors

Several major risk factors for the development of CIN have been identified, including baseline chronic renal insufficiency, diabetes mellitus, advanced age, anemia, congestive heart failure, impaired left ventricular systolic function, higher volume of contrast media, procedures performed in the setting of hemodynamic instability, and the use of drugs with nephrotoxic properties close to contrast media exposure (Table 1).

Chronic renal insufficiency

Preprocedural renal function impairment is the strongest predictor for CIN. The higher the baseline serum creatinine value, the greater the risk of CIN. Patients with preprocedural substantial renal function impairment have a more than tenfold increased risk of developing CIN than patients with normal or near normal preprocedural renal function.

Diabetes mellitus

In diabetics with preserved renal function and no other risk factors, the rates of CIN are usually moderately increased or even comparable with those of the healthy population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Factors associated with development of contrast-induced nephropathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonmodifiable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient-related factors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preexisting chronic kidney disease with/without chronic renal insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low cardiac output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure-related factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI performed in ACS setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergent intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cyclosporine, aminoglycosides, cisplatinum.*

Abbreviations: PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; ACS, acute coronary syndrome.
However, diabetic patients with preprocedural renal impairment have a substantially increased risk of CIN and need for renal replacement therapy.7,10,67–69

**Older age**

Older age is strongly associated with CIN. Patients older than 75 years have an almost twofold increase in risk of CIN compared with younger patients.56 Age-related decrease in glomerular filtration rate, tubular secretion, and concentrating ability, and a higher prevalence of chronic kidney disease, anemia, and congestive heart failure, contribute to the increased incidence of CIN in the elderly.

**Anemia and blood loss**

Anemia is an independent predictor of CIN.56 Lower baseline hematocrit increases the risk of CIN for any given estimated glomerular filtration rate level, and patients combining both low baseline hematocrit and low estimated glomerular filtration rate are at the highest risk of developing CIN (Figure 1). The rates of CIN increase also with an incremental periprocedural drop in hematocrit.59

**Volume of contrast agent**

Deterioration of renal function after contrast exposure correlates closely with the volume of contrast media, the only modifiable risk factor for CIN. Still, a broad range of contrast volume (from <100 mL to >800 mL) may increase the risk of CIN, depending mainly on patient characteristics, clinical scenarios, and CIN definition.3,4,7,8,53,56–58,61,67,69–74

In one trial, the cutoff dose of contrast below which there were no cases of acute renal failure requiring dialysis after coronary intervention was 100 mL.3 However, in patients with both chronic renal insufficiency and diabetes mellitus, even less than 100 mL of contrast agent may cause CIN.59

As shown in several series, the ratio of contrast volume to baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate may be a stronger predictor of CIN than contrast volume alone.75–78,79

**Properties of contrast media**

Iodine-containing agents are classified by their iodine content (quantity of iodine per mL of solution), osmolarity (hyperosmolar versus low osmolar versus iso-osmolar), level of ionization (ionic versus nonionic), viscosity, and degree of polymerization (monomeric versus dimeric, see Table 2). The properties of contrast media contribute to the incidence of CIN. Several randomized clinical trials have assessed the impact of contrast media osmolarity on the incidence of CIN. In patients with preexisting renal impairment, ionic high osmolar contrast media caused a higher degree of renal injury compared with nonionic low osmolar contrast media.67,80–82 However, there has been ongoing debate as to whether iso-osmolar contrast media provides benefit over
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**Figure 1** Association between baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), baseline hematocrit and risk for development of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN).
low osmolar contrast media in terms of renal protection in patients undergoing contrast media exposure. The data from several comparative trials have yielded inconsistent results. In the NEPHRIC (Nephrotoxicity in High-Risk Patients Study of Iso-Osmolar and Low-Osmolar Non-Ionic Contrast Media) study performed in 129 patients with diabetes and baseline renal insufficiency undergoing cardiac and aortofemoral angiography, the nonionic isotonic dimer, ioxaglate (osmolality 600 mOsm/kg H2O), was associated with lower rates of CIN than the nonionic low osmolar monomer, iohexol-350 (osmolality 780 mOsm/kg H2O). Similarly, in 300 patients with serum creatinine ≤60 mL/min in the RECOVER (Renal Toxicity Evaluation and Comparison between Visipaque and Hexabrix in Patients with Renal Insufficiency Undergoing Coronary Angiography) study, iodixanol was significantly less nephrotoxic than the ionic dimer low osmolar contrast ioxaglate (osmolality 600 mOsm/kg H2O). However, this was not confirmed in a larger prospective, randomized trial comparing low osmolar contrast iodixanol with low osmolar contrast iopamidol in 526 patients with diabetes mellitus and impaired baseline kidney function undergoing coronary angiographic procedures. Both the median peak in serum creatinine and the rates of CIN did not differ between the two study groups. Likewise, in the prospective randomized CARE trial, the rates of CIN, defined by multiple endpoints, were not different after intraarterial administration of low osmolar contrast iopamidol (osmolality 796 mOsm/kg H2O) or iodixanol in patients with moderate to severe chronic kidney disease. Furthermore, in the randomized ICON (Ionic versus Non-ionic Contrast to Obviate Worsening Nephropathy after Angioplasty in Chronic Renal Failure Patients) study performed in high-risk patients undergoing coronary angiographic procedures, use of nonionic low osmolar contrast iodixanol did not reduce renal deterioration in patients with renal impairment compared with ionic low osmolar contrast ioxaglate.

The possible role for viscosity of contrast media in the pathogenesis of CIN was assessed in an animal model in which effects on renal hemodynamics of iopromide were compared with iodixanol, a contrast agent with relatively high viscosity and low osmolality. While both types of contrast media transiently increased renal blood flow, administration of contrast agent with higher viscosity was associated with decreased renal medullary blood flux, erythrocyte concentration, and pO2 content.

## Readministration of contrast media

Certain clinical scenarios require repeat exposure to contrast media within a short time, increasing the risk of CIN. While there are no studies on the ideal timing for repeat contrast exposure, the time frame of 3 weeks seems reasonable given that, in the majority of CIN cases, renal function restores within this period.

## Combination of several risk factors

Patients undergoing contrast exposure have marked variation in CIN risk due to a combination of two or more risk factors. The risk of development of CIN following percutaneous coronary intervention has been shown to rise remarkably with the number of risk factors present. A simple risk score based on eight baseline measures identifies patients at increased risk for CIN/dialysis and subsequent one-year mortality, for whom rigorous preventive strategies should be implemented (Table 3).

## Serum creatinine trajectory after contrast exposure

Typically contrast media causes renal dysfunction within the first 12–24 hours, and serum creatinine reaches a peak at three days after contrast exposure. Patients with a <0.5 mg/dL rise in serum creatinine within the first 24 hours of contrast exposure are unlikely to develop CIN.

### Table 2 Properties of contrast media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic name</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Polymerization</th>
<th>Osmolarity category</th>
<th>Osmolality (mOsm/kg H2O)</th>
<th>Ionicity</th>
<th>Iodine content (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Viscosity (cP@37°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diatrizoate</td>
<td>Renografin®-76</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>High osmolar</td>
<td>1,940</td>
<td>Ionic</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioxaglate</td>
<td>Hexabrix®</td>
<td>Dimeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Ionic</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iohexol</td>
<td>Omnipaque®</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iopamidol</td>
<td>Isovue®</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioversol</td>
<td>Opitray®</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iopromide</td>
<td>Ultravist®</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iobitridol</td>
<td>Xenex®</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iomeprol</td>
<td>Iomeron®</td>
<td>Monomeric</td>
<td>Low osmolar</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodixanol</td>
<td>Visipaque®</td>
<td>Dimeric</td>
<td>Iso-osmolar</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>Nonionic</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In most cases, the decline in renal function is mild and transient, with recovery of renal function starting within 3–5 days. After 1–3 weeks, serum creatinine usually returns to the baseline value or resumes a new baseline level. However, some patients have a persistent decline in renal function and subsequently require renal replacement therapy. As mentioned previously, this is most likely to occur in patients with preexisting renal dysfunction and/or multiple CIN risk factors.

### Table 3 Risk prediction score for the development of contrast-induced nephropathy and renal failure requiring dialysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Integer score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension*</td>
<td>5 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of intra-aortic balloon pump</td>
<td>5 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure*</td>
<td>5 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 75 years</td>
<td>4 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of contrast media</td>
<td>1 point for each 100 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or eGFR&lt; 60 mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>2 points for 40–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>4 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or eGFR&lt; 60 mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>6 points for &lt;20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk score</th>
<th>Risk of CIN</th>
<th>Risk of dialysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 points</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10 points</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–16 points</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;16 points</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Hypotension: systolic blood pressure <80 mmHg for at least one hour requiring inotropic support with medications or intra-aortic balloon pump within 24 hours periprocedurally; congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association functional class III/IV and/or history of pulmonary edema); anemia (baseline hematocrit value <39% for men and <36% for women); eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 186.3 × (Scr/CIN)^(1.154 × (age)^(0.203) × (0.742 if female) × (1.210 if African-American)).

Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CIN, contrast-induced nephropathy; SCR, serum creatinine.

### Implications of contrast-induced nephropathy

The development of CIN after diagnostic coronary angiography and/or percutaneous interventions is associated with prolonged hospitalization, a marked increase in morbidity, inhospital mortality, and costs. Development of CIN also correlates with late morbidity and mortality.

Contrast-induced nephropathy is the third most common cause of acute renal failure in hospitalized patients. Nearly all cases of CIN reflect mild transient impairment of renal function, with dialysis needed in a small proportion of patients. However, in selected high-risk subgroups of patients, such as those with preexisting renal failure or diabetes, up to 7% require temporary dialysis or progress to end-stage renal disease. Once temporary dialysis is initiated, 13%–50% of patients will require permanent renal replacement therapy. Inhospital mortality is extremely high in patients requiring dialysis after percutaneous intervention (37% in a study by McCullough et al and 39% in the study by Freeman et al).

### Prevention

Given the negative prognostic impact of CIN, every attempt should be made to reduce its occurrence. The best approach to prevent CIN is to identify at-risk patients, provide adequate periprocedural hydration, and minimize the amount of contrast administered. Multiple preventive measures have been evaluated, with very few of them being efficient in reducing CIN rates (Table 4).

### Hydration

Adequate periprocedural hydration is a key component of preservation of renal function in patients undergoing contrast media exposure. The goal of hydration is maintenance of sufficient intravascular volume to increase renal perfusion, establishment of adequate diuresis prior to contrast media administration, and avoidance of hypotension.

The positive effect of adequate hydration in reducing rates of CIN was first established in a randomized study by Solomon et al. Among 78 patients with chronic renal

### Table 4 Strategies evaluated for contrast-induced nephropathy risk reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preventive strategies</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacologic strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydration</td>
<td>Beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>Inconsistent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>May be harmful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>May be harmful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-acetylcysteine</td>
<td>Inconsistent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>No benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenoldopam</td>
<td>No benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theophylline/aminophylline</td>
<td>Inconsistent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>Inconsistent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker</td>
<td>Inconsistent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial natriuretic peptide</td>
<td>No benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin E1</td>
<td>May be beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>May be beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpharmacologic strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Inconsistent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemofiltration</td>
<td>May be beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benephit™ infusion system</td>
<td>May be beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RenalGuard® system</td>
<td>May be beneficial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme.
insufficiency undergoing angiography, hydration with 0.45% saline (1 mL/kg/hour for 12 hours preprocedure and postprocedure, n = 28 patients) provided better protection against renal function deterioration than hydration with 0.45% saline plus mannitol (n = 25 patients) or furosemide (n = 25 patients, P = 0.02 for saline versus saline plus furosemide group).92 Several subsequent studies examined the optimal mode, timing, duration, and intensity of hydration.93-96

Mode of hydration
There is no consensus on the best mode of hydration to prevent CIN. In a small study of 36 patients and a larger study of 312 patients with mild-to-moderate renal failure, oral and intravenous fluid administration had similar protective effects against CIN.95,97 On the other hand, in the randomized study by Trivedi et al of 53 patients, CIN developed almost 10-fold more frequently in patients who received oral versus intravenous hydration (34.6% versus 3.7%, respectively, P = 0.03).98

Finally, in a retrospective analysis by Clavijo et al, rapid intra-arterial administration of 1000 mL of 5% dextrose immediately before catheterization was associated with a lower rate of CIN compared with standard intravenous hydration (1.4% versus 5.7%, respectively, P = 0.03).98

**Isotonic saline versus half-isotonic saline**
In a study by Mueller et al, intravenous administration of isotonic saline was found to be superior, compared with half-isotonic saline, in reducing the rates of CIN after percutaneous coronary intervention (0.7% versus 2%, respectively, P = 0.04). In a subgroup analysis, isotonic hydration was especially beneficial in women (0.6% versus 5.1%), patients with diabetes mellitus (0% versus 5.5%) and patients receiving high (≥250 mL) volumes of contrast.96

**Continuous versus bolus hydration**
In the randomized OTHER CAN (Optimal Timing of Hydration to Erase Contrast-Associated Nephropathy) study performed in 63 patients with moderate renal insufficiency undergoing elective cardiac catheterization, CIN rates tended to be lower (P = 0.14) in the group receiving overnight intravenous hydration compared with the group receiving bolus hydration.99 In another small study of 39 patients with pre-procedural normal renal function undergoing an angiographic procedure randomized to receive either 300 mL of normal saline for the duration of contrast exposure or at least 2000 mL normal saline intravenously 12 hours before and after contrast media administration, CIN occurred significantly more frequently in patients who received bolus hydration.100

**Regimens in specific patient populations**
There is no uniform standard to guide hydration in patients undergoing contrast exposure, and the practice varies across the institutions. However, it is important to bear in mind that certain clinical scenarios, namely the presence of reduced left ventricular function and chronic renal insufficiency, require cautious fluid administration. One of the commonly recommended hydration regimens is 1 cc/kg/hour of normal saline for 12 hours before and after angiography for patients with normal ejection fraction; for patients with moderately or severely reduced ejection fraction, a recommended hydration practice includes volume replacement matching the urine output to maintain euvolemic state for 12 hours preprocedure and postprocedure. According to European guidelines for myocardial revascularization, all patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing diagnostic catheterization should receive preventive intravenous hydration with isotonic saline, to be started at least 12 hours before angiography and continued for at least 24 hours afterwards, in order to reduce the risk of CIN.101 The amount of contrast media delivered in these patients should not exceed 4 mL/kg.101

**Use of sodium bicarbonate**
Alkalinizing of the urine by sodium bicarbonate is thought to reduce contrast-induced renal injury by reducing the amount of pH-dependent free radicals. Several studies have been conducted to assess the efficacy of sodium bicarbonate in reduction of CIN rates, and provided conflicting results. In three prospective, randomized studies, preventive hydration with sodium bicarbonate provided better protection against CIN than an alternative hydration regimen.102-104 However, in the largest randomized trial, by Brar et al, including 353 patients with baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate ≤60 mL/min/1.73 m², and at least one risk factor for CIN (diabetes, hypertension, history of chronic heart failure, or age older than 75 years), hydration with sodium bicarbonate provided no benefit.105 Moreover, in a large retrospective multivariate analysis from the Mayo Clinic, treatment with sodium bicarbonate was associated with an increased CIN risk (odds ratio 3.10, P < 0.001).106

**Forced diuresis**
Intensive hydration combined with a diuretic (forced diuresis) is aimed at creating and maintaining a high urine output, allowing rapid elimination of contrast agent and reducing its toxic effects.107 Several studies assessing the efficacy of forced diuresis to prevent CIN have yielded conflicting results. In a small, previously mentioned, randomized study
by Solomon et al, hydration with half-isotonic saline was superior to hydration with half-isotonic saline plus mannitol or hydration with half-isotonic saline plus furosemide.92 In the randomized PRINCE (Prevention of Radiocontrast Induced Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation) study in 98 patients, forced diuresis using intravenous crystalloid, furosemide, mannitol, and low-dose dopamine was compared with intravenous crystalloid hydration alone.108 Intra-volume fluid replacement was performed, with the volume matching the urine output. According to the study results, despite a higher urine flow rate over the 24 hours after contrast exposure in the group treated with forced diuresis compared with the group that received routine hydration, there was only a modest benefit in reduction of CIN in favor of forced diuresis. Yet, in a subgroup of patients with urine flow rates >150 mL/hour postprocedure from the forced diuresis group, the CIN incidence was more than two times lower compared with patients who received routine hydration (21.6% versus 45.9%, P = 0.03). Noteworthy is that matching fluid intake and urine output in the PRINCE study started only after contrast agent exposure. Thus, the patients might have had a depleted intravascular volume for the duration of time prior to the start of matched fluid replacement.108

In a small trial by Weinstein et al in 18 patients, the addition of furosemide to intravenous hydration prior to systemic exposure to contrast media caused significant deterioration of renal function, while no change occurred in the group receiving hydration alone.109 Finally, in a study by Dussol et al in 312 patients with chronic renal insufficiency (mean estimated glomerular filtration rate 37 mL/min/1.73 m²) exposed to contrast media, the addition of furosemide to intravenous hydration did not confer any additional benefit.97

The concept of forced diuresis to prevent CIN in high-risk patients with chronic renal insufficiency has been further developed in studies assessing a novel automatic RenalGuard® system (PLC Systems Inc, Franklin, MA) to provide controlled hydration. The system is designed to measure urine output and to replace it with an equal volume of intravenous saline solution. Such matched fluid replacement aims at maintaining an individual intravascular fluid volume and minimizing the risk of either overhydration or underhydration. The randomized MYTHOS (Induced Diuresis With Matched Hydration Therapy Compared to Standard Overnight Hydration in the Prevention of Contrast Induced Nephropathy) trial evaluated the effectiveness of this controlled hydration system in reduction of CIN rates in 135 patients with baseline renal insufficiency (estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m²) undergoing elective or urgent percutaneous coronary intervention, assigned to forced diuresis using automated matched hydration therapy provided by the system or standard overnight hydration (1 mL/kg/hour preprocedure and postprocedure).110 The controlled hydration protocol provided by the system included matched fluid replacement started approximately 90 minutes before, and was maintained during the procedure and up to four hours following percutaneous coronary intervention, and an initial bolus of 250 mL of normal saline over 30 minutes followed by intravenous bolus of furosemide 0.5 mg/kg when >300 mL/hour urine output was obtained. The use of the system was associated with a trend towards lower rates of CIN (6% versus 17%, P = 0.06) and a significantly lower incidence of inhospital major adverse cardiac events (6% versus 24% respectively, P = 0.008).110

The same hydration protocol using the controlled hydration system as that used in the MYTHOS study is currently being further assessed in the randomized open-label REMEDIAL II (Renal Insufficiency Following Contrast Media Administration II Trial) trial in approximately 270 patients with advanced renal insufficiency (baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate ≤30 mL/min/1.73 m² or high baseline [≥11] CIN risk score56) scheduled for coronary or peripheral angiography with or without angioplasty.111 The control group includes patients who receive intravenous hydration with sodium bicarbonate along with oral administration of N-acetylcysteine.

Pharmacologic agents for prevention of CIN
N-acetylcysteine

There have been multiple studies and meta-analyses assessing the ability of the thiol-containing antioxidant, N-acetylcysteine, to prevent CIN. In the first randomized placebo-controlled study, by Tepel et al in 83 patients exposed to contrast media, prophylactic oral administration of N-acetylcysteine along with hydration was superior to hydration alone in preventing CIN in patients with elevated baseline serum creatinine levels, with rates of 2% in the N-acetylcysteine group compared with 21% in controls.112 The subsequent APART (Acetylcysteine to Prevent Angiography-related Renal Tissue injury) trial, including 54 patients and using a similar design, confirmed that CIN occurred in 8% of patients in the oral N-acetylcysteine group versus 45% in the placebo group.113 However, several other studies did not support the efficacy of N-acetylcysteine in preventing CIN. In a randomized study by Briguori et al in 183 patients, oral N-acetylcysteine plus hydration failed to
show a significant difference in CIN rates compared with hydration alone.114 In another randomized study by Webb et al in 487 patients with impaired renal function, intravenous N-acetylcysteine was ineffective in preventing CIN.115 Likewise, in the prospective, single-blinded, randomized LIPSIA-N-ACC (Leipzig Immediate Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Acute Myocardial Infarction N-ACC) trial in 251 patients undergoing primary angioplasty for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, high-dose intravenous N-acetylcysteine (1200 mg twice daily for 48 hours) did not reduce rates of CIN compared with placebo (14% versus 20%, respectively, P = 0.28).116 Finally, the large, multicenter randomized, placebo-controlled ACT (Acetylcysteine for Contrast Induced Nephropathy) trial, reported at the 2010 American Heart Association scientific sessions, failed to demonstrate a protective role for N-acetylcysteine in CIN reduction.117 This study included 2308 patients with at least one CIN risk factor (age >70 years, chronic renal insufficiency, diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, left ventricular ejection fraction ≤45%, or shock) from 46 hospitals across Brazil. Patients were randomized to receive either oral N-acetylcysteine 1200 mg twice daily, with two doses given before the procedure and two doses given after the procedure, or placebo. The primary endpoint, defined as ≥25% elevation of serum creatinine above baseline 48–96 hours after angiography, occurred in 12.7% of patients in both groups (P = 0.97). Rates of other endpoints, including serum creatinine elevation >0.5 mg/dL (N-acetylcysteine 3.9% versus placebo 3.8%, P = 0.85) and doubling in serum creatinine compared with baseline value (1.1% versus 1.5%, respectively, P = 0.41) were also similar between the groups.

An important observation on the apparent lack of efficacy of N-acetylcysteine in prevention of CIN has been provided in the prospective study by Hoffmann et al in 50 healthy volunteers with normal renal function.118 In this study, the volunteers received N-acetylcysteine (four oral doses of 600 mg every 12 hours) in the absence of administration of contrast media. According to the results, four hours after the last dose of N-acetylcysteine, there was a small but significant decrease in mean serum creatinine and urea concentrations and a significant increase in estimated glomerular filtration rate. However, levels of cystatin C remained unchanged after N-acetylcysteine administration. Given that cystatin C is a more reliable marker of deterioration of renal function, the authors concluded that creatinine metabolism is likely to be affected by N-acetylcysteine, questioning the role of N-acetylcysteine in prevention of CIN.118

**Dopamine**

Decreased renal blood flow due to vasoconstriction has been suggested as a contributory factor to the development of CIN. Given that low-dose dopamine has a dilatory effect on the renal vasculature, it may potentially have a renoprotective effect. However, dopamine failed to show a protective effect on renal function in patients undergoing contrast media exposure, and was even associated with a deleterious effect on the severity of renal failure and its duration.119,120

**Fenoldopam**

Fenoldopam is a selective, dopamine-1 receptor agonist that augments renal plasma flow. In a pilot trial, patients suffering from chronic renal failure (baseline serum creatinine 2.5–5.0 mg/dL) and undergoing angiography, were randomized to a combination of fenoldopam and hydration or hydration alone. The administration of fenoldopam resulted in an increase in renal plasma flow, a decrease in peak serum creatinine level 72 hours after exposure to contrast, and a trend towards a decreased incidence of CIN (21% and 41%, respectively, P = 0.14).121 However, in the multicenter, randomized CONTRAST (fenoldopam mesylate for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy) trial of 315 patients undergoing invasive cardiac procedures with an estimated creatinine clearance <60 mL/min treatment with fenoldopam (0.05 µg/kg/min, titrated up to 0.10 µg/kg/min) starting one hour before catheterization and continuing for 12 hours after, versus matching placebo, did not reduce the rate of CIN (33.6% versus 30.1%).122 No subgroup was identified that demonstrated even a trend toward benefit with fenoldopam.

**Theophylline and aminophylline**

Adenosine, a renal vasoconstrictor, has been proposed to interfere with renal function and development of CIN by attenuation of renal blood flow and decrease in glomerular filtration perfusion pressure. Theophylline and aminophylline are nonspecific adenosine (A1) receptor antagonists that may lessen the decrease in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate induced by exposure to contrast medium.123 Several randomized studies have assessed the possible protective role of theophylline on preservation of renal function in patients undergoing contrast exposure. In a randomized study by Huber et al, prophylactic intravenous administration of theophylline 200 mg reduced the incidence of CIN in 100 patients at risk, as compared with placebo (4% versus 16%, P = 0.046).124 However, in other randomized studies, administration of theophylline did not
provide any benefit in reduction of CIN rates compared with placebo.\textsuperscript{120,125,126} The results of two published meta-analyses seem to be inconclusive, due to significant heterogeneity in results across individual studies.\textsuperscript{127,128}

**Calcium channel blockers**

The proposed mechanisms by which calcium antagonists may ameliorate renal damage following exposure to contrast media include favorable effects on renal hemodynamics (reversal of renal vasoconstriction), block of intracellular calcium overload induced by various types of ischemic or toxic stimuli, reduction in renal hypertrophy, modulation of mesangial traffic of macromolecules, and reduction of free radical formation.\textsuperscript{129} Several clinical studies investigated the effect of calcium channel blockers on rates of CIN and provided inconsistent results. In a small, randomized, placebo-controlled study of 35 patients, estimated glomerular filtration rate was preserved in patients treated with nitrendipine but decreased in patients that received placebo.\textsuperscript{130} By contrast, in three other studies, the change in serum creatinine level did not differ significantly with calcium antagonists.\textsuperscript{131–133}

**ACI inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers**

Given that the ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers attenuate afferent arteriolar vasoconstriction,\textsuperscript{134,135} it has been hypothesized that their administration may reduce medullary ischemia and rates of CIN in patients undergoing contrast exposure. The role of the ACE inhibitor, captopril, in the prevention of CIN was prospectively investigated in a small randomized (albeit not placebo-controlled) study of 71 patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing coronary angiography.\textsuperscript{136} Captopril was given at a dose of 25 mg three times daily for three days starting one hour prior to angiography. The glomerular filtration rate increased in the captopril group and decreased in the control group in this study. The increase in creatinine and blood urea nitrogen in the captopril group was significantly less prominent than in the control group. This was accompanied by 79\% lower rates of CIN in the captopril-treated group compared with the control group.\textsuperscript{136} However, these results were not supported by a retrospective study in 230 patients 65 years of age or older, with baseline renal insufficiency and undergoing coronary angiography, in which the use of an ACE inhibitor was associated with an almost three-fold increase in rates of CIN.\textsuperscript{137} Given this controversy, it cannot currently be concluded that ACE inhibitors are effective in the prevention of CIN in patients at risk. Moreover, some investigators believe that ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker therapy should be discontinued prior to contrast exposure. Yet, in a randomized prospective study of 220 patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (glomerular filtration rate 15–60 mL/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}) receiving chronic treatment with an ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker, periprocedural (24 hours prior to and three days after coronary angiography) discontinuation of ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker therapy did not confer any protective effect on kidney function or the incidence of CIN.\textsuperscript{138}

Hence, there is not enough evidence as yet to support either the administration or withdrawal of ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers prior to contrast exposure in patients at risk of CIN.

**Atrial natriuretic peptide**

Atrial natriuretic peptide in three different doses failed to prevent CIN in the randomized, placebo-controlled study by Kurnik et al.\textsuperscript{139}

**Prostaglandin E1**

Based on the finding that patients with CIN have decreased levels of prostaglandins, causing a shift in physiologic vasoconstriction/vasodilatation balance, it was hypothesized that prophylactic administration of prostaglandin E1 might be beneficial in reducing rates of CIN.\textsuperscript{140} A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study investigated the effect of intravenous administration of prostaglandin E1 at three different doses. All the groups treated with prostaglandin E1, independently of the given dose, experienced significantly less increase in serum creatinine compared with the placebo group after exposure to contrast media. The most pronounced effect was observed in patients who received the intermediate dose of the drug (20 ng/kg/minute).\textsuperscript{140}

**Statins**

In two retrospective series of patients undergoing cardiac catheterization, pretreatment with statins was associated with lower rates of CIN.\textsuperscript{141,142} However, this was not confirmed in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, two-center trial of 247 consecutive patients with chronic renal insufficiency (calculated creatinine clearance ≤60 mL/min and/or serum creatinine ≥1.1 mg/dL) undergoing coronary angiography. Patients randomized to 160 mg simvastatin (40 mg orally every 12 hours starting the evening before and ending the morning after the procedure) versus placebo had a similar mean peak increase in serum creatinine at 48 hours after coronary angiography, rates of CIN, and clinical outcomes at one and 6 months.\textsuperscript{143}
Renal replacement therapy in prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy

Hemodialysis
Iodinated contrast agents are readily dialyzable. The plasma clearance of most modern contrast media is 50–70 mL/min, with more than 80% removed from the plasma within 4–5 hours of hemodialysis. Several studies have examined the effect of hemodialysis in preventing renal function deterioration after exposure to contrast media, in patients with preexisting chronic kidney disease, providing inconsistent results. In two studies, prophylactic hemodialysis, immediately after exposure to contrast media, failed to diminish the rates of CIN or complications. However, in another study, patients were randomized to intravenous hydration alone or hydration plus prophylactic hemodialysis following the procedure. Prophylactic hemodialysis was associated with a less prominent decrease in creatinine clearance within 72 hours of contrast exposure and lower rates of need for subsequent temporary renal replacement therapy or need for long-term dialysis after discharge.

Hemofiltration
In a prospective, single-center study of 114 patients with preexisting renal failure (serum creatinine >2 mg/dL) undergoing coronary interventions, prophylactic hemofiltration (fluid replacement rate 1000 mL/hour without weight loss), before and after percutaneous coronary intervention, was compared with hydration alone. Patients assigned to hemofiltration had significantly lower rates of CIN (5% versus 50%, \( P < 0.001 \)), temporary renal replacement therapy (3% versus 25%, \( P < 0.001 \)), inhospital mortality (2% versus 14%, \( P = 0.02 \)), and one-year mortality (10% versus 30, \( P = 0.01 \)). Another randomized study from the same institution further showed that meticulous hydration combined with hemofiltration both before and after exposure to contrast media versus hemofiltration only after exposure to contrast versus hydration alone was associated with a lower incidence of CIN (3% versus 26% versus 40%, respectively, \( P = 0.03 \)), requirement for hemodialysis (0% versus 10% and 30%, \( P = 0.002 \)) and inhospital mortality (0% versus 10% versus 20%, \( P = 0.03 \)).

Targeted renal therapy
Targeted renal therapy is an approach aimed at delivering therapeutic agents directly to the kidneys using a dedicated Benephit™ (FlowMedica, Fremont, CA) infusion system. This approach provides elevated and sustained concentrations of drugs in the kidneys while minimizing systemic exposure and side effects. In a study of 285 patients at risk for CIN, the actual observed rate of CIN using the infusion of fenoldopam directly into renal arteries was significantly lower than predicted by risk score calculations (8.1% actual CIN versus 28.0% predicted; \( P < 0.0001 \)).

Conclusion
CIN is an iatrogenic disorder, resulting from physician-directed administration of contrast media. It is a prevalent condition in patients at risk and is associated with an adverse prognosis. To date, no strategy has been shown to prevent CIN effectively beyond meticulous patient selection with careful risk/benefit analysis, adequate intravenous periprocedural hydration with isotonic saline, and minimizing the amount of contrast agent. While there is no absolutely harmless contrast medium, low osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast agents have a superior safety profile with regard to nephrotoxicity as compared with high osmolar agents, and are at present recommended by the current guidelines. All patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing contrast exposure should receive preventive hydration with isotonic saline, to be started at least 12 hours before angiography and continued for at least 24 hours afterwards. Repeated exposure to contrast media, within a short time period (3–10 days) should be discouraged whenever possible. Medications known to worsen renal function should be withheld for at least 48 hours preprocedure. Hypotension should be recognized and treated promptly. Prophylactic hemofiltration six hours before complex procedures may be considered in very high-risk patients, although further studies of this invasive modality are needed. Novel therapies, such as controlled hydration combined with forced diuresis, have shown promising initial results, but more data are needed before adopting this modality for CIN prevention.
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