Current therapeutic strategies for invasive and metastatic bladder cancer
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Background: Bladder cancer is one of the most common cancers in Europe, the United States, and Northern African countries. Muscle-invasive bladder cancer is an aggressive epithelial tumor, with a high rate of early systemic dissemination. Superficial, noninvasive bladder cancer can most often be cured; a good proportion of invasive cases can also be cured by a combined modality approach of surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation. Recurrences are common and mostly manifest as metastatic disease. Those with distant metastatic disease can sometime achieve partial or complete remission with combination chemotherapy.

Recent developments: Better understanding of the biology of the disease has led to the incorporation of molecular and genetic features along with factors such as tumor grade, lymphovascular invasion, and aberrant histology, thereby allowing identification of ‘favorable’ and ‘unfavorable’ cancers which helps a more accurate informed and objective selection of patients who would benefit from neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy. Gene expression profiling has been used to find molecular signature patterns that can potentially be predictive of drug sensitivity and metastasis. Understanding the molecular pathways of invasive bladder cancer has led to clinical investigation of several targeted therapeutics such as anti-angiogenics, mTOR inhibitors, and anti-EGFR agents.

Conclusion: With improvements in the understanding of the biology of bladder cancer, clinical trials studying novel and targeted agents alone or in combination with chemotherapy have increased the armamentarium for the treatment of bladder cancer. Although the novel biomarkers and gene expression profiles have been shown to provide important predictive and prognostic information and are anticipated to be incorporated in clinical decision-making, their exact utility and relevance calls for a larger prospective validation.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer occurs mostly in men. An estimated 386,300 new cases and 150,200 deaths from bladder cancer occurred in 2008 worldwide.1 Its incidence varies widely internationally, with the highest incidence rates found in Europe, the United States, and northern African countries, while the lowest rates are found in the countries of Melanesia and middle Africa. Smoking and occupational exposures (dye, arsenic, aromatic amines, rubber or leather industries) are the major risk factors in Western countries, whereas chronic infection with Schistosoma hematobium accounts for about 50% of the total burden in developing countries.1,2 It is the fourth most common malignancy diagnosed in the US with estimates of 70,530 (52,760 men and 17,770 women)
new cases and 14,680 (10,410 men and 4270 women) deaths for the year 2010. The approximate 5:1 ratio of incidence to mortality reflects the frequency of superficial tumor compared with invasive and metastatic disease.

Histologically, there are three types of bladder cancer arising from the epithelium lining of the bladder. Transitional cell carcinoma, which begins in the cells lining the inner most tissue layer of the bladder, accounts for more than 90% of bladder tumors. Squamous cell carcinomas, which arise from the squamous cells of the bladder epithelium, constitute about 6% to 8% of bladder tumors and are usually associated with long-term infection or irritation of the bladder epithelium. Adenocarcinomas, which account for about 2% of bladder neoplasms, usually arise from the glandular (secretory) cells and often have urachal origin. The three general categories of bladder cancer – superficial, invasive and metastatic – differ in their biology, phenotype, prognosis, and management. Superficial bladder cancers, which include papillary carcinoma (Ta), carcinoma in situ ‘flat tumors’ (CIS) and tumors that invade subepithelial connective tissue (T1) account for about 70% of newly diagnosed urothelial bladder cancer. The initial treatment of ‘nonmuscle-invasive’ superficial bladder cancer is a complete cystoscopic transurethral resection of all visible tumor, usually carried out at the time of diagnosis, followed by adjuvant intravesical therapy with BCG (a live attenuated form of *Mycobacterium bovis*) or less commonly with mitomycin, valrubicin, gemcitabine, or thiotepa. Despite aggressive treatment approximately 25% of these patients with nonmuscle-invasive superficial bladder cancer develop an invasive and metastatic form of disease.

Muscle-invasive bladder cancer is an aggressive epithelial tumor with a high rate of early systemic dissemination and poor long-term survival; almost 50% of these patients develop metastases and ultimately succumb to their disease. The most common site of metastasis of urothelial carcinoma is to the regional lymph nodes (78%); other common metastatic sites include liver (38%), lung (36%), bone (27%), adrenal gland (21%), and intestine (13%). In the same series, Babaian and colleagues had reported metastases to the heart, brain, kidney, spleen, pancreas, meninges, uterus, ovary, prostate, and testes in 1% to 8% of their patients. Although only one-third of newly diagnosed bladder cancers are advanced at presentation, another 15% to 30% of high-grade superficial tumors progress to muscle-invasive tumors usually within 5 years.

In this article, we discuss the current multimodality strategies including systemic chemo/biologic therapies in combination with surgery and/or radiation applicable for invasive and metastatic bladder cancers, and various prognostic and predictive factors to determine therapeutic outcomes and potential for treatment-related toxicities. We performed a systematic review of peer-reviewed publications identified through searches of MEDLINE/PubMed from March 2005 to March 2011. We also included results of the relevant clinical trials presented at the annual oncology meetings (eg, Annual Meeting of American Society of Clinical Oncology [ASCO]). The ongoing Phase II and Phase III trials for first- and second-line chemotherapy for invasive and metastatic bladder cancer were searched from the US National Institute of Health’s web resource, clinicaltrials.gov, which is a registry of clinical trials conducted in the US and worldwide. Keywords were used alone and with the modifiers of treatment, novel therapies, invasive and metastatic bladder cancer, and biomarkers. Bibliographies from these references were reviewed. Criteria used for study selection included study design, English language, relevance to clinicians, and validity based on the venue of publication.

**Staging considerations in bladder cancer**

Clinical assessment of primary tumor includes bimanual examination under anesthesia before and after endoscopic biopsy or resection and histological verification for the presence of tumor. Finding of bladder wall thickening, or a fixed mass suggest the presence of an invasive disease. Appropriate imaging studies such as a computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging should be incorporated into clinical staging to assess the extravesical extension of the tumor and lymph node evaluation, but one should take caution for there is a potential for an overestimation or even underestimation of the stage of the tumor. The ability of these studies to determine degree of muscle invasiveness preoperatively is modest and pathologic staging is usually needed to confirm the extent of the disease. Detailed staging information is not discussed here; in the context of this article, according to the 2010 American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) cancer staging system, muscle infiltrating disease is considered T2. It is further subdivided into T2a (inner half) or T2b (outer half), but with disease still confined within the bladder. T3 lesions extend beyond muscle into the perivesical fat. T4 lesion are those extending into adjacent organs – tumors invading the prostatic stroma, vagina, uterus, or bowel are classified as T4a, while those fixed to the abdominal wall, pelvic wall, or other organs are classified as T4b. A single lymph node metastasis in the true pelvis is considered N1 disease, while multiple nodal involvement in the true pelvis...
is N2 disease, and involvement of the common iliac nodes is
estaged as N3. Presence of distant metastatic disease (e.g., lung,
liver, bones) is classified as M stage. The number of lymph
nodes examined from the operative specimen and the number
of positive lymph nodes have been reported to be associated
with survival.11–13 In addition, the size of the largest tumor
deposit and presence of extra-nodal extension may inde-
pendently affect survival.14 Adequate lymph node sampling
should include an average of >12 lymph nodes.10

**Prognostic and predictive markers
for bladder cancer**

The most important prognostic determinants in bladder
cancer are the tumor grade and stage (whether the tumor is
organ-confined or nonorgan-confined). However, conven-
tional histopathologic evaluation criteria are limited in their
ability to accurately predict tumor behavior. A number of
clinical and molecular characteristics are correlated with the
response to chemotherapy and survival. Poor performance
status and presence of visceral (e.g., pulmonary, liver, skeletal)
metastatic disease are correlated with decreased survival.
This was demonstrated in the intergroup trial that compared
cisplatin alone with methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin,
and cisplatin (M-VAC) in patients with metastatic disease.15
The median survival of the group with favorable features
was 18.2 vs 4.4 months for the group with unfavorable features.
In the long-term follow-up results of this trial, no
patients with liver or bone metastases and only one patient
with a Karnofsky performance status <80 survived 6 years.16
Subsequent reports have also confirmed the relationship
between shortened survival and poor performance status or
the presence of visceral metastases.17,18

Prediction tools, also known as ‘nomograms’, developed
based on retrospective multivariate analysis to predict prob-
ability of extravesical extension or nodal metastasis at radical
cysectomy, estimate the risk of recurrence and survival after
cysectomy in bladder cancer and are currently available for
clinical use.19–21 One such nomogram developed by Inter-
national Bladder Cancer Nomogram Consortium (http://
www.mskcc.org/applications/nomograms/bladder) is based on
a retrospective multivariate analysis of more than 9000
patients from twelve centers of excellence worldwide.20 This
nomogram estimates probability of remaining disease free at
5 years after cystectomy based on patient age, sex, time from
diagnosis to surgery, pathologic tumor stage and grade, tumor
histologic subtype, and regional lymph node status. The pre-
dictive accuracy of the constructed international nomogram
( concordance index, 0.75) is significantly better than standard
AJCC TNM staging ( concordance index, 0.68; P < 0.001) or
standard pathologic subgroupings ( concordance index,
0.62; P < 0.001). These nomograms do not make treatment
recommendations, but simply provide a means to predict an
advanced stage and assess individual patient risk for disease
recurrence, and survival – all key factors in deciding the
need for additional treatments in the form of neoadjuvant or
adjuvant therapies. These predictive tools can be accessed
online at http://www.nomograms.org.

Several studies on molecular alterations as markers for
prognostication have been reported with the goal of using
these molecular markers of an individual tumor to help select
appropriate therapy. P53 is the most widely investigated
molecular marker in bladder cancer. Overexpression of P53
detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC) which infers muta-
tion of TP53 gene has been demonstrated to be a predictor of
poor survival in patients with advanced bladder cancer.22–24
In a report of 90 patients undergoing neoadjuvant M-VAC
chemotherapy, those who harbored mutant P53 were three
times more likely to die from their disease than those with
wild-type P53.23 Ki-67 index is also significantly greater in
high-grade tumors and in those overexpressing P53; high
Ki-67 index (>32% staining in IHC) is predictive of poor
prognosis.23 Positive staining for pro-apoptotic markers –
Bax and CD40 L – is shown to predict improved survival
while positive staining for anti-apoptotic marker – Bcl-2 – is
correlated with poor survival.26,27 However, there have been
inconsistencies in these findings which may be due to arbi-
trary cut-off levels for positive or negative expression based
on the level of IHC staining.

Molecular profiling and proteomics can provide better
indicators of tumor behavior, and may become available for
routine clinical practice.28,29 A recent report from a German
group30 on the gene expression analysis of chemotherapy
response modifiers multidrug resistance gene 1 (MDR1) and
excision repair cross-complementing 1 (ERCC1) performed
on tumor samples from patients undergoing adjuvant che-
motherapy for locally advanced bladder cancer showed that
expression of MDR1 and ERCC1 were independently associ-
ated with overall progression-free survival (PFS) with rela-
tive risk of 2.9 and 2.24, respectively. In another study of 57
patients with advanced bladder cancer treated with cisplatin-
based regimen, the median survival was significantly longer
in patients with low ERCC1 levels.31 The MDR1 gene product
P-glycoprotein (Pgp) is an energy-dependent efflux pump,
which, among others, reduces intracellular concentrations of
certain chemotherapeutic drugs including anthracyclines and
vinka alkaloids, both of which are components of M-VAC
regimen. Although cisplatin is not considered a de novo substrate of Pgp, studies have suggested an altered expression of MDR1 after cisplatin administration, possibly resulting in decreased cytotoxic efficacy.32 ERCC1 gene is involved in DNA repair and may mediate resistance to alkylating agents. Recently, a 20-gene expression model was reported to be effective in predicting the pathological nodal status, thereby allowing selecting high-risk patients for neoadjuvant chemotherapy on the basis of risk of node-positive disease, while sparing others from toxic side-effects, and the delay to cystectomy.33

Currently, research on molecular prognostication of invasive bladder cancer is still in its infancy and the data generated from this work are primitive, but research certainly holds promise for future personalization of therapy by better understanding the biology of the disease, matching the appropriate group of patients with the right drug combination, and estimating the efficacy of those chemotherapeutic and biologic agents.

Management of muscle-invasive bladder cancer

The standard treatment approach for patients with localized muscle-invasive bladder cancer is radical cystectomy with urinary diversion. Reconstructive techniques such as ileal conduit, catheterizable pouch, and neo-bladder eliminate the need for external drainage devices in some male patients and provide improved quality of life for those who undergo radical cystectomy. Radical cystectomy requires removal of the bladder, adjacent organs, and regional lymph nodes. In men, it generally includes removal of the prostate and seminal vesicles, along with the urinary bladder, and in women, removal of the uterus, cervix, ovaries, and anterior vagina is usually performed en bloc with the bladder. Despite undergoing such ‘radical’ surgery, several patients are at risk of developing distant metastases and also loco-regional recurrence with second primary urothelial tumors in the renal pelvis, ureters, or urethra. Multimodality approaches in the form of neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy have been evaluated in randomized trials and are currently applied clinically to decrease relapses and increase cure rates. Result of such contemporary clinical trials in both neoadjuvant and adjuvant settings will be discussed in this section.

Neoadjuvant therapy

In patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer, the most important treatment-related issues include the identification of those who can be cured with radical cystectomy alone, and those who, due to high risk of recurrence or metastasis, require a multimodality approach to achieve cure. For long, radical cystectomy has been considered the standard approach for patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Despite a curative surgery, about half of these patients develop metastatic disease within 2 years, with a high mortality among those developing metastatic disease.6,34 Administering cisplatin-based systemic chemotherapy either before (neoadjuvantly) or after (adjuvantly) cystectomy has a potential to eradicate micrometastatic disease, and thereby improve survival in this group of patients. Hence, neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy is now considered by many as the new standard of care for this disease. The advantages of neoadjuvant therapy include delivery of chemotherapy through intact vasculature, which is often affected by surgery, and downsizing the tumor prior to cystectomy, thereby increasing complete resection with a likelihood of long-term remission and/or survival in such patients. Patients often tolerate greater dose intensity and more cycles of chemotherapy preoperatively than postoperatively.35 The disadvantage of such therapy is the delay of definitive local therapy in patients who do not respond to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and it could potentially be associated with disease progression. Results of several randomized clinical trials36–40 and a meta-analysis of all neoadjuvant studies in bladder cancer41 have favored this approach of platinum-based, multiagent, neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by cystectomy over cystectomy alone (Table 1).

The largest neoadjuvant chemotherapy trial (BA06 30894) was conducted jointly by the Medical Research Council (MRC), the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC), and several international collaborators.36 In total, 976 patients with high-grade T2-T4a urothelial bladder cancer accrued over 5.5 years from 106 institutions were randomly assigned to three cycles of neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine (CMV) chemotherapy (n = 491) or no chemotherapy (n = 485), followed by institution’s choice of definitive therapy with either radical cystectomy and/or radiation therapy. Of patients in the chemotherapy and no-chemotherapy groups 42% and 43%, respectively, received radiation therapy alone as definitive therapy. Pathologic complete response (pCR) with neoadjuvant chemotherapy was 33%. Overall survival (OS) at 3 years in the two groups was 55.5% vs 50%, respectively, with an absolute survival benefit of 5.5% favoring the chemotherapy group. However, the prescribed statistical aim to detect an absolute survival improvement of 10% (from 50% to 60%) was not met. The most recent update,42
after 8 years of follow-up, showed a statistically significant 16% reduction in the risk for death in patients who received neoadjuvant CMV prior to radiotherapy and/or cystectomy; this corresponds to an increase in 3-year survival from 50% to 56%, an increase in 10-year survival from 30% to 36%, and an increase in median survival time of 7 months (from 37 to 44 months) in CMV-treated patients compared with those treated with local therapy only.

In a US Intergroup trial (INT 0080),10 307 of the 317 enrolled patients with T2-T4a urothelial bladder cancer were randomized to three cycles of neoadjuvant M-VAC (n = 154) or no chemotherapy (n = 153) followed by cystectomy. The study took almost 13 years to complete accrual. pCR with neoadjuvant M-VAC chemotherapy was 38%. Median follow-up was 8.7 years. Patients who received M-VAC showed a trend towards improvement in median OS (77 vs 46 months, P = 0.06). A subsequent retrospective analysis showed that after adjustment for pathologic factors and neoadjuvant chemotherapy use, an optimal cystectomy and thorough pelvic node dissection, defined as negative resection margins and at least 10 lymph nodes in the surgical specimen, was associated with longer survival (>80% at 5 years).45 Another recent secondary analysis of this study showed that presence of squamous or glandular differentiation in locally advanced urothelial bladder cancer does not confer resistance to M-VAC, and in fact, may be an indication for the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy before radical cystectomy.44

Randomized clinical trials (Nordic37,39 and GISTV40 Table 1) did not demonstrate survival difference using neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Majority of the randomized clinical trials have not demonstrated a survival benefit with the addition of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Inadequate sample size, suboptimal chemotherapy, premature closure, and/or inadequate follow-up have all been attributed to these negative results. Hence, meta-analyses have been performed to interpret these data. An update of a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer was published by Advanced Bladder Cancer meta-analysis collaboration.41 In patients who received cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy prior to cystectomy, a 5% absolute benefit improving survival from 45% to 50% at 5 years (P = 0.003) was observed. No information was reported about the quality of life and toxicities from various chemotherapeutic regimens used. Most patients from the EORTC/MRC, INT 0080, and Nordic studies were young, with a median age of 63 to 65 years with excellent performance status and good renal function; hence, there remains a question as to whether these results can be applied to most of the elderly patients who form the major proportion of the bladder cancer population.

Regimens such as gemcitabine plus cisplatin (GC), which in metastatic setting is shown to be less toxic and achieves similar response rates and survival,42 has not been tested prospectively in the neoadjuvant setting. A recent single-institution retrospective study by Dash et al46 showed a pCR of 26% with GC which is comparable to other cisplatin-based regimens. A combination of a taxane, nab-paclitaxel, along with gemcitabine and carboplatin in a neoadjuvant setting was recently reported.47 In this Phase II trial, 27 eligible patients with T2-T4, N0, or any T, N1–3 bladder cancer were treated with three cycles of nab-paclitaxel along with gemcitabine and carboplatin, followed by cystectomy. Of the 27 patients, 25 completed all three cycles. Grade 3–4 neutropenia was seen in all patients. pCR, the primary endpoint, was seen in 30% of the patients with 25% demonstrating CIS. This combination appears to be an active regimen and could be of potential benefit in patients who are not

Table 1 Results of randomized clinical trials evaluating neoadjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>pCR</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EORTC/MRC36</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>CMV (3 cycles) followed by cystectomy or RT (n = 491)</td>
<td>Cystectomy or RT (n = 485)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>5.5% in favor of CMV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT 0080/SWOG 871034</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>M-VAC (3 cycles) followed by cystectomy (n = 154)</td>
<td>Cystectomy (n = 153)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>Trend in benefit with M-VAC (P = 0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic37</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>CA (2 cycles) followed by cystectomy or RT (n = 151)</td>
<td>Cystectomy or RT (n = 160)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic 237</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>CM (3 cycles) followed by cystectomy (n = 153)</td>
<td>Cystectomy (n = 154)</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (GISTV)40</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>M-VEC (3 cycles) followed by cystectomy (n = 82)</td>
<td>Cystectomy (n = 71)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: pCR, pathologic complete response; OS, overall survival; CMV, cisplatin + methotrexate + vinblastine; M-VAC, methotrexate + vinblastine + Adriamycin + cisplatin; NR, not reported; CA, cisplatin + Adriamycin; CM, cisplatin + methotrexate; M-VEC, methotrexate + vinblastine + Epirubicin + cisplatin; SWOG, South West Oncology Group; RT, radiotherapy.
candidates for cisplatin. Blick et al\textsuperscript{48} reported a retrospective study of 80 patients who underwent accelerated M-VAC therapy administered at 2-week intervals with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) support in an attempt to minimize delay to definitive therapy and improve efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. All planned cycles of chemotherapy were completed by 84% of patients and median duration of chemotherapy was 34 days. All 80 patients received their planned definitive therapy (cystectomy in 60 patients; radiotherapy in 20 patients). pCR was seen in 43% of patients treated with surgery with an objective radiological response in 75% of patients. There were no treatment-related deaths, and incidence of grade $\geqslant 3$ toxicities was 11%. Accelerated M-VAC appears to be a safe and well-tolerated regimen that needs to be prospectively evaluated. Although these newer regimens are promising, there are no data yet from well-powered randomized trials supporting their use. For those patients with inter-current illnesses that prohibit use of M-VAC, GC may constitute a reasonable alternative. Several clinical trials are evaluating biologic agents along with chemotherapeutic combinations in a neoadjuvant setting as listed in Table 2.

Addition of radiation therapy to chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting has been investigated in randomized studies with equivocal results; hence this approach is not considered a standard-of-care. The results of these trials will not be discussed here but are available for review elsewhere.\textsuperscript{49–52}

The primary goal of muscle-invasive bladder cancer treatment is cure, and bladder preservation is a secondary consideration. Organ-sparing approaches are considered as an alternative, particularly in frail and very elderly patients and those with significant medical co-morbidities or those who will not accept the side-effects and risks associated with surgery. Avoidance of radical cystectomy as a reasonable approach in those patients who have a complete response to neoadjuvant therapy has been investigated in a few clinical trials. Herr et al\textsuperscript{53} have reported a nonrandomized study with 111 patients with T2–3, N0, M0 urothelial cancer who received neoadjuvant M-VAC chemotherapy. Forty-three of the 60 patients who achieved complete clinical response (cT0) underwent bladder-sparing surgery (transurethral resection of bladder tumor [TURBT]) alone in 28 patients; partial cystectomy in 15 patients) while 17 underwent radical cystectomy. At 10 years, 32 of the 43 patients (75%) who underwent bladder-sparing surgery were alive. These results were similar to the group who underwent radical cystectomy (65% survival at 10 years). However the bladder remained at risk for new invasive tumors (24 patients, 56% relapse), most requiring salvage cystectomy. In a similar study reported by Sternberg et al,\textsuperscript{54} 104 patients with T2-T4a urothelial cancer who received neoadjuvant therapy with M-VAC, were followed by bladder-sparing surgery in 65 patients (TURBT alone in 52 patients; partial cystectomy in 13 patients) while 39 patients had radical cystectomy based on the degree of response to chemotherapy. The estimated 5-year survival in the group undergoing bladder-sparing surgery was 67% compared with 46% in group who underwent radical cystectomy. However, a more recent Phase II clinical trial reported by deVere et al\textsuperscript{55} showed that though the complete clinical response (cT0) by TURBT following neoadjuvant therapy (with gemcitabine, carboplatin, and paclitaxel) was 46%, there was an unacceptably high rate (60%) of persistent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study identifier</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Study drug</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>Primary endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT00585689</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + carboplatin + nab-paclitaxel</td>
<td>December 2007</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00706641</td>
<td>Pilot (0)</td>
<td>dasatinib</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01245660</td>
<td>Pilot (0)</td>
<td>laptatinib</td>
<td>November 2010</td>
<td>Effect on EGFR pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00847015</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin + sunitinib</td>
<td>February 2009</td>
<td>pCR, safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00506155</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>M-VAC + bevacizumab</td>
<td>July 2007</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01222676</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin + sorafenib</td>
<td>October 2010</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01031420</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>dose-dense M-VAC</td>
<td>December 2009</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01094496 (N-ABLE)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin ± CDX-1307 vaccine (for tumors expressing β-hCG)</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
<td>RFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00268450</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>neoadjuvant gemcitabine + cisplatin + bevacizumab, followed by surgery and adjuvant bevacizumab and paclitaxel</td>
<td>December 2005</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00749892</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>erlotinib</td>
<td>September 2008</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00136175</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + carboplatin + paclitaxel</td>
<td>August 2005</td>
<td>pCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01093066</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>M-VAC followed by optimal TURBT</td>
<td>April 2010</td>
<td>BPR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: pCR, pathologic complete response; EGFR, epithelial growth factor; M-VAC, methotrexate + vinblastine + adriamycin + cisplatin; RFS, recurrence-free survival; BPR, bladder preservation rate; TURBT, transurethral resection of bladder tumor.
cancer at cystectomy in patients presumed to have pT0 status. The authors concluded that patients completing neoadjuvant chemotherapy should strongly consider definitive local therapy regardless of post-chemotherapy cT0 status. Based on these studies, one can infer that a considerable number of patients whose invasive tumors are significantly downsized with combination chemotherapy may be curable by conservative surgery such as partial cystectomy, rather than a radical cystectomy; however, downsizing with neoadjuvant therapy does not necessarily ensure complete local control of disease, especially with a high risk of metachronous bladder cancer in these patients.

Adjuvant therapy
Unlike neoadjuvant treatment, adjuvant chemotherapy can be tailored according to the pathologic staging prior to administration of systemic therapy, thereby limiting toxicity associated with such treatment and also avoiding any delay in potentially curative surgery in those patients whose tumor is not responsive to cytoreductive chemotherapy. The availability of adequate tissue for analysis of molecular prognostic and predictive markers may be another advantage. The disadvantage of adjuvant therapy is that there could be delay in initiating systemic therapy for occult metastatic disease while treating the primary focus; in some surgically debilitated and elderly patients, it can be very challenging and sometimes may not be possible to administer adequate systemic chemotherapy following cystectomy.

Similar to neoadjuvant setting, there are several randomized clinical trials reported in the adjuvant setting which have conflicting results and with caveats such as inadequate sample size, flawed clinical trial design, and poor accrual leading to early termination. The older trials have been reviewed elsewhere. A systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from those trials was published in 2005. The results, based on 491 patients from six trials, representing 90% of all patients randomized in cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy trials and 66% of patients from all eligible trials, suggested a 25% relative reduction in the risk of death for chemotherapy compared with that on control, with an overall hazard ratio for survival of 0.75 ($P = 0.019$). It concluded that there was insufficient evidence on which to reliably base treatment decisions. The contemporary cooperative trials will be reviewed in this section.

In an Italian multicenter randomized Phase III trial, patients with pT2G3, pT3-4, NO-2 transitional cell bladder carcinoma, after radical cystectomy, were assigned to four cycles of GC or observation followed by same chemotherapy at progression. Only 194 patients were enrolled (32% of the target) and the trial was stopped due to poor accrual. At a median follow-up of 32.5 months, relapses were similar in both groups (43% vs 45%) with no difference in disease-free survival (DFS). The 3-year OS was 67% for the chemotherapy arm and 48% for the observation arm and the 3-year DFS was 47% and 35%, respectively, suggesting no improvement in OS or DFS with adjuvant GC in these patients.

In a clinical trial conducted by the Southwest Oncology Group, 499 patients post-radical cystectomy for urothelial cancer with pT1-T2, N0 disease were assessed for P53 expression. Those positive for P53 expression with $\geq 10\%$ nuclear reactivity by IHC were randomly assigned to observation vs three cycles of adjuvant M-VAC. Primary endpoint was recurrence-free survival. The trial was terminated after a planned interim analysis due to futility. Among the 114 patients with P53-positive tumors who were randomized to observation or adjuvant chemotherapy, there were no differences in time to recurrence or OS. In the entire cohort, the study did not confirm the prognostic value of P53 expression by IHC for either recurrence or OS.

In the randomized Phase III Spanish Oncology Genitourinary Group trial 99/01, patients with high-risk muscle-invasive bladder cancer (pT3-4 and/or node-positive disease) were assigned to four courses of chemotherapy with paclitaxel, gemcitabine, and cisplatin combination or observation. The primary objective was OS. The study was opened in July 2000 and prematurely closed in July 2007 due to poor recruitment, with 142 patients randomized (74 to observation and 68 to chemotherapy). At a median follow-up of 51 months, there was a statistically significant increase in OS with chemotherapy compared with observation. Five-year OS was 60% vs 31% respectively. Secondary endpoints such as DFS, time-to-progression (TTP) and disease-specific survival were also superior in the chemotherapy arm. Importantly, this abstract reports a post-hoc review of a study that was closed early to accrual, and further follow-up and peer review will be required before it can be viewed as definitive.

A large Phase III trial by EORTC (protocol #30994) evaluating observation vs adjuvant chemotherapy with one of the three chemotherapy regimens (GC, M-VAC, or high-dose M-VAC) in high risk bladder cancer (pT3-4 and/or node-positive disease) was also prematurely closed in August 2008 due to poor accrual after enrolment of 278 patients; another large 800-patient trial by the Cancer and Leukemia Group B evaluating role of high-dose intensity chemotherapy vs standard chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting also suffered from...
poor accrual that led to its early closure. Results of these trials are currently not available.

Based on the older clinical trials, meta-analysis, and the contemporary clinical trials in the adjuvant setting, there appears to be no clear evidence for the role of adjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced bladder cancer. Patients are encouraged to participate in such clinical trials whenever possible. In patients with pT2, N0 urothelial bladder cancer, following cystectomy with observation seems to be a rational approach while for those patients with pT3-4 and/or nodal disease, following cystectomy with four cycles of chemotherapy with M-VAC or GC appears reasonable since these regimens have shown significant activity in metastatic setting.

**Management of metastatic bladder cancer**

**First-line therapy**

The standard approach for patients with inoperable locally advanced or metastatic disease is systemic chemotherapy. Urothelial bladder cancer is highly responsive to cisplatin-based chemotherapy; however, the median survival even with aggressive chemotherapy is only about 15 months. Several chemotherapeutic drugs such as cisplatin, methotrexate, Adriamycin, ifosfamide, docetaxel, and gemcitabine have shown to have single-agent activity in either first-line or subsequent therapy of metastatic bladder cancer, but with low overall response rates (ORR) and short duration of responses.61–66 This led to development of cisplatin-based combination regimens.

In a randomized trial of 108 patients, comparing cisplatin with cisplatin plus methotrexate,67 the combination demonstrated a response rate of 45% vs 31% compared with single-agent cisplatin, which was not significantly different. There was an improved TTP but no difference in survival. In a 58-patient cohort with metastatic transitional cell carcinoma, combination of cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine showed an ORR of 56% with a complete response rate (CR) of 28%. Patients who had achieved CR showed a prolonged DFS of 11 months.

M-VAC regimen in a nonrandomized clinical trial68 of 133 patients with advanced urothelial tract cancer showed tumor regression in about 72% of cases and 36% of those achieved CR; 3-year survival was 55% among patients who had a CR. Further, in a prospective randomized international cooperative group trial, M-VAC was compared with single-agent cisplatin.15 Patients (269) were assigned to M-VAC or cisplatin, cycles repeated every 28 days until tumor progression or a maximum of six cycles. M-VAC regimen was associated with a greater toxicity, particularly leukopenia, mucositis, neutropenic fever, and drug-related mortality. Response rates were superior in the M-VAC arm compared with the single-agent cisplatin arm (39% vs 12%) PFS (10.0 vs 4.3 months) and OS (12.5 vs 8.2 months) were significantly greater for the combined therapy arm. In another randomized trial with 110 patients,69 M-VAC was compared with a regimen consisting of cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin (CISCA); M-VAC arm showed significantly higher response rate (65% vs 46%) and median survival (48 vs 36 weeks) compared with CISCA. In attempts to translate response rates to improved survival rates, high-dose intensity M-VAC was evaluated in an EORTC Phase III clinical trial (protocol #30924)70 with a recent 7-year update of the results.71 Patients (263) were randomly assigned to high-dose M-VAC given at 2-week intervals with growth factor support or to standard M-VAC given every 4 weeks. ORR (63% vs 50%), CR (21% vs 9%), and PFS (9.1 vs 8.2 months) were improved but there was no difference in OS, which was the primary endpoint (15.5 vs 14.1 months). In the subsequent update with more than 7 years of follow-up, high dose M-VAC showed a borderline statistically significant relative reduction in the risk of death at 5 years (21.8% vs 13.5%; hazard ratio = 0.76) compared with M-VAC. Toxicity is a major consideration with M-VAC particularly myelosuppression, neutropenic fevers, sepsis, and mucositis, with significant toxicity-related deaths reported in most clinical trials evaluating M-VAC. High dose M-VAC is considered standard of care at some centers, but not all.

In Phase II clinical trials, gemcitabine in combination with cisplatin have shown response rates of about 50% with a median survival of around 14 months.72,73 Based on these results, this combination was evaluated in a randomized Phase III trial of 405 patients, comparing it with M-VAC.45 Chemotherapy was administered every 4 weeks for a maximum of six cycles. More patients in the GC arm completed the planned six cycles of therapy with fewer dose adjustments and significantly fewer patients with neutropenia and related complications, and toxicity-related deaths. The ORR (49% vs 46%), TTP (7.4 vs 7.4 months), and median survival (13.8 vs 14.8 months) were similar in both groups. This study demonstrated that GC had a better safety profile and tolerability while providing similar survival benefit compared with M-VAC. An updated analysis showed similar 5-year survival rates between the two regimens.17 Based on its similar efficacy and lower toxicity, GC rather than M-VAC...
is considered by many to be the standard first-line regimen for patients with advanced urothelial bladder cancer.

Addition of paclitaxel to GC was evaluated in a Phase III clinical trial by EORTC (protocol #30987), which enrolled 627 chemotheraphy-naive patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma, 81% of whom had primary bladder tumors. Chemotherapy was administered for a maximum of six cycles. Both regimens were well tolerated overall. Results showed that the triplet combination had a higher rate of ORR (57% vs 46%) and CR (15% vs 10%); though the survival was 3 months longer (15.7 vs 12.8 months) in the 3-drug arm, it was not statistically different from GC.

Combination of docetaxel and cisplatin (DC) has been compared with M-VAC in a multicenter Phase III clinical trial by the Hellenic Co-operative Oncology group. Patients (220) were randomly assigned to M-VAC every 4 weeks vs docetaxel plus cisplatin every 3 weeks. Treatment with M-VAC resulted in significantly superior RR (54.2% vs 37.4%), median TTP (9.4 vs 6.1 months), and median survival (14.2 vs 9.3 months), suggesting that M-VAC was superior to DC. Toxicity of M-VAC was considerably lower than that previously reported for M-VAC administered without G-CSF.

A Phase II trial comparing gemcitabine plus carboplatin with GC and an Eastern co-operative Oncology group (ECOG) Phase III trial comparing M-VAC with carboplatin plus paclitaxel have evaluated carboplatin-based regimens, but have not established the equivalence of carboplatin to cisplatin. A recent EORTC trial (protocol #30986) has compared gemcitabine plus carboplatin with methotrexate, carboplatin plus vinblastine (M-CAVI) in patients with advanced urothelial cancer who are ‘unfit’ to receive cisplatin due to renal dysfunction or other medical comorbidities. With 178 patients enrolled, this study showed an ORR of 42% for gemcitabine plus carboplatin and 30% for that M-CAVI, suggesting that both these regimens are active in this group of cisplatin ‘unfit’ patients. Age alone is not a contraindication for cisplatin use.

Two Phase II studies have reported antitumor efficacy of the combination of gemcitabine with pemetrexed, a folate antimetabolite, in patients with untreated metastatic urothelial cancer, demonstrating a moderate antitumor activity at the expense of significant myelosuppression. In the ECOG study (E4802), with a cohort of 46 patients treated for a maximum of six cycles, the ORR was 31.8%; median TTP was 5.8 months with a median OS of 13.4 months. The most common grade ≥3 toxicity was neutropenia (75%) with 11% febrile neutropenia. In an earlier study of 64 patients, the reported ORR was 20% in the intention-to-treat population (28% among the 47 patients evaluable for response); median OS was 10.3 months. Significant grade ≥3 toxicity included neutropenia (38%) with febrile neutropenia (17%) and anemia (19%).

Eribulin, currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat patients with metastatic breast cancer based on the results of a Phase III EMBRACE trial, is a synthetic analog of halichondrin B and a potent inhibitor of microtubule dynamics. Preliminary results from an ongoing Phase II trial evaluating eribulin in patients with urothelial cancer with no prior cytotoxic therapy for advanced disease (neo/adjuvant therapy allowed) was recently reported. Results of the 37 evaluable patients demonstrated an ORR of 38% and a RR of 34% in patients who had received prior neo/adjuvant therapy. At a median follow-up of 19.8 months, the PFS was 3.9 months and a median OS of 9.4 months, suggesting promising activity of eribulin in this group of patients. The most common grade ≥3 toxicity reported was neutropenia (54%). Its safety and efficacy in combination with GC is currently being evaluated in a Phase I/II study (Table 2).

With a better understanding of tumor biology including a few upregulated/dysregulated signaling pathways (Figure 1) in urothelial cancer, several agents that act against specific targets among these signaling pathways, particularly vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) (eg, bevacizumab), epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) (eg, cetuximab), and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (eg, everolimus) are currently being tested in first-line therapy in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy for patients with advanced bladder cancers, some of the agents showing promising results (Table 3; Figure 1).

Bevacizumab has been studied in combination with GC as first-line therapy for metastatic urothelial carcinoma in a Phase II trial by the Hoosier Oncology group (GU 04-75). In this single-arm study, 43 patients received GC along with bevacizumab 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks. Known antiangiogenic treatment-related toxicities (bleeding, thromboembolism) were common. The ORR was 72% with a CR of 21%, another 16% having stable disease. At a median follow-up of 27.2 months, PFS was 8.2 months with an OS of 20.4 months, suggesting that the combination of GC and bevacizumab is an active first-line regimen in metastatic bladder cancer. This is now being tested in a large Phase III trial (Table 3).
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with first-line therapy of recurrent and/or metastatic Her2/neu-positive urothelial cancers was reported in a Phase II study. Expression of Her2/neu in urothelial cancers can be variable, ranging from 8.5% to 81%, and in this study 52.3% of the tumors were positive (57 of the 109 screened cases). Her-2/neu-positive patients had more metastatic sites and visceral metastasis than did Her-2/neu-negative patients. Forty-four of the 57 Her-2/neu-positive patients were treated with combination of transtuzumab, paclitaxel, carboplatin, and gemcitabine. The median number of chemotherapy

Table 3 Select active clinical trials for first-line therapy in advanced and metastatic bladder cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study identifier</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Study drug</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>Primary endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT00461851</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + carboplatin + sorafenib</td>
<td>April 2007</td>
<td>TTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01126749</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>eribulin + gemcitabine + cisplatin</td>
<td>May 2010</td>
<td>Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00635726</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>methotrexate + vinblastine + doxorubicin + cisplatin followed by gemcitabine + cisplatin</td>
<td>March 2008</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00645593</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin ± cetuximab</td>
<td>March 2008</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00995488</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>nab-paclitaxel + gemcitabine + carboplatin</td>
<td>October 2009</td>
<td>Efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01118039</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>sunitinib (cisplatin ineligible patients)</td>
<td>May 2010</td>
<td>TTP and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01191892</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + carboplatin ± vandetanib</td>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01089088</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin + sunitinib</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
<td>PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01090466</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin + temsirolimus</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
<td>PFS and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01215136</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>everolimus ± paclitaxel (cisplatin ineligible patients)</td>
<td>October 2010</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00949455</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td>lapatinib maintenance in HER-2+ bladder cancer after first-line chemotherapy</td>
<td>July 2009</td>
<td>PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00625664</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>larotaxel + cisplatin vs gemcitabine + cisplatin (CiLAB)</td>
<td>February 2008</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00942331</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin ± bevacizumab</td>
<td>July 2009</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00022191</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>gemcitabine + cisplatin ± paclitaxel</td>
<td>August 2001</td>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00089128</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + irinotecan</td>
<td>August 2004</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00478361</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>gemcitabine + paclitaxel + doxorubicin with pegfilgrastim</td>
<td>May 2007</td>
<td>ORR, TTP, and survival</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TTP, time to progression; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

Figure 1 Dysregulated signaling pathways and targeted therapy in bladder cancer.

Abbreviations: EGF, Epithelial Growth Factor; VEGF, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor; IGF-1, Insulin-like Growth Factor-1; RTK, Receptor Tyrosine Kinase; mTOR, mammalian Target of Rapamycin.
cycles administered was six. The ORR was an impressive 70%; median TTP was 9.3 months and median OS was 14.1 months. Most common grade 3–4 toxicities were myelosuppression and sensory neuropathy; grade 3 cardiac toxicity was reported in two patients (4.5%). Though the results are very promising, there appears no consensus on routinely screening for Her-2/neu expression on all bladder cancer specimens. Based on these results a prospective clinical Phase III study with paclitaxel, carboplatin, and gemcitabine, with or without trastuzumab, is clearly warranted.

Second-line therapy
An effective salvage therapy for relapsed urothelial cancer following first-line chemotherapy has remained an unmet need despite several research efforts. Frequently there is a significant deterioration in the overall clinical condition, often associated with renal impairment after progression following first-line therapy, which makes it difficult to enroll them in clinical trials, or even administer systemic chemotherapy off-study protocol. In several clinical trials, the reported response rates with single agents such as paclitaxel,79 ifosfamide,86 docetaxel,85 and gemcitabine64 has been about 20% or less. Combinations such as paclitaxel with gemcitabine,70,85 oxaliplatin with 5-fluorouracil (FOLFOX),86 or gemcitabine (GEM-OX) after failing M-VAC have demonstrated response rates in the range of 20% to 27% but with significant toxicities such as neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and peripheral neuropathy. Currently, there is no defined standard second-line therapy for metastatic bladder cancer. Some of the more recent trials with (promising) results will be reviewed in this section.

Vinflunine is a novel, biflourinated, third-generation, vinca alkaloid, antimitotic agent that has demonstrated superior antitumor activity to other agents in its class.90 The efficacy of vinflunine as a second-line therapy for patients with relapsed or refractory advanced urothelial cancer after first-line platinum-containing chemotherapy has been evaluated in 3 open-label, multicenter studies.91–93 In the two Phase II studies, vinflunine demonstrated moderate antitumor activity with a RR of 15%93 and 18%.92 The Phase III trial compared vinflunine plus best supportive care (BSC) with BSC alone. Patients (370) were randomly assigned in a 2:1 ratio to receive vinflunine plus BSC (n = 253) or BSC alone (n = 117). Both arms were well balanced except there were more patients with performance status ≥1 (10% difference) in the BSC arm. Most common grade ≥3 toxicities for vinflunine arm were neutropenia (50%), febrile neutropenia (6%), anemia (19%), fatigue (19%), and constipation (16%). In the intent-to-treat population, the objective of a median 2-month survival advantage (6.9 months for vinflunine plus BSC vs 4.6 months for BSC) was achieved but was not statistically significant (P = 0.287). Multivariate Cox analysis adjusting for prognostic factors showed a statistically significant effect of vinflunine on OS (P = 0.036), reducing the death risk by 23%. ORR (8.6% vs 0%), disease control (41.4% vs 24.8%), and PFS (3.0 vs 1.5 months) were all statistically significant, favoring vinflunine. With an acceptable safety profile, vinflunine appears to be a reasonable second-line therapy option for patients with bladder cancer who have relapsed following cisplatin-based therapy.

In a recent randomized Phase III trial by German Association of Urological Oncology (AB 20/99),94 short-term (maximum of six cycles every 3 weeks) vs prolonged therapy (treatment continued until disease progression) with a combination of gemcitabine with paclitaxel was evaluated as second-line chemotherapeutic treatment for patients with metastatic urothelial cancer after failure of cisplatin-based first-line therapy. Of the 102 enrolled patients 96 were eligible for analysis. The results showed that there was no difference in OS (7.8 vs 8.0 months), PFS (4 vs 3.1 months), or ORR (37.5% vs 41.5%) between the short-term and prolonged therapy. More patients had severe anemia (26% vs 6.7%) in the prolonged treatment arm. The high response rate (~40%) suggests that the combination of gemcitabine and paclitaxel is a reasonable option as second-line therapy in this group of patients.

Activity of single-agent pemetrexed as a second-line therapy in patients with urothelial cancer was reported by the Hoosier Oncology Group.95 Forty-seven patients were enrolled and included in the intention-to-treat efficacy analysis. The ORR was 27.7%, median TTP was 2.9 months, median duration of response was 5 months, and median OS was 9.6 months, fatigue and myelosuppression accounting for the most common grade 3–4 toxicity. This study supports pemetrexed as a reasonable second-line therapy option in this patient population.

Results of a Phase II study evaluating single-agent nab-paclitaxel, the albumin-bound nanoparticle formulation, in a cohort of 48 patients with urothelial cancer who had progressed or relapsed after cisplatin-based chemotherapy was recently presented. ORR in 47 evaluable patients was 32%. With an additional 21% of patients having stable disease, the clinical benefit rate (CBR) was 53%, representing one of the highest reported RRAs in the second-line therapy of urothelial cancer. Nab-paclitaxel was well tolerated and the most frequent grade ≥3 adverse events reported were pain (45%), hypertension (14%), and fatigue (8%).
Ixabepilone, an epothilone B analog, which binds to β-tubulin and stabilizes microtubules, has shown promising activity in several solid tumors and is currently approved by FDA for treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Its efficacy in urothelial cancer was evaluated in a Phase II trial by ECOG (E3800). In this study of 45 patients, ORR was a dismal 11.9% with a median survival of 8 months. Toxicity was moderate, granulocytopenia, fatigue, and sensory neuropathy being the most common side-effects reported.

Signaling through VEGFR and EGFR pathways is thought to play a critical role in growth and progression of urothelial cancers. Several molecularly targeted approaches are currently under investigation as second-line therapies in recurrent/refractory bladder cancers (Table 4). A recent report of a multicenter, noncomparative randomized Phase II study of cetuximab with or without paclitaxel in patients with previously treated metastatic urothelial cancer suggests that EGFR inhibition with cetuximab enhances the antitumor activity of paclitaxel in this setting. Thirty-nine evaluable patients were enrolled. The cetuximab arm was closed after nine of the first eleven patients progressed by 8 weeks. ORR was 28.5%, and median PFS for cetuximab-paclitaxel arm was 3.8 months with a median OS of 9.5 months. Pazopanib, a second-generation multitalented tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKi) of VEGFR-1, 2, and 3, platelet-derived growth factor receptor, and c-kit, has shown promising results as a single agent in an ongoing Phase II trial in heavily pretreated patients with relapsed or refractory urothelial cancer. In total, 18 patients were enrolled until July 2010, 10 patients having primary bladder tumor; 22% of patients had partial response and 61% had stable disease with a CBR of 83%. The drug was well tolerated overall, with grade 3 nausea or anorexia reported in two patients and hypertension in one patient. More patients need to be enrolled and longer follow-up is required. A Phase II study evaluating single-agent afilbercept, a soluble receptor for VEGF, also known as VEGF Trap, in urothelial cancer patients who have failed cisplatinum-based therapy has completed accrual but results are not yet reported. Clinical trials with other targeted agents such as lapatinib (HER-2 and EGFR TKi), erlotinib (HER-1 and HER-2 TKi), sunitinib (multiple receptor TKi), and everolimus (mTOR inhibitor) are ongoing (Table 4).

### Management of variants and nonurothelial cell malignancies of the bladder

Primary nonurothelial bladder malignancies are rare, representing less than 10% of all bladder cancers. The recent World Health Organization classification of urothelial cancers lists 13 different histologic variants of urothelial cancer (Table 5). The divergent differentiation patterns such as squamous, glandular (adenocarcinoma), micropapillary, nested, plasmacytoid, and carcinosarcoma/sarcomatoid variants should be identified because of the potential for having an unfavorable prognosis despite aggressive surgical management that relates both to an aggressive biological behavior and also often due to an advanced stage at the time of diagnosis.

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the second most prevalent epithelial neoplasm of the bladder, accounting for approximately 3% to 5% of bladder tumors in Western countries. While the pathogenesis of SCC of the bladder is only been partly understood, it is thought to involve factors that result in chronic bladder infection and irritation. SCC of the bladder in countries of the Middle East and Egypt has a distinct pathogenesis that is linked to chronic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study identifier</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Study drug</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>Primary endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT01265940</td>
<td>i/ii</td>
<td>pazopanib + vinflunine</td>
<td>Dec 2010</td>
<td>PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00365157</td>
<td>i/ii</td>
<td>E7389 (halichondrin B analog)</td>
<td>October 2010</td>
<td>Response rate and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00683059</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>nab-paclitaxel</td>
<td>May 2008</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00578526</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>sunitinib (SPRUCE)</td>
<td>December 2007</td>
<td>PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01282463</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>Docetaxel ± ramucirumab or IMC-18F1</td>
<td>January 2011</td>
<td>PFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01234519</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>AEZS-108 in LHRH + urothelial cancers</td>
<td>November 2010</td>
<td>MTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00722553</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>pralatrexate</td>
<td>July 2008</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01031875</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>pazopanib</td>
<td>December 2009</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01108055</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>pazopanib + paclitaxel</td>
<td>April 2010</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00933374</td>
<td>ii</td>
<td>everolimus + paclitaxel</td>
<td>July 2009</td>
<td>ORR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PFS, progression-free survival; MTD, maximum tolerated dose; ORR, objective response rate; AEZS-108, luteinizing-hormone-releasing hormone linked to doxorubicin.
infections with *Schistosoma haematobium*. In regions where this water-borne parasitic pathogen is endemic, SCC not only represents the most common histological type of bladder tumor, but also the most prevalent form of cancer in men overall, accounting for 30% of cancers. Preoperative radiation has been shown to decrease pelvic recurrence in a single-institution study, but this remains of uncertain benefit. Standard chemotherapy regimens appear to have limited impact on the disease due to the relative chemoresistance of SCC. The use of chemotherapy regimens, such as the combination of paclitaxel, carboplatin, and gemcitabine, which have demonstrated efficacy in patients with SCC of other locations such as lung, head, and neck, may offer better outcomes. Standard treatment of *Schistosoma*-associated SCC is radical cystectomy and urinary diversion. A potential role for neoadjuvant or adjuvant radiation and chemotherapy remains poorly defined.

Pure adenocarcinoma of the bladder represents the third most common type of epithelial tumor comprising 0.5% to 2.0% of all bladder tumors. In advanced cases of adenocarcinoma of the bladder, conventional chemotherapy (eg, M-VAC) is not effective, and hence the use of chemotherapy or radiotherapy should be individualized and may be of potential benefit in select patients. A recent SEER-based analysis showed that while patients with adenocarcinoma of the bladder undergo radical cystectomy at more advanced disease stage, the stage- and grade-adjusted cancer-specific mortality is the same among patients with adenocarcinoma and urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Primary small cell or neuroendocrine carcinoma of the bladder is an extremely uncommon, aggressive, poorly differentiated neoplasm that is similar to small cell carcinoma of the lung in clinical behavior and accounts for less than 0.7% of all bladder tumors. A report from Mayo Clinic suggest that more than half the patients had metastatic spread to the loco-regional lymph nodes, liver, or bone at the time of presentation. Chemotherapy regimens similar to those used in small cell lung cancer of the lung have been employed and shown to be of benefit in several retrospective studies.

Sarcoma, a malignant mesenchymal tumor, and carcinosarcoma, a biphasic mixture of carcinoma and sarcoma, have very rare occurrence in the bladder with only a few case series reported to date. Metastatic sarcomas and carcinosarcomas are frequently treated by employing multimodality protocols including resection, radiation, and chemotherapy. Doxorubicin and ifosfamide appear to be the most active single agents. A case report suggested benefit using gemcitabine with cisplatin in a patient with metastatic sarcomatoid carcinoma.

Overall, for patients with metastatic nonurothelial bladder cancers, patient management should be based upon the histology of the primary tumor. Given the absence of data showing a survival or quality-of-life benefit from chemotherapy for these diseases, palliative care as an alternative to chemotherapy should be offered. Those electing to receive chemotherapy should be encouraged to consider enrolling in a clinical trial if an appropriate trial is available.

### Concluding remarks

Bladder cancer comprises a variety of diseases. While most patients with superficial cancers do not encounter a life-threatening condition, several patients with invasive disease do. In this group of patients, choosing the appropriate systemic regimen and timing of institution of such therapy is crucial. Based on the review of the multiple randomized clinical trials and meta-analysis, the treatment paradigm for muscle-invasive bladder cancer has shifted from cystectomy alone towards the use of cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Further, development of gene expression models (eg, 20-gene GEM) will allow patients who would benefit from such therapy to be identified more accurately. Understanding the biology and various pathways involved in development of invasive bladder cancer has led to evaluation of targeted therapy (eg, VEGFR and EGFR pathways and use of multitarget TKi) in combination with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy, and the results from such clinical trials are promising. Though the progress in the field of bladder cancer has been slow, the future looks bright. In view of the multitude of questions still unanswered, every patient with
advanced bladder cancer should be encouraged to participate in clinical trials. Patients, physicians, and families should support each other in the quest to cure more patients and minimize mortality of bladder cancer.
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