Profile of ezogabine (retigabine) and its potential as an adjunctive treatment for patients with partial-onset seizures
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Abstract: Epilepsy is a common disease with significant morbidity and mortality. Approximately one-third of patients with epilepsy are refractory to available seizure medications, emphasizing the need to develop better drugs with novel mechanisms of action. Ezogabine, also known as retigabine, is a new potential adjunctive treatment for adults with intractable partial seizures. Ezogabine has a unique mechanism of action consisting of activating KCNQ2/3 (Kv7) potassium channels. Ezogabine has undergone a number of Phase II and III trials demonstrating efficacy at 600, 900 and 1200 mg/day in a dose-dependent fashion. The most common adverse events with ezogabine are central nervous system effects, particularly dizziness and somnolence. Urologic symptoms, particularly urinary retention, represent a rare but unique side effect of ezogabine. Ezogabine is predominantly metabolized via glucuronidation. Its half-life is 8 hours, suggesting a need for three-times-a-day administration. Ezogabine exhibits minimal interactions with other seizure medications, except possibly lamotrigine. Ezogabine has potential for clinical applications in other medical conditions beyond epilepsy, such as neuropathic pain, neuromyotonia, and bipolar disease, but these are based primarily on experimental models.
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Introduction

Epilepsy is a common disease with a cumulative lifetime risk of at least 3%.1 The majority of patients with epilepsy have partial seizures, accounting for about two-thirds of cases in epidemiologic studies in developed countries.2 The burden of disease is also quite high, involving significant morbidity and mortality. Individuals with epilepsy in developed countries have an up to three-fold increase in mortality compared with the general population.3 Adults with seizures have also been found to have lower levels of education, higher rates of unemployment as well as higher rates of physical ailments.4 While the aim of epilepsy treatment is complete seizure control with minimal adverse events from medication, approximately one-third of patients will have persistent seizures despite antiepileptic drug (AED) treatment and can be classified as medically intractable.5,6

Ezogabine is an ethyl N-(2-amino-4-[(4-fluorophenyl)methylamino]phenyl carbamate) (Figure 1). Previously known as D-23129, it is also known by the international nonproprietary name of retigabine in Europe and most of the world, but the adopted name in the United States is ezogabine. This drug was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency for adjunctive treatment of partial-onset seizures in adults. It appears to work by a unique mechanism of action compared with other currently available AEDs.
Mechanism of action

Ezogabine exerts its anticonvulsant effects through a novel mechanism of action that is unique among existing AEDs. In particular, ezogabine activates potassium currents in neurons, which should lead to hyperpolarization of the membrane potential and decreased neuronal excitability under physiological conditions. On the molecular level, ezogabine enhances the activation of a specific heteromeric potassium channel, consisting of KCNQ2 or KCNQ3 channel subunits, a member of the Kv7 family of potassium channels. Ezogabine binds to the activation gate or within the pore of the channel itself to stabilize the channel in the open position. KCNQ2/3 channels mediate a previously described potassium current, the M-current, in neurons. The M-current possesses unique electrophysiological properties, including slow activation and deactivation near the resting membrane potential, which cause a decrease in repetitive firing of neurons under excitatory conditions. While the M-current had previously been shown to be blocked by a number of pharmacological agents, such as the acetylcholine agonist, muscarine (and thus the origin of the name “M” current), ezogabine is the first reported drug that enhances opening of this channel, with the associated potential for anticonvulsant activity.

In addition to modulating potassium channels, ezogabine may have other mechanisms of action. There is minimal evidence of a clinically significant effect of ezogabine on voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels. However, ezogabine may interact with GABA_A (γ-aminobutyric acid type A) receptors, although this effect may require relatively high concentrations and thus may only represent a minor contribution to the anticonvulsant actions of ezogabine.

Prior to clinical studies of ezogabine in epilepsy patients, ezogabine was shown to be effective in a variety of animal models of seizures. For example, ezogabine displays efficacy in the traditional antiepileptic screening assays of acutely induced seizures: the electrically induced maximal electroshock seizure and chemical convulsant penetlylenetetrazole models in rats or mice. Ezogabine also has anticonvulsant properties in genetic animal models of epilepsy. In addition to direct anticonvulsant effects in suppressing seizures, ezogabine may also have antiepileptogenic properties in delaying the development of seizures in the kindling model of epilepsy.

Interestingly, genetic mutations in the KCNQ2/3 potassium channels have been identified as the cause of cases of benign familial neonatal convulsions. Defective potassium channel function represents a rational mechanistic explanation for brain hyperexcitability and seizures in this inherited epilepsy syndrome. Since ezogabine enhances the function of KCNQ2/3 channels, ezogabine represents a rare example in which a seizure medication may directly target the underlying molecular defect causing a specific type of epilepsy.

Efficacy

There have been three placebo-controlled, multicenter studies to assess safety and efficacy in adults (Table 1). All of these studies were performed on patients aged 18–75. In all of these studies, ezogabine was used as adjunctive therapy in patients with refractory partial seizures, who were

Table 1 Summary of efficacy data from randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of ezogabine as adjunctive treatment of refractory partial seizures in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/outcome measure</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Ezogabine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600 mg/d</td>
<td>900 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter et al^23</td>
<td>n = 96</td>
<td>n = 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median change in seizure frequency, %</td>
<td>–13.1</td>
<td>–23.4^*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with &gt;50% seizure reduction, %</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTORE 2 (Brodie et al^24)</td>
<td>n = 170</td>
<td>n = 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median change in seizure frequency, %</td>
<td>–15.9</td>
<td>–27.9^*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with &gt;50% seizure reduction, %</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>38.6^*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTORE 1 (French et al^25)</td>
<td>n = 152</td>
<td>n = 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median change in seizure frequency, %</td>
<td>–17.5</td>
<td>–44.3^*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with &gt;50% seizure reduction, %</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>44.4^*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ^*Statistically significant difference compared to the placebo group.
already on at least one or two other AEDs, such as valproate, carbamazepine, phenytoin, topiramate, lamotrigine, gabapentin, oxcarbazepine, benzodiazepines, or barbiturates. The first of these studies looked at multiple dosing schedules, 600, 900, and 1200 mg/day, administered three times daily.\textsuperscript{23} Based on this study, the FDA recommended two confirmatory studies. RESTORE 2 addressed dosages of 600 and 900 mg/day administered three times daily,\textsuperscript{24} and RESTORE 1 addressed the dosage of 1200 mg/day divided three times daily.\textsuperscript{25}

In the first study there was an 8-week forced-titration phase, followed by an 8-week maintenance phase. A total of 537 subjects entered baseline, and 399 were randomized to a study treatment. 396 were included in an intention-to-treat analysis. The primary endpoint analyzed was change from baseline in monthly seizure frequency and was compared across all treatment arms. A secondary endpoint was responder rate, which was defined as a $\geq 50\%$ reduction in seizure frequency. Seizure-free rates were not reported. When looking at median percentage change in monthly total seizure frequency, a significant dose-dependent effect was seen in the primary endpoint across all treatment groups: 600 mg/day ($-23.4\%$), 900 mg/day ($-29.3\%$), and 1200 mg/day ($-35.2\%$) vs placebo ($-13.1\%$).\textsuperscript{23} Significant responder rates in the secondary endpoint were seen compared with placebo in various forms of statistical analysis, with ranges from $23\%-41\%$.\textsuperscript{23} This effect did also appear to be dose dependent with significant effects in the 900 and 1200 mg/day groups.\textsuperscript{23}

In RESTORE 2, there were four phases: a prospective 8-week baseline, 4-week titration, 12-week maintenance, and a 4-week transition phase if patients elected to participate in the open-label extension phase. The primary efficacy measure for the FDA was change in total partial seizure frequency per 28 days between baseline and double-blind period in the treated patients vs placebo. An intention-to-treat analysis was used. A total of 696 patients were screened and 539 randomized. A total of 538 patients were included in the intention-to-treat analysis. The median percentage reduction was higher for both the 600 mg/day ($27.9\%, P = 0.007$) and 900 mg/day ($39.9\%, P < 0.001$) groups than placebo ($15.9\%$).\textsuperscript{24} A significant responder rate ($\geq 50\%$ reduction in seizure frequency) was seen in both the 600 mg/day ($38.6\%$) and the 900 mg/day group ($47.0\%$) as compared with placebo ($18.9\%, P < 0.001$).\textsuperscript{24} Three to five percent of patients in the treatment groups became seizure-free, although this was not statistically significant compared with the placebo group.

In RESTORE 1, there were two phases: an 8-week, prospective baseline phase and an 18-week double-blind treatment period (6-week initial dose titration phase). There were two primary endpoints: percentage change in 28-day total partial seizure frequency between baseline and double-blind period, and responder rate ($\geq 50\%$ reduction in 28-day total partial seizure frequency between baseline and maintenance phase). A total of 442 patients were screened and 306 were randomized. A total of 305 patients were used in the intention-to-treat analysis. Median percentage reduction in monthly seizure frequency was $44.3\%$ in the treated group (1200 mg/day) vs $17.5\%$ in the placebo group ($P < 0.001$).\textsuperscript{25} Responder rate was $44.4\%$ in the treated group vs $17.8\%$ in the placebo group ($P < 0.001$).\textsuperscript{25} Five percent of patients in the treated group were seizure-free during the maintenance phase compared with $1\%$ in the placebo group, a difference that was not quite statistically significant. However, the percentage of seizure-free days in the treated group was significantly greater than in the placebo group.

Overall, the published placebo-controlled multicenter studies indicate that ezogabine is effective as an adjunctive therapy for adults with refractory partial seizures. However, very few patients became seizure-free on ezogabine, and the degree of reduction in seizure frequency is comparable to most other AEDs studied as add-on therapy for intractable epilepsy. Furthermore, future studies are needed to assess the potential efficacy of ezogabine for the pediatric population and for other seizure types or epilepsy syndromes, such as generalized seizures.

### Safety

Data on the safety and side effects of ezogabine were also derived from the three placebo-controlled, multicenter studies testing ezogabine as adjunctive therapy in adults with refractory partial seizures (Table 2). In the first study, common adverse events ($>10\%$) could be mostly categorized as central nervous system (CNS) effects and occurred more frequently in the ezogabine arms. These included somnolence, confusion, dizziness, tremor, amnesia, thinking abnormal, vertigo, and speech disorder.\textsuperscript{21} In the second study, common adverse effects ($>10\%$) all could be categorized again as CNS effects and were described as dizziness, somnolence, headache, and fatigue.\textsuperscript{24} In the third study, CNS effects were again the most common, with $40.5\%$ complaining of dizziness and $31.4\%$ complaining of somnolence in the ezogabine group.\textsuperscript{25} Additional common treatment emergent adverse events were fatigue, confusion,
Table 2 Summary of common adverse events (≥10% of patients) reported in ezogabine-treated patients from randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials as adjunctive treatment of refractory partial seizures in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>Placebo Group (%)</th>
<th>Ezogabine Group (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somnolence</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confusion</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysarthria</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigo</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataxia</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnesia</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking abnormal</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disorder</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Porter et al., Brodie et al., French et al.

Diziness, ataxia, blurred vision, and tremor. Nausea was also reported in 10.5% of ezogabine patients compared with 6.6% in the placebo group. This was higher than seen in the RESTORE 2 study, at 6.1% in the 600 mg/day group and 6.7% in the 900 mg/day group. Urinary tract infections were seen in 11.8% of subjects on ezogabine compared with 8.6% in the placebo group.

As ezogabine has been demonstrated to have effects on bladder function in a rat model, extra attention was paid to urinary system side effects in RESTORE 1 and 2. In RESTORE 2, three patients on ezogabine experienced chromaturia (occasional reddish-brown discoloration of urine) and three patients exited the study because of adverse events of the urinary tract (one nephritis and two urinary retention). In RESTORE 1, it was observed that 15 of the ezogabine-treated patients had increased post-void residual volumes of >100 mL compared with six of the placebo-treated patients. Other adverse events observed included urinary tract infection, urinary hesitation, dysuria, and chromaturia, all of which were infrequent but occurred more commonly in the ezogabine-treated group than placebo.

In summary, common adverse events of ezogabine are CNS effects, with the most common of these being dizziness and somnolence. All adverse events appeared to have some dose dependency. No significant cardiac, hematologic, or liver adverse events have been reported. Some attention should be paid to potential adverse effects on the urinary tract. There are no reported safety data in the pediatric population.

Pharmacology

Ezogabine is metabolized primarily in the liver by acetylation to the mono-acetylated metabolite AWD21–360 and more significantly by glucuronidation to an N-glucuronide with subsequent predominant renal clearance. The cytochrome P450 system does not appear to play a significant role in the metabolism of ezogabine. Glucuronidation appears to be predominantly by the UGT1A1, UGT1A9, and less so, UGT1A4 enzymes.

A variety of studies have assessed the pharmacokinetics of ezogabine with the majority of the data being obtained in a study by Ferron et al that assessed the pharmacokinetics of ezogabine at multiple doses up to 700 mg/day in 45 healthy male volunteers. It is rapidly absorbed after oral administration, with a peak plasma concentration at 1.5 hours. With food, maximal plasma concentration is delayed to approximately 2 hours. Mean terminal half-life was 8 hours. Oral clearance was 0.7 L/hour/kg in white subjects and volume of distribution was 8.7 L/kg. In black subjects, clearance and volume of distribution were 25% and 30% lower, respectively. Ezogabine is linearly dose proportional for both ezogabine and the metabolite AWD21–360. No clinically significant effect has been seen with gender. However, a decreased rate of clearance has been observed in elderly subjects, possibly related to decline in renal function.

Drug interactions

In vitro studies have demonstrated little or no potential for ezogabine to inhibit the major cytochrome P450 isoenzymes. No clinically significant interactions have been found between ezogabine and propofol, valproate, lamotrigine, or imipramine. An in vivo study of 29 healthy volunteers given both lamotrigine and ezogabine demonstrated some limited interactions. The presence of lamotrigine, ezogabine rate of clearance was slightly decreased, possibly due to competition for renal clearance. Interestingly, lamotrigine clearance was slightly increased, raising the possibility that ezogabine acted as an inducer of glucuronidation. An in vivo study has demonstrated lack of interaction between phenobarbital and ezogabine. In clinical studies, no significant pharmacokinetic effect has been seen on phenytoin, carbamazepine, valproic acid, or topiramate. Finally, one study showed women on a maintenance dose of ezogabine 750 mg/day for 19 days as well as a combination oral contraceptive did not have a decrease in contraceptive hormone exposure, suggesting lack of clinically significant interaction. Overall, ezogabine has minimal clinically significant interactions with other AEDs, as well as other drugs metabolized by the P450 system.
Other clinical applications
A variety of additional clinical applications beyond epilepsy have been proposed for ezogabine. One logical consideration is the possibility of treatment of peripheral nerve hyperexcitability with ezogabine. The role of potassium channels in some forms of peripheral nerve hyperexcitability has been understood for some time. For instance, Isaac’s syndrome, a disorder that includes neuromyotonia, has been found in some cases to be autoimmune with anti-voltage-gated potassium channel antibodies. Interestingly, two families have been described with both benign familial neonatal convulsions and myokymia, supportive of KCNQ2/3 mutations as causative. Furthermore, a single case has been reported of peripheral nerve hyperexcitability, secondary to a KCNQ2 mutation. Interestingly, in this same case report, an in vitro study was performed that demonstrated potential beneficial effect of ezogabine on peripheral nerve hyperexcitability. As some familial cases of episodic ataxia have also been attributed to potassium channel mutations, theoretically, ezogabine may represent a rational treatment for ataxia in these cases, although published clinical data are lacking.

Based on rat models, ezogabine has also been proposed as a potential treatment for neuropathic pain, mania, and addiction to psychostimulants. Another rodent model demonstrated a possible antidystonic effect. An in vitro study has demonstrated a possible anxiolytic effect of ezogabine. As remarked above, ezogabine has been studied in a rat model to look at effects on bladder function, with resultant suggestion that it may be effective for detrusor overactivity.

Conclusion
Epilepsy is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality, with approximately one-third of patients being refractory to available medications. Ezogabine is a new potential treatment that has a unique mechanism of action consisting of activating KCNQ2/3 channels. It demonstrates reasonable efficacy with approximately one-third of patients being refractory to available medications. Ezogabine is a new potential treatment for refractory epilepsy. Based on clinical trials, ezogabine appears to be relatively safe and well tolerated, although long-term post-marketing surveillance data are needed. Furthermore, while there have been minimal serious or life-threatening adverse events reported, it has potential for significant urologic side effects. Early data demonstrate minimal interaction with medications other than lamotrigine. Future studies may demonstrate whether ezogabine will play a role in other neuropsychiatric or even urologic diseases. In addition, further studies are being considered at this time for ezogabine’s role in the treatment of pediatric partial epilepsy and Lennox–Gastaut syndrome.
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