Controversial treatment of a victim of severe head injury complicated by septic shock and acute respiratory distress syndrome
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Abstract: Pneumonia, severe sepsis, and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) are frequent complications after head trauma. Recombinant human activated protein C (APC) reportedly improves circulation and respiration in severe sepsis, but is contraindicated after head injury because of increased risk of intracranial bleeding. A 21-year-old man with severe head injury after a car accident was endotracheally intubated, mechanically ventilated, and hemodynamically stabilized before transfer to our university hospital. His condition became complicated with pneumonia, septic shock, ARDS, coagulation dysfunction, and renal failure. In spite of intensive therapy, oxygenation and arterial blood pressure fell to critically low values. Simultaneously, his intracranial pressure peaked and his pupils dilated, displaying no reflexes to light. His antibiotic regimen was changed and ventilation was altered to high frequency oscillations, and despite being ethically problematic, we added APC to his treatment. The patient recovered with modest neurological sequelae.
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Introduction
Victims of severe head trauma are prone to remote organ complications.1 Neurogenic pulmonary edema (NPE), pneumonia, sepsis, diabetes insipidus, coagulation dysfunction, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and multiple organ dysfunctions syndrome (MODS) occur most frequently.1,4 Recombinant human activated protein C (APC), exerting anticoagulant, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic, and profibrinolytic effects, reportedly improves cardiovascular and respiratory functions, and increases survival in severe sepsis. However, severe head injury within the last 3 months constitutes a contraindication against APC because of increased risk of intracranial bleeding.5,6

The aim of this report was to describe the medical and ethical dilemmas when facing a young victim of severe head injury who was threatened by cerebral herniation as his condition became complicated with septic shock and ARDS, with lack of response to conventional therapy.

Case report
A 21-year-old man was found unconscious hanging in a head down position in his overthrown car, approximately 1½ hours after the accident. He was taken by ambulance to the local hospital where he arrived with a Glasgow Coma Scale score
(GCS) of 4. Computed tomography on admission showed basilar skull fractures (Figure 1A), traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, scattered cerebral bleedings (Figure 1B), cerebral concussion, and brain edema (not shown in the figures). He was endotracheally intubated, mechanically ventilated, and hemodynamically stabilized with intravenous infusions of norepinephrine and dopamine (Table 1). The treatment continued uninterruptedly during the transfer via air ambulance, staffed with an anesthesiologist and a nurse, to the intensive care unit (ICU) of our university hospital, where he arrived 7 hours after the accident. Here, his mean arterial pressure (MAP), intracranial pressure (ICP), cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP = MAP − ICP), and oxygenation ratio (arterial partial pressure of oxygen/inspired oxygen [PaO2/FiO2]), all presented as averages over 24 hours, initially displayed normal values (Table 1) and no indication for neurosurgical intervention was found.

On hospital day 3, ICP peaked above 30 mmHg (not shown in Table 1) accompanied by pupillary dilation and diabetes insipidus that were treated with thiopental and desmopressin, respectively. Inflammation parameters increased and PaO2/FiO2 ratio decreased gradually. Despite the fact that he was prophylactically treated with cefotaxime because of his basilar skull fractures, he contracted bronchopneumonia. Since he required continuous hemodynamic support with moderate to high doses of norepinephrine and dopamine to maintain MAP above 70 mmHg (Table 1), we suspected septicemia, and gentamycin, erythromycin, and hydrocortisone were added to the treatment.

On day 7, his condition worsened with septic shock. Leukocytes and C-reactive protein (CRP) increased whereas PaO2/FiO2 ratio and thrombocytes fell (Table 1). Upon identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* in tracheal smears, cefotaxime was replaced by imipenem according to the resistance test. His chest X-ray (Figure 2) displayed opacities consistent with ARDS, and the following day extravascular lung water index (EVLWI; Table 1), as assessed by PiCCO (Pulsion Medical Systems, Munich, Germany), increased by almost three-fold. Simultaneously, diuresis declined from 200 to 20 mL/hour concomitant with a three-fold increase in serum creatinine. ICP peaked above 30 mmHg a second time, and both pupils dilated maximally with no reactions to light. Veno-venous hemofiltration (Prismaflex® system, Gambro, Lund, Sweden) was started and continued over the subsequent 5 days. When PaO2/FiO2 ratio had fallen to a low point of 46 mmHg (day 8), we replaced pressure-controlled mechanical ventilation (mean airway pressure of 26 cm H2O, positive end-expiratory pressure [PEEP] of 8 cm H2O and FiO2 of 1.0) with high frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV 3100B; VIASYS HealthCare, San Diego, CA) maintaining unchanged airway pressure and FiO2. In addition, he received an intravenous infusion of APC (drotrecogin-alpha, Xigris®, Eli Lilly and Co, Indianapolis, IN) 24 µg/kg/hour over 4 days. Twelve hours later, his MODS regressed; MAP and CPP increased from low points of 64 and 45 mmHg, respectively, and changes in EVLWI and markers of inflammation and coagulation regressed markedly. ICP decreased and hemodynamic support with norepinephrine and dopamine could be gradually withdrawn (Table 1). On day 12, HFOV was replaced by conventional pressure-controlled...
Table 1 Physiological and pharmacological variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>ng/kg/minute</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>µg/kg/minute</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2/FiO2</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVRI</td>
<td>dyn × s/cm²/m²</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>L/min/m²</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leuko</td>
<td>10¹²/L</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trc</td>
<td>10¹²/L</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVLWI</td>
<td>mL/kg</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NE, norepinephrine; DA, dopamine; MAP, mean arterial pressure; ICP, average intracranial pressure; CPP, average cerebral perfusion pressure, all pressures presented as averages over 24 hours; PaO2, arterial partial pressure of oxygen; FiO2, fraction of inspired oxygen; SVRI, systemic vascular resistance index; Cl, cardiac index; CRP, C-reactive protein; Leuko, leukocytes; Trc, thrombocytes; EVLWI, extravascular lung water index.

Discussion

It is difficult to predict the outcome of a patient with severe head injury who was hanging in a head down position for a lengthy period of time before rescue. Enhanced venous pressure transiently may have increased ICP and compromised his CPP at this early stage of injury. Despite the fact that his condition was complicated with pneumonia followed by severe sepsis and ARDS, he survived with modest sequelae after receiving intensive therapy including APC.

It is well documented that impaired cerebral blood flow after severe head injury leads to reduced brain tissue oxygen delivery and lactate accumulation. Reduction of cerebral blood flow, or decrease in oxygenation below a certain threshold value may escalate brain damage and eventually lead to cerebral herniation.7 According to a recent report, brain tissue oxygen tension (PbtO2) after severe head injury can be improved by pharmacologically increasing CPP.8 Investigators also suggest that therapy based on continuous correction of PbtO2 is associated with reduced mortality and better short-term outcome.9 Concerning our patient, it is likely, albeit not proven, that septic shock and severe hypoxia combined might have prevented brain oxygenation from reaching the PbtO2 threshold despite receiving vasoconstrictor support at high rates (Table 1).

Victims of severe brain trauma have increased risk of developing coagulation disturbances, partly because the brain cortex is rich in tissue factor (TF).10 Abundantly released TF from the injured brain may induce coagulopathy reminding on disseminated intravascular coagulation.11 Independent risk factors for coagulopathy in isolated head injuries include GCS score <8, injury severity score >16, hypotension upon admission, cerebral edema, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and midline shift.11 Our patient met five of these criteria. Although his coagulation disturbances most likely resulted from severe sepsis, traumatic coagulopathy and brain hypoxia may have contributed to his illness.

In severe sepsis, bacterial products activate mediators that stimulate inflammation and coagulation. Transcription nuclear factor κβ (NF-κβ) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) are...
released from cells of the immune system and stimulate inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) to excessive generation of NO in endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells. NO contributes to circulatory shock and binds to superoxide anion to form peroxynitrite that causes derangements of endothelial and epithelial linings resulting in vascular leaks and decrease in pulmonary gas exchange typical of ARDS. Inhibitors of iNOS counteract septic shock, but do not increase survival from sepsis.14

ARDS and possibly might have worsened his condition.1,4,13 Associated lung injury are difficult to distinguish from mechanical ventilation.16,17 Since all conventional treatments had failed, the only therapeutic alternatives and their potential complications openly discussed with his parents, who gave their consent to start with APC. We speculated whether APC might counteract coagulopathy after general trauma and after severe head injury.

Bacterial products also release TF from mononuclear cells which triggers the extrinsic pathway of the coagulation cascade when conjugated with activated factor VII.15 Thus, it might be that brain trauma and bacterial products acted together to promote the coagulation disturbances in our patient.

Reportedly, 71% of the patients with head injury develop pneumonia. Aspiration pneumonia, NPE, and ventilator-associated lung injury are difficult to distinguish from ARDS and possibly might have worsened his condition.1,4,13 However, since his chest X-rays showed no evidence of pulmonary edema upon arrival and the subsequent 2 days, we considered NPE to be a less likely explanation of his lung pathologies.

Application of positive pressure ventilation with PEEP might have contributed to the increase in ICP by impeding venous return. Even a relatively low PEEP of 6–8 cm H₂O might influence CPP negatively, but with decreasing effect as lung compliance decreases.14 However, when his oxygenation dropped to the lowest point, instead of increasing PEEP, we discussed the idea of treating him with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation which was discarded because it would require anticoagulant therapy with high doses of heparin. Therefore, we changed to high frequency oscillatory ventilation, although according to the literature, no increase in survival has been noticed in comparison with conventional mechanical ventilation.16,17

Since all conventional treatments had failed, the only possibility remaining was to perform a decompressive craniotomy, but the idea was abandoned because his condition indicated that he would probably not survive. His parents insisted that “something had to be done!”, so we could see no option other than facilitating his general circulatory and respiratory conditions with the aim to improve his cerebral oxygenation.

The protein C Worldwide Evaluation in Severe Sepsis (PROWESS) trial had shown that treatment with APC resulted in a faster regression of circulatory and respiratory dysfunction, and increased survival in patients with severe sepsis.5,6 A retrospective study of patients with septic shock confirmed that APC rapidly improved vascular tone by decreasing the norepinephrine dose required to maintain arterial pressure.19 On the negative side, 0.47% of the patients randomized to receive APC had suspected intracranial hemorrhage during the infusion period. Correspondingly, a recent meta-analysis reported the rates of intracranial hemorrhage to around 0.4% and 0.7% during infusion and at 28 days, respectively.20 The abdominal surgery subgroup of patients in the PROWESS trial, complicating with severe sepsis, had a more than 9% reduction of the absolute risk of fatal outcome. The relative risk reduction for 28-day mortality in the abdominal surgery patients was 30% and in the high-risk patients, as defined by an Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score of 25 or greater, the relative risk reduction was 40%. Literature research revealed no controlled studies on the efficacy and safety of APC in septicemic patients with a primary head trauma. The only hit was the case report of an alcohol-intoxicated man, who arrived with subdural hematoma, with a GCS of 14. Although his trauma was milder compared with our patient, he became critically ill with sepsis and ARDS and survived with no rebleeding after treatment including APC.

We decided to administer APC in spite of the ethical dilemma of prescribing a medicine which is formally contraindicated.5,6,20 On the other hand, the fact that severe sepsis with more than five organ dysfunctions has a mortality rate of 85%–90%, presented a strong indication for APC. He undoubtedly would face cerebral herniation unless we managed to improve his cerebral oxygenation.23 We discussed the therapeutic alternatives and their potential complications openly with his parents, who gave their consent to start with APC.

Briefly, APC is an endogenously produced serine protease, catalyzed by thrombin/thrombomodulin complex when protein C is bound to its endothelial receptor. It acts by proteolytic cleavage of activated coagulation factors V and VIII and escalates fibrinolysis by inhibiting plasminogen activator inhibitor-1. APC attenuates inflammation by inhibiting the translocation of NF-κB, suppressing the release of proinflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecules, and reducing the accumulation of leukocytes in the alveoli. Cleavage of the protease-activated receptor-1 by the APC-endothelial cell receptor complex exerts anti-apoptotic and enhanced barrier-protective effects in endothelial cells. In rats subjected to infusion of endotoxin, APC inhibits the induction of iNOS by decreasing TNF-α production, thereby preventing circulatory shock.

We speculated whether APC might counteract
in particular.  

A prospective study of major trauma patients revealed that those with low tissue perfusion upon arrival, as indicated by a high base deficit, high thrombomodulin, and low plasma protein C levels, had increased mortality.  

We found, however, no clinical investigation specifically focusing on the effect of APC on coagulopathy after isolated head trauma. We assume that our patient, at least transiently, suffered from low tissue perfusion, but thrombomodulin and protein C levels were not determined.

As of today, information is sparse as to whether APC could be of potential benefit after traumatic brain injury. Investigators recently noticed that after standardized cortical trauma in mice, APC reduced the volume of lesions and improved the neurological outcome. They also compared wild-type APC with an APC analog with reduced anticoagulant and normal cytoprotective activity for late treatment. The APC analog displayed greater neuroprotective effect and less intracranial bleeding compared with wild-type APC.

Whether APC, which was primarily administered against septic shock, also acted beneficially on brain injury per se, remains elusive. Since his condition improved with a decrease in ICP, we believe that APC through its improvement of circulation and respiration might have contributed to his recovery that left him with modest sequelae only. However, his recovery inspires us to suggest a future controlled randomized trial of the efficacy and safety of an APC analog with reduced anticoagulant and maintained cytoprotective activity, if it will be available, in patients with MODS after severe head injury.

**Conclusion**

Although ethically controversial because of increased risk of intracranial bleeding, APC should not be rejected as part of a rescue therapy in cases of severe head injury complicating with septic shock and ARDS not responding to conventional therapy. However, as a general rule, decisions to treat should be taken based on evidence from controlled randomized trials and not from animal experiments or case reports.
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