Particle size reduction to the nanometer range: a promising approach to improve buccal absorption of poorly water-soluble drugs

Shasha Rao
Yunmei Song
Frank Peddie
Allan M Evans
Sansom Institute for Health Research,
Division of Health Sciences,
University of South Australia,
Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

Abstract: Poorly water-soluble drugs, such as phenylephrine, offer challenging problems for buccal drug delivery. In order to overcome these problems, particle size reduction (to the nanometer range) and cyclodextrin complexation were investigated for permeability enhancement. The apparent solubility in water and the buccal permeation of the original phenylephrine coarse powder, a phenylephrine–cyclodextrin complex and phenylephrine nanosuspensions were characterized. The particle size and particle surface properties of phenylephrine nanosuspensions were used to optimize the size reduction process. The optimized phenylephrine nanosuspension was then freeze dried and incorporated into a multi-layered buccal patch, consisting of a small tablet adhered to a mucoadhesive film, yielding a phenylephrine buccal product with good dosage accuracy and improved mucosal permeability. The design of the buccal patch allows for drug incorporation without the need to change the mucoadhesive component, and is potentially suited to a range of poorly water-soluble compounds.

Keywords: buccal drug delivery, nanosuspension, solubility, permeation enhancement, mucoadhesion

Introduction

The buccal mucosa provides a promising route for the delivery of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). By applying a delivery device to the buccal mucosa, an API can be released into the oral cavity for the treatment of a generalized oral condition or absorbed through the buccal mucosa for systemic therapy.¹ The buccal route offers potential advantages in being convenient and painless, and it avoids the impact of the harsh gastrointestinal environment and hepatic first-pass metabolism.¹,² However, the buccal mucosa represents a significant permeation barrier that limits systemic absorption of APIs, and adequate absorption from this site requires the API to have reasonable solubility in both polar and nonpolar solvents.³,⁴ Because an increasing number of APIs are poorly water-soluble molecules,⁵ techniques to increase the rate of dissolution are required to improve drug absorption through buccal mucosa. Phenylephrine, being slightly water soluble and subject to extensive presystemic metabolism in the gut wall and the liver,⁶,⁷ was investigated as the model drug in this study.

Conventional formulation approaches for water-solubility enhancement include co-grinding with surfactants,⁸,⁹ formation of solid dispersions,¹⁰,¹¹ and inclusion complexes with hydrophilic cyclodextrins.¹²–¹⁵ In addition to these techniques, particle size reduction to the nanometer range has also been utilized.¹⁶–¹⁸ For instance, the use of a nanosuspension was able to overcome the low/erratic absorption problem associated with poorly water-soluble drugs after peroral,¹⁹,²⁰ transdermal,²¹ ocular,²²
or pulmonary administration. The low particle radius and enlarged surface area of nanosuspensions lead to a consequent improved rate of dissolution that can contribute to increased drug absorption. In this study, the potential benefits of two techniques (formation of cyclodextrin complexes and particle size reduction) in improving the buccal transmucosal permeability of phenylephrine were assessed.

To allow the administration of a nanosuspension via the buccal mucosa, appropriate delivery devices to load the nanosuspensions are required. The preparation process of traditional buccal devices often requires dispersion of the API within the polymeric matrix, which may result in a growth in particle size. In order to overcome this problem, a microreservoir based buccal patch was developed. A phenylephrine nanosuspension was incorporated into the buccal patch and evaluated for dosage accuracy and drug permeability to confirm the applicability of the improved buccal patch for delivering poorly water-soluble APIs.

**Material and methods**

**Materials**

Phenylephrine was purchased from ABCR GmbH & Co. KG (Baden-Württemberg, Germany). Polymers used in the preparation of mucoadhesive films were hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC) 2910 (Sigma-Aldrich Co, St Louis, MO) and Carbopol 934P (Lubrizol, Wickliffe, OH). Other excipients used in the preparation of buccal patches were cross-linked polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVPP) (Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich Co, St Louis, MO), sodium starch glycolate (CMS Na) (JRS Pharma GmbH & Co KG, Baden-Württemberg, Germany), polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 (ACE Chemical Company, South Australia, Australia), and aerosil R974 (Degussa, Victoria, Australia). Microcrystalline cellulose, lactose, sorbitol, and mannitol used in the preparation of microtablets were generous gifts from Mayne Pharma International Pty Ltd (South Australia, Australia). Other materials used in the preparation and evaluation were PEG 300 (Ph Eur Grade, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Bavaria, Germany), dibutyl sebacate (Aldrich, Sigma-Aldrich Co, St Louis, MO), ethocel standard 20 (Ethyl cellulose) (Dow Chemical Ltd, New South Wales, Australia), and citric acid (Ward Mckenzie Pty Ltd, Victoria, Australia). Water was obtained from a Milli-Q purification system (Millipore Australia Pty Ltd, New South Wales, Australia), and all other chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received.

Pig cheek tissue was obtained from a local abattoir within 1 hour after slaughter and transported to the laboratory in ice-cold Krebs Bicarbonate Ringer (KBR) buffer (115.5 mM NaCl, 4.2 mM KCl, 21.9 mM NaHCO3, 12.2 mM glucose, 4.0 mM HEPES, 1.2 mM MgSO4·7H2O, 2.5 mM CaCl2·2H2O, and 1.6 mM NaH2PO4·2H2O, pH 7.4). The mucosal epithelium was carefully separated from the underlying tissues using forceps and surgical scissors. The isolated mucosal epithelium was mounted between the donor and the receptor compartment of Franz diffusion cells (specially designed, receptor volume: 15 mL, diffusion area: 1.77 cm²) filled with KBR buffer, and equilibrated at 37°C for 1 hour prior to use.

**HPLC analysis of phenylephrine**

Analysis of phenylephrine in diffusion medium was performed after chromatographic separation on a reversed phase C18 column (Phenomenex® Luna® 5 μm C18 (2), 150×4.6 mm, Torrance, CA). The HPLC system comprised a chromatography pump and a UV variable wavelength UV-vis detector set to 260 nm. The mobile phase was methanol-water (50:50%, v/v) and 1-octane sulfonic acid (1.1%, w/v), apparent pH 6.9. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/minute, the injection volume was 10 μL, and the retention time of phenylephrine was 5.1 minutes.

**Characterizations of original and modified phenylephrine**

**Assessment of apparent solubility in water**

The known excess of phenylephrine was added to 10 mL of Milli-Q water. The sample was rotated at 20 rpm in a thermostatic chamber (37.0 ± 0.5°C) for 72 hours and centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was then filtered through Acrodisc® Syringe Filters (0.45 μm Supor® membrane, Paul Co. Ltd., Ann Arbor, MI) and analyzed by HPLC analysis as described above, from which the apparent solubility was determined.

**Particle size and zeta potential assessment**

The measurement of particle size, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential was performed using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK) with manufacturer’s software.

**Permeability assessment**

Permeability was measured with porcine buccal mucosal epithelium in Franz diffusion cells. A 2 mg dose of phenylephrine, in the form of phenylephrine solution (3.37, 5.05, 9.91 mg/mL) or modified phenylephrine, was loaded onto the mucosal surface in the donor compartment. Samples (0.1 mL) were removed from the receptor compartment...
through the sampling arm at fixed intervals over 4 hours and replaced with fresh KBR buffer (0.1 mL). Samples were filtered through Acrodisc® Syringe Filters (0.45 μm Supor® membrane, Paul Co. Ltd., Ann Arbor, MI) and analyzed by HPLC to determine the content of phenylephrine per unit weight of DNPE (% w/w).

Preparation of phenylephrine-containing buccal patch

The buccal patch, based on a platform technology developed by Rao et al.,30 consists of a microreservoir, specifically a microtablet, bound to a bi-layered mucoadhesive film (Figure 1). The two components were prepared separately. The final formulation incorporating coarse phenylephrine powders (PMT1) or phenylephrine dry nanosuspension (PMT2) within the microtablet was prepared by direct manual attachment of the medicated microtablet to the mucoadhesive layer with the aid of ethanol as a moistening agent.

Polymer solutions were prepared by dissolving 4.6% (w/v) polymers (Carbopol 934P:HPMC 2910 10:1.5) and 1.6% (v/v) plasticizer (PEG 300) in 60% ethanol solution under overhead stirring at 600 rpm for 30 minutes. This solution was transferred to glass plates; the thickness of the solution was controlled to 3.5 mm and the surface of the solution was flattened using a spatula; the solution was then dried in an oven (60°C) to form the mucoadhesive layer. For the ethylcellulose layer, 5% (w/w) ethylcellulose ethanol solution containing 0.4% (w/v) of dibutyl sebacate was sprayed via a nozzle onto one side of the mucoadhesive layer (2 μL/cm²) and allowed to dry. The patch was then cut with a circular punch (diameter: 20 mm) and stored in an airtight container until required.

The microtablet was prepared using the direct compression technique. The appropriate amount of drug and excipients (details given in Table 1) were mixed homogeneously, passed through a #60 (US standard) screen and then compressed in a 7 mm diameter die, using a Korsch XP-1 tablet press (Korsch AG, Berlin, Germany).

Ex vivo drug permeability assessment

Drug permeability assessments of the phenylephrine patch were assessed using Franz diffusion cells. Each patch was clamped between the donor and the receptor compartment with the support of porcine buccal mucosa (prepared as described above and equilibrated for 1 hour in KBR buffer). The receptor compartment was filled with dissolution...
medium (KBR buffer) and maintained at 37°C with constant stirring by a magnetic stirrer. The cumulative amount of drug reaching the receptor at each time point was determined by removing aliquots (0.1 mL) through the sampling arm at fixed intervals and immediately replacing the same volume of dissolution medium. Samples were filtered through Acrodisc® Syringe Filters with 0.45 µm Supor® membrane and analyzed by HPLC. All experiments with either type of buccal mucoadhesive patches were conducted in six replicates, and the results were described as mean ± SD.

### Results

Incorporating phenylephrine as a hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin complex (PE-HPβCD) was able to increase its apparent solubility from 10.37 ± 0.17 mg/mL for unmodified phenylephrine to 13.82 ± 0.35 mg/mL for PE-HPβCD complex \((P < 0.05)\). Table 2 shows the apparent solubility of phenylephrine nanosuspensions following different size reduction processes. Apparent solubility did not increase constantly as the milling continued, and a 10-minute milling process yielded nanosuspensions with the highest apparent solubility (14.12 mg/mL, NPE1, Table 2). The application of high-pressure homogenization to the milled sample further improved apparent solubility to 17.18 mg/mL (NPE2, Table 2). Apparent solubility of the final phenylephrine dry nanosuspension (DNPE), obtained after freeze drying NPE2, was 18.31 mg/mL.

Phenylephrine coarse powders were relatively large particles (>1 µm) and required milling and high-pressure homogenization processes to form phenylephrine nanosuspensions. Table 3 shows particle size and particle surface properties of the different nanosuspensions. NPE1 presented an average particle size of 832.4 nm, the particle size of NPE2 was 500.3 nm, and DNPE showed a particle size of 216.8 nm (Table 3). The PDI, a parameter used to measure the width of particle distribution, was below 0.5 for each phenylephrine nanosuspension (Table 3), which indicates the relatively narrow particle size distribution.\(^{16}\) The zeta-potential value, which reflects surface properties of nanosized products, was −26.0, −16.7 and −15.1 mV for NPE1, NPE2 and DNPE, respectively (Table 3), which fell within the range of −15 mV to −30 mV for well-stabilized nanosuspensions.\(^{31}\)

Using porcine buccal mucosal tissue, a linear relationship was observed between the transmucosal flux and the donor concentration of phenylephrine \((R^2 = 0.9999\), Figure 2\). Transmucosal flux of phenylephrine solution (9.911 mg/mL) was 3.27 ± 0.41 × 10\(^{-4}\) mg/cm\(^2\)·min, meaning that for 2.5 mg of phenylephrine to be delivered through an area of approximately 3 cm\(^2\), more than 40 hours would be required.

Figure 3 shows that the PE-HPβCD complex and the phenylephrine nanosuspensions achieved higher permeability than the unmodified phenylephrine. PE-HPβCD and NPE1 had comparable permeability, with more than 0.2 mg of phenylephrine permeating through the mucosa over 240 minutes. Transmucosal flux was 7.78 ± 0.42 × 10\(^{-4}\) mg/cm\(^2\)·min and 7.32 ± 0.36 × 10\(^{-4}\) mg/cm\(^2\)·min for PE-HPβCD and NPE1, respectively. For NPE2 and DNPE, more pronounced permeation enhancement was

### Table 1 Compositions of phenylephrine-containing microreservoirs (mg per microtablet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microtablet</th>
<th>Lactose</th>
<th>Mannitol</th>
<th>PVPP</th>
<th>CMS Na</th>
<th>PEG 6000</th>
<th>Aerosil</th>
<th>Phenylephrine</th>
<th>DNPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMT1</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT2</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Equivalent to approximately 2.5 mg phenylephrine.

**Abbreviations:** PEG, polyethylene glycol; PMT1, microtablet-incorporating coarse phenylephrine powders; PMT2, microtablet-incorporating phenylephrine dry nanosuspension; PVPP, polyvinylpyrrolidone; CMS Na, sodium starch glycolate; DNPE, phenylephrine dry nanosuspension.

### Table 2 Preparation process and apparent solubility of nanosuspensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media milling (minutes)</th>
<th>Apparent solubility after 72 hours (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPE1</td>
<td>10.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPE2</td>
<td>17.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Selected for further studies; †Homogenized for 30 minutes following the milling process.

**Abbreviations:** NPE1, phenylephrine nanosuspension prepared by milling in a mannitol aqueous solution; NPE2, phenylephrine nanosuspension prepared by high pressure homogenization of NPE1.

### Table 3 Particle size, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta-potential of coarse powder or nanosuspensions of phenylephrine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>Zeta-potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPE1</td>
<td>832.4</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>−26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPE2</td>
<td>500.3</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>−16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNPE</td>
<td>216.8</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>−15.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** NPE1, phenylephrine nanosuspension prepared by milling in a mannitol aqueous solution; NPE2, phenylephrine nanosuspension prepared by high pressure homogenization of NPE1; DNPE, phenylephrine dry nanosuspension.
Both PMT1 and PMT2 were found to perform appropriately as potential delivery systems, with acceptable dosage accuracy, containing $2.47 \pm 0.05$ mg and $2.42 \pm 0.01$ mg of phenylephrine, respectively. The permeability of phenylephrine from the patches varied, with significantly higher drug permeability observed for PMT2 (Figure 4). While a maximum of 5% of phenylephrine from PMT1 permeated through the mucosa over a period of 180 minutes, this was significantly exceeded by the PMT2 patches. For PMT2, the permeation percentage (as a function of loading dose) was $5.14 \pm 1.01\%$ at 60 minutes, $9.14 \pm 2.02\%$ at 120 minutes, and $14.99 \pm 2.39\%$ at 240 minutes (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Buccal drug delivery provides a promising route for the administration of APIs where avoidance of gastrointestinal degradation and hepatic first-pass metabolism is desired. However, efficient systemic drug delivery through buccal mucosa requires suitable water solubility for initial dissolution of the API and adequate transmucosal permeability to ensure movement of the API into the bloodstream. For poorly
drug delivery may be a promising technique. The surface further suggests the use of nanosuspensions for buccal bussiveness and the consequent prolonged retention at mucosal increasing apparent solubility. The feature of mucosal adhe-

buccal transmucosal permeability of phenylephrine via range were confirmed as two effective techniques to improve dextrin inclusion and particle size reduction to the nanometer improved permeability of phenylephrine. Therefore, cyclo-
dextrin complexation and size reduction brought a significant increase in transmucosal permeation. A 30% increase in apparent solubility by cyclodextrin com-

plexation led to a doubling of transmucosal flux through porcine buccal mucosa, and an 80% increase in apparent solubility via nanosuspension was accompanied by approximately a 4-fold increase in the transmucosal flux. It is postulated that with the increase in apparent solubility, more dissolved drug is presented to the porcine buccal mucosal surface, resulting in a higher concentration gradient across the membrane. Since phenylephrine permeates through buccal mucosa via passive diffusion (confirmed by the linearity between transmucosal flux and donor concentration, Figure 2), the increase in concentration gradient across the membrane contributed to the improved permeability of phenylephrine. Therefore, cyclodextrin inclusion and particle size reduction to the nanometer range were confirmed as two effective techniques to improve buccal transmucosal permeability of phenylephrine via increasing apparent solubility. The feature of mucosal adhe-

siveness and the consequent prolonged retention at mucosal surface further suggests the use of nanosuspensions for buccal drug delivery may be a promising technique.

Though the development of nanosuspensions may solve the problem of low water solubility and result in enhanced permeation, it is challenging to incorporate nanosuspensions into devices for buccal drug delivery in terms of preserving the nanosize feature. Preparation of traditional buccal devices, typically buccal films, often requires the suspension/dissolution of drug and polymers in an aqueous media, which will destroy the nanosize feature of nanosuspensions and lead to an increase in particle size. The improved microreservoir-based buccal patch developed in this study consists of a medicated microtablet bound to a mucoadhesive film. The patch enables drug incorporation without direct contact between drug and mucoadhesive polymers, so that drug can be directly released to the mucosal surface without being influenced by the polymeric matrices. This new type of buccal patch has been previously characterized with at least a 2-hour retention time at the human buccal mucosa and with the capability to deliver APIs without potential drug loss into the oral cavity. Incorporation of phenylephrine dry nano-
suspension into the patch yielded products with satisfactory dosage accuracy. In addition, improved drug permeability was achieved with the patch containing phenylephrine nano-
suspension, suggesting that the microreservoir based buccal patch may provide a prototype buccal device to deliver poorly water-soluble APIs through buccal mucosa.

Conclusion

The challenging problems associated with delivering poorly water-soluble APIs through the buccal mucosa can be overcome, at least in part, by water solubility enhancement techniques. Cyclodextrin complexation and size reduction to nanometer range were found to be two techniques able to increase buccal absorption of phenylephrine with more pronounced enhancement achieved with nanosuspensions. Incorporating the phenylephrine nanosuspension into the microreservoir based buccal patch resulted in a satisfac-
tory product, with uniform drug content and relatively high permeability. The microreservoir based buccal patch allowed successful incorporation of nanosuspensions, and could potentially be utilized for the delivery of a range of poorly soluble APIs.
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