

Changes in corneal biomechanics following different keratoplasty techniques

Mohamed Hosny
Mohamed Abdel Moneim
Hassaballa
Ahmed Shalaby

Ophthalmology Department, Faculty
of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo,
Egypt

Purpose: To evaluate corneal biomechanics with the Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA; Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, Depew, NY) after penetrating keratoplasty (PK) and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK).

Subjects and methods: Sixty-three eyes of 63 patients were included in this prospective comparative study. Patients were divided into 3 groups: the first included 21 eyes of normal subjects (control group); the second included 21 eyes with PK; the third included 21 eyes with DALK. ORA was performed 2 months postoperatively for all patients.

Results: Both mean corneal hysteresis (CH) and mean corneal resistance factor (CRF) were significantly lower in the PK group than both the DALK group and matched controls. No statistically significant difference was found between mean CH and mean CRF between the DALK group and matched controls

Conclusion: Corneas after PK have weaker biomechanical properties than normal corneas. DALK preserves the biomechanical strength of the corneas to almost normal values.

Keywords: hysteresis, keratoplasty, keratoconus

Introduction

Corneal biomechanics have been studied over recent years. Previously, assessment of the biomechanical properties of the cornea was only possible with theoretical and laboratory models.¹ The Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA; Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, Depew, NY) is the first simple device able to provide an in vivo dynamic assessment of the biomechanical properties of the cornea.²

The ORA functions by emitting an air jet to deform the cornea. The air-jet pressure is steadily increased until the first force-in appplanation event is reached. This appplanation event is the trigger to switch off the air jet after some further increase in air pressure. After reaching the pressure peak, the air pressure slowly reduces until it is completely removed. The instrument makes 2 measurements of the corneal response to the air pressure pulse: the force necessary to flatten the cornea as the air pressure rises and the force at which the cornea flattens again as the air pressure falls.² It has been found that the second, force-out appplanation occurs at a lower pressure than the first, force-in appplanation, and this effect has been attributed to the dampening effects of the cornea. The difference between these two pressures has been termed corneal hysteresis (CH) (Figure 1). Hysteresis is a measure of the energy absorption during the “loading–unloading, stress–strain cycle of viscoelastic materials.”³ CH is believed to be a reflection of the damping properties of the cornea⁴ and forms the basis of a derived parameter, the corneal resistance factor (CRF).⁵

Correspondence: Mohamed Hosny
84 Shehab Street, Mohandeseen, Giza,
12411, Egypt
Tel +20 100007675
Fax +20 233372117
Email mohamedhosny@mac.com




Figure 1 Corneal hysteresis.

In 1936, Castroviejo did a first transplantation in an advanced case of keratoconus, achieving significant improvement in the patient's vision.⁶ At present, and even though penetrating keratoplasty (PK) continues to be the standard corneal transplant technique, deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) is attaining greater relevance. One of the reasons for the increased popularity of this technique is the enhanced surgical safety afforded by the improved integrity of the ocular structure during the intervention, which is an extraocular procedure. Moreover there is no chance of endothelial rejection in lamellar keratoplasty due to the recipient's own endothelium being retained. However, lamellar keratoplasty cannot be applied in cases of total involvement of the corneal thickness.⁷

The aim of this study was to evaluate the corneal biomechanics by ORA after PK and DALK.

Subjects and methods

Sixty-three eyes of 63 patients were included in this prospective comparative study. Patients were divided into 3 groups: the first included 21 eyes of normal subjects (control group); the second included 21 eyes with PK; the third included 21 eyes with DALK.

The cases were matched in age to avoid the natural cross-linking that occurs in the cornea with age. The mean ages (years) were: control group 24.3 ± 4.0 , PK group 24.5 ± 3.8 , and DALK group 24.52 ± 4.4 . The cases were matched in indications to avoid gross variation in the biomechanical properties of the recipient corneal bed. The cases with PK

included: 11 cases had keratoconus with Descemet membrane (DM) scars; 5 cases had full thickness corneal opacities; and 5 cases were keratoconus that had unplanned PK after DM perforation during DALK. The cases with DALK included: 12 cases had keratoconus; 5 cases had post-LASIK ectasia; and 4 cases had anterior stromal opacities due to recurrent herpetic keratitis. ORA was performed 2 months postoperatively for all patients. Each patient's ORA measurement is a mean of 4 consecutive air-puff applanations. Irreproducible ORA measures were excluded from the study. At the same visit central corneal thickness (CCT) was measured by an ultrasonic pachymeter.

DALK technique of (big bubble technique)

The technique involved a partial thickness trephination with the Hanna suction trephine (Moria S.A., Antony, France), set at approximately 60% of the peripheral stromal thickness, taking care to encompass the entire stromal lesion. A 30-gauge needle attached to an air-filled syringe, bevel down, was inserted and advanced approximately 2–3 mm into the remaining posterior stroma, at a plane almost parallel to the corneal surface, so as not to perforate DM. Forceful air injection into the posterior stroma results in a "big-bubble" with separation of DM from the posterior stroma. Anterior lamellar dissection of the anterior stroma was then performed with a Mini-Crescent blade (1.25 mm, Sharp, UK) and a conventional crescent blade (2.25 mm, BD Visitec, UK), to gain access to the posterior stromal surface. The bubble was

then released and the posterior stroma was carefully removed with the use of blunt-tipped corneal scissors. A partial thickness donor cornea was sutured into place after removal of DM. The trephination diameter in the receiving cornea was in the range of 7.5–7.75 mm, with a donor trephination 0.25 mm larger.

PK technique

The donor cornea was trephinated with a punch and the receiving cornea with a suction trephine. As in the DALK group, the trephination diameter in the receiving cornea was in the range of 7.5–7.75 mm, with a donor trephination 0.25 mm larger. The chamber was then filled with high density viscoelastic material. First interrupted 4-stitch sutures were made and then completed later with a further 16 stitches or a nylon 10/0 continuous suture. The interventions were made by one surgeon (HM).

Postoperative regimen was the same in both PK and DALK groups. It was as follows: patients were given topical postoperative combined tobramycin 0.3% and dexamethasone 0.1% (Tobradex[®], Alcon Hünenberg, Switzerland) which was tapered gradually. All patients were examined at postoperative 1 day; 1, 2, and 4 weeks; and 2 months.

Data were statistically described in terms of range, mean, and standard deviation (SD), Correlation between various variables was done using independent samples test. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were done using computer programs Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corporation, NY) and SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) version 15 for Microsoft Windows.

Results

Corneal hysteresis (Figure 2)

Mean CH was 10.86 mm Hg ± 1.36 (range 8.3–13 mm Hg) in the control group; 9.57 mm Hg ± 0.33 (range 7–12 mm Hg) in the PK group; and 10.87 mm Hg ± 1.39 (range 8–13 mm Hg) in the DALK group. There was no statistically significant difference between mean CH in the DALK group and the matched controls. Mean CH in the PK group was significantly lower than in the other 2 groups ($P = 0.003$).

Corneal resistance factor (Figure 3)

Mean CRF was 11.16 mm Hg ± 1.5 (range 8.5–13.5) in the control group; 9.59 mm Hg ± 1.5 (range 7.5–12.5) in the PK group; and 11.25 mm Hg ± 1.46 (range 8.5–13.5) (Figure 3) in the DALK group. There was no statistically significant difference between mean CRF in the DALK group and the




Figure 2 Corneal hysteresis in the 3 groups.

matched controls. Mean CRF in the PK group was significantly lower than in the other 2 groups ($P < 0.01$).

Within each group, there was no statistically significant difference between mean CH and mean CRF, ie, no CH/CRF dissociation.

Mean CCT was 549.24 $\mu\text{m} \pm 18.26$ in the control group; 561.67 $\mu\text{m} \pm 24.33$ in the PK group; and 569.31 $\mu\text{m} \pm 32.39$ in the DALK group. The difference between the 3 groups was not statistically significant.

Mean intraocular pressure (IOP) was 17.66 mm Hg ± 2.36 in the control group; 18.52 mm Hg ± 1.83 in the PK group; and 16.47 mm Hg ± 1.89 in the DALK group. The difference between the 3 groups was not statistically significant.

Discussion

The influence of corneal biomechanics on the results of various corneal surgical procedures is well recognized. Studying the change in corneal biomechanics caused by different procedures can lead to better understanding and fine tuning of the results.

In this study, both mean CH and mean CRF were significantly lower in the PK group than both the DALK group and the matched controls. No statistically significant difference was found between mean CH and mean CRF between the




Figure 3 Corneal resistance factors (CRF) in the 3 groups.

DALK group and the matched controls. To our knowledge, no study on the effect of different keratoplasty techniques on CH and CRF has been reported.

In normal conditions CH and CRF have mostly similar values and are correlated; unless there are unusually high or low values in either the CCT or IOP, CH/CRF dissociation can occur. In the patient series reported in this study, none of the groups exhibited CH/CRF dissociation, ie, CH and CRF were correlated and values were close. In contrast, Touboul et al⁸ reported CH/CRF dissociation in subclinical keratoconus patients, keratoconus patients, and LASIK patients and they assumed that this dissociation is sensitive for screening for weak corneas. But in his series of patients, the corneas that were assessed were affected by keratoconus or ectasia, which explains the dissociation between the CH and the CRF since the CRF is basically the CH corrected to both the CCT and the IOP. In cases with keratoconus, the CCT is much lower than normal, which may result in lower CRF values than normal. In our study, though, the patients had already undergone corneal transplantation, so the corneas assessed were transplanted corneas with normal CCT.

In the control patients reported in our study, mean CH was 10.86 mm Hg \pm 1.36. Mangouritsas et al⁹ reported a mean CH of 10.97 \pm 1.59; Touboul et al⁸ reported a mean CH 9.3; Kamiya et al¹⁰ reported a mean CH of 10.2 \pm 1.3; Lim et al¹¹ reported a mean CH 11.78 \pm 1.55 in Singaporean children; and Shah et al⁵ reported a mean CH of 10.7 \pm 2. In another study performed on 281 Egyptians' eyes, mean CH was 9.78 \pm 1.63.¹²

In conclusion, it seems that corneas after PK have weaker biomechanical properties than normal corneas. DALK preserves the biomechanical strength of the corneas to almost normal values. We believe that the continuity of the Descemet membrane in both the DALK group and the control group acts as a shell foundation for the rest of the corneal stroma which rests above it, and hence the CH is similar in these 2 groups. As for the PKP group, the incised Descemet membrane all around the border of the full thickness graft and the separation of the central disc of this membrane from the rest of it that is

in the host bed gravely affects its ability to form a continuous strong scaffold foundation for the overlying stroma, and so the CH is decreased. We believe that the Descemet membrane is a thin (10–12 μ m) but strong layer, as evidenced by the formation of a descematocele in deep corneal ulcerations that resists perforation of the globe, sometimes for days when all the rest of the stroma has melted. In this study it seems that the uninterrupted host DM acted as a shell foundation that mechanically supported the overlying corneal graft and kept its biomechanics near normal values.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in relation to this work.

References

1. Guirao A. Theoretical elastic response of the cornea to refractive surgery: risk factors for keratectasia. *J Refract Surg*. 2005;21:176–185.
2. Luce DA. Determining in vivo biomechanical properties of the cornea with an ocular response analyzer. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2005; 31:156–162.
3. Kotecha A, El Sheikh A, Roberts C, et al. Corneal thickness and age related biomechanical properties of the cornea measured with ocular response analyzer. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2006;47:5337–5347.
4. Kotecha A. What biomechanical properties of the cornea are relevant for the clinician? *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2007;52:S109–S114.
5. Shah S, Laiquzzaman M, Cunliffe I, Mantry S. The use of the Reichert ocular response analyser to establish the relationship between ocular hysteresis, corneal resistance factor and central corneal thickness in normal eyes. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye*. 2006;29:257–262.
6. Castroviejo R. Keratoplasty for the Treatment of Keratoconus. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc*. 1948;46:127–153.
7. Lopez S, Etxebarria J, Acera A, et al. Protected penetrating keratoplasty: Surgical technique and endothelial response. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol*. 2008;83:231–236.
8. Touboul DA, Roberts CA, Karautret JA, et al. Correlation between corneal hysteresis, intraocular pressure and corneal central pachymetry. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34:616–622.
9. Mangouritsas G, Morphis G, Mourtzoukos S, Feretis E. Association between corneal hysteresis and central corneal thickness in glaucomatous and non-glaucomatous eyes. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2009;87:901–905.
10. Kamiya K, Hagishima M, Fujimura F, Shimizu K. Factors affecting corneal hysteresis in normal eyes. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2008;246:1491–1494.
11. Lim L, Gazzard G, Chan Y, et al. Cornea biomechanical characteristics and their correlates with refractive error in Singaporean children. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2008;49:3852–3857.
12. Hassaballa M. Correlation between corneal hysteresis and central corneal thickness. *J Cataract and Cornea*. 2011;17:10–14.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.