Update on treatment options for Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome: focus on use of amifampridine
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Abstract: In Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS), antibodies against presynaptic voltage-gated calcium channels reduce the quantal release of acetylcholine, causing muscle weakness and autonomic dysfunction. More than half of the affected patients have associated small cell lung cancer, and thorough screening for an underlying malignancy is crucial. The mainstay of treatment for LEMS is symptomatic but immunotherapy is needed in more severely affected patients. Symptomatic therapies aim at increasing the concentration of acetylcholine at the muscle endplate. While acetylcholinesterase inhibitors were the first drugs to be used for the amelioration of symptoms, 3,4-diaminopyridine (3,4-DAP, amifampridine) has been shown to be more effective. 3,4-DAP blocks presynaptic potassium channels, thereby prolonging the action potential and increasing presynaptic calcium concentrations. This then results in increased quantal release of acetylcholine. The efficacy of 3,4-DAP for increasing muscle strength and resting compound muscle action potentials has been demonstrated by four placebo-controlled trials. Side effects are usually mild, and the most frequently reported are paresthesias. The most common serious adverse events are epileptic seizures. 3,4-DAP is currently the treatment of choice in patients with Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome.
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An antibody-mediated syndrome

Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) is a disorder of the neuromuscular junction, characterized by proximal muscle weakness, depressed tendon reflexes, and posttetanic potentiation in addition to autonomic dysfunction. The clinical response of LEMS patients to plasma exchange and successful passive transfer experiments using patients’ immunoglobulin led to discovery of serum antibodies to P/Q-type voltage-gated calcium channels as the cause of LEMS. These pathogenic antibodies cause a downregulation of voltage-gated calcium channels, lowering the amount of presynaptic calcium and thus reducing the quantal release of acetylcholine. For 10%–15% of voltage-gated calcium channel-antibody negative LEMS patients, other antibody targets, namely synaptotagmin and presynaptic M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, have been discussed. Reduced acetylcholine release at the neuromuscular endplate results in decreased frequency of miniature endplate potentials of normal amplitude. Following a single nerve impulse, the acetylcholine released is not sufficient to activate most of the postsynaptic muscle fibers, therefore the compound muscle action potential (CMAP) is reduced. The CMAP shows a decrement at low stimulation frequency. In contrast, at high stimulation frequency, calcium is thought...
to accumulate in the nerve ending and facilitate acetylcholine release, resulting in the characteristic increment. A clinical correlate of this phenomenon is seen in improvement of previously absent tendon reflexes following a short period of forceful muscle contraction.

Autonomic dysfunction is present in the majority of LEMS patients and is usually mild. The most commonly reported symptoms are dry mouth and male impotence. Dry eyes, alterations in sudomotor function, constipation or impaired bowel control, and bladder dysfunction are also found frequently. Heart rate variability as a measure of parasympathetic innervation of the heart is more often affected than orthostatic regulation, which is a function of the sympathetic nervous system. Autoantibodies against voltage-gated calcium channels are also thought to underlie the autonomic dysfunction, although this view is not unchallenged. Sensorimotor neuropathy is not associated with autonomic symptoms in LEMS patients.

LEMS is associated with small cell lung cancer in 50%–60% of patients, who show more rapidly progressive LEMS. Older age, a history of smoking, development of multiple clinical symptoms within the first 6 months, the presence of cerebellar symptoms, presence of Sox1 antibodies, and absence of human leucocyte antigen B8 all increase the likelihood of associated small cell lung cancer. Recently, the Dutch-English LEMS Tumor Association Prediction (DELTA-P) score has been developed to distinguish more accurately between patients at high risk versus low risk for the disease. The DELTA-P score helps to identify patients in need of more intensive or prolonged screening.

Management
The prognosis of LEMS is profoundly affected by associated small cell lung cancer. Therefore, diagnosis of LEMS should prompt a vigorous screen for small cell lung cancer, including computed tomography of the thorax, [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography, and bronchoscopy. In the event of negative test results, these investigations should still be repeated every 6 months for at least 2 years. As yet, it is not clear if the DELTA-P score helps to identify patients in need of more intensive or prolonged screening.

Because LEMS is an antibody-mediated disease, immunotherapy is recommended for more severe cases. Recommendations for conventional immunosuppression are similar to those for myasthenia gravis. Prednisolone plus a steroid-sparing agent, such as azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, cyclosporin, or methotrexate, is used. Plasmapheresis can stabilize the patient in a LEMS crisis. Alternatively, intravenous immunoglobulins have been shown in a small randomized, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial to improve limb strength in LEMS patients. However, immunosuppression is only recommended for patients in whom symptomatic treatment does not suffice. Thus, this review will focus on the nonimmune treatment options for LEMS, in particular the use of 3,4-diaminopyridine (3,4-DAP, amifampridine), which is now recommended as first-line therapy.

A literature search was performed using PubMed and the Cochrane Library. In addition, the assessment report of the European Medicines Agency for amifampridine (Zenas®, now Firdapse®) was consulted.

Improving availability of acetylcholine at the muscle endplate
Symptoms of LEMS are caused by reduced quantal release of acetylcholine. Therefore, symptomatic treatments aim to increase acetylcholine concentrations at the neuromuscular junction. Cholinesterase inhibitors block degradation of the transmitter and were the first symptomatic treatment used in LEMS cases. However, the effects of monotherapy are at best minimal, and a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study found no effect of pyridostigmine on CMAP amplitude and muscle strength.

Other symptomatic treatments are aimed at improvement of presynaptic acetylcholine release. Guanidine hydrochloride was first recommended by Lambert to treat LEMS, and can increase the concentration of free intracellular calcium by blocking the respiratory chain and potassium channels, thus prolonging the action potential at the nerve terminal, or facilitating calcium channels. In vitro studies have demonstrated that guanidine enhances the release of acetylcholine, particularly at the beginning of a tetanus, but not later during the tetanic train. This explains its effectiveness in LEMS with its low initial action potential and its limited use in myasthenia gravis. Guanidine has been shown to improve electromyographic parameters and muscle strength effectively in multiple case reports. However, guanidine has not been studied in controlled trials because serious side effects, especially bone marrow depression and renal failure, preclude widespread use of the drug.
Aminopyridines selectively block presynaptic voltage-dependent potassium channels, thereby prolonging cell membrane depolarization and allowing for more calcium to be transported into the nerve ending. The resulting increase in intracellular calcium facilitates the vesicular release of acetylcholine and enhances impulse transmission at central, autonomic, and neuromuscular synapses. 4-Aminopyridine (fampridine) was initially used in LEMS, but its use was limited by stimulating effects in the central nervous system, because it readily crosses the blood–brain barrier. Fampridine is twice as toxic and more convulsive than amifampridine in laboratory animals after intravenous administration. In vitro studies demonstrated that amifampridine is 7–10 times more potent than fampridine in enhancing the quantal release of acetylcholine. Due to its better therapeutic index, amifampridine is now the drug of choice for the symptomatic treatment of LEMS.

Pharmacology of 3,4-diaminopyridine

Chemistry

3,4-DAP is a quaternary ammonium compound that can be supplied as the base or as the more stable phosphate salt. It is water-soluble and only slightly soluble in organic solvents. Figure 1 shows the chemical structures of 3,4-DAP base and salt formulations compared with 4-aminopyridine and guanidine.

Primary pharmacodynamics

3,4-DAP blocks fast voltage-gated potassium channels, prolonging presynaptic depolarization and thus the action potential, resulting in increased release of acetylcholine. Additionally, a direct modulation of calcium channels by altered potassium channel function is suggested.

The selective potassium channel-blocking characteristics of 3,4-DAP have been studied electrophysiologically in murine triangularis sterni preparations. At a concentration of 10 mM, 3,4-DAP has been found to be able to reverse a 70% reduction in twitch height induced by 1–5 mM tubocurarine, a competitive acetylcholine antagonist. In a study of muscle preparations from young and old adult male Fisher rats, 3,4-DAP increased the isometric twitch force by 180% in tissue from young animals, whereas the increase was significantly lower (140%) in older animals.

Secondary pharmacodynamics

3,4-DAP can affect the cardiovascular, nervous, and gastrointestinal systems as part of its secondary pharmacodynamic profile. In vitro, 3,4-DAP can modulate cardiac conduction and induce phasic contractions in different arteries from several species. Additionally, 3,4-DAP can stimulate potassium-evoked dopamine and noradrenaline release in rat hippocampal slices and upregulate acetylcholine release in the brain. Finally, 3,4-DAP can potentiate adrenergic and cholinergic neuromuscular transmission in the gastrointestinal tract.

3,4-DAP has been demonstrated to increase blood pressure in a dose-dependent manner, induce salivation in the cat, and induce full dose-dependent miosis in anesthesized rats. There are no studies on secondary pharmacodynamics in humans.

Pharmacokinetics

There are limited data on the pharmacokinetics of 3,4-DAP. In a study of patients with multiple sclerosis, 3,4-DAP base was rapidly absorbed after oral administration and had a serum half-life from 20 minutes to 2 hours, with considerable inter-individual variability. After intravenous injection of 10 mg into LEMS patients, peak plasma concentration was 68 ± 19 ng/mL, and the average plasma concentration half-life was 58 ± 25 (range 29–110) minutes. Compartmental analysis demonstrated a mean clearance of 1.97 L/min. The pharmacokinetics of 3,4-DAP were not significantly affected by cotreatment with pyridostigmine. In LEMS patients, clinical improvement is seen within 5 minutes of intravenous administration of 3,4-DAP 8–9 mg. The maximal effect after oral administration is seen after 1.5 hours, with treatment effects maintained for 3–8 hours. Effective oral doses are approximately three times those of the same doses given intravenously. A bioequivalence study (DAPSEL) comparing the 3,4-DAP base and phosphate salt formulations showed a higher $C_{\text{max}}$ and a shorter time to peak concentration for the salt.

There are no human data for 3,4-DAP on protein binding, metabolism, excretion, or drug interactions apart from the data described above. There are also no data on special populations, such as those with hepatic or renal impairment.

Figure 1 Chemical structures of potassium channel blockers used experimentally or clinically for the treatment of Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome.
Toxicity
The LD₅₀ is 13 mg/kg in mice after intravenous injection, 20 mg/kg after peritoneal injection, and 35 mg/kg after subcutaneous injection. Death in mice is due to uncontrolled convulsions.

Clinical use of 3,4-DAP
The first report on the use of 3,4-DAP in LEMS patients showed marked improvement of muscle function in three women treated with 3,4-DAP 6–9 mg intravenously four times daily or 18 mg orally four times daily. Up until now, there have been four double-blind, placebo-controlled trials investigating the effectiveness of 3,4-DAP in LEMS, involving a total of 54 patients (Table 1). Three trials had a crossover design, and one had a parallel-group design. In all trial participants, the diagnosis of LEMS was based on a typical clinical picture, including proximal muscle weakness with or without absent tendon reflexes or dysautonomia, and confirmed by pathognomonic electrophysiological findings of decreased resting CMAP amplitude in a hand muscle, and facilitation of more than 100% after 10 seconds of maximal voluntary contraction. The primary outcome measure was a change in quantitative myasthenia gravis (QMG) score or voluntary contraction. The primary outcome measure was improvement in resting CMAP amplitude.

McEvoy et al studied 12 LEMS patients in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover pivotal trial. The first 8 days were conducted as an open-label phase, during which 3,4-DAP was given in increasing doses up to 25 mg orally four times per day. In the double-blind, cross-over phase, patients were randomly assigned to either placebo or the maximally tolerated dose of 3,4-DAP for 3 days, and then received the alternate regimen for another 3 days. Outcome measures and the lack of definition of primary or secondary outcome measures.

Table 1 Randomized, placebo-controlled trials with 3,4-diaminopyridine in patients with Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Trial design</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McEvoy et al</td>
<td>n = 12, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over, maximum tolerated dose of 3,4-DAP (up to 100 mg/day) for 3 days</td>
<td>Improved NDS score, isometric muscle strength and resting CMAP amplitudes, decrement and increment unchanged, quantitative autonomic tests unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders et al</td>
<td>n = 26 (12 with 3,4-DAP, 14 with placebo), parallel-group, 3,4-DAP 60 mg/day or placebo for 6 days</td>
<td>Improved QMG score and resting CMAP amplitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wirtz et al</td>
<td>n = 9, double-blind, placebo-controlled, double-dummy, cross-over, 3,4-DAP 10 mg IV, pyridostigmine 2 mg IV, both drugs together or placebo, functional assessments every 20 minutes after administration up to 170 minutes</td>
<td>Improved isometric muscle strength and resting CMAP amplitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al</td>
<td>n = 8, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over, 3,4-DAP 15–80 mg/day orally or placebo for 8 days (n = 3) or 30–75 mg/day orally for 3 days (n = 4), one dropout</td>
<td>Improvement of subjective symptoms Score, LEMS classification, MRC score of 22 muscles and QMC as well as resting CMAP amplitude, unchanged decrement and increment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: 3,4-DAP, 3,4-diaminopyridine; CMAP, compound muscle action potential; IV, intravenous; LEMS, Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome; MRC, Medical Research Council; QMG, quantitative myasthenia gravis.
Sanders et al\textsuperscript{36} studied 26 LEMS patients in a two-arm, parallel-treatment protocol. Twelve patients were randomly assigned to 3,4-DAP 20 mg three times per day for 6 days. The primary outcome measure was muscle strength assessed using the QMG score (range 0–39) on the fifth and sixth days of study drug administration. Changes in resting CMAP amplitudes were used as the secondary outcome measure. After six days, QMG scores were improved by an average of two points in the group receiving 3,4-DAP, but worsened by 0.25 points in the placebo group ($P = 0.01$). Median resting CMAP amplitudes showed an increase of 1.3 mV (+64\%) in the patients receiving 3,4-DAP, but decreased by 0.1 mV (3\%) in the placebo group ($P < 0.001$). After the blinded phase, 25 patients continued taking 3,4-DAP, usually together with pyridostigmine. All but three patients improved by at least two QMG points while taking 3,4-DAP. In more than half of the patients, the optimum clinical response was achieved with 30 mg or 40 mg of 3,4-DAP per day. The authors mention that during the open-label phase, 13 patients who improved while taking open-label 3,4-DAP had a further symptomatic improvement when pyridostigmine was added, but this report was not backed up with quantitative data. Reported side effects were minimal. Four of 14 patients taking 3,4-DAP in the blinded phase and eight of 22 patients in the open-label phase complained of perioral and/or digital paresthesias. No changes in blood tests for renal, liver, hematologic, or endocrine function were observed acutely or after 6 months in the open-label phase.

Wirtz et al\textsuperscript{22} performed a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-dummy, cross-over study in nine voltage-gated calcium channel-positive LEMS patients. They compared the effects of 3,4-DAP, pyridostigmine, the combination of both drugs, and placebo, on muscle strength and results of repetitive nerve stimulation. They also included pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data. Patients were treated with 3,4-DAP 10 mg intravenously, pyridostigmine 2 mg intravenously, both drugs, or placebo. Drug effects were measured every 20 minutes up to 170 minutes after administration. Isometric muscle strength of hip flexion and CMAP amplitudes of the hypothenar muscle were used as primary outcome measures, with a CMAP decrement after 3 Hz stimulation and an increment after maximum voluntary contraction used as secondary outcome measures. Muscle strength and CMAP amplitude increased significantly compared with placebo (mean-time averaged difference 23 Newtons and 0.9 mV, respectively) and with both drugs combined (26 Newtons and 1.1 mV, respectively), but not with pyridostigmine alone. The combination therapy provided a slight reduction in decrement compared with 3,4-DAP alone, but no other beneficial effects favoring the combination therapy were observed. Concentration-effect analysis revealed that the neuromuscular effects lasted longer than would be suggested by the 1-hour plasma half-life of 3,4-DAP, supporting a three to four times daily dosing regimen. Two patients withdrew from the study after three treatments due to pain in the arm at the injection site.

Oh et al\textsuperscript{37} conducted a randomized, crossover study in eight patients with LEMS. Pyridostigmine was discontinued for at least 24 hours before and during the trial. One patient withdrew from the study due to a treatment-related side effect after the first phase (chills, shortness of breath, weakness, upset stomach, and difficulty sleeping). Patient recruitment took place over 12 years and the study design was altered after the first three cases. The first group was treated for 8 days each in the 3,4-DAP and the placebo phase. For the second group, both phases were reduced to 3 days. The first group initially received 3,4-DAP 15 mg which was gradually increased to 80 mg in the active phase, the second group initially received 3,4-DAP 30 mg/day which was gradually increased to 75 mg/day in the active phase. Clinical outcome was assessed using a subjective symptoms score (range 0–3), the LEMS classification (a modified Medical Research Council [MRC] grade of iliopsoas muscles, range 0–3), the MRC score of 22 muscles (range 0–110), and the QMG score. Electrophysiologically, CMAP amplitudes of abductor digiti quinti muscle, decremental response at 3 Hz, increments after 30 seconds of exercise, and the results of single-fiber electromyography in the extensor digitorum communis muscle were examined. Patients treated with 3,4-DAP improved in all four clinical outcome measures compared with the placebo group. The highest statistical significance was demonstrated in the LEMS classification. While the median baseline value was 1, it was 0 after 3,4-DAP treatment and 1.5 with placebo. Of the electrophysiological measures, only resting CMAP amplitudes showed a significant improvement (mean baseline 3.1 mV; 3,4-DAP 5.0 mV; placebo 2.4 mV). Four patients were followed up long-term in an open-label phase. Three patients received 3,4-DAP 30 mg/day, with or without pyridostigmine, and two of them reported sustained benefit over 1 and 4 years, respectively. The third patient took 3,4-DAP up to 70 mg/day, but then switched to guanidine hydrochloride due to a better clinical effect. The fourth patient discontinued 3,4-DAP and carried on with pyridostigmine monotherapy due to the lack of additional benefit from 3,4-DAP.\textsuperscript{37} Shortcomings of this study were the change in design during this study, with low
numbers of participants and a lack of definition of primary and secondary outcome measures.

The 2011 update of the Cochrane review on treatment for LEMS summarizes the available data. A meta-analysis showed that the QMG score obtained after 3–8 days of treatment was likely to improve by a mean of 2.44 points (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.22–3.6). The meta-analysis also revealed a mean improvement of the secondary endpoint, resting CMAP amplitudes, of 1.36 mV (95% confidence interval 0.99 to 1.72). The risk of bias was determined to be low and the quality of evidence moderate to high.

In addition, there are case reports on the effectiveness of 3,4-DAP to treat autonomic dysfunction. However, there may be a publication bias since negative outcomes are less likely to be published.

Safety and tolerability of 3,4-diaminopyridine

In total, about 2300 people have been exposed to 3,4-DAP, among these are around 300 LEMS patients. The most frequent serious adverse events are seizures. McEvoy et al reported a seizure in a patient shortly after the daily dose had been increased from 90 mg to 100 mg and the daily dose of pyridostigmine was doubled from 120 mg to 240 mg. Seizures did not recur with a daily dose of 3,4-DAP 40 mg.

In another study of 45 patients with LEMS treated with 3,4-DAP, three patients suffered seizures. One patient with metastatic cancer in the brain had a seizure when taking 3,4-DAP 60 mg/day. The second patient developed seizures under cotreatment of 3,4-DAP 100 mg/day and toxic doses of theophylline, but seizures did not recur after theophylline was discontinued. One patient with a seizure on 100 mg/day continued to take 3,4-DAP at a lower dose and continued to be seizure-free over 10 years. The risk of seizures appears to be dose-dependent. Therefore, the authors recommend a maximal dose of 80 mg/day.

Other reported adverse events are one case each of chorea and myoclonia. In the DAPSEL bioequivalence study, elevation of liver enzymes was seen in a previously healthy subject which returned to normal 1 month after discontinuation of 3,4-DAP.

Serious cardiovascular events were reported in two cases. One followed iatrogenic intoxication and occurred in a 65-year-old woman receiving 60 mg instead of 10 mg six times per day. She presented with general weakness, whole body paresthesias, nausea and vomiting, and supraventricular tachycardia. She subsequently developed severe seizures with acute respiratory failure and cardiac arrest. After withdrawal of 3,4-DAP she recovered well. The second case was a myocardial infarction a few weeks after 3,4-DAP had been commenced. The authors considered the event to be a possible “result of sudden increase of physical activity”. This study also reported bronchial hypersecretion and provocation of bronchial asthma.

Effects on QTc levels were examined in the DAPSEL study. No increase in QTc was observed, but only relatively low doses (3,4-DAP 10 mg or 20 mg) were administered. One case report described a 57-year-old man with LEMS and euthyroid Hashimoto’s disease, who was treated with 3,4-DAP 90 mg/d and azathioprine 100 mg/d, and showed a slight prolongation of the QTc interval (0.46 msec, normal range 0.36–0.44 msec).

Adverse events were reported in 51 LEMS patients from four placebo-controlled trials. Most commonly observed were perioral or digital paresthesias with daily doses as low as 10 mg, starting about 1 hour after oral administration of 3,4-DAP. Some patients complained of transient dizziness or fatigue. Symptoms resolved with dose reduction. Abdominal pain, epigastric distress, or abdominal cramps were also frequently reported to occur under treatment with 3,4-DAP. The adverse events reported in the placebo-controlled studies of LEMS patients are summarized in Table 2.

The largest safety study of 3,4-DAP in clinical practice was carried out as an observational, retrospective cohort study involving 669 patients, mostly suffering from multiple sclerosis, but including three patients with LEMS. The patients with multiple sclerosis received 3,4-DAP 20–30 mg/day, and the LEMS patients received higher doses of 30, 50 and 80 mg/day. Six serious adverse events were reported, ie, three patients with de novo or aggravated seizures, one with left-sided paresthesias requiring hospitalization, and

| Table 2 Adverse events related to 3,4-DAP treatment in Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Adverse event                  | Patients (n = 51) | %         |
| SAE                            |                       |           |
| Seizure                        | 1                     | 2         |
| AE                             |                       |           |
| Paresthesias                   | 22                    | 43        |
| Fatigue                        | 6                     | 12        |
| Epigastric distress            | 6                     | 12        |
| Sleeplessness                  | 5                     | 10        |
| Shortness of breath            | 1                     | 2         |
| Increased tearing              | 1                     | 2         |
| Urinary urge                   | 1                     | 2         |
| Pain in arm of IV administration | 8/9                  | 89        |

Note: Data from references as summarized in Wirtz et al.

Abbreviations: 3,4-DAP, 3,4-diaminopyridine; AE, adverse events; SAE, serious adverse events; IV, intravenous.
two with cardiovascular disorders. Drug-induced hepatitis was diagnosed in one patient with multiple sclerosis who had received 3,4-DAP 30 mg/day and also had had four courses of mitoxantrone. In total, 18.2% of the patients showed adverse events, most of which were mild to moderate and did not lead to discontinuation of the drug. Most common were paresthesias, followed by sleep disturbances, abdominal pain, and nausea and vomiting.44

In the DAPSEL study, 40 adverse events were reported in 26 healthy volunteers, 25 of which were paresthesias, primarily perioral as described in the 3,4-DAP-treated patients. All but one adverse event (elevation of liver enzymes as described above) were considered minor and did not lead to premature withdrawal.

In total, 114 patients were treated under the Therapeutic Use Protocol in France, and among these were 39 LEMS patients, with 25 adverse events in 13 case reports from eleven patients. Of the 13 reports, two were serious. The gastrointestinal system was most commonly affected by treatment-related adverse events (14.7%), and the most common individual adverse events were paresthesias (4.9%) and nausea and vomiting (3.6%).31

Laboratory tests for renal, hematological, and endocrine functions have not revealed any adverse effects of 3,4-DAP. However, elevated liver enzymes were reported in one patient on a daily dose of 60 mg/day, a healthy volunteer on a dose of 30 mg/day, and a patient on 30 mg/day with pretreatment elevation of gamma glutamyl transpeptidase.31 There are no data on safety in special populations, such as those with renal or hepatic impairment.

Because patients with LEMS are extremely sensitive to depolarizing and nondepolarizing muscle relaxants (succinylcholine, tubocurarine), reduced doses of these drugs should be used for neuromuscular blockade during anesthesia, and should be avoided where possible. If their use is required, neuromuscular transmission should be monitored closely. 3,4-DAP should be continued up to the time of surgery and recommenced as soon as possible.31

Discussion

Four placebo-controlled trials have demonstrated that 3,4-DAP effectively improves muscle strength and resting CMAP in patients with LEMS. Because autonomic symptoms are also caused by impaired acetylcholine release, 3,4-DAP should also treat dysautonomia in LEMS patients. However, despite anecdotal reports of effectiveness, McEvoy et al35 found no effect on several quantitative measures of autonomic function. This aspect should be studied further, bearing in mind the impact of autonomic dysfunction on quality of life in patients with LEMS. An additional effect of pyridostigmine used as a cotreatment with 3,4-DAP was claimed in several reports, but this was not supported by a recent small comparative trial. Larger trials may be needed to assess moderate treatment effects.

All the 3,4-DAP treatment trials used the base, either as a formulation for oral or for intravenous use. The availability of 3,4-DAP varies widely across Europe and the US, being distributed only through selected pharmacies, commonly associated with specialized centers. The more stable phosphate salt formulation of 3,4-DAP was granted orphan drug status in 2002 by the European Medicines Agency. In the US, 3,4-DAP also received orphan drug status by the Food and Drug Administration, but was not approved for general use, so was available only through ad hoc preparations in compounding pharmacies. In December 2009, 3,4-DAP phosphate received marketing authorization in the European Union for the symptomatic treatment of LEMS in adults. However, the European Medicines Agency expressed concerns about the comparability of the pharmacokinetics of the 3,4-DAP base and phosphate salt formulations.

Because the most serious adverse events appear to be dose-dependent, the maximal daily dose was reduced from 80 mg/day to 60 mg/day. Further studies on safety issues with higher doses and on long-term efficacy are needed. The marketing authorization required a patient registry to be set up, including measures of efficacy and addressing several of the safety issues, including occurrence of seizures, movement disorders, cardiac effects, carcinogenicity, safety in special populations such as those with hepatic or renal impairment, and pharmacokinetic data. Additionally, a thorough QT/corrected QT study was requested by the European Medicines Agency. Because the metabolism of 3,4-DAP has neither been studied in vitro nor in vivo, the European Medicines Agency have requested in vitro studies assessing the enzymes involved in metabolism of 3,4-DAP and the influence of the drug on the activity of several liver enzymes. The potential for enzyme induction will be tested and metabolites of 3,4-DAP analyzed. Whether this additional postmarketing workup justifies the considerable price difference between the 3,4-DAP base formulation and the phosphate salt is currently a matter of debate between the manufacturer and several health authorities.

Conclusion

3,4-DAP is recommended as first-line symptomatic treatment in LEMS by the Task Force of the European
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Federation of Neurological Diseases. It should be offered to all patients suffering from this rare disease, which affects approximately one in 100,000 individuals in Europe and the US. Dosing is recommended to start at 5 mg three times a day and can be increased up to 60 mg/day in 3–4 daily doses. It is contraindicated in patients with epilepsy. A warning is issued regarding risk groups, dose-dependency, and comodication with drugs that lower the seizure threshold. With respect to cardiac function, 3,4-DAP is contraindicated in patients on sulfotride, those with congenital QT syndromes, and those taking other drugs known to prolong the QTc interval or to promote torsades de pointes. A warning is issued regarding cotreatment with QTc-prolonging drugs. Clinical and electrocardiographic monitoring is recommended at initiation of the drug and yearly thereafter. 3,4-DAP is also contraindicated in patients with uncontrolled asthma, who should be closely monitored. While 3,4-DAP will remain the drug of choice for LEMS patients in the coming years, ultimately there is a need to develop specific immunotherapies targeting their aberrant production of pathogenic antibodies.
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