Liraglutide therapy beyond glycemic control: an observational study in Indian patients with type 2 diabetes in real world setting

Background: Liraglutide is an analog of human glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and acts as a GLP-1 receptor agonist. Liraglutide is presently used in the treatment of selected patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Objective: To assess efficacy and safety of liraglutide in overweight and obese Indian patients with T2DM.

Methods: A single center, prospective, open-labeled, single-arm, observational study for 24 weeks in a real-world setting. Fourteen overweight and obese patients with T2DM who were clinically suitable for liraglutide therapy received liraglutide injections. The starting dose of liraglutide (Victoza) injection was 0.6 mg/day for 3 days followed by 1.2 mg for next 10 days and finally 1.8 mg/day for 22 weeks. Patients were evaluated at baseline and after 12 and 24 weeks of therapy. Adverse events (AE) noted during course of therapy were recorded. A repeated measure analysis of variance was performed to assess statistical significance.

Results: Fourteen patients were studied for 24 weeks. After 24 weeks of liraglutide therapy, mean fasting and postprandial plasma glucose decreased by 48.5 mg/dL and 66.71 mg/dL, respectively ($P = 0.002$ and $P = 0.004$ over 24 weeks, respectively). A mean reduction of 2.26% of glycosylated hemoglobin was noted ($P < 0.001$ over 24 weeks). Mean decrease in body weight of 8.65 kg and mean decrease in body mass index of 3.26 kg/m² was noted ($P = 0.001$ over 24 weeks for each parameter). Systolic blood pressure was reduced by 15.15 mm of Hg ($P = 0.004$). Significant improvement in total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, triglycerides, and serum creatinine was noted. Nine patients reported AEs. The AEs noticed were nausea (n = 6), feeling of satiety (n = 3), and vomiting (n = 1). No serious AE or hypoglycemic episodes were observed.

Conclusion: Liraglutide once a day improved overall glycemic control and was well tolerated. Clinically significant reduction in body weight, systolic blood pressure and improvement in lipid profile were noticed with liraglutide therapy in addition to glycemic control.
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Introduction

A good majority of patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) present with concomitant obesity. The increase in prevalence of obesity over the last 20 years closely parallels the increase in prevalence of T2DM. Cultural changes in terms of food preferences and easy availability of convenience foods, irregular meals, frequent snacking on energy-dense fast foods, consumption of animal foods, sweetened carbonated drinks, low intake of fiber, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are leading to an increased prevalence of obesity in India. The recently
published Indian Council of Medical Research – India DIA- 
Betes (ICMR – INDIAB) study projected a prevalence rate of 
62.4 million and 77.2 million people in India with diabetes 
and prediabetes, respectively. This study also highlighted 
the association of abdominal obesity as a predominant risk 
factor for prediabetes and T2DM.3

Weight reduction is the corner stone in the management of 
T2DM, and is reported to increase insulin sensitivity, reduce 
blood pressure, improve lipid profile, and reduces markers of 
inflammation, coagulation, and endothelial dysfunction.4 As 
T2DM is a complex, multifactorial, and progressive disorder, 
maintaining an individualized glycemic target is always chal- 
lenging for both patient and the treating physician. Therefore 
there is a need for newer drugs in the effective management 
of T2DM. Incretin-based therapies for treatment of T2DM 
are a recent addition in the therapeutic armamentarium of 
diabetes management.

Liraglutide (Victoza; Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsværd, 
Denmark) is an analog of human glucagon-like peptide-1 
(GLP-1) and acts as a GLP-1 receptor agonist. It is indicated 
as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control 
in adults with T2DM.5 Liraglutide is available in India since 
July 2010. We present an observational study of liraglutide in 
newly diagnosed overweight and obese Indian patients with 
T2DM from a diabetes research center in India.

**Materials and methods**

This was a single center, prospective, open-labeled, single- 
arm, observational study of 24 weeks duration in a real-world 
setting. T2DM patients with coexisting overweight and obesity 
clinically suitable for liraglutide therapy were enrolled and 
managed with Diabetes Tele Management System (DTMS®)6 
at Jothydev’s Diabetes Research Center at Trivandrum, Kerala, 
India. DTMS® is a unique telemedicine tool in vogue since 
1998. It consists of special software, networked computers, 
and a multidisciplinary team that follows up diabetes 
patients via telephone or Internet and provides continuous 
education, modification of dosages and lifestyles, and ensures 
compliance with therapeutic instructions. The team comprises 
of more than 20 trained doctors, educators, dietitians, nurses, 
pharmacists, and a psychologist.

Patients with known contraindications for liraglutide 
therapy (based on prescribing information of Victoza® injec- 
tion) were excluded from the study. This study complied with 
the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Formal written 
informed consent for participation in the study was obtained 
from each patient.

Individualized diet modification and exercise plan was 
discussed and prescribed for all patients. All patients received 
liraglutide therapy in addition to their existing antidiabetic 
therapy. The starting dose of liraglutide (Victoza) injection 
was 0.6 mg/day for 3 days which was escalated to 1.2 mg 
for next 10 days and finally 1.8 mg/day for 22 weeks. There 
was no washout period for the drugs previously used in 
these patients and the duration of therapy was 24 weeks 
(6 months).

All patients were evaluated at baseline, after 12 weeks and 
after 24 weeks of therapy. Physical examination, glycemic 
control (fasting and postprandial plasma glucose [FPG; PPG], 
glycosylated hemoglobin [HbA1c]), lipid profile and other 
relevant investigations as required were repeated.

The doses of other antidiabetic drugs were modified as 
and when required via DTMS®. After 24 weeks of 
therapy, liraglutide was tapered and stopped and patients 
were continued on minimal/no drugs and lifestyle 
mmodifications.

The safety and tolerability of liraglutide was assessed 
continuously during the study and any adverse event (AE) 
noted during course of therapy was recorded. Additionally 
kidney function was evaluated at every visit.

**Statistical methods**

Descriptive statistical analysis has been carried out in 
the present study. Results on continuous measurements 
are presented on mean ± standard deviation (min–max) 
and results on categorical measurements are presented 
in percentages. Statistical significance was assessed 
at a 5% level. The following assumptions on data 
were made. Assumptions: (i) dependent variables were 
normally distributed, (ii) samples drawn from the population 
were random and samples of the cases were independent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr no</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Observed value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Male:female</td>
<td>7:7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Age (years, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>43.64 ± 13.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Duration of T2DM (weeks, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>33.50 ± 80.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Height (cm, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>165.36 ± 14.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Weight (kg, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>90.71 ± 11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m², mean ± SD)</td>
<td>33.49 ± 5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>143.76 ± 13.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>80.28 ± 8.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.
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Repeated measures analysis of variance has been performed to find the significance of change of study variables over baseline, after 12 weeks, and after 24 weeks. The difference was considered as significant with \( P \) values of \( 0.1 \) to \( 0.05 \), moderately significant with \( P \) values of \( 0.05 \) to \( 0.01 \) and strongly significant with \( P \) value of \( 0.01 \).7–10

**Statistical software**

The statistical software, SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and R environment (version 2.11.1; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), were used for the analysis of the data.

**Results**

Fourteen patients (seven male and seven female) receiving liraglutide therapy were followed-up for 24 weeks. Baseline demographic data is shown in Table 1. Mean (SD) age of 43.64 (13.89) years and majority (12/14) of patients had T2DM of less than 12 weeks duration. The mean weight and body mass index (BMI) were 90.71 (11.80) kg and 33.49 (5.15) kg/m\(^2\), respectively.

With 12 weeks of liraglutide therapy, mean decrease in FPG and PBG was 45.21 mg/dL and 50.07 mg/dL, respectively. After 24 weeks of therapy, FPG and PPG decreased by 48.5 mg/dL and 66.71 mg/dL, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 1). A quadratic change in reduction of FPG and PPG was noted over 24 weeks (\( P = 0.002 \) and 0.004, respectively).

Similarly HbA\(_{1c}\) decreased by 1.36% and 2.26% after 12 and 24 weeks of therapy, respectively. A linear reduction in HbA\(_{1c}\) over 12 and 24 weeks was noted (\( P < 0.001 \)) (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Significant reduction in body weight and BMI were noted over 24 weeks (−8.65 kg, \( P < 0.001 \) and −3.26 kg/m\(^2\), \( P < 0.001 \)) (Table 3 and Figure 3).

A significant decrease in systolic blood pressure (SBP) of 15.15 mm of Hg (\( P = 0.004 \)) and decrease in diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of 1.29 mm of Hg was noted over 24 weeks of therapy (Table 4).

Prior to starting liraglutide therapy, subjects were on various therapeutic regimens. With start of liraglutide therapy, concomitant drugs were modified. After 12 and 24 weeks of liraglutide therapy, the requirement of other antidiabetic drugs were modified via DTMS® as and when required (Table 5). Significant improvement was noted in total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, and triglycerides over 24 weeks of therapy (Table 6). Significant reduction in serum creatinine was also noted (Table 6).

Nine patients reported AEs. The most common AE was nausea (n = 6) followed by feeling of satiety (n = 3),

**Table 2 Improvement in glycemic control**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 12 weeks</th>
<th>After 24 weeks</th>
<th>( P ) value (Change)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>147.57 ± 46.68</td>
<td>102.36 ± 29.90</td>
<td>99.07 ± 22.55</td>
<td>0.002** (Quadratic change)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPBG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>167.57 ± 77.44</td>
<td>117.50 ± 40.79</td>
<td>100.86 ± 35.72</td>
<td>0.004** (Quadratic change)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA(_{1c}), (%)</td>
<td>7.78 ± 1.74</td>
<td>6.42 ± 1.10</td>
<td>5.52 ± 0.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*** (Linear change)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Results are presented in mean ± SD, \( P \) values are repeated measures analyses of variance; **strongly significant.

Abbreviations: FBG, fasting blood glucose; PPBG, postprandial blood glucose; HbA\(_{1c}\), glycosylated hemoglobin.
Table 3 Decrease in weight and body mass index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>90.71 ± 11.80</td>
<td>33.49 ± 5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 12 weeks of therapy</td>
<td>85.69 ± 12.20</td>
<td>31.61 ± 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 24 weeks of therapy</td>
<td>82.06 ± 12.86</td>
<td>30.23 ± 5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Results are presented in mean ± SD. P values are repeated measures analyses of variance; **strongly significant.

Discussion

Currently conventional diabetes management does not address the diminution of the incretin effect, recognized as one of the key pathophysiological defects in T2DM. Since insulin resistance and obesity are key pathophysiological factors resulting in the development of T2DM, therapies addressing these may be of potential importance. Presently, except metformin, treatment with sulphonylureas, thiazolidinediones, and insulin eventually result in weight gain during the course of therapy. GLP-1 analogs are a new group of therapeutic agents which help attain normoglycemia along with weight loss.

Liraglutide (Victoza; Novo Nordisk A/S) is a modified form of human GLP-1 analog acting as a GLP-1 receptor agonist and is available in India for more than a year. Many studies evaluated and established the efficacy and safety of liraglutide in T2DM. These studies reported that liraglutide decreases HbA1c in monotherapy and in combination with other antidiabetic drugs; inhibits glucagon secretion, decreases gastric emptying, and also results in weight loss.11

We evaluated the efficacy and safety of liraglutide in overweight and obese Indian T2DM patients. A majority of the patients (85.71% (12/14)) had been diagnosed with diabetes less than 12 weeks prior to starting liraglutide therapy. A single-center study, small sample size, open label, and noncomparative design are the limitations of the present study and therefore our results must be interpreted with caution. Additionally we could not assess insulin resistance and beta cell function due to nonavailability of equipment and resources. Nevertheless, the results represent data from a real-life clinical setting and appears clinically significant with some interesting findings of the effect of liraglutide therapy in management of overweight and obese T2DM patients.

This limited group of patients reported slightly more decrease in HbA1c (2.26% at 24 weeks) compared to other published LEAD studies.12–17

The effects of liraglutide on body weight appear quite interesting in this study. After, 12 weeks of liraglutide and vomiting (n = 1). Nausea persisted for 1–2 weeks in five of six patients, while in one patient it subsided after 5 days of therapy. Vomiting was seen in one subject for the first 3 days, while feeling of satiety was noticed for 1 month in three patients. All AEs were of minimal clinical significance and self-limiting. None of the patients reported any serious AE.
1.8 mg/day therapy, mean reduction in body weight was 5.02 kg and after 24 weeks of therapy, total reduction in body weight was 8.65 kg ($P < 0.001$). Similarly, BMI was decreased by 3.26 kg/m$^2$ after 24 weeks of therapy ($P < 0.001$). Published evidence from LEAD studies have reported an average decrease of 3.39 kg weight at 52 weeks of therapy and 3.24 kg in 26 weeks.\(^{14,17}\)

Jendle et al reported that there is reduction in fat mass rather than tissue mass with liraglutide therapy. Additionally, it was noted that there was more reduction in visceral fat than subcutaneous abdominal fat.\(^{18}\) The weight loss could be as a result of the delayed gastric emptying and early satiety induced by liraglutide. Reduction of weight is a cornerstone in management of T2DM as it is associated with improvement in insulin sensitivity, reduction in blood pressure, and improvement in lipid profile. Therefore a mean reduction of 8.65 kg body weight over 24 weeks could have significant clinical effects in the management of T2DM.

This study also highlights the place of liraglutide in prediabetes and newly diagnosed patients with T2DM, as the majority of patients (85.71%) were having diabetes duration of <12 weeks. In the present study, glycemic control close to normal levels (mean FPG, PPG, and HbA1c of 99.07 mg/dL, 100.86 mg/dL, and 5.52%, respectively) was achieved within 24 weeks of liraglutide therapy. This may be due to the significant reduction in weight along with other effects of liraglutide. This study also points to a possibility of completely reversing T2DM leading to no therapy or minimal therapy after an initial course of liraglutide for 24 weeks. In this study after 24 weeks of therapy liraglutide was tapered and stopped after achieving euglycemia and patients were continued on lifestyle modifications and minimal drugs. These patients are currently being followed up and assessed to check on the long term outcomes and this data would be published at a later stage.

Another interesting finding was the significant reduction in SBP. Over 24 weeks of liraglutide 1.8 mg/day therapy, SBP was reduced by 15.15 mm of Hg ($P = 0.002$). Additionally there was positive improvement in lipid profile in terms of significant reduction in total cholesterol ($P < 0.001$), low-density lipoproteins ($P = 0.001$) and serum triglycerides ($P = 0.002$) over 24 weeks. As these are independent risk factors for cardiovascular (CV) disease, reduction in BP and improvement in lipid profile reported by liraglutide therapy may be associated with improved CV outcome in T2DM. Reported evidence suggests that liraglutide must not be administered in moderate to severe renal failure and there have been postmarketing reports of acute renal failure and worsening of chronic renal failure, which may sometimes require hemodialysis in liraglutide-treated patients. However significant reduction in serum creatinine was noted in this study ($P = 0.027$).

We also observed that addition of liraglutide injection reduced the requirement of other antidiabetic drugs.

### Table 4 Improvement in blood pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>137.86 ± 13.89</td>
<td>78.29 ± 8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 12 weeks</td>
<td>127.71 ± 13.81</td>
<td>76.21 ± 9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 24 weeks</td>
<td>122.71 ± 10.38</td>
<td>77.00 ± 10.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Results are presented in mean ± SD. $P$ values are repeated measures analyses of variance; **stronly significant.

### Table 5 Antidiabetic and other drugs coprescribed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prior to study</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 12 weeks</th>
<th>After 24 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metformin, (N)</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonylurea, (N)</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 I, (N)</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin, (N)</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB, (N)</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker, (N)</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Total patients: 14; patient may be taking more than 1 drug; N: number of patients.

Abbreviations: DPP-4 I, Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.

### Table 6 Improvement in lipid profile and kidney function test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 12 weeks</th>
<th>After 24 weeks</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>166.07 ± 38.53</td>
<td>134.5 ± 25.35</td>
<td>125.14 ± 22.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>43.14 ± 11.98</td>
<td>42.21 ± 9.82</td>
<td>41.21 ± 9.48</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>94.21 ± 30.92</td>
<td>74.71 ± 25.26</td>
<td>64.3 ± 21.17</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>129.07 ± 56.34</td>
<td>103.64 ± 43.37</td>
<td>93.36 ± 36.68</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine albumin, (mg/dL)</td>
<td>15.64 ± 14.57</td>
<td>10.05 ± 4.05</td>
<td>8.71 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Results are presented in mean ± SD. $P$ values are repeated measures analyses of variance; *moderately significant; **strongly significant.

Abbreviations: HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides.
Liraglutide was well tolerated in these Indian patients. There was no episode of hypoglycemia or any other serious AE. All observed AEs were of minor clinical significance and as reported in published literature.

Conclusion
In this 24-week, prospective, open-labeled, single-center study, liraglutide once a day in combination with metformin over a period of 6 months significantly improved glycemic control to normal level and was well tolerated in the majority of patients. Additionally clinically significant reduction in body weight, systolic blood pressure and improvement in lipid profile were noticed with liraglutide. However these results need to be interpreted with caution and need to be replicated in large multicentric randomized controlled trials for establishing the effects of liraglutide beyond glycemic control. The observation that liraglutide therapy results in probable remission of newly diagnosed T2DM needs to be established in randomized controlled trials.
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