Supplementary material 1: Formative DOPS Assessment Form

Diagnostic Upper GI Endoscopy

Trainee	Scale	and Criteria K	Tey	
Faculty	Highly skilled performance Competent and safe throughout procedur uncorrected errors			
	2		rds not yet met, aspects to be me errors uncorrected	
Date (DD/MM/YYYY)	1	Accepted star uncorrected	andards not yet met, frequent errors	
	n/a	Not applicable	•	
Criteria		Score	Comments	
Assessment sensent semmunication				

Criteria	Score	Comments
Assessment, consent, communication		
Obtains informed consent using a structured approach		
Demonstrates respect for patient's views and dignity during the procedure		-
Communicates clearly with patient, including outcome of procedure with appropriate management and follow up plan. Full endoscopy report.		
Safety and sedation		
Safe and secure IV access		
Gives appropriate dose of analgesia and sedation and ensures adequate oxygenation and monitoring of patient		
Demonstrates good communication with the nursing staff.		
Endoscopic skills during insertion and procedure		
Checks endoscope function before intubation		
Intubates the oesophagus under direct vision		
Maintains clear luminal view		
Demonstrates awareness of patient's consciousness and comfort during the procedure and takes appropriate actions		
Uses distension, suction and lens washing appropriately		
Passes the scope into the second part of the duodenum		
Uses retroflexion to visualise fundus and cardia]
Completes procedure in reasonable time		
Diagnostic and therapeutic ability		
Adequate mucosal visualisation		
Recognises and notes the position of the gastro-oesophageal junction, and is appropriately orientated within the stomach and duodenum		
Accurate identification and management of pathology		
Uses diathermy and therapeutic techniques appropriately and safely		
High quality images recorded		1
Recognises and manages complications appropriately		1

Case Difficulty

Extremely easy	Fairly easy	Average	Fairly difficult	Very challenging
1	2	3	4	5

Trainee comments

Supplementary material 2: Formative DOPS Assessment Form

Colonoscopy

Trainee Scale	and Criteria K	- -
4 3	Highly skilled Competent an	performance Id safe throughout procedure, no
Faculty	uncorrected e	errors
2	improved, sor	rds not yet met, aspects to be me errors uncorrected
Date (DD/MM/YYYY)	Accepted star uncorrected	ndards not yet met, frequent errors
n/a	Not applicable	
Criteria	Score	Comments
Assessment, consent, communication		
Obtains informed consent using a structured approach		
Demonstrates respect for patient's views and dignity during the procedure		
Communicates clearly with patient, including outcome of procedure with appropriate management and follow up plan. Full endoscopy report.		
Safety and sedation		
Safe and secure IV access		
Gives appropriate dose of analgesia and sedation and ensures adequate oxygenation and monitoring of patient		
Demonstrates good communication with the nursing staff, including dosages and vital signs		
Endoscopic skills during insertion and procedure		
Checks endoscope function before intubation Performs PR		
Maintains luminal view / inserts in luminal direction		
Demonstrates awareness of patient's consciousness and pain during the procedure and takes appropriate action		
Uses torque steering and control knobs appropriately		
Uses distension, suction and lens washing appropriately		
Recognises and logically resolves loop formation		
Uses position change and abdominal pressure to aid luminal views		
Completes procedure in reasonable time		
Diagnostic and therapeutic ability (Polypectomy,sclerotherapy)		
Adequate mucosal visualisation		
Recognises caecal/desc. colon landmarks or incomplete examination		
Accurate identification and management of pathology		
Uses diathermy and therapeutic techniques appropriately and safely		
Recognises and manages complications appropriately		

Case Difficulty

Extremely easy	Fairly easy	Average	Fairly difficult	Very challenging
1	2	3	4	5

Trainee comments

Supplementary material 3:

GAGES - UPPER GI ENDOSCOPY SCORESHEET

 \underline{G} LOBAL \underline{A} SSESSMENT OF \underline{G} ASTROINTESTINAL \underline{E} NDOSCOPIC \underline{S} KILLS

IN	TUBATION OF THE ESOPHAGUS	SCORE _
Ref	lects patient management, understanding of anatomy and sedation	
5	Able to independently (successfully) intubate esophagus without patient discomfort	
4		
3	Requires detailed prompting and cues	
2		
1	Unable to properly intubate requiring take over	
SC	COPE NAVIGATION	SCORE
	lects navigation of the GI tract using tip deflection, advancement/withdrawal and torque	
5	Expertly able to manipulated the scope in the upper GI tract autonomously.	
3	Requires verbal guidance to completely navigate the upper GI tract	
1	Not able to achieve goals despite detailed verbal cues, requiring take over	
Δ1	BILITY TO KEEP A CLEAR ENDOSCOPIC FIELD	SCORE [
	lization of insufflation, suction and/or irrigation to maximize mucosal evaluation	Jeorg =
5	Uses insufflation, suction, and irrigation optimally to maintain clear view of endoscopic field	
4	e ses insuriation, suction, and irrigation optimally to maintain elear view of endoscopic neta	
3	Requires moderate prompting to maintain clear view	
1	Inability to maintain view despite extensive verbal cues	
IN	STRUMENTATION (if applicable; leave blank if not applicable)	SCORE [
	adom biopsy: targeting is assessed by asking the endoscopist to take another biopsy from the identical	
	. Targeted instrumentation: evaluation is based on ability to direct the instrument to the target.	
5	Expertly directs instrument to desired target	
2	Requires some guidance and/or multiple attempts to direct instrument to target	
1	Unable to direct instrument to target despite coaching	
OI	UALITY OF EXAMINATION	SCORE
30,000	lects attention to patient comfort, efficiency, and completeness of mucosal evaluation	
5	Expertly completes the exam efficiently and comfortably	
3	Requires moderate assistance to accomplish a complete and comfortable exam	
1	Could not perform a satisfactory exam despite verbal and manual assistance requiring takeover of the	e procedure

Supplementary material 4:

GAGES - COLONOSCOPY SCORESHEET

 \underline{G} LOBAL \underline{A} SSESSMENT OF \underline{G} ASTROINTESTINAL \underline{E} NDOSCOPIC \underline{S} KILLS

S	COPE NAVIGATION	SCORE L
Re	flects navigation of the GI tract using tip deflection, advancement/withdrawal and torque	
5	Expertly able to manipulate the scope in the GI tract autonomously	
4		
3	Requires verbal guidance to completely navigate the lower GI tract	
2		
1	Not able to achieve goals despite detailed verbal guidance requiring takeover	
U	SE OF STRATEGIES	SCORE
Ex	amines use of patient positions, abdominal pressure, insufflation, suction and loop reduction to comfortably compete the procedur	e
5	Expert use of appropriate strategies for advancement of the scope while optimizing patient comfort	
4	#20007010/190970100070 #5 #5000701009000701000000000000000000000000	
3	Use of some strategies appropriately, but requires moderate verbal guidance	
2		
1	Unable to utilize appropriate strategies for scope advancement despite verbal assistance	
	BILITY TO KEEP A CLEAR ENDOSCOPIC FIELD	SCORE
3060	[2] 전에 10 전 1	SCORE _
	ilization of insufflation, suction and/or irrigation to maximize mucosal evaluation	
5	Used insufflation, suction, and irrigation optimally to maintain clear view of endoscopic field	
3	Requires moderate prompting to maintain clear view	
2	Requires moderate prompting to maintain clear view	
1	Inability to maintain view despite extensive verbal cues	
IN	STRUMENTATION (if applicable; leave blank if not applicable)	SCORE
	250 C. S.	SCORE _
	ndom biopsy: targeting is assessed by asking the endoscopist to take another biopsy from the identical e. Targeted instrumentation: evaluation is based on ability to direct the instrument to the target.	
5	Expertly directs instrument to desired target	
4	Expertly directs installed to desired taget	
3	Requires some guidance and/or multiple attempts to direct instrument to target	
2		
1	Unable to direct instrument to target despite coaching	
0	UALITY OF EXAMINATION	SCORE
		DOORE
5	flects attention to patient comfort, efficiency, and completeness of mucosal evaluation Expertly completes the exam efficiently and comfortably	
4	Expertly completes the exam efficiently and connortably	
3	Requires moderate assistance to accomplish a complete and comfortable exam	
2	requires moderate assistance to accomplish a complete and connortable exam	
1	Could not perform a satisfactory exam despite verbal and manual assistance requiring takeover of the pr	ocedure
ै	Count has perform a satisfactory count despite verbal and mandal assistance requiring taxeover of the pr	Count

Supplementary material 5: Prompt questions for Facilitator in Focused Group Discussion

Experience on the ease of use the four assessment tools.

- Please describe your experience with using the different endoscopic skill assessment tools in a gastroenterology residency program
- In your opinion rate each of the tools from the easiest to use and to the most difficult to use.
- What was difficult to use about the tool you identified?

Ease of interpretation about the four assessment tools.

- Where there any ambiguous items/ items in the tools? If so which one(s)?
- Was the language used in the assessment tools easy to understand?
- In your opinion there any item/ task in any of the assessment forms that could have been misinterpreted?

Resources required in the resident assessment processes.

- Were the resources provided (material, time, space, personnel) adequate for carrying out the assessment?
- In your opinion, is there anything that can be provided that would help the assessment process?