

Table S1 Structure of the CHF-PROM

Domains	Subdomains	Items
Physical domain	Somatic symptoms	PHD1-, PHD2-, PHD3-, PHD4-, PHD5-, PHD6-, PHD7-, PHD8-
	Appetite symptoms	PHD9-, PHD10-, PHD11-, PHD12-
	Independence	PHD13, PHD14, PHD15, PHD16
Psychological domain	anxiety	PSD1-, PSD2-, PSD3-, PSD4-, PSD5-, PSD6-, PSD7-, PSD8-
	Depression	PSD9-, PSD10-, PSD11-, PSD12-, PSD13-, PSD14-
	Fear	PSD15-, PSD16-, PSD17-
Social domain	Paranoia	PSD18-, PSD19-, PSD20-, PSD21-
	Social support	SOD1, SOD2, SOD3, SOD4, SOD5
	Support utilization	SOD6, SOD7, SOD8
Therapeutic domain	Compliance	TRE1, TRE2
	Satisfaction	TRE3, TRE4, TRE5, TRE6, TRE7, TRE8, TRE9, TRE10
	Side effects	TRE11, TRE12-

CHF-PROM, chronic heart failure - patient reported outcome measures; PHD, physical domain; PSD, psychological domain; SOD, social domain; TRE, therapeutic domain.

Table S2 Comparison the baseline characteristics between completed the follow-up group and lost to follow-up group

	Completed the follow-up	Lost to follow-up	P
	n=315	n=93	
Age	66.1±15.0	68.7±12.2	0.148
Women	138(43.8%)	40(43.0%)	0.963
Body Mass Index (kg/m²)	24.2±4.1	23.6±3.8	0.243
Heart rate	77.9±15.7	80.2±17.9	0.318
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	127.6±19.6	124.9±23.2	0.345
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	77.4±12.6	73.7±16.5	0.050
Marital state			0.702
Single	6(1.9%)	2(2.2%)	
Married	259(82.2%)	73(78.5%)	
Divorced	1(0.3%)	0	
Widowed	49 (15.6%)	18(19.4%)	
Education			0.095
Illiteracy	27(8.6%)	8(8.6%)	
Low level	195(61.9%)	67(72.0%)	
Secondary school and high level	93(29.5%)	18(19.4%)	
Occupation			0.881
Nonmanual workers	98(31.1%)	28(30.1%)	
Manual workers	217(68.9%)	65(69.9%)	
Income			0.272
Low	132(41.9%)	48(51.6%)	
Medium	178(56.5%)	43(46.2%)	
High	5(1.6%)	2(2.2%)	
Health care			0.476
City health insurance	214(67.9%)	57(61.3%)	
Rural health insurance	98(31.1%)	36(38.7%)	
Self-paying	3(1.0%)	0	
NYHA			0.210
II	54(17.1%)	11(11.8%)	
III	145(46.0%)	37(39.8%)	
IV	116(36.8%)	45(48.4%)	
Complications			
Coronary heart disease	156(49.5%)	46(49.5%)	0.960
Hypertension	190(60.3%)	54(58.1%)	0.787
Atrial fibrillation	117(37.1%)	46(49.5%)	0.060
Valvular heart disease	77(24.4%)	26(28.0%)	0.551
Hyperlipidemia	99(31.4%)	22(23.7%)	0.219
Diabetes	111(35.2%)	22(23.7%)	0.076
COPD	73(23.2%)	28(30.1%)	0.268

	Completed the follow-up	Lost to follow-up	P
Chronic renal insufficiency	83(26.3%)	34(36.6%)	0.109
Cancers	24(7.6%)	11(11.8%)	0.321
Central nervous system disease	69(21.9%)	26(28.0%)	0.277
LVEF (%)	43.8±14.3	41.8±13.6	0.330
Drugs			
Nitrates	145(46.0%)	40(43.0%)	0.693
Diuretics	223(70.8%)	64(68.8%)	0.707
Digoxin	77(24.4%)	28(30.1%)	0.356
Beta-blockers	150(47.6%)	34(36.6%)	0.125
ACEI/ARBs	154(48.9%)	25(26.9%)	0.002*
Aldosterone antagonists	209(66.3%)	48(51.6%)	0.029*

ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin receptor antagonist; COPD,

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York

Heart Association functional class.

Table S3 Comparison of the fitting index in growth mixture models for overall scores

	LL	AIC	BIC	aBIC	Entropy	LMR-test	BLRT	Probability of groups
Linear models								
2C	-5861.640	11755 .281	11813. 777	11763.0 39	0.979	0.217	<0.001	0.024/0.976
3C	-5848.273	11730 .546	11792. 698	11738.7 89	0.869	0.186	<0.001	0.168/0.014/ 0.818
4C	-5840.027	11718 .054	11787. 517	11727.2 67	0.894	<0.001	0.013	0.164/0.014/ 0.010/0.811
Quadratic function models								
2C	-5806.983	11655 .965	11732. 741	11666.1 48	0.911	0.227	<0.001	0.126/0.874
3C	-5788.702	11627 .404	11718. 803	11639.5 26	0.935	0.005	<0.001	0.129/0.014/ 0.857
4C	-5775.746	11609 .491	11715. 515	11623.5 53	0.900	0.312	<0.001	0.063/0.119/ 0.014/0.804
Undefined curve models								
2C	-5838.005	11706. 011	11760. 851	11713.2 84	0.841	0.044	<0.001	0.140/0.860
3C	-5820.568	11677 .137	11742. 945	11685.8 65	0.878	0.005	<0.001	0.014/0.808/ 0.178
4C	-5814.045	11670 .089	11746. 865	11680.2 72	0.794	0.517	0.0202	0.014/0.122/ 0.720/0.143

AIC, akaike information criterion; BIC, bayesian information criterion; aBIC, adjusted BIC; BLRT, bootstrapped likelihood ratio test; LL, log-likelihood; LMR-test, lo-mendell-rubin test.

Table S4 Comparison of the fitting index in growth mixture models for physical scores

	LL	AIC	BIC	aBIC	Entropy	LMR-test	BLRT	Probability of groups
Linear models								
2C	-4941.535	9909. 070	9956. 598	9915. 374	0.794	0.162	<0.001	0.804/0.196
3C	-4916.685	9871. 369	9940. 833	9880. 582	0.825	0.130	<0.001	0.080/0.622/ 0.297
4C	-4913.855	9865. 710	9935. 173	9874. 923	0.815	0.105	<0.001	0.073/0.238/ 0.587/0.101
Quadratic function models								
2C	-4827.362	9690. 724	9756. 532	9699. 452	0.800	0.424	<0.001	0.241/0.759
3C	-4791.933	9627. 866	9708. 298	9638. 534	0.921	0.001	<0.001	0.052/0.294/ 0.654
4C	-4782.170	9616. 340	9711. 396	9628. 948	0.889	0.616	<0.001	0.594/0.203/ 0.045/0.157
Undefined curve models								
2C	-4801.283	9636. 566	9698. 718	9644. 809	0.786	0.481	<0.001	0.199/0.801
3C	-4779.541	9599. 081	9672. 201	9608. 780	0.894	0.122	<0.001	0.056/0.301/ 0.643
4C	-4766.393	9578. 786	9662. 873	9589. 938	0.910	0.013	<0.001	0.259/0.598/ 0.031/0.112

AIC, akaike information criterion; BIC, bayesian information criterion; aBIC, adjusted BIC; BLRT, bootstrapped likelihood ratio test; LL, log-likelihood; LMR-test, lo-mendell-rubin test.

Table S5 Comparison of the fitting index in growth mixture models for psychological scores

	LL	AIC	BIC	aBIC	Entropy	LMR-test	BLRT	Probability of groups
Linear models								
2C	-4578.659	9183. 318	9230. 755	9189. 531	0.991	0.008	<0.001	0.912/0.088
3C	-4512.695	9057. 389	9115. 773	9065. 036	0.995	0.009	<0.001	0.873/0.085/ 0.042
4C	-4481.688	9001. 376	9070. 706	9010. 457	0.992	0.050	<0.001	0.035/0.870/ 0.056/0.039
Quadratic function models								
2C	-4502.640	9041. 280	9106. 962	9049. 883	0.991	0.012	<0.001	0.085/0.915
3C	-4451.600	8947. 199	9027. 477	8957. 714	0.992	0.307	<0.001	0.894/0.067/ 0.039
4C	-4387.291	8826. 582	8921. 455	8839. 008	0.989	0.368	<0.001	0.039/0.049/ 0.056/0.856
Undefined curve models								
2C	-4470.737	8975. 475	9037. 507	8983. 599	0.992	0.009	<0.001	0.085/0.915
3C	-4420.278	8880. 555	8953. 535	8890. 114	0.993	0.118	<0.001	0.039/0.894 /0.067
4C	-4396.462	8838. 924	8922. 851	8849. 917	0.970	0.473	<0.001	0.039/0.049 /0.056/0.856

AIC, akaike information criterion; BIC, bayesian information criterion; aBIC, adjusted BIC; BLRT, bootstrapped likelihood ratio test; LL, log-likelihood; LMR-test, lo-mendell-rubin test.

Table S6 Comparison of the fitting index in growth mixture models for therapeutic scores

	LL	AIC	BIC	aBIC	Entropy	LMR-test	BLRT	Probability of groups
Linear models								
2C	-4301.234	8628. 467	8675. 995	8634. 771	0.982	0.001	<0.001	0.514/0.416
3C	-4260.911	8553. 821	8612. 317	8561. 580	0.957	0.035	<0.001	0.077/0.507/ 0.416
4C	-4273.818	8585. 636	8655. 100	8594. 850	0.916	0.211	<0.001	0.343/0.112/ 0.504/0.042
Quadratic function models								
2C	-4264.104	8564. 209	8630. 017	8572. 937	0.979	<0.001	<0.001	0.517/0.483
3C	-4224.642	8493. 284	8573. 716	8503. 952	0.959	0.021	<0.001	0.416/0.507/ 0.077
4C	-4200.295	8452. 590	8547. 645	8465. 197	0.968	0.490	<0.001	0.406/0.490/ 0.045/0.059
Undefined curve models								
2C	-4231.548	8489. 096	8536. 624	8495. 400	0.988	0.001	<0.001	0.346/0.654
3C	-4186.728	8405. 456	8463. 952	8413. 214	0.994	<0.001	<0.001	0.654/0.318/ 0.028
4C	-4162.794	8363. 587	8433. 051	8372. 800	0.982	0.216	<0.001	0.042/0.283/ 0.647/0.028

AIC, akaike information criterion; BIC, bayesian information criterion; aBIC, adjusted BIC; BLRT, bootstrapped likelihood ratio test; LL, log-likelihood; LMR-test, lo-mendell-rubin test.