Supplementary table 1 Risk of bias for non-randomized controlled trials included in the meta-analysis

| Items/author* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tulchinsky } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Koo } \\ 2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Polese } \\ 2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Kwag } \\ & 2014 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Adloff } \\ 1980 \end{gathered}$ | Cheregi 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Lu} \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inclusion criteria | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Exclusion criteria | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Comparable demographics? | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Could the number of participating centers be determined? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Could the number of surgeons who participated be determined? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Could the reader determine where the authors were on the learning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Curve for the reported procedure? |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Were diagnostic criteria clearly stated for clinical outcomes if required? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Was the surgical technique adequately described? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Did they try to standardize the surgical technique? | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Did they try to standardize perioperative care? | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Was the age and range given for patients in the Study group? | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Did the authors address whether there were any missing data? | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Was the age and range given for patients in the Control group? | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were patients in each group treated along similar timelines? | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Of the patients asking to enter the study, did they actually take part in it? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Were drop-out rates stated? | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Were outcomes clearly defined? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were there blind assessors? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Were there standardized assessment tools? | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Was the analysis by intention to treat? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Score | 10 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 15 |

*Total score, 20; $<8$, poor quality; $\mathbf{8 - 1 4}$, fair quality; $\geq \mathbf{1 5}$, good quality.

Supplementary table 2 Risk of bias for randomized controlled trials included in the meta-analysis

|  | Random <br> Sequence <br> Generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of participants | Incomplete <br> Outcome <br> data | Selective <br> Reporting | Other Bias |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McGinn et al. 1985 | ? | + | ? | + | + | + |
| Everett et al. 1986 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
| Kracht et al. 1993 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Docherty et al. 1995 | + | + | ? | + | + | + |
| Cahill et al. 1989 | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| Bubrick et al. 1991 | ' | , |  | ' | ' | ' |
| Gullichsen et al. 1992 | ? | ? | - | + | + | + |
| Seow-Choen et al. 1994 | + | + | - | + | ? | + |
| Galizia et al. 1999 | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| Pahlman et al. 1997 | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| Chen et al. 2009 | + | + | - | + | ? | + |
| Nudelman et al. 2005 | ? | ? | - | + | + | + |
| Friend et al. 1990 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Thiede } \\ \text { et al. } 1984 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | + | + | ? | - | + | + |
| Beart et al. 1991 | + | + | ? | ? | ? | + |
| Didolkar et al. 1986 | ? | + | ? | ? | ? | + |
| Brennan et al. 1982 | ? | + | ? | + | + | + |
| Fingerhut et al. 1995 | + | + | ? | ? | ? | + |
| Sarker et al. 1994 | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | + |

