Predictive Value of The CONUT Score Combined With The Fibrinogen–Albumin Ratio In Postoperative Local Recurrence-Free Survival Of Patients With Retroperitoneal Liposarcoma

Guo-Qiang Xue[1], Cheng-Peng Li[1], Ang lv[1], Xiu-Yun Tian[1], Jian-Hui Wu[1], Hui Qiu[1]，Chun-Yi Hao[1]

1 Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

*Corresponding author:*

Professor Chunyi Hao

Address: Key laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, No. 52 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

Telephone: +86-10-88196182

Fax: +86-10-88196548

Email: haochunyi@bjmu.edu.cn

**Supplementary Material Captions**:

**Table S1** Scoring system for the CONUT

| Parameter | Undernutrition degree | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | None | Light | Moderate | Severe |
| Serum albumin (g/dL) | ≥ 3.50 | 3.00-3.49 | 2.50-2.99 | < 2.50 |
| I. Albumin score | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Total lymphocyte (count/mm$^3$) | ≥ 1600 | 1200-1599 | 800-1199 | < 800 |
| II. Total lymphocyte score | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | ≥ 180 | 140-179 | 100-139 | < 100 |
| III. Total cholesterol score | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

CONUT is calculated as the sum of the scores of I, II, and III.

**Table S2.** Estimated AUCs of FAR, NLR, PLR, CONUT score and CONUT-FAR score in predicting LRFS at various time points

| Time after surgery | AUC of FAR | AUC of NLR | AUC of PLR | AUC of COUNT | AUC of CONUT-FAR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LRFS | | | | | |
| 1 year | 0.719 | 0.697 | 0.701 | 0.729 | 0.776 |
| 3 years | 0.692 | 0.617 | 0.631 | 0.675 | 0.704 |
| 5 years | 0.670 | 0.539 | 0.581 | 0.751 | 0.796 |

AUC area under the time-dependent ROC curve, NLR neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio, PLR platelet–lymphocyte ratio, FAR Fibrinogen–Albumin Ratio, CONUT controlling nutritional status, LRFS, local recurrence-free survival

**Figure S1** X-tile analyses to determine the optimal cutoff values of (a)NLR, (b)PLR, (c) FAR, (d) CONUT, and (e) CONUT-FAR. The optimal cutoff value of NLR, PLR, FAR, CONUT and CONUT-FAR score were 3.6, 153.3, 16.9%, 5 and 11, respectively.

d

Larger Low Population

Larger High Population

No. of Patients

CONUT ≤ 5 (n=85)
CONUT > 5 (n=26)

count

% Survival

CONUT ≤ 5
CONUT > 5    *P* =0.0016

Survival Time (Years)



e

Larger Low Population

Larger High Population

No. of Patients

CONUT-FAR ≤ 11 (n=85)
CONUT-FAR > 11 (n=26)

CONUT-FAR

% Survival

CONUT-FAR ≤ 11
CONUT-FAR > 11    *P* < 0.0001

Survival Time (Years)