Online supplementary materials for

The long non-coding RNA LINC00908 facilitates hepatocellular carcinoma progression via interaction with Sox-4

Xinhua Hu , Qingxiang Li , Jinfeng Zhang *

Juxian Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Rizhao, 276500, Shandong, China

Supplementary Materials and Methods

Reverse transcription quantitative-PCR (RT-qPCR)

Total RNAs were extracted with a TRIzol kit (Invitrogen) following standard methods provided by the manufacturer. A reverse transcription kit (Promega) was used for cDNA extraction. Primers were designed by and purchased from Takara (Beijing). The details were shown in Table S1. ABI 3000 system was used for examination of the expression. RNA-free DNase was used for treating all samples. *GAPDH* was used as the internal control.

Western blot

The protein extraction was performed by RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime). Then, samples were separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE) and moved onto nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore). Non-fat milk (4%) was used for block and then incubated with specific primary antibodies overnight. HRP-labeled secondary antibody was added at room temperature for 1.5h. We used chemiluminescence ECL reagents (Pierce) to visualize the immunoreactivity. The density analyses were performed using ImageJ (NIH).

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)

The RIP experiments were done using Magna RIP[™] RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore) following the standard protocols from the manufacturer. The immunoprecipitated RNAs were examined by RT-PCR. Mouse IgG controls and total input controls were evaluated together. Primers were listed in Table S1.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

The fluorescence-conjugated probes were designed by and purchased from Life Technologies (Beijing). Samples were first treated under a non-denaturing condition followed by covering with specific fluorescence-conjugated probes. After counterstain with DAPI, the results were shown by a confocal microscopy in our institution.

In vivo tumorigenesis

HepG2 cells transfected with genetically silencing or overexpressing vectors were cultured in DMEM for 24 h. Then, ~ 10^6 resuspended cells were subcutaneously injected into the nude mice (BALB/c female, 5 weeks old, n = 6). Mice were housed at ~ 20° C at a strictly controlled 12/12 light/dark cycle with free access to water and food. 28 days later, all mice were sacrificed. Solid tumors were then resected and weighed. Cells were covered with 25 nM FISH probes (Life Technologies) for 15 min for hybridization according to the manufacturer's guidelines and then dehydrated. The animal experiments were performed according to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) in Juxian Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

RNA pulldown and mass spectrometry (MS)

RNAs were labeled by Biotin using the Biotin RNA Labeling Mix by T7 RNA polymerase (Roche) and then cells were incubated with Biotin-labeled RNAs in

cell lysates with 0.5% formaldehyde. Annealed RNAs were then mixed with RIP buffer extracts and incubated for 3 h. Streptavidin agarose beads (ThermoFisher Scientific) were used to extract the biotin-labeled RNAs at room temperature for 2 h and washed by 1× binding washing buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl and 0.005% Tween 20). Specific bands were separated by electrophoresis and sent for mass spectrometry (MS) in Mass Spectrometry Center at Peking University (Beijing).

Northern blot

Totally, 5 µg RNAs were subject to formaldehyde gel electrophoresis and then transferred to Hybond-N⁺ membrane (GE Healthcare). A DIG Northern starter kit (Roche) was used to generate labelled RNA. After hybridization for 1 h, the membrane was then hybridized in ULTRAhyb-Oligo buffer (Ambion) surrounded by denatured probe. The bands on membrane were monitored by phosphor-imaging (Molecular Devices).

Luciferase reporter assay

Firefly luciferase activity assay was determined with 1 ng pRL-TK plasmid as the loading control. The Sox-4 promoters were inserted into pGL3 luciferase reporter plasmids. Cells were crashed 24h after transfection using a lysis buffer. Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) was used with reference to the manufacturer's instructions.

Viability assay

The Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan) was purchased for quantifying viability. Cells were first re-suspended and then moved into 24-well

plates (~10⁵ cells/well) and for 5 days. 25 □I CCK-8 solution was added into the culture. Optical density at 450 nm was monitored using Spectramax M5 microplate monitor (Molecular Devices).

5' and 3' Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)

2 μg RNAs (poly A⁻ or poly A⁺) were used and subject to SMARTer RACE 5'/3' kit (Clonetech) analysis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. Specific primers were designed by Invitrogen and listed in Table S1.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections (5 µm) were resected and stained with H&E. Deparaffinized sections were hydrated by peroxidase and blocked with 2% H₂O₂ for 20 min. Then, citrate buffer (pH 6.5, 30 mmol/L) was added for antigen retrieval at duration of 30 min. Cooldown was done by TBS with Tween 20 washing at triplicates. After treatment with blocking agents (Biocare Medical) for 20 min, specific primary antibodies were added for 1.5 h and washed by TTBS twice. For *in situ* hybridization (ISH), we used HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Slides were covered with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma) and detected under a microscopy. Ki-67 staining was performed using a Ki-67 ELISA kit (MyBioSource).



Figure S1. Features of LINC00908 in HCC. (A-B) Images of PCR products from the 5' RACE (**A**) and 3' RACE (B). (C) Evaluation of the coding potential for IncRNA LINC00908 using Coding Potential Assessment Tool (CPAT, http://lilab.research.bcm.edu/cpat/index.php). (D) The sequences of full-length LINC00908 in HepG2 cells. (E) Northern blot for LINC00908 in Huh7 and HepG2 cells. The bands showed LINC00908.



Figure S2. Efficiency of Sox-4 silence and overexpression. (A) The efficiency of Sox-4 knockdown in HepG2 cells. Consistently, ShSox-4 #2 (Sh #2) revealed higher efficiency and was chosen as ShSox-4. (B) HepG2 cells were transfected with control lentiviral vector (control) or lentiviral vectors carrying Sox-4 sequences (Sox-4).



Figure S3. The effect of Sox-4 on HCC viability. HepG2 cells transfected with ShCtrl, ShSox-4, lentiviral control (control) or lentivirus containing Sox-4 (Sox-4). **: P < 0.01.

| Name | Sequences | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| LINC00908-F | GAGAAATTGGTCAAAGGCGCGAC | |
| LINC00908-R | TACACGCTTCACTTGACGTG | |
| U1-F | CGGTTATGCCATGACTCG | |
| U1-R | ACCGCCCCTGTTAGCGTA | |
| GAPDH-F | CTGAGCACGCGTCAAGGAT | |
| GAPDH-R | CCAGTGGGTGAAGACGGA | |
| Sox-4-F | TCATCACCTCCCGGGTTCAAGTGTC | |
| Sox-4-R | CTCTGATACTGCATTATTG | |
| plasmid primer name | Primer sequences | |
| 5'RACE-GSP | CTCCAACTCCCCGAATAACTCGTCC | |
| 5'RACE-NGSP | AGGAACACGTTGATGGAGCGTG | |
| 3'RACE-GSP | CTGGGAGGATAGAAAGGG | |
| 3'RACE-NGSP | TTAGTGCCTTCTTGCGACTGC | |
| Northern blot-F | GGAGCAACAGCGAAGGTCACTATAGG | |
| Northern blot-R | TAATACCGCAGTCTGGAGAGAAAGAGCTAAC | |
| shLINC00908 #1 | AGAATAAACAGTTTTATTCAGAGAATTTTAAGC TAACCATTATAACCACATTG | |
| shLINC00908 #2 | GAAAACTTTCCTCGTTGTAACTTAGCTCGAGC TAAATCCCAGGAGG | |
| shSox-4 #1 | CCGGCCGTTGAGCTCAGCTCGAGTCCGGTTT CGCCTTGCAGCCAAGTGA | |
| shSox-4 #2 | AATGTAATGGAAAAGCTCCAGACCTCTAGGCT TGACTGTGCTAGGTGGGGATTACCCGG | |
| LINC00908 RIP-F | GATCTAATGTTTGCACGGTCTCT | |
| LINC00908 RIP-R | AGCTCTCACCTTAGTTCCGC | |
| LINC00908 1-389-F | GGAGATGTAAGGAGAGTGGA | |
| LINC00908 1-389-R | GCGCGGAGATTAGTTAATCGC | |
| 390-1100-F | CGTCATCAGGGGCTG | |
| 390-1100-R | TCTGAGTTGTCTTCCGGAC | |
| 1101-1390-F | AGAAGCAAAAGTGATTGGAA | |
| 1101-1390-R | TGGTCTGCTCCCTACA | |
| 1391-1903-F | ATTAGTTTGAGCTTGACCCTT | |
| 1391-1903-R | TCCAGGCACGGTGCTTACGT | |
| antisense-F | CTTGCTCATZGGGCCGATTTGTT | |
| antisense-R | ACACCTGGCGAAGAAGT | |
| Sox-4 1-150-F | TCGATCGGCGCGTGCCAATG | |

| 1-150-R | CCTCTGCCCATGTTATCTGAG |
|-----------|----------------------------|
| 151-316-F | CCCATCCTGGCTTCAACCCTGGATCT |
| 151-316-R | GCCTCCAGGAGGGCAGCGG |
| 317-474-F | TGATTGCTCTGGCTTCGAGGTT |
| 317-474-R | AGGGGTTCGACCGTACAGTCGC |

| Antibodies or reagents (Catalog NO.) | Company | |
|--|----------------|--|
| Sox-4 (#AV38234) | Sigma | |
| GAPDH (G8795) | Sigma | |
| Flag-Tag (#14793) HRP-conjugated secondary antibody | Cell Signaling | |
| (SAB5300168) | Sigma | |
| DAPI (#32670) | Sigma | |
| anti-HA (#5017) | Cell Signaling | |
| anti-GST (#2624) | Cell Signaling | |
| anti-Ub (#AB137025) | Abcam | |
| MG132 (#M8699) Biotin RNA Labeling Mix | Sigma | |
| (#11685597910) | Roche | |
| Rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor® 488 | | |
| Conjugate (#2975) | Cell Signaling | |

| LncRNA names | Log₂FC | <i>p</i> value |
|---------------|--------|----------------|
| RP11-443B7.1 | 6.1114 | 0.0046 |
| RP5-1057J7.6 | 4.0359 | 0.0054 |
| LINC00908 | 7.8275 | 0.0006 |
| GABPB1-AS1 | 5.6893 | 0.0087 |
| RP11-410F14.1 | 6.6651 | 0.0025 |
| RP11-77B22.2 | 5.5659 | 0.0098 |

Table S2: Consistently upregulated novel IncRNAs

| | | LINC009 | | |
|------------|-----|------------|------------|----------|
| Features | NO. | low (60) | high (60) | P |
| Age | | | | |
| < 60 | 55 | 30 (54.5%) | 25 (45.5%) | 0.232 |
| ≥ 60 | 65 | 30 (46.2%) | 35 (53.8%) | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Female | 53 | 24 (45.3%) | 29 (51.0%) | 0.231 |
| Male | 67 | 36 (53.7%) | 31 (49.1%) | |
| TNM stage | | | | |
| 1-11 | 54 | 37 (64.6%) | 17 (35.4%) | <0.001** |
| III-IV | 66 | 23 (37.9%) | 43 (62.1%) | |
| Tumor size | | | | |
| < 3 cm | 49 | 33 (67.3%) | 16 (32.7%) | 0.003** |
| ≥ 3 cm | 71 | 27 (38.0%) | 44 (62.0%) | |
| Metastasis | | | | |
| Present | 58 | 21 (36.2%) | 37 (63.8%) | 0.006** |
| Absent | 62 | 39 (62.9%) | 23 (27.1%) | |

 Table S3:
 Correlation
 between
 clinicopathological
 characteristics
 and
 LINC00908

 expression.

TNM: tumor (T), the extent of spread to the lymph nodes (N), and the presence of metastasis (M) (* P < 0.05, ** P <0.01). The median value was used as the cut-off. The number in brackets denotes the number of cases.

| Accession | Name | Species | Genes | Scores |
|------------|---|---------|---------|----------|
| Q14103 Het | | Homo | HNRNPD | 1080.111 |
| | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein D | sapiens | | 2 |
| | | Homo | NADKO | 1324.353 |
| Q4G0N4 | NAD KITASe 2 | sapiens | INADK2 | 4 |
| Q9UKA9 | Delverviesidie street bisdies estatis 2 | Homo | PTBP2 | 1632.772 |
| | | sapiens | | 8 |
| D20450 | DNA hinding matif protain. V chromosome | Homo | RBMX | 1012.348 |
| F 30139 | KNA-binding motil protein, A chromosome | sapiens | | 0 |
| Q06945 | Transprintion factor SOV 4 | Homo | SOV A | 1841.125 |
| | Transcription factor SOA-4 | sapiens | 307-4 | 4 |
| P08865 | Dibacamal protain SA | Homo | RPSA | 1874.153 |
| | Ribosomai protein SA | sapiens | | 2 |
| Q8NHM5 | Lycina spacific domothylasa 2P | Homo | KUNJAB | 1224.445 |
| | Lysine-specific demethylase 2B | sapiens | NUIVIZD | 8 |

| Table S4: Potential binding proteins using mass spectrometry (MS) |) |
|---|---|
|---|---|

See UniProt for details, <u>http://www.uniprot.org/</u>