Supplementary Materials

Methods: Subject inclusion and exclusion criteria

Table S1 Subject inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria

Subject signed and dated a written informed consent form

Subjects were aged ≥18 years

Subjects had a clinician-confirmed diagnosis of IBS-D (mild, moderate, or severe) and symptoms for ≥6 months prior to diagnosis

Subjects had experienced recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort associated with at least two of the three following features for ≥3 days a month, for the previous 3 months: (1) improvement with defecation; (2) onset associated with a change in frequency of stool; and (3) onset associated with a change in form (appearance) of stool.

Subjects must have experienced loose (mushy) or watery stools (Type 6 or 7 on the Bristol Stool Form Scale^{1,2}) in ≥25% of bowel movements and hard or lumpy stool in <25% of bowel movements in the absence of anti-diarrhea medication or laxative use, in the previous 3 months

Subjects had to be fluent in English, and willing and able to participate in a face-toface, 90-minute interview

Subjects had to have a device (smartphone or tablet) with an Android or iOS operating system and be willing to download a mobile application or have it done for them

Exclusion criteria

Subject had an organic disease or functional gastrointestinal syndrome, other than IBS-D, potentially affecting the digestive tract passage or colonic function, including structure, obstruction, or ileus

Subject had bowel movement characterized as an Astellas Stool Scale Form³ ≤3 in the previous 7 days

Subject had benign polyps or colonic diverticulosis judged to have an influence on the digestive tract passage or colonic function

Subject had a history of surgical resection of the stomach, small intestine, or large intestine (excluding resection of appendix or benign polyps)

Subject had a history of ischemic colitis

Subject had a history of unexplained blood passage from the rectum

Subject had uncontrolled lactose intolerance, or was receiving radiotherapy for abdominal disease

Subject had cardiovascular, pulmonary, endocrine, metabolic, hematological, neurologic, or gastrointestinal (excluding IBS-D) disease

Subject had a history of thyroid dysfunction

Subject had any condition or situation that would put the subject at significant risk, could confound the study results, or could interfere significantly with the subject's involvement in the study

Subject had a cognitive impairment or disorder that would interfere with his or her ability to participate in a face-to-face interview

References:

- Lewis SJ, Heaton KW. Stool form scale as a useful guide to intestinal transit time. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1997;32(9):920-924.
- Heaton K. Understanding your bowels. 3rd ed. Banbury, UK Family Doctor Publications 1999.
- 3. Lasch K, Delgado-Herrera L, Tesler Waldman L, et al. Development of a new instrument to assess stool form and consistency in irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea. *Gastroenterol Hepatol: Open Access.* 2016;4(1).

Methods: Recruitment targets

Table S2 Recruitment targets

Patient		Target, n (%)
characteristics		
Severity of disease	Mild	8–9 (~33)
	Moderate	8–9 (~33)
	Severe	8–9 (~33)
Age	18–64 years	20 (80)
	≥65 years	5 (20)
Sex	Male	10 (40)
	Female	15 (60)
Education	High school or	10 (40)
	less	
	Some college or	15 (60)
	higher	
Race	Caucasian	15 (60)
	Non-Caucasian	10 (40)
Electronic device	Android	13 (~50)
	iOS	13 (~50)

Interim results

Subjects in wave 1 (n=7), wave 2 (n=8), wave 3 (n=10) interviews reported no issues with interpreting the items similarly between the pen-and-paper and mobile application formats. However, subjects reported some difficulty with interpreting items in the Report History section of the mobile application (**Tables S3, S4,** and **S5** for waves 1, 2, and 3, respectively). Modifications made after wave 1 and 2 interviews are also presented in **Tables S3** and **S4**, respectively).

Table S3 Summary results for wave 1 (n=7), along with modifications made to the mobile application

Heading	Interview summary	Modifications made
'# of times	Overall, subjects misinterpreted the vertical	Heading revised to:
passed gas	axis of the chart as number of times gas	'How often passed gas
past week'	passed rather than the categorized	past week'
chart and	frequency of episodes of passing gas in the	
summary	past week (ie, 4=all of the time, 3=most of	
	the time, 2=some of the time, 1=a little of	
	the time, 0=none of the time)	
	Specifically, misinterpreted:	
	 Chart vertical axis as representing the 	
	number of episodes of passing gas	
	(n=2)	
	 Number of times passed gas on 	
	Wednesday on chart as referring to the	
	number of episodes of passed gas	
	rather than 'some of the time' (n=5)	
	 Results in chart summary as referring to 	
	the number of episodes of passed gas	
	rather than 'some of the time'	
'# of	Overall, subjects could not tell the number	Chart to display the
accidents	of days with accidents represented by the	actual number of days
past week'	pie chart as they did not understand that	with and without
chart	the chart represented the number of days	accidents
	with and without accidents	
	Additionally, interpreted the chart as	
	number of accidents in the past week (n=2)	
'Total IBS-D	Overall, subjects expressed confusion and	Heading revised to:
pain,	difficulty understanding the composite	'Summary of IBS-D
cramping,	score	symptoms (pain,
	'# of times passed gas past week' chart and summary '# of accidents past week' chart 'Total IBS-D pain,	 Overall, subjects misinterpreted the vertical axis of the chart as number of times gas past week' chart and frequency of episodes of passing gas in the summary past week (ie, 4=all of the time, 3=most of the time, 0=none of the time, 1=a little of the time, 0=none of the time) Specifically, misinterpreted: - Chart vertical axis as representing the number of episodes of passing gas (n=2) - Number of times passed gas on Wednesday on chart as referring to the number of episodes of passed gas rather than 'some of the time' (n=5) - Results in chart summary as referring to the number of episodes of passed gas rather than 'some of the time' '# of accidents of days with accidents represented by the pie chart as they did not understand that the chart represented the number of days with and without accidents of accidents Additionally, interpreted the chart as number of accidents in the past week (n=2) 'Total IBS-D Overall, subjects expressed confusion and difficulty understanding the composite

	and pressure	Subjects misinterpreted:	cramping, and
	score over	Composite score as an average of all	pressure) over the past
	the past	the previous charts/questions (n=2)	week'
	week' chart	Their pain tolerance level (n=1)	
		 Number of days with symptoms (n=1) 	
	'Total IBS-D	Overall, subjects expressed confusion as to	Heading revised to:
	pain,	the meaning of the 'total score' in the	'Summary of IBS-D
	cramping,	summary	symptoms (pain,
	and pressure	Subjects misinterpreted:	cramping, and
	score over	Total score as an average of all the	pressure) over the past
	the past	previous questions (n=1)	week'
	week' chart	Their pain tolerance level (n=1)	Summary screen to
	summary	Could not provide a response (n=1)	indicate that the
			summary score is a
			rating out of 10 (ie,
			'6/10')
IBS-D	'# of bowel	Difficulty in interpreting 24-hour time format	Revised summary
Symptom	movements	(n=2)	screen to display time
Event Log	today'		in 12-hour clock format
Report	'Immediacy	Difficulty in interpreting the vertical axis of	
History	of need	the chart (n=1)	
	today' chart		
	'Immediacy	Difficulty interpreting the average	
	of need	immediacy of need number (n=1)	
	today'		
	summary		
Usability of		Overall, subjects found the mobile	
mobile		application easy to use	
application		Difficulty downloading and/or installing the	
		application onto their mobile devices (n=4)	

On some screens the font size was small,	
making it difficult to read clearly (n=2)	
Suggestion that the mobile application	
buttons could be made to stand out more	
clearly (n=1)	
Difficulty in knowing which questionnaire	
section was referred to by the 'Daily	
Symptom Diary' and 'Symptom Event Log'	
mobile application buttons (n=1)	

Table S4 Summary results for wave 2 (n=8), along with modifications made to the mobile application

	Heading	Interview summary	Modifications made
IBS-D Daily	'# of times		'How often passed gas
Symptom	passed gas past		past week'
Diary	week' summary		
Report	screen		
History	'# of accidents	Overall, subjects expressed	'# of days with
	past week' chart	hesitation in telling the number of	accidents past week'
		days with accidents represented by	
		the pie chart.	
		Additionally, interpreted chart as	
		number of accidents in the past week	
		rather than number of days with	
		accidents in the past week (n=2).	
	'# of accidents	Misinterpreted the summary as	'# of days with
	past week'	reporting the number of accidents in	accidents past week'
	summary screen	the past week rather than the number	and added the word
		of days with accidents (n=2)	'days' to the right of
			the number in the
			circle at the top of the
			screen
	'Summary of	Misinterpreted the composite score	Revised headings to
	IBS-D	as an average of all the previous	'Average severity of
	symptoms (pain,	charts/questions (n=3)	IBS-D pain, cramping,
	cramping, and		and pressure over the
	pressure) over		past week' and added
	the past week'		the word 'severe' to
	chart		the key at the bottom
			of the chart
			the key at the bottom

	Summary of	Misinterpreted the total score as:	Revised headings to
	IBS-D	Percentage of time in which they	'Average severity of
	Symptoms	experienced symptoms (n=1)	IBS-D pain, cramping,
	(pain, cramping,	Number of symptoms experienced	and pressure over the
	and pressure)	(n=1)	past week' and added
	over the past	Average of all of all the previous	the word 'severe' to
	week' summary	charts/questions (n=1)	the key at the bottom
	screen		of the summary
			screen.
			Revised the summary
			screen so that the key
			for the 0 to 10 rating
			appears directly below
			'6/10'
Usability of		Overall, subjects found the mobile	
mobile		application easy to use.	
application		Difficulty downloading and/or	
		installing the application onto their	
		mobile devices (n=4)	
		Font size was small, making it difficult	
		to read clearly (n=4)	
		'Back' buttons on the mobile	
		application screens were too small	
		and did not work as intended when	
		pressed (n=1)	

Table S5 Summary results for wave 3 (n=10)

	Heading	Interview summary
General		Subjects were unable to identify which questionnaire results
		they would be viewing if they selected 'Daily Symptom Diary' or
		'Symptom Event Log' (n=7)
IBS-D Daily	'Severity of	Misinterpreted the vertical axis and point on the chart as the
Symptom	abdominal pain	days of the week rather than severity (n=2)
Diary	past week' chart	
Report		
History	'Severity of	Misinterpreted the summary screen as the day of the week
	abdominal pain	rather than severity (n=2)
	past week'	
	summary screen	
	'How often	Overall, subjects misinterpreted the vertical axis of the chart as
	passed gas past	the number of episodes of passed gas rather than the
	week' chart and	categorized frequency of episodes of passing gas in the past
	summary screen	week (ie, 4=all of the time, 3=most of the time, 2=some of the
		time, 1=a little of the time, 0=none of the time)
		Misinterpreted results in the chart summary as referring to
		number of episodes of passed gas rather than 'some of the
		time' (n=4)
	'# of days with	Overall, subjects could not tell the number of days with
	accidents past	accidents represented by the pie chart as they did not
	week' chart and	understand that the chart and summary represented the
	summary screen	number of days with and without accidents
	'Average	Overall, subjects continued to express confusion and difficulty
	severity of IBS-D	understanding the composite score.
	pain, cramping,	Misinterpreted the composite score as:
	and pressure	 Average of all the previous charts/questions (n=1)
	over the past	 Level of tolerance to the symptoms (n=1)
	week' chart	 Number of accidents in the previous week (n=1)

		 Average intensity and frequency of all the previous
		charts/questions (n=1)
	'Average	Overall, subjects expressed confusion understanding the
	Severity of IBS-	summary composite score.
	D pain,	Misinterpreted the summary score as:
	cramping, and	 Experiencing symptoms 6/10 days (n=3)
	Pressure over	 Average of either all the previous charts/questions (n=2) or
	the past week'	only two of the previous charts/questions (n=2)
	summary screen	 Experiencing symptoms 60% of the time, average level of
		symptom tolerance, number of episodes of cramping, or
		experiencing 6 out of 10 symptoms (n=1)
		 Unable to understand the meaning of the summary
		composite score (n=1)
	'Past month' and	Misinterpreted the horizontal axis of the charts as the lowest
	'past 3 months'	level of the symptoms represented by the chart (n=3) or as the
	charts	level of pain tolerance (n=1)
IBS-D	'# of bowel	Misinterpreted the chart as bowel movement severity (n=1)
Symptom	movements	
Event Log	today' chart	
Report	'Bowel	Overall, subjects misinterpreted the meaning of the vertical axis
History	movement	in the chart and the average shown on the summary screen.
	appearance	Misinterpreted the vertical line in the chart as the quantity of
	today' chart and	bowel movements (n=2)
	summary screen	Misinterpreted the summary as representing bowel movement
		appearance over 5 days (n=1) or a week (n=1)
		Unable to understand the meaning of the summary average
		bowel movement appearance (n=1)
	'Feeling of	Misinterpreted the chart as the number of bowel movements
	complete	(n=1)

	emptying today'	
	chart	
Usability of		Overall, subjects found the mobile application easy to use.
mobile		Difficulty downloading and/or installing the application onto their
application		mobile devices (n=4)
		Font size was small, making it difficult to read clearly (n=3)
		Font was difficult to read when on a gray background (as on a
		mobile application button) (n=1)

Table S6 Interpretation of response options for conceptual equivalence across formats for the IBS-D Daily symptom Diary

	Response	Conceptual equivalent, n %			Data not
		Yes	No	Unclear	n (%)
Item 1:	0 – No	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Abdominal pain	10 – Most severe	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Item 2:	0 – No	23 (92)	0 (0)	2 (8)	0 (0)
Abdominal cramps	10 – Most severe	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 3:	0 – No	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Abdominal pressure	10 – Most severe	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 4: Bloating	0 – Not bloated	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
severity	10 – Extremely bloated	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
5 Item:	None of the time	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Frequency of passing gas	A little of the time	23 (92)	0 (0)	1 (4)	1 (4)
passing gas	Some of the time	21 (84)	0 (0)	4 (16)	0 (0)
	Most of the time	24 (96)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (4)
	All of the time	24 (100)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Item 6:	Yes	20 (80)	0 (0)	5 (20)	0 (0)
Accidents	No	23 (92)	0 (0)	2 (8)	0 (0)

Table S7 Interpretation of response options for conceptual equivalence across formats for the IBS-D Symptom Event Log

	Category	Conceptual equivalent, n %			Data not
		Yes	No	Unclear	collected, n
					(%)
Item 1: Date and	_	_	_	_	25 (0)
time of bowel					
movement					
Item 2:	No	23 (92)	1 (4)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Immediacy of	Slight	22 (88)	0 (0)	3 (12)	0 (0)
need	Moderate	23 (92)	0 (0)	1 (4)	1 (4)
	Quite a bit	23 (92)	0 (0)	2 (8)	0 (0)
	Extreme	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 3: Bowel	Like marbles or	25 (100)	0 (0)		0 (0)
movement	hard rocks				
appearance	Single solid	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	clumpy stool				
	Hard, solid	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	formed, harder				
	to pass				
	Smooth, a softer	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	stool				
	Soft chunks or	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	clumps				
	Loose, mushy	23 (92)	0 (0)	2 (8)	0 (0)
	stool				

	Watery and	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	muddy				
	Just liquid	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
Item 4: Feeling of	Yes	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
complete	No	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
emptying					

Notes: Difference was not due to the type of format

Table S8 Interpretation of the mobile application Report History for the IBS-D Daily symptom Diary

Chart item	Interpretation	Interpreta	Data not		
	parameter	(%)	collected, n		
		Yes	No	Insufficient	(%)
				data	
Item 1: Abdominal	Horizontal axis	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
pain severity	(days of the week)				
	Vertical axis	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	(severity)				
	Data point on chart	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Summary screen	22 (88)	3 (12)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 2: Abdominal	Vertical axis	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
cramps severity	(severity)				
	Data point on chart	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Summary screen	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 3: Abdominal	Vertical axis	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
pressure	(severity)				
	Summary screen	23 (92)	2 (8)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 4: Bloating	Vertical axis	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
severity	(severity)				
	Summary screen	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Item 5: Frequency of passing gas	Vertical axis (how often)	18 (72)	6 (24)	1 (4)	0 (0)

	Data point on chart	9 (36)	14 (56)	1 (4)	1 (4)
	Summary screen	20 (80)	4 (16)	0 (0)	1 (4)
Item 6: Accidents	Difficulty interpreting	18 (72)	5 (20)	2 (8)	0 (0)
(number of days in	chart				
past week)	Able to identify	20 (80)	5 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	number from chart				
	Summary screen	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Average severity	Chart and	12 (48)	10 (40)	3 (12)	0 (0)
of abdominal pain,	associated				
cramping, and	summary page				
pressure	Vertical axis	20 (80)	3 (12)	2 (8)	0 (0)
	Average severity	13 (52)	12 (48)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	summary screen				
Filters (phrases)	Past week	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Past month	25 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Past 3 months	24 (96)	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)
	Horizontal axis	23 (92)	2 (8)	0 (0)	0 (0)