

Supplementary material

HAND GRIP STRENGTH IS ASSOCIATED WITH FEV1 AMONG SUBJECTS WITH COPD

- REPORT FROM A POPULATION BASED COHORT STUDY

Viktor Johansson Strandkvist, PT, MSc, Helena Backman, PhD, Jenny Röding, PT, PhD, Caroline Stridsman, RN, PhD, Anne Lindberg, MD, PhD.

Table S1. Comparing hand grip strength among Non-COPD and subjects with COPD GOLD 1, GOLD 2 and GOLD 3-4, respectively

	Non-COPD	GOLD 1	p-value ^a	GOLD 2	p-value ^a	GOLD 3-4	p-value ^a
	Mean (SD) HGS	Mean (SD) HGS		Mean (SD) HGS		Mean (SD) HGS	
	<i>n=255</i>	<i>n=102</i>		<i>n=86</i>		<i>n=7</i>	
Women	26.9 (6.7)	25.9 (6.0)	0.174	26.0 (5.9)	0.244	21.4 (2.7)	0.001
	<i>n=315</i>	<i>n=92</i>		<i>n=132</i>		<i>n=22</i>	
Men	46.3 (10.5)	47.7 (9.1)	0.241	45.4 (10.3)	0.422	41.5 (9.7)	0.038

^aGOLD grade compared with non-COPD
HGS=Hand Grip Strength
P-values<0.05 in bold

Table S2. Comparing hand grip strength among normal lung function¹ (NFL) and subjects with COPD GOLD 1, GOLD 2 and GOLD 3-4, respectively

	NLF ^a	GOLD 1	p-value ^b	GOLD 2	p-value ^b	GOLD 3-4	p-value ^b
	Mean (SD) HGS	Mean (SD) HGS		Mean (SD) HGS		Mean (SD) HGS	
	<i>n=221</i>	<i>n=102</i>		<i>n=86</i>		<i>n=7</i>	
Women	27.0 (6.8)	25.9 (6.0)	0.154	26.0 (5.9)	0.217	21.4 (2.7)	0.001
	<i>n=250</i>	<i>n=92</i>		<i>n=132</i>		<i>n=22</i>	
Men	47.4 (10.3)	47.7 (9.1)	0.785	45.4 (10.3)	0.077	41.5 (9.7)	0.010

^a Normal Lung Function (subjects with restrictive pattern excluded from the non-COPD group)

^bGOLD grade compared with NFL
HGS=Hand Grip Strength
P-values<0.05 in bold

Table S3. Adjusted analyses of the association between hand grip strength and GOLD grades compared to non-COPD

	Women			Men			Total study population		
	B	95% CI	p-value	B	95% CI	p-value	B	95% CI	p-value
Non-COPD	Ref.			Ref.			Ref.		
GOLD 1	-0.94	(-2.17 – 0.30)	0.138	0.49	(-1.38 – 2.36)	0.609	-0.12	(-1.29 – 1.05)	0.837
GOLD 2	-1.11	(-2.45 – 0.23)	0.104	-1.33	(-3.00 – 0.35)	0.120	-1.07	(-2.22 – 0.07)	0.067
GOLD 3-4	-4.90	(-8.81 – -0.99)	0.014	-3.19	(-6.75 – 0.37)	0.079	-4.18	(-6.89 – -1.48)	0.002

B=Beta coefficient from multiple linear regression models with HGS as dependent variable, adjusted for age, height, heart disease, smoking habits and among all subjects also adjusted for sex
 95% CI= 95 % confidence interval, p-values<0.05 in bold