Appendix A: Principal component analysis results

Items Factor 2 Factor 1 Factor 3 Factor 4 Factor 5 -0.009(-0.003)-0.047(-0.021)-0.057(-0.034) 0.275(0.23) 0.914(0.873) Sex Occupation 0.166(0.074) 0.73(0.433) 0.222(0.186) 0.12(0.114) 0.05(0.015) Age (years) 0.035(0.01) 0.845(0.378)-0.005(-0.003) -0.13(-0.109) -0.142(-0.136) Monthly income (RMB^{a)} 0.201(0.09) 0.792(0.469) 0.174(0.145) -0.108(-0.103) -0.084(-0.025) 0.045(0.014) 0.719(0.321) 0.314(0.186) -0.096(-0.08) 0.125(0.119) Marital status -0.074(-0.022)-0.642(-0.287)0.348(0.206) 0.149(0.125) -0.272(-0.259) Educational level 0.117(0.035) -0.063(-0.06)Self-rated health status 0.639(0.285) -0.185(-0.11) 0.082(0.068) Burden of health expenditures 0.184(0.055) -0.469(-0.278) 0.227(0.19) -0.109(-0.104)0.287(0.128) Whether community health institutions advocated dual practice policy in your current community 0.4(0.12) 0.064(0.029) -0.088(-0.052) 0.661(0.553) -0.165(-0.157)Whether dual practice could reduce difficulty in consulting a 0.689(0.207) -0.015(-0.007) -0.081(-0.048)0.373(0.312) -0.031(-0.03)doctor Whether dual practice could meet patient demand for health convenience 0.7(0.21)-0.047(-0.021) -0.019(-0.011) 0.281(0.235) -0.071(-0.068)Whether dual practice could meet patient demand for treatment for minor illness 0.789(0.237) -0.067(-0.03) 0.081(0.048) -0.155(-0.13) 0.014(0.014) Whether dual practice could meet patient demand for treatment for chronic disease 0.712(0.214) -0.061(-0.027)0.038(0.022) -0.288(-0.241)-0.033(-0.031)Whether community health services were your first choice for treatment for minor illness if community health centers engaged in dual practice 0.702(0.211) 0.149(0.142) -0.138(-0.062)0.108(0.064) -0.291(-0.243)Whether community health services were your first choice for treatment for chronic disease if community health centers engaged in dual practice 0.72(0.216) 0.122(0.072) 0.063(0.06) -0.023(-0.01) -0.295(-0.247) Initial Eigenvalues 3.331 2.239 1.689 1.195 1.048

Table S1 Factor loading matrix(outpatients)

Percentage of variance (%)	22.210	14.928	11.257	7.965	6.985	
Cumulative percentage of variance (%)	22.210	37.137	48.395	56.359	63.344	

Notes: Extraction method: principal component analysis. Data shown as Eigenvalues (coefficient). ^a RMB, RenMinBi Yuan.

Table S2 Factor loading matrix(medical staff)

Items	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5
Sex	-0.016(-0.004)	-0.004(-0.002)	-0.047(-0.033)	0.762(0.694)	0.046(0.043)
Age (years)	-0.051(-0.014)	0.208(0.128)	-0.053(-0.037)	-0.498(-0.453)	0.604(0.568)
Educational level	0.346(0.094)	-0.174(-0.107)	0.45(0.316)	-0.235(-0.214)	-0.287(-0.27)
Title	-0.28(-0.076)	0.506(0.311)	-0.471(-0.331)	-0.173(-0.158)	-0.313(-0.294)
Technical position	0.325(0.088)	-0.559(-0.343)	0.47(0.33)	0.063(0.058)	0.227(0.213)
Department	-0.076(-0.021)	0.358(0.22)	-0.065(-0.046)	0.329(0.299)	0.548(0.516)
The willingness to join in dual practice	-0.631(-0.171)	0.082(0.05)	0.238(0.168)	-0.049(-0.044)	0.127(0.12)
Whether hospital administrators advocated dual practice policy in your current workplace Whether respondents possessed the knowledge of dual practice policy	-0.333(-0.09)	0.249(0.153)	0.552(0.388)	0.087(0.08)	0.052(0.049)
practice policy	-0.311(-0.084)	0.526(0.323)		0.195(0.178)	-0.236(-0.222)
Whether dual practice increased work-related burden Whether dual practice could reduce difficulty in consulting a doctor	-0.167(-0.045) -0.44(-0.119)	0.392(0.241) 0.219(0.135)	0.512(0.36) 0.177(0.125)	-0.113(-0.103) -0.086(-0.078)	-0.169(-0.159 0.225(0.211)
Support from the hospital Whether hospitals' human resource management system	0.812(0.22)	0.24(0.147)	0.079(0.056)	-0.016(-0.015)	0.084(0.079)
permit free flow of medical staff	0.835(0.226)	0.263(0.162)	0.062(0.044)	-0.02(-0.018)	0.04(0.038)
The willingness of medical staff	0.768(0.208)	0.326(0.2)	0.044(0.031)	0.052(0.048)	-0.025(-0.023)
Existence of a professional dual-practice team	0.78(0.211)	0.278(0.171)	-0.003(-0.002)	0.021(0.019)	0.03(0.029)

Initial Eigenvalues	3.695	1.627	1.422	1.098	1.063
Percentage of variance (%)	24.632	10.846	9.48	7.321	7.086
Cumulative percentage of variance (%)	24.632	35.478	44.958	52.279	59.364

Notes: Extraction method: principal component analysis. Data shown as Eigenvalues (coefficient).

Appendix B: Questionnaires

Questionnaire 1: Perception of outpatients of dual practice

Dear Sir / Madam:

Dual practice is defined as a physician's performance of medical activities in different health institutions (two or more) simultaneously. The aim of this survey was to examine the perception of outpatients of dual practice. The questionnaire information was only for academic research, without involving any commercial interests. All personal information was anonymized prior to analysis. Place a tick in the appropriate box, every question has a single answer. Thank you very much for your support and cooperation!

Q1. Your sex: □Male □Female

Q2. Your occupation:

 \Box Student \Box Factory worker \Box Farmer \Box Retiree \Box Civil servant

□Medical personnel □Military personnel □Others

Q 3. Your age (years) :

□18-20 □20-30 □30-40 □40-50 □50-60 □≥60

Q4. Your monthly income (RenMinBi Yuan):

 $\Box 0 \quad \Box 1-2000 \quad \Box 2001-3000 \quad \Box 3001-5000 \quad \Box 5001-8000$

□8001-15,000 □15,001-50,000 □>50,000

Q5. Your marital status:

□Single □Married □Widowed □Others

Q 6. Your educational level:

□Primary school □Junior high school □Senior high school

 \Box Junior college \Box Bachelor \Box Master \Box Doctor

Q 7. Your self-rated health status:

□Very poor □ Poor □Ordinary □Healthy □Very healthy

Q 8. Whether the burden of health expenditures is affordable?

Q 9. Whether community health institutions advocated dual practice policy in your current community?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 10. Whether dual practice could reduce difficulty in consulting a doctor ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 11. Whether dual practice could meet patient demand for health convenience ? □ Yes □ No □ Not certain

Q 12. Whether dual practice could meet patient demand for treatment for minor illness ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 13. Whether dual practice could meet patient demand for treatment for chronic disease ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 14. Whether community health services were your first choice for treatment for minor illness if community health centers engaged in dual practice ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 15. Whether community health services were your first choice for treatment for chronic disease if community health centers engaged in dual practice ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Questionnaire 2: Perception of medical staff of dual practice

Dear Sir / Madam:

Dual practice is defined as a physician's performance of medical activities in different health institutions (two or more) simultaneously. The aim of this survey was to examine the perception of medical staff of dual practice. The questionnaire information was only for academic research, without involving any commercial interests. All personal information was anonymized prior to analysis. Place a tick in the appropriate box, every question has a single answer. Thank you very much for your support and cooperation!

Q1. Your sex: □Male □Female

Q2. Your age (years) :

 $\Box 18-20$ $\Box 20-30$ $\Box 30-40$ $\Box 40-50$ $\Box 50-60$ $\Box \ge 60$

Q 3. Your Educational level:

 \Box Junior college \Box Bachelor \Box Master \Box Doctor \Box Post-doctorate \Box Others Q 4. Your title:

□Hospital leader □Department leader □Clinical department director

□Medical-technical department director □Pharmacy director □Doctor

 \Box Researcher \Box Others

Q 5. Your technical position:

□Junior □Intermediate □Vice senior □Senior

Q 6. Your department:

□Surgical department □Internal medical department □Medical-technical department □Others

Q 7. Whether hospital administrators advocated dual practice policy in your current workplace ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 8. Do you want to join in dual practice?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 9. Do you possessed the knowledge regarding dual practice policy?

 \Box Yes \Box No

Q 10. Whether dual practice would increase your work-related burden ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 11. Whether dual practice could reduce difficulty in consulting a doctor ?

 \Box Yes \Box No \Box Not certain

Q 12. The important levels regarding the influencing factors of dual practice

Q 12.1 Support from the hospital

□very unimportant □unimportant □general □important □very important

Q 12.2 Whether hospitals' human resource management system permit free flow of medical staff

□very unimportant □unimportant □general □important □very important

Q 12.3 The willingness of medical staff

 $\label{eq:constraint} \Box very \ unimportant \ \ \Box unimportant \ \ \Box general \ \ \Box important \ \ \Box very \ important$

Q 12.4 Existence of a professional dual-practice team

□very unimportant □unimportant □general □important □very important

		dual practic	e ^a					
Variables	Parameter	Estimate	SE	Wald χ ²	P-value	OR	95% CI	
riables Parameter Estimate SE e (years) Intercept 16.790 1837.988 0 $20-30$ -0.106 4819.495 0 $20-30$ -18.160 1837.987 0 $30-40$ -18.365 1837.987 0 $40-50$ -18.764 1837.987 0 $40-50$ -18.764 1837.987 0 $40-50$ -18.764 1837.987 0 $40-50$ -18.764 1837.987 0 $40-50$ -18.764 1837.987 0 $50-60$ -17.546 1837.987 0 260 Reference 0 197 0.981 0 ucational level Others 0.197 0.981 0 Junior college -0.557 1.197 0 Bachelor -0.077 0.328 0 Doctor Reference 1 0 0 le Others -3.165				Lower	Upper			
	Intercept	16.790	1837.988	0.000	0.993			
Age (years)	18-20	-0.106	4819.495	0.000	1.000	0.900		
	20-30	-18.160	1837.987	0.000	0.992	0.000		
	30-40	-18.365	1837.987	0.000	0.992	0.000		
	40-50	-18.764	1837.987	0.000	0.992	0.000		
	50-60	-17.546	1837.987	0.000	0.992	0.000		
	≥60	Reference						
Educational level	Others	0.197	0.981	0.040	0.841	1.218	0.178	8.333
	Junior college	-0.557	1.197	0.216	0.642	0.573	0.055	5.982
		-0.077	0.339	0.051	0.821	0.926	0.477	1.800
	Master	-0.816	0.328	6.177	0.013*	0.442	0.233	0.842
	Doctor	Reference						
Title	Others	-3.165	2.079	2.317	0.128	0.042	0.001	2.484
	Doctor	-3.566	1.986	3.226	0.072	0.028	0.001	1.384
	Medical-technical department	-3.054	2.646	1.332	0.248	0.047	0.000	8.429
	-							
	Clinical department director	-2.830	2.073	1.864	0.172	0.059	0.001	3.431
	Hospital leader	Reference					0.055 0.477 0.233 0.001 0.001 0.000 0.001 0.921	
Technical position	Junior	1.334	0.722	3.410	0.065	3.795	$\begin{array}{c} 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00\\ 00$	15.631
•	Intermediate	1.040	0.707	2.163	0.141	2.829	0.708	11.311
	Vice senior	0.674	0.692	0.949	0.330	1.961	0.506	7.606
	Senior	Reference						
Department	Others	0.055	0.408	0.018	0.893	1.056	0.475	2.351
•	Medical-technical department	0.142	0.514	0.077	0.782	1.153	0.421	3.156
	Internal medical department	0.469	0.280	2.808	0.094	1.598	0.924	2.766
	Surgical department	Reference						
Whether hospital administrators	Not certain	1.461	0.375	15.177	0.000*	4.308	2.066	8.983

Appendix C: Multinomial logistic regression analysis results of medical staff Table S3 Comparison of medical staff who was uncertain about the willingness to join in dual practice with medical staff who wanted to join in

advocated dual practice policy in	No	-0.051	0.434	0.014	0.906	0.950	0.406	2.223
the current workplace	Yes	Reference						
Whether respondents possessed the	No	0.565	0.362	2.437	0.119	1.760	0.866	3.578
knowledge of dual practice policy	Yes	Reference						
Whether dual practice increased	Not certain	0.428	0.306	1.964	0.161	1.535	0.843	2.794
work-related burden	No	0.029	0.310	0.009	0.925	1.030	0.561	1.889
	Yes	Reference						
Whether dual practice could reduce	Not certain	1.340	0.284	22.255	0.000*	3.820	2.189	6.667
difficulty in consulting a doctor	No	0.566	0.324	3.053	0.081	1.761	0.933	3.324
	Yes	Reference						
Support from the hospital	Very unimportant	2.912	1.172	6.171	0.013*	18.396	1.849	183.042
	Unimportant	2.062	1.113	3.433	0.064	7.861	0.888	69.629
	General	1.145	0.459	6.217	0.013*	3.142	1.278	7.728
	Important	0.425	0.365	1.358	0.244	1.530	0.748	3.127
	Very important	Reference						
Whether hospitals' human resource	Very unimportant	-0.178	1.526	0.014	0.907	0.837	0.042	16.654
management system permit free	Unimportant	0.545	1.024	0.283	0.595	1.724	0.232	12.843
flow of medical staff	General	1.140	0.514	4.930	0.026*	3.127	1.143	8.557
	Important	1.003	0.384	6.822	0.009*	2.726	1.284	5.786
	Very important	Reference						
The willingness of medical staff	Very unimportant	-0.300	1.674	0.032	0.858	0.741	0.028	19.720
	Unimportant	2.295	1.144	4.024	0.045*	9.926	1.054	93.456
	General	0.498	0.503	0.982	0.322	1.646	0.615	4.408
	Important	0.452	0.396	1.308	0.253	1.572	0.724	3.413
	Very important	Reference						
Existence of a professional dual-	Very unimportant	16.018	2092.200	0.000	0.994	9044237.632		
practice team	Unimportant	0.010	1.092	0.000	0.993	1.010	0.119	8.579
	General	-0.208	0.519	0.161	0.688	0.812	0.294	2.244
	Important	-0.402	0.399	1.016	0.313	0.669	0.306	1.462
	Very important	Reference						

Notes: *P,0.05 (two sided). ^aReference: medical staff who wanted to join in dual practice.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval.

Variables	Parameter	Estimate	SE	Wald χ^2	P-value	OR	95% CI		
							Lower	Upper	
	Intercept	-17.141	6041.594	0.000	0.998				
Age (years)	18-20	-0.976	0.000			0.377	0.377	0.377	
	20-30	-1.972	4649.581	0.000	1.000	0.139			
	30-40	-1.353	4649.581	0.000	1.000	0.258			
	40-50	-1.130	4649.581	0.000	1.000	0.323			
	50-60	-0.680	4649.581	0.000	1.000	0.507			
	≥ 60	Reference							
Educational level	Others	0.165	1.218	0.018	0.892	1.179	0.108	12.823	
	Junior college	-0.239	1.798	0.018	0.894	0.787	0.023	26.690	
	Bachelor	0.522	0.464	1.266	0.261	1.686	0.679	4.185	
	Master	-0.469	0.462	1.029	0.310	0.626	0.253	1.548	
	Doctor	Reference							
Title	Others	15.171	3857.753	0.000	0.997	3878293.445			
	Doctor	13.823	3857.753	0.000	0.997	1007644.662			
	Medical-technical department director	16.931	3857.753	0.000	0.996	22538965.979			
	Clinical department director	13.625	3857.753	0.000	0.997	826604.458			
	Hospital leader	Reference							
Technical position	Junior	0.349	0.913	0.146	0.702	1.418	0.237	8.484	
	Intermediate	0.173	0.890	0.038	0.846	1.189	0.208	6.797	
	Vice senior	0.408	0.883	0.213	0.644	1.503	0.266	8.483	
	Senior	Reference							
Department	Others	0.186	0.573	0.105	0.746	1.204	0.391	3.704	
	Medical-	0.234	0.672	0.121	0.727	1.264	0.338	4.723	

Table S4 Comparison of medical staff who was opposed to joining in dual practice with medical staff who wanted to join in dual practice ^a

	technical department									
	Internal medical department	0.791	0.390	4.116	0.042*	2.206	1.027	4.736		
	Surgical department	Reference								
Whether hospital administrators	Not certain	0.085	0.511	0.027	0.868	1.088	0.400	2.963		
advocated dual practice policy in	No	1.315	0.489	7.231	0.007*	3.724	1.428	9.710		
the current workplace	Yes	Reference								
Whether respondents possessed the	No	0.508	0.442	1.319	0.251	1.662	0.698	3.954		
knowledge of dual practice policy	Yes	Reference								
Whether dual practice increased	Not certain	-0.311	0.416	0.561	0.454	0.732	0.324	1.654		
work-related burden	No	-0.688	0.391	3.096	0.079	0.503	0.233	1.082		
	Yes	Reference								
Whether dual practice could reduce difficulty in consulting a doctor	Not certain	1.226	0.414	8.752	0.003*	3.406	1.512	7.673		
	No	1.506	0.389	14.971	0.000*	4.508	2.102	9.667		
	Yes	Reference								
Support from the hospital	Very unimportant	0.171	1.416	0.015	0.904	1.187	0.074	19.033		
	Unimportant	-0.335	1.503	0.050	0.824		13.625			
	General	0.057	0.618	0.008	0.927	1.058	0.315	3.551		
	Important	0.410	0.468	0.769	0.381	1.507	0.603	3.768		
	Very important	Reference								
Whether hospitals' human resource	Very unimportant	2.342	1.543	2.305	0.129	10.401	0.506	213.865		
management system permit free	Unimportant	3.610	1.108	10.609	0.001*	36.956	4.211	324.347		
flow of medical staff	General	2.102	0.660	10.145	0.001*	8.185	2.245	29.840		
	Important	1.246	0.507	6.032	0.014*	3.475	1.286	9.388		
	Very important	Reference								
The willingness of medical staff	Very unimportant	0.608	1.554	0.153	0.696	1.837	0.087	38.599		
	Unimportant	1.984	1.177	2.839	0.092	7.270	0.723	73.057		
	General	-0.397	0.612	0.422	0.516	0.672	0.202	2.231		

	Important	-0.110	0.487	0.051	0.822	0.896	0.345	2.327
	Very important	Reference	•					
Existence of a professional dual-	Very unimportant	-2.196	5167.744	0.000	1.000	0.111		
practice team	Unimportant	-0.666	1.266	0.277	0.599	0.514	0.043	6.146
	General	-0.696	0.602	1.337	0.248	0.499	0.153	1.622
	Important	-0.766	0.472	2.639	0.104	0.465	0.184	1.171
	Very important	Reference						

Notes: *P,0.05 (two sided). ^aReference: medical staff who wanted to join in dual practice.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval.