ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Access and Disparities in the Use of Telemedicine Among Patients with Chronic Conditions in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study

Ziyad S Almalki ^[b], Mohammad T Imam¹, Nada F Abou Chahin², Naheda S ALSammak², Shahad M Entabli², Shatha K Alhammad², Ghuyudh F Alanazi², Rama A Kharsa², Layla A Alonazi², Rasha A Mandil², Ahmed A Albassam ¹, Ahmed M Alshehri ¹, Abdullah K Alahmari¹, Ghada M Alem^b, Abdullah A Alalwan^b, Ahmad Alamer¹

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia; ²Collage of Pharmacy, Almaarefa University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence: Ziyad S Almalki, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia, Tel +966 11 588 7315, Email z.almalki@psau.edu.sa

Purpose: This study investigated the access to and disparities in telemedicine use among patients with chronic conditions in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Patients and Methods: A cross-sectional study of randomly selected primary healthcare centers was conducted to ensure that each of the 17 municipalities in Riyadh were represented. Three hundred and forty-two participants who completed the questionnaire were interviewed using a standardized questionnaire. The relationship between demographic and socioeconomic factors and telemedicine utilization was evaluated using the chi-square test and multivariable mixed-effects logistic regression model.

Results: Among the 342 participants, the study revealed that 25.73% of the patients utilized telemedicine. Older participants had lower odds of telemedicine use than did those aged \leq 30 years [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 0.112, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.045-0.279 for 50-59 years; AOR = 0.19, 95% CI = 0.076-0.474 for 60-69 years; AOR = 0.223, 95% CI = 0.092-0.542 for \geq 70 years]. Female sex (AOR = 0.076-0.474 for 60-69 years; AOR = 0.223, 95% CI = 0.092-0.542 for \geq 70 years]. 2.519, 95% CI = 1.44-4.408), having a higher education level (AOR = 3.434, 95% CI = 1.037-7.041 for secondary education and AOR = 5.87, 95% CI = 2.761-8.235 for higher education), and living in urban areas (AOR = 2.721, 95% CI = 1.184-6.256) were associated with higher odds of telemedicine use. Among socioeconomic factors, employed participants had higher odds of telemedicine use (AOR = 4.336, 95% CI = 2.3–8.174). Furthermore, compared to those with the highest socioeconomic status (SES) index, those with the lowest SES were less likely to use telemedicine than those with the highest SES index (AOR = 0.193, 95% CI = 0.055–0.683 for the lower bottom (poorest). **Conclusion:** This study highlights a significant disparity in the utilization of telemedicine services across different populations, primarily due to demographic and socioeconomic factors.

Keywords: healthcare system, socioeconomic, digital health, inequities

Introduction

The burden of chronic diseases in Saudi Arabia has increased owing to various challenges, including limited access to healthcare services, escalating medical costs,¹ an aging population,² and a shortage of healthcare providers.³ To address these concerns, the Ministry of Health (MOH) has taken significant steps to ensure accessible healthcare services for all Saudi Arabian citizens by launching digital platforms such as the "Sehhaty" platform, MOH Formulary App, and "Anat". Additionally, the Ministry established the 937 Call Center, which operates 24/7 to cater to the health needs of the callers.⁴

Telemedicine has become crucial for the remote delivery of healthcare services.⁵ This is considered a major breakthrough in healthcare service delivery that can potentially enhance the quality of medical care. Telemedicine can address issues such as lengthy waitlists, limited accessibility in rural areas, limited service hours, and patient no-shows,^{6,7} which are particularly beneficial for patients with chronic illnesses who require regular care. Patient satisfaction with virtual services and treatments

by and incorporate the Greative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

is increasing, indicating the potential benefits of this approach.⁸ Therefore, incorporating telemedicine services is a promising strategy for effectively managing chronic diseases and improving patient quality of life.

Telemedicine has the potential to meet the health care needs of underserved populations. This can exacerbate existing disparities in access to healthcare for patients from demographic and socioeconomic backgrounds who already face inequities.⁹ It is crucial for the MOH to take action and make telemedicine services available to all patients, regardless of their socioeconomic background, to ensure equal healthcare opportunities for both citizens and expatriates in the public sector.

Despite the growing popularity of telemedicine in Saudi Arabia, there are still concerns regarding equity issues that have not been fully investigated or reported. Therefore, this study aimed to examine access to telemedicine and disparities in telemedicine consumption among patients with chronic conditions in Riyadh. By identifying the factors that influence patient utilization of telemedicine, the Saudi MOH can develop tailored strategies to encourage its adoption across different populations.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Study Population

Primary healthcare settings are deemed the best option for recruiting patients with chronic conditions, because of their crucial role in providing comprehensive and ongoing care. As such, a cross-sectional study was conducted in randomly selected primary healthcare centers across all 17 municipalities in Riyadh between November 1, 2022, and March 3, 2023. The criteria for participation included individuals who were 18 years of age or older and currently live in Saudi Arabia. Additionally, the participants were required to have a minimum of one of the following chronic conditions: hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, kidney disease, thyroid disease, mental disorders, or anemia. Non-Saudi individuals were recruited to enhance the diversity and representativeness of the participant population for research conducted in primary health care centers. Individuals under the age of 18 and those with insufficient abilities to engage in the survey or offer permission were excluded.

Convenience sampling was used in this study as all eligible participants were included. According to Government Statistics, the prevalence rate of chronic conditions in Saudi Arabia is 15.9%.¹⁰ The minimum required sample size for this study was determined to be 206 individuals using the Raosoft calculator. The computation was conducted with a confidence level of 95% and margin of error of 5%, with an assumed response rate of 50%. Nevertheless, 342 participants were ultimately enrolled to ensure sufficient sample size.

This study adhered to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the MOH (IRB Log Number 22-490). The informed consent form addressed anonymity and confidentiality concerns, and was signed by all participants prior to their involvement in the study. The participants were informed of the voluntary nature of their participation and that they could withdraw at any time without facing negative consequences.

Data Collection and Questionnaires

During their visit to the primary healthcare facility, respondents were interviewed face-to-face using a standardized questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into three parts and covered sociodemographic and clinical profile factors and healthcare service consumption over the previous three months. Field specialists carefully evaluated and confirmed the structure of the questionnaire to ensure validity. A pilot study was conducted by administering the questionnaire twice to 10 people, with a two-week interval between administrations, to ensure its reliability. The internal validity of each questionnaire was assessed. We selected teams of highly qualified interviewers, who were briefed on delivering the questionnaire to ensure dependability and consistency throughout the interviews.

Independent Variables

When analyzing healthcare service consumption, it is crucial to consider the factors that affect people's decisions and actions. These factors can vary greatly and are influenced by complex determinants.¹¹ Therefore, we included the following questions to identify these factors in the questionnaire. The questions were categorized into various categories, including sociodemographic characteristics such as age (continuous data), gender (male or female), marital status

(married or not married), nationality (Saudi or non-Saudi), education level (illiterate/read/write, primary education, intermediate education, secondary education, or higher education), employment status (employed or unemployed), residential area (rural or urban), health insurance status (having health insurance or not having health insurance), and whether they had a regular doctor (having a regular doctor or not having a regular doctor).

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a crucial factor in social research, and is often measured using indicators such as education, income, and occupation. However, a composite measure is required in countries where the variables may be inaccurate or insufficient. Many researchers have suggested that a country-specific SES index is a better economic indicator than income.^{12,13} Our study used principal component analysis to create a valid country-specific SES index from different asset holdings. This enabled us to measure SES more concisely and meaningfully.¹⁴ An SES index was constructed for each interview by considering many indicators, including education level, employment status of the household head, housing type, housing tenure, car ownership, and ownership of household assets. The housing types were categorized as follows: traditional homes, villas, villa floors, apartments, and other housing options. Additionally, the classification of housing tenure encompassed four groups: privately owned housing, rented housing, provided housing, and alternative forms of tenure. Information related to car ownership was categorized into three distinct groups: individuals without a car, individuals with a single car, and individuals with two or more cars. In this study, we investigated asset ownership by utilizing eight dichotomous variables: phone availability, television availability, desktop or laptop availability, connectivity to the Internet, library availability, satellite availability, video availability, and video game availability. Each participant's SES was categorized into one of five categories, with the first category being the lowest SES (poorest) and the fifth category representing the highest SES (wealthiest).

During the interview, the participants were asked about their medical history and whether they had been diagnosed with any chronic disease. Clinical indicators consisted of various categories of chronic diseases, including dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, asthma, thyroid disease, kidney disease, congestive heart failure, psychiatric disorders, and anemia. Additionally, participants were asked to report their self-assessed health status, which was categorized as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor.

Dependent Variable

To assess the use of telemedicine within the study group, we inquired if participants engaged with healthcare professionals through two-way synchronous communication methods such as video conferencing, phone calls, or mobile apps. Based on their responses, we divided the study population into two categories: those who used telemedicine within the last three months and those who only received in-person care. We excluded individuals who lacked reliable Internet access or had significant cognitive impairments to ensure that everyone had access to and could effectively participate in telemedicine.

Statistical Analysis

Participants were described using descriptive statistics. To identify associations, we performed a chi-squared test for categorical variables. To investigate the correlation between telemedicine use and demographic and socioeconomic factors, we used a multivariate mixed-effects logistic regression model that was adjusted for sex, age, and marital status. Telemedicine was the dependent variable. Age, gender, marital status, nationality, education level, employment status, residential area, health insurance status, and whether they had a regular doctor, SES, Dyslipidemia, Diabetes mellitus, Hypertension, Asthma, Thyroid disease, Kidney Disease, Congestive Heart Failure, Psychiatric disease, anemia, and self-rating of health were used as independent variables. Univariate logistic regression model. Statistical significance was determined by setting the significance level for all analyses at \leq 5%. All analyses were performed using the statistical software SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

This study included 342 participants from diverse backgrounds. The majority of participants (28.95%) were aged between 50 and 59 years, male (57.89%), married (79.82%), Saudi nationals (94.15%), and had completed secondary education (32.8%). Additionally, a significant number of the participants (82.46%) lived in urban areas. Of all participants, 88 (25.73%) used telemedicine and completed the questionnaire. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the participants.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 2) revealed various demographic and health-related factors that significantly predicted telemedicine utilization. We found that individuals aged 50 years and above had lower odds of using telemedicine than those aged 30 years and below [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 0.112, 95% confidence interval (CI)

Characteristics	All		Not Using	Telemedicine	Using Te	P value	
	N	(%)	N	(%)	N	(%)	
	342	100	254	74.27	88	25.73	
Age group, year							0.0049
≤ 30	43	12.57	19	7.48	43	12.57	
30–39	34	9.94	22	8.66	34	9.94	
4049	42	12.28	26	10.24	42	12.28	
50–59	99	28.95	88	34.65	99	28.95	
60–69	64	18.71	53	20.87	64	18.71	
≥ 70	60	17.54	46	18.11	60	17.54	
Gender							<0.0001
Female	144	42.11	90	35.43	54	61.36	
Male	198	57.89	164	64.57	34	38.64	
Marital status							0.0016
Not married	69	20.18	41	16.14	60	68.18	
Married	273	79.82	213	83.86	28	31.82	
Nationality							0.0972
Not Saudi	20	5.85	18	7.09	2	2.27	
Saudi	322	94.15	236	92.91	86	97.73	
Educational status							<0.0001
Illiterate/read/write	51	14.91	45	17.72	6	6.82	
Primary education	68	19.88	59	23.23	9	10.23	
Intermediate education	24	7.02	23	9.06	I	1.14	
Secondary education	110	32.16	82	32.28	28	31.82	
Higher education	89	26.02	45	17.72	44	50	
Residential area							0.0156
Rural	60	17.54	52	20.47	8	9.09	
Urban	282	82.46	202	79.53	80	90.91	
Employment status							0.0014
Unemployed	212	61.99	170	66.93	42	47.73	
Employed	130	38.01	84	33.07	46	52.27	

 Table I Characteristics of Study Participants

(Continued)

Table I	(Continued).
---------	--------------

Characteristics	All		Not Using	Telemedicine	Using Te	P value	
	N	(%)	N	(%)	N	(%)	
SES index							0.0201
Poorest	26	7.6	22	8.66	4	4.55	
Poor	24	7.02	18	7.09	6	6.82	
Middle	135	39.47	103	40.55	32	36.36	
Wealthy	89	26.02	71	27.95	18	20.45	
Most wealthy	68	19.88	40	15.75	28	31.82	
Having a health insurance	107	31.29	77	30.31	30	34.09	0.5103
Chronic diseases							
Dyslipidemia	173	50.58	119	46.85	54	61.36	0.0189
Diabetes mellitus	217	63.45	147	57.87	70	79.55	0.0003
Hypertension	211	61.7	142	55.91	69	78.41	0.0002
Asthma	44	12.87	34	13.39	10	11.36	0.6254
Thyroid disease	18	5.26	7	2.76	11	12.5	0.0004
Kidney Disease	16	4.68	9	3.54	7	7.95	0.0913
Congestive Heart Failure	44	12.9	22	8.66	22	25	<0.0001
Psychiatric disease	16	4.68	13	5.12	3	3.41	0.5129
Anemia	8	2.34	5	1.97	3	3.41	0.441
Having a regular doctor	207	60.53	141	55.51	66	75	0.0013
Self-rated health							0.0019
Excellent	58	16.96	34	13.39	24	27.27	
Very Good	128	37.43	89	35.04	39	44.32	
Good	61	17.84	51	20.08	10	11.36	
Fair	55	16.08	45	17.72	10	11.36	
Poor	40	11.7	35	13.78	5	5.68	

Abbreviation: SES, socioeconomic status.

= 0.045–0.279 for 50–59 years; AOR = 0.19, 95% CI = 0.076–0.474 for 60–69 years; AOR = 0.223, 95% CI = 0.092– 0.542 for \geq 70 years]. Women were more likely to use telemedicine (AOR = 2.519, 95% CI = 1.44–4.408), and those with higher education levels (AOR = 3.434, 95% CI = 1.037–7.041 for secondary education and AOR = 5.87, 95% CI = 2.761–8.235 for higher education). Living in urban areas was also associated with a higher odds of telemedicine use (AOR = 2.721, 95% CI = 1.184–6.256).

Among socioeconomic factors, employed participants had higher odds of telemedicine use(AOR = 4.336, 95% CI = 2.3-8.174). Furthermore, we found that individuals with lower SES were less likely to use telemedicine(AOR = 0.193, 95% CI = 0.055-0.683 for the lower bottom(poorest), and AOR = 0.24, 95% CI = 0.0881-0.711 for the upper bottom(poor]). In addition, patients with chronic conditions, such as hypertension(AOR = 3.49; 95% CI, 1.878-6.486), diabetes mellitus(AOR = 3.022, 95% CI = 1.643-5.558), dyslipidemia(AOR = 1.728, 95% CI = 1.003-2.976), thyroid

Characteristics	Univariate Analyses			Multivariate Logistic Regression				
	Crude OR	95% CI P-value		AOR 95% CI			P value	
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
Age group, year								
≤ 30	Ref.							
30–39	0.432	0.171	1.09	0.0763	0.409	0.156	1.071	0.0754
4049	0.487	0.205	1.158	0.1334	0.606	0.245	1.499	0.1108
50–59	0.099	0.042	0.236	0.0249	0.112	0.045	0.279	0.0121
60–69	0.164	0.068	0.398	0.0238	0.19	0.076	0.474	0.0143
≥ 70	0.241	0.103	0.563	0.0034	0.223	0.092	0.542	0.0031
Gender								
Female	2.894	1.755	4.773	<0.0001	2.519	1.44	4.408	<0.0001
Male	Ref.							
Marital status								
Not married	Ref.							
Married	0.412	0.236	0.722	0.0019	0.691	0.353	1.352	0.2803
Nationality			•	•	•			
Not Saudi	Ref.							
Saudi	3.278	0.745	14.419	0.1162	4.478	0.976	20.552	0.0538
Educational status								
Illiterate/read/write	Ref.							
Primary education	1.144	0.38	3.449	0.4878	1.765	0.508	6.139	0.1363
Intermediate education	0.326	0.037	2.873	0.0679	0.597	0.059	6.009	0.0511
Secondary education	2.561	0.987	6.647	0.0616	3.434	1.037	7.041	0.0001
Higher education	7.333	2.842	18.92	<0.0001	5.87	2.761	8.235	<0.0001
Residential area								
Rural	Ref.							
Urban	3.941	1.081	14.374	0.0378	2.721	1.184	6.256	0.0184
Employment status								
Unemployed	Ref.							
Employed	2.217	1.354	3.63	0.0016	4.336	2.3	8.174	<0.0001
SES index								
Poorest	0.26	0.081	0.837	0.0239	0.193	0.055	0.683	0.001274
Poor	0.26	0.096	0.702	0.0079	0.24	0.081	0.711	0.02028

Table 2 Factors Associated with Telemedicine Use

(Continued)

Characteristics	Univariate Analyses				Multivariate Logistic Regression				
	Crude OR	95% CI		P-value	AOR	95% CI		P value	
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper		
Middle	0.325	0.168	0.631	0.0009	0.342	0.168	0.695	0.0524	
Wealthy	0.665	0.342	1.293	0.229	0.676	0.331	1.383	0.1461	
Most wealthy	Ref.								
Having a health insurance	1.189	0.71	1.991	0.5106	1.268	0.729	2.203	0.4004	
Chronic diseases (Ref. = No)									
Dyslipidemia	1.802	1.098	2.955	0.0197	1.728	1.003	2.976	0.0487	
Diabetes mellitus	2.831	1.593	5.029	0.0004	3.022	1.643	5.558	0.0004	
Hypertension	2.864	1.628	5.04	0.0003	3.49	1.878	6.486	<0.0001	
Asthma	0.83	0.392	1.758	0.6258	1.109	0.497	2.473	0.8002	
Thyroid disease	5.041	1.889	13.451	0.0012	3.884	1.319	11.436	0.0138	
Kidney Disease	2.353	0.849	6.519	0.0999	2.27	0.727	7.085	0.1581	
Congestive Heart Failure	3.515	1.833	6.741	0.0002	3.944	1.927	8.071	0.0002	
Psychiatric disease	0.655	0.182	2.353	0.5163	0.269	0.062	1.16	0.0782	
Anemia	1.759	0.412	7.514	0.4462	1.304	0.283	6.011	0.7333	
Having a regular doctor	2.404	1.398	4.135	0.0015	2.742	1.541	4.879	<0.0001	

Table 2 (Continued).

Abbreviations: SES, socioeconomic status; CI, confidence interval; AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

disease(AOR = 3.884, 95% CI = 1.319-11.436), and congestive heart failure(AOR = 3.944, 95% CI = 1.927-8.071), were more likely to use telemedicine. Finally, patients with ongoing and established relationships with a regular doctor were more likely to engage in telemedicine(AOR = 2.742, 95% CI = 1.541-4.879).

Telemedicine was associated with higher self-reported health (AOR = 2.519, 95% CI = 1.44-4.408) (Figure 1). This has allowed individuals with better health to take advantage of telemedicine, as they have the physical and cognitive ability to navigate technology and utilize virtual platforms for their healthcare needs.

Self-rated General Health	Adjusted Odds Ratio*	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	Adjusted Odds Ratio
Excellent	3.941	2.69	5.182	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
Very good	2.067	1.117	3.42	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Good	1.372	0.432	2.363	
Fair	1.555	0.487	2.966	
				0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Figure I The association between telemedicine use and self-rated general health. *"Poor" was used as a reference for this analysis.

Discussion

There is a noticeable trend in exploring the significant gaps in healthcare access for marginalized communities regarding advanced healthcare delivery techniques, such as telemedicine. This research endeavored to analyze the use of telemedicine for individuals with chronic illnesses in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and to detect inequities in this domain.

Based on the results of this study, telemedicine may not be frequently utilized in Saudi Arabia despite its advantages, or may not be commonly used in certain groups of patients. According to this study, there was a notable difference in the use of telemedicine services among the various groups, largely influenced by demographic and socioeconomic factors. This aligns with previous research indicating that elderly patients often face difficulties accessing digital health products, resulting in slower adoption rates.^{15–17} These challenges include difficulties with technology literacy, limited access to the Internet or devices, and concerns regarding privacy and security.^{18,19} Addressing these barriers is crucial for promoting greater utilization of telemedicine services among older adults.

Research has shown gender disparities in healthcare utilization, with women seeking medical attention and utilizing healthcare services more often than men.²⁰ This trend can be attributed to several factors, such as women taking greater responsibility for their health and being more concerned about their well-being.²¹ Our study supports previous findings that indicate that females are more inclined to utilize telemedicine services than males.

Studies have shown that patients with higher education levels are more likely to use telemedicine.^{22,23} The results of this study confirm this observation. It is worth noting that the impact of educational level on telemedicine use may differ based on various factors such as the availability of technology and digital skills. Additionally, the results of this study raise awareness of potential fairness issues concerning the use of telemedicine.

Our findings showed that telemedicine is more commonly used by patients residing in urban areas, indicating the importance of implementing policies and interventions to address this disparity. Ensuring that underserved populations in rural areas have equal access to health care services, including telemedicine, is crucial. Previous studies have also supported these findings, demonstrating a higher utilization of telemedicine among patients in urban areas.^{24,25}

Furthermore, our research revealed that individuals with higher employment or socioeconomic status tended to use telemedicine services more frequently. This is primarily due to the financial stability and ability to invest in the equipment and internet connectivity required for telemedicine.²⁶ However, this discrepancy in access to telemedicine is worrisome, as it may further widen existing healthcare disparities.

Based on our findings, patients who regularly visited doctors tended to use telemedicine more frequently. This aligns with previous research that reported similar findings.²⁷ The high consumption of telemedicine among patients with a consistent healthcare provider indicates that building a strong patient-provider rapport is critical for accepting and embracing telemedicine as a reliable healthcare delivery option.²⁸

Telemedicine has become more common in the management and treatment of chronic conditions, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, thyroid disease, and congestive heart failure. This trend towards telemedicine can be attributed to its convenience and accessibility, as it provides patients with chronic conditions a platform to receive medical care, monitor their symptoms, and engage in self-care.²⁹ Patients no longer need to travel to physical clinics for routine checkups or follow-up appointments as they can consult healthcare providers from their homes. Overall, this was a positive sign. Access to ongoing quality medical care is crucial for individuals with chronic conditions to manage their health and well-being properly.

Our research indicates that individuals in good health tend to prefer telemedicine. This aligns with similar studies on telemedicine, which suggest that patients with better overall health are more responsive to these services.^{30–32} In the context of this study, it appears that patients with fewer and less severe chronic conditions tend to use telemedicine services more often than those with multiple chronic illnesses or more severe diseases. This highlights the advantages of remote management for patients requiring consistent monitoring and medical attention but not necessarily immediate inperson care.

This study provides important insights into differences in the use of telemedicine services among various populations. However, this study had several limitations. First, its cross-sectional design captures data only at a specific time and cannot establish cause-and-effect relationships. Second, by excluding patients without internet access, the study may have overlooked a significant portion of the population that could benefit from telemedicine. Third, using face-to-face interviews as a data collection method may introduce limitations and biases such as recall bias, social desirability bias, and interviewer bias. Finally, the sample size was small and only represented one region, which limits the generalizability of the findings to a larger population.

Conclusion

This study highlights the disparity in the utilization of telemedicine services among patients with chronic diseases, which can be attributed to demographic and socioeconomic factors. Furthermore, there was a correlation between an individual's overall well-being and the likelihood of using telemedicine services. It is essential to address and rectify these disparities to provide equitable access to telemedicine services. It is crucial to prioritize vulnerable groups in the allocation of interventions to ensure equitable access to these valuable services. By directly acknowledging and addressing these disparities, health care systems can promote health equity and enable various groups to utilize telemedicine services.

Acknowledgments

This study is supported via funding from Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University project number (PSAU/2023/R/1444).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Rahman R, Salam MA. Policy discourses: shifting the burden of healthcare from the state to the market in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *INQUIRY*. 2021;58:00469580211017655. doi:10.1177/00469580211017655/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/10.1177_00469580211017655-FIG1.JPEG
- 2. Salam AA. Ageing in Saudi Arabia: new dimensions and intervention strategies. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):1-11. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-25639-8
- 3. Gurajala S. Healthcare system in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: an expat doctor's perspective. Cureus. 2023;15(5). doi:10.7759/CUREUS.38806
- 4. Health sector transformation program vision 2030. Available from: https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/v2030/vrps/hstp/. Accessed April 6, 2023.
- 5. Haleem A, Javaid M, Singh RP, Suman R. Telemedicine for healthcare: capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. *Sensors Int.* 2021;2:100117. doi:10.1016/J.SINTL.2021.100117
- Ameis SH, Lai MC, Mulsant BH, Szatmari P. Coping, fostering resilience, and driving care innovation for autistic people and their families during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. *Mol Autism.* 2020;11(1):1–9. doi:10.1186/S13229-020-00365-Y/TABLES/1
- 7. Gajarawala SN, Pelkowski JN. Telehealth benefits and barriers. J Nurse Pract. 2021;17(2):218. doi:10.1016/J.NURPRA.2020.09.013
- Alharbi KG, Aldosari MN, Alhassan AM, Alshallal KA, Altamimi AM, Altulaihi BA. Patient satisfaction with virtual clinic during Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic in primary healthcare, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. J Family Community Med. 2021;28(1):48. doi:10.4103/JFCM. JFCM_353_20
- 9. Leone E, Eddison N, Healy A, Royse C, Chockalingam N. Do UK Allied Health Professionals (AHPs) have sufficient guidelines and training to provide telehealth patient consultations? *Hum Resour Health*. 2022;20(1). doi:10.1186/S12960-022-00778-1
- 10. The general authority for statistics in Saudi Arabia. Statistical Yearbook of 2018. Available from: https://www.stats.gov.sa/ar/258. Accessed November 29, 2023.
- 11. Bastani P, Mohammadpour M, Samadbeik M, Bastani M, Rossi-Fedele G, Balasubramanian M. Factors influencing access and utilization of health services among older people during the COVID – 19 pandemic: a scoping review. Arch Public Health. 2021;79(1):1–11. doi:10.1186/S13690-021-00719-9/FIGURES/5
- 12. Howe LD, Hargreaves JR, Gabrysch S, Huttly SRA. Is the wealth index a proxy for consumption expenditure? A systematic review. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2009;63(11):871–877. doi:10.1136/JECH.2009.088021
- 13. Victora CG. Socio-economic differences in health, nutrition, and population within developing countries: an overview. JAMA. 2007;298 (16):1943–1949. doi:10.1001/jama.298.16.1943
- 14. Friesen CE, Seliske P, Papadopoulos A. Using principal component analysis to identify priority neighbourhoods for health services delivery by ranking socioeconomic status. *Online J Public Health Inform.* 2016;8(2):192. doi:10.5210/OJPHI.V8I2.6733
- 15. Levine DM, Lipsitz SR, Linder JA. Trends in seniors' use of digital health technology in the United States, 2011–2014. JAMA. 2016;316 (5):538–540. doi:10.1001/JAMA.2016.9124
- 16. Price JC, Simpson DC. Telemedicine and health disparities. Clin Liver Dis. 2022;19(4):144. doi:10.1002/CLD.1171
- 17. Latulipe C, Gatto A, Nguyen HT, et al. Design considerations for patient portal adoption by low-income, older adults. Proc SIGCHI Conf Hum Factors Comput Syst. 2015;2015:3859. doi:10.1145/2702123.2702392
- Wilson J, Heinsch M, Betts D, Booth D, Kay-Lambkin F. Barriers and facilitators to the use of e-health by older adults: a scoping review. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1–12. doi:10.1186/S12889-021-11623-W/TABLES/2
- 19. Mao A, Tam L, Xu A, et al. Barriers to telemedicine video visits for older adults in independent living facilities: mixed methods cross-sectional needs assessment. *JMIR Aging*. 2022;5(2):e34326. doi:10.2196/34326
- 20. Mustard CA, Kaufert P, Kozyrskyj A, Mayer T. Sex differences in the use of health care services. N Engl J Med. 1998;338(23):1678–1683. doi:10.1056/NEJM199806043382307

- Chang HT, Lai HY, Hwang IH, Ho MM, Hwang SJ. Home healthcare services in Taiwan: a nationwide study among the older population. BMC Health Serv Res. 2010;10(1):1–6. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-10-274/TABLES/3
- 22. Stamenova V, Agarwal P, Kelley L, et al. Uptake and patient and provider communication modality preferences of virtual visits in primary care: a retrospective cohort study in Canada. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(7):e037064. doi:10.1136/BMJOPEN-2020-037064
- 23. Hardcastle L, Ogbogu U. Virtual care: enhancing access or harming care? *Healthc Manage Forum*. 2020;33(6):288–292. doi:10.1177/ 0840470420938818
- 24. Hall JN, Ackery AD, Dainty KN, et al. Designs, facilitators, barriers, and lessons learned during the implementation of emergency department led virtual urgent care programs in Ontario, Canada. *Front Digit Health.* 2022;4. doi:10.3389/FDGTH.2022.946734
- 25. Bhatia RS, Chu C, Pang A, Tadrous M, Stamenova V, Cram P. Virtual care use before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a repeated cross-sectional study. C Open. 2021;9(1):E107. doi:10.9778/CMAJO.20200311
- 26. Mistry SK, Shaw M, Raffan F, et al. Inequity in access and delivery of virtual care interventions: a scoping review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(15):9411. doi:10.3390/IJERPH19159411/S1
- 27. Gray C, Wray C, Tisdale R, Chaudary C, Slightam C, Zulman D. Factors influencing how providers assess the appropriateness of video visits: interview study with primary and specialty health care providers. *J Med Internet Res.* 2022;24(8):e38826. doi:10.2196/38826
- 28. Toh N, Pawlovich J, Grzybowski S. Telehealth and patient-doctor relationships in rural and remote communities. *Can Fam Physician*. 2016;62 (12):961.
- 29. Burton L, Rush KL, Smith MA, et al. Empowering patients through virtual care delivery: qualitative study with micropractice clinic patients and health care providers. *JMIR Form Res.* 2022;6(4):e32528. doi:10.2196/32528
- 30. Lilly CM, Cody S, Zhao H, et al. Hospital mortality, length of stay, and preventable complications among critically ill patients before and after tele-ICU reengineering of critical care processes. *JAMA*. 2011;305(21):2175–2183. doi:10.1001/JAMA.2011.697
- 31. Arora P, Mehta D, Ha J. Impact of telehealth on health care resource utilization during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Comp Eff Res. 2022;11 (5):301–309. doi:10.2217/CER-2021-0242/ASSET/BD13C512-4247-4E96-AEDD-BD12423C68CB/ASSETS/IMAGES/LARGE/FIGURE4.JPG
- 32. Berlin A, Lovas M, Truong T, et al. Implementation and outcomes of virtual care across a tertiary cancer center during COVID-19. *JAMA Oncol.* 2021;7(4):597–602. doi:10.1001/JAMAONCOL.2020.6982

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare



Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal