

LETTER

Red Blood Cell Distribution Width as a Biomarker in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Technical Notes [Letter]

John L Frater (1)

Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA

Correspondence: John L Frater, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, Box 8118, St. Louis, MO, 63110-1093, USA, Tel +1 314 362-1553, Email jfrater@wustl.edu

Dear editor

Arkew et al have published an article entitled "Red Blood Cell Parameters and Their Correlation with Glycemic Control Among Type 2 Diabetic Adult Patients in Eastern Ethiopia: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study" in Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity. I congratulate the authors on their work, which adds to the already extensive literature on the use of the red blood cell distribution width (RDW) as a surrogate biomarker of systemic inflammation in the setting of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). I would like to add some technical details about the RDW which would be of interest to the readership of the journal who are considering the use of the RDW for this or related purposes.

Commercially available blood analyzers calculate the RDW as the standard deviation (RDW-SD) or the coefficient of variability (RDW-CV) of the red blood cell histogram. Like any other complete blood cell count (CBC) analyte, the RDW may be impacted by preanalytical and analytical phase variables. In the case of the RDW, the most important of these appear to be; 1) time between phlebotomy and analysis, 2) storage temperature, 3) tube type and anticoagulant, and 4) transport conditions, including pneumatic tube transport. Moreover, the determination of the RDW is not standardized among the different instrumentation manufacturers, and in the absence of a recognized RDW standard, there is an additional analytical phase issue.² Therefore, when setting up a clinical study that uses CBC data, including the RDW, it is important to consider the potential biases introduced into the data by these preanalytical and analytical phase variables.

To assess the degree to which these issues have been addressed in the study of Arkew et al and the other studies cited in their paper, I extracted the relevant data which are summarized in Table 1. 1,3-8 The preanalytical variables that may impact the RDW were largely unreported, with time between phlebotomy and analysis reported in 1/7 studies and no reporting of storage temperature and transport conditions in any of the studies. It is not surprising that these variables are underreported, since guidelines such as the Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD) do not mandate the reporting of preanalytical and analytical phase variables, despite their obvious importance in the outcomes of many laboratory tests, including the RDW.9 This is unfortunate, since information about these potential sources of bias are largely unreported outside the laboratory medicine literature and may have important clinical implications. For example, a potential source of error in the RDW is delay between phlebotomy and analysis. Since 6/7 of the studies used outpatient data, a potential time delay may occur if specimens were collected in doctors' offices and/or outpatient clinics and analyzed in a central laboratory. Daves et al have reported that time delays as short as 3 hours have resulted in an erroneous RDW result. 10 A lack of control for these preanalytical phase variables may account in part for the different cutoffs for the RDW and the lack of statistical significance in the study of Adane et al.³ Due to the lack of standardization of the RDW across different instrumentation platforms, the wide variety of instruments used in these studies, including devices manufactured by Sysmex (Kobe, Japan), 3,6 Abbott (Chicago, IL, USA), 4 Beckman Coulter (Brea, CA, USA), 1,5 and Horiba (Kyoto, Japan), may add additional biases.

Table I Major Clinical and Laboratory Features of the Studies Cited by Arkew et al ¹

Study [Ref]	Year	Country	Setting	T2DM Sample Size	Control Group(s) Size and Clinical Features	Age (Years, Mean and Range)	RDW, T2DM vs Control	р	Analyzer	Time	Temperature	Preservative	Transport
Adane ³	2020	Ethiopia	ОР	164	82, healthy	50, NR	44±3.6 vs 44.3±5.0 (SD)	0.212	Sysmex KX21N	NR	NR	K2EDTA	NR
Alshehri ⁴	2017	Saudi Arabia	IP	205	200, healthy	45.5±5.5	14.57±3.1 vs 12.63±2.58 (CV)	0.047	Cell-Dyn Ruby	NR	NR	EDTA	NR
Arkew ^I	2022	Ethiopia	ОР	110	I I 0, healthy	43.13±9.43	14.20 ±1.03 vs 13.61 ±0.74 (CV)	<0.001	UniCel DxH 800	NR	NR	K2EDTA	NR
Arkew ⁵	2021	Ethiopia	ОР	134	134, healthy	43.08±9.30	14.00 (13.40-14.70) vs 13.50 (13.10-14.00) (CV)	<0.001	UniCel DxH 800	NR	NR	EDTA	NR
Biadgo ⁶	2016	Ethiopia	ОР	148	148, healthy	49.09±8.1	47.3±2.6 vs 45.2±3.0 (SD)	<0.001	Sysmex KX-21N	NR	NR	EDTA	NR
Jabeen ⁷	2013	Pakistan	ОР	170	92, healthy	51.08±0.7	14.41±0.14 vs 13.69±0.16 (CV)	0.001	Horiba ABX	<2 hrs	NR	EDTA	NR
Olana ⁸	2019	Ethiopia	OP	70	70, healthy	53.17±11.64 (M); 49.7±9.89 (F)	14.28±1.862 vs 12.76±0.993 (CV, M); 14.04±1.397 vs 13.08±1.10 (CV, F)	0.001 (M) 0.012 (F)	NR	NR	NR	EDTA	NR

Abbreviations: ref, reference number; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; RDW, red blood cell distribution width; OP, outpatient; NR, not reported; SD, standard deviation; K2EDTA, potassium salt of EDTA (ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid); IP, inpatient; CV, coefficient of variation; EDTA, ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid; M, male; F, female.

Dovepress Frater

Another issue with the practical implementation of the RDW as a surrogate biomarker is its limited dynamic range, meaning that the difference between a normal and abnormal result may be quite small. Despite the differences in group means being statistically significant, there may be considerable overlap between individual results in the disease and control groups, impacting the meaningfulness of test results. This is particularly noteworthy for the studies of Arkew et al and Jabeen et al, 1,5,7 where the differences in group means are ~1 fL, despite the statistically significant difference between the two cohorts. Without a rigorous control of the preanalytical and analytical phase variables, individual patient results may be difficult or impossible to interpret.

In summary, I thank Arkew et al for their thought-provoking study, that adds to our understanding of the potential clinical utility of the RDW in T2DM, and more broadly, its use as a surrogate marker of systemic inflammation. I hope that this assessment will add additional context to Arkew et al's work and will be of interest to the readership of Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy who are considering the use of the RDW for this purpose.

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- 1. Arkew M, Asmerom H, Tesfa T, et al. Red blood cell parameters and their correlation with glycemic control among type 2 diabetic adult patients in Eastern Ethiopia: a comparative cross-sectional study. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2022;15:3499-3507. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S386093
- 2. Frater JL. Red blood cell distribution width: the importance of preanalytical and analytical phase variables. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2022. doi:10.1007/s00508-022-02099-8
- 3. Adane T, Getaneh Z, Asrie F. Red blood cell parameters and their correlation with renal function tests among diabetes mellitus patients: a comparative cross-sectional study. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2020;13:3937-3946. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S275392
- 4. Al Shehri ZS. The relationship between some biochemical and hematological changes in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Biomed Res Ther. 2017;4 (11):1760-1774. doi:10.15419/bmrat.v4i11.382
- 5. Arkew M, Gemechu K, Haile K, Asmerom H. Red blood cell distribution width as novel biomarker in cardiovascular diseases: a literature review. J Blood Med. 2022;13:413-424. doi:10.2147/JBM.S367660
- 6. Biadgo B, Melku M, Abebe SM, Abebe M. Hematological indices and their correlation with fasting blood glucose level and anthropometric measurements in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2016;9:91-99. doi:10.2147/DMSO.
- 7. Jabeen F, Rizvi HA, Aziz F, Wasti AZ. Hyperglycemic induced variations in hematological indices in type 2 diabetics. IJAR. 2013;1(8):322-334.
- 8. Olana C, Seifu D, Menon MK, Natesan G. Abnormal hematological indices and anthropometric parameters associated with type 2 diabetes. Int J Biomed Adv Res. 2019;10(11):1-8.
- 9. Wu AH, Christenson RH. The standards for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies 2015 update: is there a missing link to the triumvirate? Ann Transl Med. 2016;4(3):44. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2305-5839.2015.12.41
- 10. Daves M, Zagler EM, Cemin R, et al. Sample stability for complete blood cell count using the Sysmex XN haematological analyser. Blood Transfus. 2015;13(4):576-582. doi:10.2450/2015.0007-15

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter. Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal committed to the rapid publication of the latest laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity research. Original research, review, case reports, hypothesis formation, expert opinion and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/diabetes-metabolic-syndrome-and-obesity-journal



