LETTER

Non-Standardized Terminology in Healthcare: Shortcomings and Subsequent Rectifications [Letter]

Harit Kumar ^[], Nitin Kumar ^[], Narinder Kaur ^[]

¹Department of Microbiology, Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Science & Research, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana, Haryana, India; ²Department of Microbiology, Symbiosis Medical College for Women, Symbiosis International (Deemed University) (SIU), Pune, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence: Narinder Kaur, Department of Microbiology, Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Science & Research, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana, Haryana, India, Email docnarinder@gmail.com

Dear Editor

We have read the study titled "Bacterial and Fungal Profile, Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Bacterial Pathogens and Associated Risk Factors of Urinary Tract Infection Among Symptomatic Pediatrics Patients Attending St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College: A Cross-Sectional Study" with an interest to know about bacterial and fungal isolates causing urinary tract infections (UTI) in symptomatic pediatric patients.¹

First, we would like to congratulate the authors for conducting and presenting a highly commendable research. Nonetheless, the following points in the said study need to be reviewed urgently-

 The authors have defined and applied two terms: Ward acquired UTI and ICU acquired UTI. These new medical terms have never been used in the literature and have no scientific justification at all. Therefore, the acceptable terminology such as "Catheter associated Urinary tract Infection" (CAUTI) and "Non-catheter associated UTI" as per the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)² is highly appreciated.

Though, the new terminology hardly affects the methodology and data analysis in any study, but the following disadvantages of using new or incorrect terminology cannot be overlooked:

- (a) The study with new or incorrect terminology may end up with high probability of getting missed out during metaanalysis.
- (b) The new or incorrect terminology especially in health sciences not only appears flawed but also creates ambiguity and poses academic challenges which may lead to scientific controversy.

Therefore, the literature in health-care should be added with scientifically valid and standardized terminology only which is always associated with better knowledge transfer and improved patient care.

- 2. The authors have tested vancomycin against *Staphylococcus aureus* by Kirby-Bauer (diffusion based) method which is not recommended as per Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.^{3–5}
- 3. The authors have mentioned that "all isolates (100%) of Gram-positive bacteria were susceptible to oxacillin (Table 5)" whereas the result of oxacillin susceptibility testing has not been mentioned at all in the said table. Since oxacillin testing is not recommended for *Enterococcus* spp. as per CLSI guidelines therefore the result of oxacillin susceptibility (if tested) may be restricted to *Staphylococcus* species only.³

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Bitew A, Zena N, Abdeta A. Bacterial and fungal profile, antibiotic susceptibility patterns of bacterial pathogens and associated risk factors of urinary tract infection among symptomatic pediatrics patients attending St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College: a cross-sectional study. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2022;15:1613–1624. doi:10.2147/IDR.S358153
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN)]. Protocols. Urinary Tract Infections (UTI) events; 2022. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/7psccauticurrent.pdf. Accessed April 12, 2022.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. In: CLSI Supplement M100. 27th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2017.
- 4. Kumar N, Sen S. Effectiveness of disc diffusion method for vancomycin sensitivity testing of Staphylococcus aureus [Letter]. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2022;15:1027–1028. doi:10.2147/IDR.S363499
- 5. Xu Y, Wang B, Zhao H, et al. In vitro activity of vancomycin, teicoplanin, linezolid and daptomycin against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates collected from Chinese hospitals in 2018–2020. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2021;14:5449–5456. doi:10.2147/IDR.S340623

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Infection and Drug Resistance 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Infection and Drug Resistance editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S370670