REVIEW

Considering the Potential Benefits of Over-the-Counter Naloxone

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Integrated Pharmacy Research and Practice

Kirk E Evoy Lucas G Hill 1002 Corey S Davis³

¹University Health, Department of Pharmacy, The University of Texas at Austin College of Pharmacy, San Antonio, TX, USA; ²Pharmacy Practice, The University of Texas at Austin College of Pharmacy, Austin, TX, USA; ³Harm Reduction Legal Project, Los Angeles,

Abstract: Since 1999, annual opioid-related overdose (ORO) mortality has increased more than six-fold. In response to this crisis, the US Department of Health and Human Services outlined a 5-point strategy to reduce ORO mortality which included the widespread distribution of naloxone, an opioid antagonist that can rapidly reverse an opioid overdose. Increased distribution has been facilitated by the implementation of naloxone access laws in each US state aimed at increasing community access to naloxone. While these laws differ from stateto-state, most contain mechanisms to enable pharmacists to dispense naloxone without a patient-specific prescription. These laws have enhanced community naloxone distribution, both from pharmacies and overdose education and naloxone distribution programs, and produced positive effects on ORO mortality. However, a growing body of evidence has revealed that significant barriers to naloxone access from pharmacies remain, and annual ORO deaths have continued to climb. Given these concerns, there has been a push among some clinicians and policymakers for the US Food and Drug Administration to re-classify naloxone as an over-the-counter (OTC) medication as a means to further increase its accessibility. If an OTC transition occurs, educational outreach and funding for clinical innovations will continue to be crucial given the important role of health professionals in recommending naloxone to people at risk for experiencing or witnessing an ORO. Recognizing the severity of the ORO public health crisis, we believe transitioning formulations of naloxone approved for layperson use to OTC status would result in a net benefit through increased access. However, such a change should be combined with measures to ensure affordability.

Keywords: opioid, opioid overdose, naloxone, naloxone access law, over-the-counter

Background

Since 1999, United States (US) drug overdose deaths have surpassed 750,000. Over that timeframe, annual opioid-related overdose (ORO) mortality rates increased more than six-fold. As a result, the US Department of Health and Human Services declared a public health emergency in 2017 and outlined five key measures to mitigate the crisis.^{2,3} One of the five measures delineated was the widespread distribution of naloxone, an opioid antagonist that can rapidly reverse the effects of an overdose resulting from either prescription opioid analgesics (eg, morphine, oxycodone, hydrocodone, among others) or illicit opioids (eg, heroin, illicitly manufactured fentanyl derivatives).³ In the event of a life-threatening ORO, there is a relatively short window of time in which naloxone can be administered to effectively reverse the overdose and prevent long-term morbidity and mortality.⁴ Therefore, increasing naloxone access in the community, particularly among those

Correspondence: Kirk E Evoy Email evoy@uthscsa.edu



Evoy et al Dovepress

closely associated with persons at elevated overdose risk, is a crucial step to reducing ORO mortality as such measures increase the likelihood of timely naloxone administration.⁵ In 2018, the US Surgeon General released an official advisory highlighting the importance of this measure by recommending that all persons at high risk of ORO and anyone likely to come in contact with them obtain naloxone.6

Naloxone was first approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1971 and has been widely used in acute healthcare settings. More recently, easier-toadminister intranasal and intramuscular dosage forms have been brought to market to facilitate layperson use in the community setting. While naloxone is not a controlled substance, possesses no abuse potential, and has a relatively benign adverse effect profile, it has traditionally only been available via prescription.8

However, prescription-only status presents several potential barriers to access. First, the current level of naloxone prescribing is far from adequate given the number of patients in the country at risk, and despite recommendations, co-prescribing of naloxone to patients at increased risk of overdose remains infrequent. 9-11 Persons who use drugs (PWUD) may face additional barriers. PWUD are less likely to possess medical insurance and more likely to have a lower socioeconomic status, which may make it more difficult to afford the copays associated with prescriber visits or medication costs. 12-14 For a variety of reasons, including negative personal experiences and fear of provider stigma, PWUD may also delay or avoid engagement with the conventional healthcare system. 15,16 These concerns may prevent them from discussing their drug use, requesting a naloxone prescription, or returning to request additional supplies if they use the naloxone. 17,18 Furthermore, for those who do not have a primary care provider, establishing care may delay access. For similar reasons, stigma at pharmacies may prevent patients from filling naloxone prescriptions. 16,17 Evidence shows that some PWUD refuse naloxone offered to them due to stigma or self-perception that they are not at-risk while in a period of sobriety. 18 Particularly concerning is evidence that some pharmacists harbor negative thoughts about dispensing naloxone due to concerns regarding "clientele who would frequent the store" or "providing the person with a 'free pass' when they should instead seek professional treatment". 19,20

To help address these barriers, in recent years state legislators have widely implemented naloxone access

laws (NALs) aimed at increasing access to naloxone in the community. While these laws differ significantly from state to state, most contain some or all of the following key

- (1) criminal or civil liability protection for naloxone prescribers, dispensers, or those administering the medication in good faith, should a negative outcome occur;
- (2) permission to prescribe to third-parties (ie, persons who would likely not be the one to whom the medication is ultimately administered):
- (3) allowance for laypersons to possess and distribute naloxone without a prescription; and
- (4) mechanisms to enable pharmacists to dispense naloxone without a patient-specific prescription (eg, through standing orders with prescribers or state-level protocols directly granting pharmacists the authority to dispense naloxone without a prescription).²¹

While associations between NAL implementation, increased naloxone access, and reduced ORO morbidity and mortality have been observed, overall rates of ORO mortality have unfortunately continued to climb. 7,22 In 2019, the US experienced a record 50,042 fatal OROs, due in part to a continued increase in the prevalence of ultra-potent synthetic opioids in the illicit market. These distressing statistics indicate that substantial work remains to mitigate ORO mortality. Thus, there has been a push among some clinicians and policymakers to re-classify naloxone as an over-the-counter (OTC) medication as a means to further increase its accessibility. The purpose of this commentary is to describe the successes and shortcomings of the current NAL approach and discuss potential benefits and risks associated with re-classifying naloxone as an OTC medication.

Successes and Shortcomings of Current NALs and Non-OTC **Approach**

Access

While only four states had passed NALs prior to 2010, today each US state and the District of Columbia have adopted some form of NAL.²³ Evidence shows that these laws have increased naloxone dispensing from pharmacies. According to a study utilizing IQVIA's National Prescription Audit, naloxone dispensing from US pharmacies increased eight-fold from the fourth quarter of 2015 to the second quarter of 2017.²⁴ Looking more specifically at the impact of individual NAL components, among US

Medicaid patients, implementation of NALs that included provisions for pharmacists to dispense via standing order from a prescriber resulted in an additional 33 naloxone prescriptions per state, per quarter.²⁵ Similarly, two additional studies identified an association between third-party prescribing or standing order provisions and increased naloxone dispensing from community pharmacies, regardless of payer.^{26,27} Finally, though only a limited number of states have mandated naloxone co-prescribing for patients with ORO risk factors, such mandates have been associated with even greater increases in naloxone dispensing.^{26,28}

NALs, along with substantial federal funding to combat the opioid epidemic, have also facilitated the proliferation of community overdose education and naloxone distribution (OEND) programs. 8,23,29-31 These programs provide education intended to reduce ORO mortality, and free naloxone to at-risk individuals and other laypersons who may find themselves in a position to provide rapid overdose response. 31,32 In 2019 alone, such programs distributed more than 1 million doses of naloxone,³¹ which represents almost twice as many doses as dispensed from US community pharmacies. 8,23 OEND and similar training programs have also contributed to a great rise in the number of law enforcement and other first responders trained to respond to an ORO and equipped with naloxone.³³ However, despite their successes, such programs are perpetually underfunded and currently not sufficient to completely address the need for naloxone.34,35 Furthermore, logistical barriers such as identifying where, how, and to whom the supply of naloxone should be distributed to provide the greatest impact may limit the effectiveness of such programs to some extent, 36 and should a person use the naloxone they obtain from such a program, they may not be immediately able to replenish this supply from another OEND program. Thus, easy accessibility from community pharmacies remains a crucial component of ensuring naloxone is available in the event of an overdose.

Outcomes

Several studies have attempted to quantify the impact of increased naloxone within the community. A recent systematic review analyzed the cumulative body of evidence regarding whether NALs were associated with improved naloxone access and ORO reduction, showing mixed, but generally beneficial, results.²³ While the included studies provided consistent evidence that NALs increase naloxone

access, the evidence regarding their impact on morbidity and mortality was not as uniform across studies. The systematic review also identified increased rates of emergency department utilization, though the authors note this could be explained by more overdose victims surviving to receive hospital care. Looking at the evidence more closely, in one study, NALs were found to reduce ORO deaths by 9-11%, 37 In another, NALs were associated with a 14% lower rate of ORO mortality, and greater ORO mortality reductions were observed in African-American and Hispanic populations compared to other groups. This also promising that these studies found no evidence of contemporaneous increases in opioid misuse associated with NALs. 7,37 However, both of the aforementioned studies only included data through 2014. Several studies analyzing more recent data have shown less conclusive evidence regarding the mortality benefits of NALs, with overall mortality benefits not reaching statistical significance.²³ Studies attempting to identify the impact of individual components of NALs on ORO mortality have also been conflicting, leading to uncertainty as to which NAL components have the greatest impact.²³

Studies of the impact of OEND programs additionally point towards positive outcomes associated with increased naloxone access. Naloxone distributed by OEND programs has resulted in tens of thousands of documented overdose reversals and significant reductions in ORO mortality compared to communities without similar programs. 32,35,38,39 From 1996 to 2014, there were 26,000 reported cases of opioid overdose reversals from laypersons that participated in OEND programs.³² Such models can be particularly effective if the naloxone is distributed to populations more likely to encounter an overdose. In one study, 18% of opioid treatment program patients provided naloxone reported having used it to reverse an overdose. 40 Increased access to naloxone among law enforcement and other first responders has also been found to reduce opioid overdose mortality.³³

Barriers

While these results reveal progress, a growing body of literature has identified that, despite NALs, there remains a significant opportunity to improve the accessibility of naloxone from community pharmacies. Since 2018, several studies have assessed the accessibility of naloxone without an outside prescription, primarily through telephone audits or surveys of community pharmacists, finding significant variability from state-to-state and identifying that despite the

Evoy et al Dovepress

Table I Identified Barriers and Facilitators to Naloxone Access Under Current NAL Approach

Barriers Facilitators Inconsistent stocking of naloxone in community pharmacies Development of easier-to-use naloxone formulations Lower availability in independent pharmacies vs chain pharmacies designed for layperson use Lower availability in pharmacies in areas of lower socioeconomic status Increased duration of time since the passage of NALs Lower availability in rural vs urban pharmacies Significant funding currently available to support com- Misconceptions regarding local NALs or company policies regarding naloxone munity OEND programs dispensing Legislative mandates to maintain naloxone supply in Lack of clarity regarding insurance billing when dispensing under standing order community pharmacies Lack of clarity regarding the use of standing order for dispensing to adolescents Educational interventions for pharmacists, managers, Less accessibility for PWUD vs third-party customers who do not use prescription or and technicians illicit opioids Patient-facing signage in pharmacies to promote dis-Perceived stigma associated with opioid or naloxone use among patients cussions regarding naloxone Negative beliefs among pharmacists regarding dispensing naloxone Naloxone co-prescribing mandates for patients with Need for additional education regarding naloxone or opioid overdose prevention ORO risk factors among pharmacists or healthcare providers Cost of naloxone Significant heterogeneity among NALs from state-to-state

NALs, naloxone is still not immediately available from many community pharmacies. ^{19,20,42–58} In fact, studies of pharmacies from Georgia (31%), ⁴² New Jersey (31%), ⁴⁷ California (23.5%), ⁴⁶ Pennsylvania (45%), ⁴⁵ Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (34.2%), ⁴³ and Ohio (45%) ⁴⁴ found naloxone to be in-stock and available via standing order or protocol in less than 50% of pharmacies audited. While several studies showed substantially higher rates in other states, they still identified barriers to naloxone access. ^{20,48–57}

Many reasons for barriers to access have been identified, including: inconsistent stocking of the medication in community pharmacies 19,20,42-58 (which may, in part, be due to low customer demand at some pharmacies);^{20,47} lower availindependent pharmacies than in pharmacies, 42,53,57 in lower socioeconomic locations, 47 and in rural versus urban settings; 53 pharmacist or pharmacy staff misunderstanding of standing order legislation and company procedures regarding their implementation 44,45,48,50 or age requirements for utilizing standing orders;⁴⁸ less accessibility for PWUD than for third-parties; 19,51 stigma or negative beliefs regarding dispensing naloxone; 44,53 and pharmacist time constraints.⁵⁹ Several of these studies also assessed the cash price, finding the mean price of intranasal naloxone, often the only formulation available in community pharmacies, 50 to be in the range of \$120–150. 43,45,46,51,52,54–56 While naloxone may be included on many insurance formularies, price remains a major barrier for uninsured or underinsured patients. 55 and there seems to be a lack of clarity among community pharmacists as to whether naloxone can be billed to insurance plans when dispensed via standing order. ^{44,50} Table 1 summarizes identified naloxone access barriers and potential solutions.

These studies also highlight the great variation in naloxone availability from state-to-state, which may be reflective of the heterogeneous nature of the various state-level NALs and their implementation. 21,60 One likely contributor to this variability is how each NAL has granted pharmacists increased dispensing authority. Some states provide statewide protocols or blanket standing orders, enabling all pharmacists within the state to dispense naloxone without a patient-specific prescription, while others allow for the development of standing orders but do not provide a single protocol or standing order for all pharmacists. In the latter case, the legislation may lead to a piecemeal approach in which only certain pharmacies have a standing order in place and there may be significant variation in the standing order protocol from pharmacy-to-pharmacy or chain-to-chain. This can lead to confusion among both patients and pharmacists regarding local NALs or company policies surrounding naloxone dispensing. This was observed among Ohio pharmacists who were found to have an adequate knowledgebase regarding naloxone, but significant misunderstanding regarding state laws pertaining to its distribution.⁴⁴

While these audit studies have identified significant barriers to naloxone access from community pharmacies, several potential strategies to improve the impact of NALs on community pharmacy access can be gleaned as well. In Massachusetts, the Board of Pharmacy mandated that

pharmacies maintain a sufficient supply of naloxone to meet community needs, and subsequently, two separate audit studies of Massachusetts pharmacies observed the naloxone availability to be greater than 80%. 51 Besides mandating that pharmacies stock naloxone, additional pharmacist training also appears to provide benefit in increasing naloxone accessibility. Pharmacist educational interventions have been shown to improve the likelihood to dispense via standing order,⁵⁸ stock naloxone in the pharmacy,^{19,20} and provide SUD counseling, 61 while also increasing the perceived value of patient counseling regarding ORO prevention.⁶² Furthermore, it appears that naloxone accessibility may improve as greater time passes since the initial implementation of the NAL. In a follow-up to an early naloxone availability study, Eldridge et al, found that pharmacy stocking in a small sample of Indiana pharmacies significantly increased from 2016 (57%) to 2018 (92.5%, P<0.001).²⁰ Similarly, it is likely that, over time, increased public access to naloxone may help reduce the stigma associated with opioid use and naloxone obtainment as this practice becomes more commonplace. 63 Increased pharmacist experience in dispensing naloxone may also improve pharmacists' understanding of the importance of efforts to improve anonymity and reduce stigma, as well as their comfort level in carrying out such interactions.⁶⁴ However, such interventions will require significant time and resources and are unlikely to be carried out uniformly across the country, perpetuating disparities in naloxone access. Given the continued rise in ORO mortality and persistent gaps in access to naloxone under the current NAL approach, a transition to OTC status warrants serious consideration.

Potential Benefits and Risks of OTC Transition

Feasibility

Davis and Carr previously described the most viable process by which a transition to OTC status could be achieved – federal action by either the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services or the Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).⁸ The underlying rationale is that naloxone meets the four FDA criteria for over-the-counter status: (1) the drug's benefits must outweigh its risks; (2) it must treat a condition that can be identified without the assistance of a medical professional; (3) it must have a low potential for misuse; and (4) its label must allow an individual to understand how to use it properly. In particular, the

development of an easy-to-use intranasal naloxone formulation, Narcan[®], has significantly improved layperson ease of use and should facilitate its safe and efficacious use as an OTC product compared to previous intramuscular versions developed for use in healthcare settings.⁶⁵ Furthermore, the FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research has already developed and tested a model drug facts label for OTC naloxone.⁶⁶ While the declaration of a public health emergency is not necessary to facilitate this type of unilateral federal action, it provides a compelling backdrop for such a decision regarding this extremely safe medication which the US Surgeon General has recommended for all Americans who might experience or witness an ORO.⁶

Cost

One key concern is that after its transition, some insurance companies may choose not to include OTC naloxone formulations on their insurance formularies. In 2019, Murphy et al estimated that converting naloxone to OTC status would increase pharmacy sales of the drug, though with broad uncertainty regarding the magnitude of this increase (15–179%).⁶⁷ This finding balanced the potential cost increases associated with all naloxone formulations moving OTC, such as the medication potentially no longer being included on insurance formularies, with the increased demand associated with easier access. However, it is unlikely that all formulations of naloxone would transition to OTC status. Instead, formulations of naloxone which have shown substantial ease-of-use advantages and earned FDA approval for use by laypersons (namely Narcan® nasal spray) would be the likely candidates for a transition to OTC status.^{8,68} Inflation in the prices of these OTC formulations may be mitigated by continued insurance formulary inclusion of the older, less expensive formulations which are not labeled for layperson use. Additionally, federal- or state-level legislation could compel insurance coverage for OTC naloxone formulations. Several states including Illinois, New York, and Rhode Island mandate insurance coverage for naloxone; such mandates can be extended to cover OTC as well as prescription versions of the medication. 69-71 Finally, states and localities could use federal grants, legal settlements with opioid manufacturers and distributors, and other funds to subsidize OTC naloxone purchases.

Access

The unique legal status of naloxone under the current NAL approach has led to widespread confusion, particularly

Evoy et al Dovepress

given the variability in state-level NALs and nuances of implementation. The experience of the authors, misconceptions regarding the status of naloxone are often present even among healthcare professionals, key stakeholders, and public health decision-makers. These stakeholders often have the authority to make crucial decisions regarding naloxone access processes and to stand in the way of efforts to maximize expedient distribution of naloxone. Converting one or more formulations of naloxone to OTC status may reduce the cloud of confusion that currently surrounds the proper methods for accessing and distributing it, enhancing the impact of community OEND programs. 8

OTC conversion may also allow naloxone to be sold in locations such as convenience stores and grocery stores that do not have pharmacies and would reduce much of the red tape associated with OEND program acquisition and distribution of the medication. Though little research has been conducted to assess the acceptability or preferability of purchasing naloxone from a non-healthcare setting, this would likely be appealing for some PWUD, the group at highest risk for ORO, who are often wary of engaging with conventional healthcare professionals due to past experiences of discrimination and judgement. 15,16 However, it may not be realistic to anticipate OTC naloxone formulations would be sold in the gas station aisle alongside inexpensive OTC medications. The closest comparator for OTC naloxone, which would be a relatively high-cost OTC product, may be OTC nicotine replacement therapy (ie, patches, gums, lozenges). These products are typically subject to an extra layer of security to avoid theft, and theft could be even more prevalent for a drug associated with more stigma. Due to this concern, converting naloxone to OTC status may not increase access substantially outside of OEND programs unless efforts are made to subsidize its provision from other locations or promote efforts to reduce the stigma associated with obtaining naloxone from healthcare settings. Educational interventions directed towards pharmacists and healthcare providers, as well as patients, are likely to help mitigate this stigma to some extent. 64,73 It appears that efforts as simple as placing patient-facing signage around the pharmacy or on dispensed medications recommending the use of naloxone may help reduce stigma and facilitate productive conversations between pharmacists and at-risk patients.⁶⁴

Furthermore, as the Society of Pain and Palliative Care Pharmacists (SPPCP) argued in a position statement opposing conversion of naloxone to OTC status, there may be a downside to selling naloxone in settings that

do not offer access to trained medication experts (ie. pharmacists).⁷⁴ SPPCP notes, and we concur, that a knowledgeable and compassionate pharmacist could provide thorough overdose response education, assist with the selection of an optimal formulation, and facilitate referrals for additional care when indicated. However, we do not agree that pharmacist interaction should be a prerequisite for obtaining naloxone. Unfortunately, the preponderance of evidence regarding pharmacists' current practices related to naloxone dispensing indicates this level of clinical service is increasingly rare in US pharmacies, and that in some cases, a pharmacist may be more likely to hinder naloxone access than to facilitate it. 41,56 Furthermore, the concern raised by SPPCP could be similarly applied to nearly any OTC medication, including many which possess risks for harm from improper use that exceed that of naloxone. In fact, over a million doses of naloxone are distributed annually from non-pharmacy OEND programs, with no evidence of harmful effects.³¹

Education

Expansion in pharmacists' authority to distribute naloxone has correlated with a surge in the availability of opioidrelated continuing education programs.⁷⁵ Several opioidrelated practice transformation efforts have also been implemented to expand pharmacists' positive impact on the care of people who use opioids.⁷⁶ Furthermore, colleges of pharmacy have reported expanding their curricular focus on opioid-related topics. 77 This nationwide focus on opioid-related education for pharmacists and student pharmacists is undoubtedly positive given evidence that pharmacists are more likely to dispense naloxone if they have more knowledge about the drug and local NALs. 59,78 However, studies continue to document gaps in both pharmacist-reported knowledge regarding naloxone and its actual practice behaviors related to its dispensing, indicating that these educational efforts must remain a high priority. 51,56,59,78,79 It is possible that converting naloxone to OTC status could remove a powerful incentive for pharmacists to seek, and colleges of pharmacy to provide, opioid-related education. However, this need not and should not be the case, especially since we envision that some formulations would likely remain prescription-only even if more user-friendly versions are moved OTC.

Conclusion

A patchwork of state-level NALs with variable components have contributed to an uncertainty regarding the status of

naloxone. Pharmacists have been slow to embrace expanded authority to dispense naloxone, and community organizations have struggled to navigate complex processes for obtaining and distributing it. However, given the safety profile of naloxone and the availability of easy-to-administer dosage forms, a transition of naloxone formulations designed for layperson use to OTC by the FDA should allow for safe and efficacious use in the community and may promote improved access. If an OTC transition occurs, educational outreach and funding for both health professionals and community OEND programs will continue to be crucial given the important role of both in recommending naloxone to people at risk for experiencing or witnessing an ORO. Recognizing the severity of the public health crisis of ORO, we believe transitioning the formulations of naloxone approved for layperson use to OTC status would result in a net benefit through increased access. However, such a change should be combined with measures to ensure affordability.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank PharmD Candidates Rachael Lai and Kelsie Ellis for their assistance with the literature search and data extraction during the preparation of this manuscript.

Funding

No funding was obtained to develop this manuscript.

Disclosure

Dr. Hill served on a community advisory board for Hikma Specialty USA Inc. in 2020. The authors of this manuscript have no other conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [internet website]. Opioid overdose; 2020. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/ data/index.html. Accessed November 21, 2020.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [internet website].
 What is the U.S. opioid epidemic?; 2019. Available from: https://www. hhs.gov/opioids/about-the-epidemic/index.html. Accessed November 21, 2020.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [internet website].
 Secretary price announces HHS strategy for fighting opioid crisis;
 2017. Available from: https://www.hhs.gov/about/leadership/secretary/speeches/2017-speeches/secretary-price-announces-hhs-strategy-for-fighting-opioid-crisis/index.html. Accessed November 21, 2020.
- Boyer EW. Management of opioid analgesic overdose. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(2):146–155. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1202561
- Bennett AS, Bell A, Doe-Simkins M, Elliott L, Pouget E, Davis C. From peers to lay bystanders: findings from a decade of naloxone distribution in Pittsburgh, PA. J Psychoactive Drugs. 2018;50 (3):240–246. doi:10.1080/02791072.2018.1430409

 United States Health and Human Services [Internet website]. US Surgeon General's advisory on naloxone and opioid overdose; 2018. Available from: https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/priorities/opioid-overdose-prevention/naloxone-advisory.html. Accessed August 31, 2020

- McClellan C, Lambdin BH, Ali MM, et al. Opioid-overdose laws association with opioid use and overdose mortality. *Addict Behav.* 2018;86:90–95. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.03.014
- Davis CS, Carr D. Over the counter naloxone needed to save lives in the United States. *Prev Med.* 2020;130:105932. doi:10.1016/j. ypmed.2019.105932
- Guy GP, Haegerich T, Evans M, et al. Vital signs: pharmacy-based naloxone dispensing United States, 2012–2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(31):679–686. doi:10.15585/mmwr. mm6831e1
- Abouk R, Paula RL, Powell D. Association between state laws facilitating pharmacy distribution of naloxone and risk of fatal overdose. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2019;179(6):805–811. doi:10.1001/ jamainternmed.2019.0272
- Binswanger IA, Koester S, Mueller SR, et al. Overdose education and naloxone for patients prescribed opioids in primary care: a qualitative study of primary care staff. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2015;30 (12):1837–1844. doi:10.1007/s11606-015-3394-3
- Baptiste-Roberts K, Hossain M. Socioeconomic disparities and self-reported substance abuse-related problems. *Addict Health*. 2018;10(2):112–122. doi:10.22122/ahj.v10i2.561
- Bali V, Raisch DW, Moffett ML, Khan N. Determinants of nonmedical use, abuse, or dependence of prescription drugs, and use of substance abuse treatment. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2013;9 (3):276–287. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2012.04.008
- Matsuzaki M, Vu QM, Gwadz M, et al. Perceived access and barriers to care among illicit drug users and hazardous drinkers: findings from the Seek, Test, Treat, and Retain data harmonization initiative (STTR). BMC Public Health. 2018;18:366. doi:10.1186/s12889-018-5291-2
- Biancarelli DL, Biello KB, Childs E, et al. Strategies used by people who inject drugs to avoid stigma in healthcare settings. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2019;198:80–86. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.01.037
- Paquette CE, Syvertsen JL, Pollini RA. Stigma at every turn: health services experiences among people who inject drugs. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2018;57:104–110. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2018.04.004
- Green TC, Case P, Fiske H, et al. Perpetuating stigma or reducing risk? Perspectives from naloxone consumers and pharmacists on pharmacy-based naloxone in 2 states. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2017;57: S19–S27. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2017.01.013
- Salvador JG, Sussman AL, Takeda MY, Katzman WG, Balasch MM, Katzman JG. Barriers to and recommendations for take-home naloxone distribution: perspectives from opioid treatment programs in New Mexico. *Harm Reduct J.* 2020;17:31. doi:10.1186/s12954-020-00375-2
- Meyerson BE, Agley JD, Davis A, et al. Predicting pharmacy naloxone stocking and dispensing following a statewide standing order, Indiana 2016. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;188(1):187–192. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.03.032
- Eldridge LA, Agley J, Meyerson BE. Naloxone availability and dispensing in Indiana pharmacies 2 years after the implementation of a statewide standing order. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2019;60 (3):470–474. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.11.024
- Davis C Network for Public Health Law [internet website]. Legal interventions to reduce overdose mortality: naloxone access and overdose Good Samaritan laws; 2018. Available from: https://www.net workforphl.org/_asset/qz5pvn/legal-interventions-to-reduceoverdose.pdf. Accessed May 5, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [internet website].
 Provisional drug overdose death counts; 2020. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm. Accessed November 21, 2020.

Evoy et al Dovepress

 Smart R, Pardo B, Davis CS. Systematic review of the emerging literature on the effectiveness of naloxone access laws in the United States. Addiction. 2020. doi:10.1111/add.15163

- 24. Freeman PR, Hankosky ER, Lowfall MR, Talbert JC. The changing landscape of naloxone availability in the United States, 2011–2017. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2018;191:361–364. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2018.07.017
- Gertner AK, Domino ME, Davis CS. Do naloxone access laws increase outpatient naloxone prescriptions? Evidence from medicaid. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;190:37–41. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2018.05.014
- 26. Sohn M, Talbert JC, Huang Z, Lowfall MR, Freeman PR. Association of naloxone coprescription laws with naloxone prescription dispensing in the United States. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2019;2(6): e196215. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6215
- 27. Xu J, Davis CS, Cruz M, Lurie P. State naloxone access laws are associated with an increase in the number of naloxone prescriptions dispensed in retail pharmacies. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;189:37–41. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.04.020
- Green TC, Davis C, Xuan Z, Walley AY, Bratberg J. Laws mandating coprescription of naloxone and their impact on naloxone prescription in five US states, 2014–2018. Am J Public Health. 2020;110:881–887. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2020.305620
- Lambdin BH, Davis CS, Wheeler E, Tueller S, Kral AH. Naloxone laws facilitate the establishment of overdose education and naloxone distribution programs in the United States. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;188:370–376. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.04.004
- Irvine MA, Buxton JA, Otterstatter M, et al. Distribution of take-home opioid antagonist kits during a synthetic opioid epidemic in British Columbia, Canada: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2018;3(5):e218–e225. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(18)30044-6
- Wheeler E, Doe-Simkins M. Harm Reduction programs distribute one million doses of naloxone in 2019. Medium.com [serial on the Internet]; 2020. Available from: https://medium.com/@ejwharmreduction/harm-reduction-programs-distribute-one-million-doses-of-naloxone-in-2019-4884d3535256. Accessed August 30, 2020.
- Wheeler E, Jones TS, Gilbert MK, Davidson PJ. Opioid overdose prevention programs providing naloxone to laypersons – United States, 2014. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015;64:631–635.
- Rando J, Broering D, Olson JE, et al. Intranasal naloxone administration by police first responders is associated with decreased opioid overdose deaths. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2015;33(9):1201. doi:10.1016/j.aiem.2015.05.022
- 34. McDonald R, Campbell ND, Strang J. Twenty years of take-home naloxone for prevention of overdose deaths from heroin and other opioids – conception and maturation. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;178:176–187. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.05.001
- McDonald R, Strang J. Are take-home naloxone programmes effective? Systematic review utilizing application of the Bradford Hill criteria. Addiction. 2016;111(7):1177–1187. doi:10.1111/add.13326
- 36. Lagisetty P, Bohnert A, Fendrick AM Meeting the opioid challenge: getting naloxone to those who need it most. health affairs blog [serial on the Internet]; 2018. Available from: https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20180510.164285/full/. Accessed: August 29, 2020.
- 37. Rees DI, Sabia JJ, Argys LM, Dave D, Latshaw J. With a little help from my friends: the effects of naloxone access and good samaritan laws on opioid-related deaths. *J Law Econ.* 2019;62(1):1–27. doi:10.1086/700703
- Walley AY, Xuan Z, Hackman HH, et al. Opioid overdose rates and implementation of overdose education and nasal naloxone distribution in Massachusetts: interrupted time series analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;346:f174. doi:10.1136/bmj.f174
- 39. Bird SM, McAuley A, Perry S, Hunter C. Effectiveness of Scotland's National Naloxone Programme for reducing opioid-related deaths: a before (2006–10) versus after (2011–13) comparison. *Addiction*. 2016;111(5):883–891. doi:10.1111/add.13265

 Katzman JG, Takeda MY, Greenberg N, et al. Association of take-home naloxone and opioid overdose reversals performed by patients in an opioid treatment program. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3 (2):e200117. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.0117

- 41. Hill LG, Evoy KE, Reveles KR. Pharmacists are missing an opportunity to save lives and advance the profession by embracing opioid harm reduction. *J Am Pharm Assoc* (2003). 2019;59(6):779–782. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.06.019
- Stone RH, Hur S, Young HN. Assessment of naloxone availability in Georgia community pharmacies. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2020;60 (2):357–361. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.11.003
- Guadamuz JS, Alexander GC, Chaudhri T, Trotzky-Sirr R, Qato DM. Availability and cost of naloxone nasal spray at pharmacies in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 2017. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2019;2(6): e195388. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.5388
- 44. Thompson EL, Rao PS, Hayes C, Purtill C. Dispensing naloxone without a prescription: survey evaluation of Ohio pharmacists. J Pharm Pract. 2019;32(4):412–421. doi:10.1177/0897190018759 225
- Graves RL, Andreyeva E, Perrone J, Shofer FS, Merchant RM, Meisel ZF. Naloxone availability and pharmacy staff knowledge of standing order for naloxone in Pennsylvania pharmacies. J Addict Med. 2019;13(4):272–278. doi:10.1097/ADM.00000000 00000492
- Puzantian T, Gasper JJ. Provision of naloxone without a prescription by California pharmacists 2 years after legislation implementation. *JAMA*. 2018;320:1932–1933. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.12291
- Lozo K, Nelson LS, Ramdin C, et al. Naloxone deserts in NJ cities: sociodemographic factors which may impact retail pharmacy naloxone availability. *J Med Tox.* 2019;15:108–111. doi:10.1007/s13181-019-00700-7
- 48. Jimenez DE, Singer MR, Adesman A. Availability of naloxone in pharmacies and knowledge of pharmacy staff regarding dispensing naloxone to younger adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*. 2019;65 (5):698–701. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.07.009
- Correal A Overdose antidote is supposed to be easy to get. It's not. New York Times. 2018.
- Evoy KE, Hill LG, Groff L, Mazin L, Carlson CC, Reveles KR. Naloxone accessibility without a prescriber encounter under standing orders at community pharmacy chains in Texas. *JAMA*. 2018;320 (18):1934–1937. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.15892
- Pollini RA, Joyce R, Ozga-Hess JE, Xuan Z, Green TC, Walley AY. Assessing pharmacy-based naloxone access using an innovative purchase trial methodology. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2020;60:853–860. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2020.05.016
- Wu C, Brown T, Moreno JL. Access to naloxone at community pharmacies under the Massachusetts statewide standing order. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2019;60:647–652. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.11.009
- 53. Sisson ML, McMahan KB, Chichester KR, Galbraith JW, Cropsey KL. Attitudes and availability: a comparison of naloxone dispensing across chain and independent pharmacies in rural and urban areas in Alabama. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2019;74:229–235. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.09.021
- 54. Egan KL, Foster SE, Knudsen AN, Lee JG. Naloxone availability in retail pharmacies and neighborhood inequities in access. *Am J Prev Med*. 2020;58:699–702. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2019.11.009
- Spivey CA, Wilder A, Chisholm-Burns M, et al. Evaluation of naloxone access, pricing, and barriers to dispensing in Tennessee retail community pharmacies. *JAPhA*. 2020;1–8.
- 56. Contreras J, Baus C, Brandt C, et al. Pharmacist counseling when dispensing naloxone by standing order: a secret shopper study of 4 chain pharmacies. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2020. doi:10.1016/j.japh. 2020.10.010
- Hill LG, Loera LJ, Evoy KE, et al. Availability of buprenorphine/ naloxone films and naloxone nasal spray in community pharmacies in Texas, United States. Addiction. 2020. doi:10.1111/add.15314

- Evoy KE, Groff L, Hill LG, Godinez W, Gandhi R, Reveles KR. Impact of student pharmacist-led naloxone academic detailing at community pharmacies in Texas. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2019;60 (1):81–86. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.09.007
- Thakur T, Frey M, Chewning B. Pharmacist roles, training, and perceived barriers in naloxone dispensing: a systematic review. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2020;60(1):178–194. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.06.016
- 60. Hill LG, Reveles KR, Evoy KE. State-level approaches to expanding pharmacists' authority to dispense naloxone may affect accessibility. JAMA Intern Med. 2019;179(10):1442–1443. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.3653
- Lafferty L, Hunter TS, Marsh WA. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of pharmacists concerning prescription drug abuse. J Psychoactive Drugs. 2006;38(3):229–232. doi:10.1080/02791072. 2006.10399848
- Eukel HN, Skoy E, Werremeyer A, Burck S, Strand M. Changes in pharmacists' perceptions after a training in opioid misuse and accidental overdose prevention. *J Contin Educ Health Prof.* 2019;39 (1):7–12. doi:10.1097/CEH.000000000000233
- 63. Wright QE, Higginbotham S, Bunk E, Covvey JR. The impact of a pharmacist-led naloxone education and community distribution project on local use of naloxone. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2020;60(3):S56– S60. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2019.11.027
- 64. Green TC, Donovan E, Klug B, et al. Revisiting pharmacy-based naloxone with pharmacists and naloxone consumers in 2 states: 2017 perspectives and evolving approaches. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2020;60:740–749. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2020.03.005
- Lewis CR, Vo HT, Fishman M. Intranasal naloxone and related strategies for opioid overdose intervention by nonmedical personnel: a review. Subst Abuse Rehabil. 2017;8:79–95. doi:10.2147/SAR.S101700
- 66. Cohen BR, Mahoney KM, Baro E, et al. FDA initiative for drug facts label for over-the-counter naloxone. N Engl J Med. 2020;382 (22):2129–2136. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1912403
- Murphy SM, Morgan JR, Jeng PJ, Schackman BR. Will converting naloxone to over-the-counter status increase pharmacy sales? *Health Serv Res*. 2019;54(4):764–772. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13125
- Eggleston W, Calleo V, Kim M, Wojcik S. Naloxone administration by untrained community members. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2020;40 (1):84–88. doi:10.1002/phar.2352
- 69. Rhode Island Department of Health [internet website]. Evaluation of policies to address opioid overdose in Rhode Island; 2017. Available from: https://health.ri.gov/publications/evaluations/EvaluationOfOverdosePreventionProgramPolicy.pdf. Accessed October 19, 2020.

- Law Server. [Internet website]. Illinois compiled statutes 215 ILCS 5/ 356z.23 – coverage for opioid antagonists; 2019. Available from: https://www.lawserver.com/law/state/illinois/il-statutes/215_ilcs_5_ 356z-23. Accessed: November 22, 2020.
- New York American College of Emergency Physicians [Internet website]. Insurance circular letter no. 16; 2017. Available from: https://www.nyacep.org/391-health-insurance-coverage-for-naloxone. Accessed: November 22, 2020.
- Davis C "Over the Counter" naloxone access, explained. network for public health law [serial on the internet]; 2016. Available from: https://www.networkforphl.org/news-insights/over-the-counternaloxone-access-explained/. Accessed October 19, 2020.
- Muzyk A, Smothers ZPW, Collins K, MacEachern M, Wu L. Pharmacists' attitudes toward dispensing naloxone and medications for opioid use disorder: a scoping review of the literature. Subst Abus. 2019;40(4):476–483. doi:10.1080/08897077.2019.1616349
- 74. DiScala S, Fudin J, Coulson E, Lodl E, Kral L, Herndon C. Society of Pain And Palliative Care Pharmacists (SPPCP) position statement on the proposed change of naloxone to Over-the-Counter (OTC) status. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother*. 2019;33(1–2):1–5. doi:10.1080/15360288.2019.1650868
- Renfro ML, Moczygemba LR, Baumgartner J, Baumgart G, Hill LG. Type and extent of opioid-related education provided by U.S. college of pharmacy continuing education divisions. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2020;84:ajpe8001. doi:10.5688/ajpe8001
- Strand MA, Eukel H, Frenzel O, Skoy E, Steig J, Werremeyer A. Program evaluation of the Opioid and Naloxone Education (ONE Rx) program using the RE-AIM model. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2020;16 (9):1248–1254. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2019.11.016
- Bradley-Baker LR, Cipriani M, Farrell DP, et al. Environmental Scan of Opioid-Related Activities in Academic Pharmacy [Abstract]. Nashville, TN: Rx Drug Abuse & Heroin Summit; 2020.
- Rudolph SE, Branham AR, Rhodes LA, et al. Identifying barriers to dispensing naloxone: a survey of community pharmacists in North Carolina. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2018;58(4):S55–S58. doi:10.1016/j. japh.2018.04.025
- Melaragni F, Levy C, Pedrazzi J, Andersen M. Assessing pharmacists' readiness to dispense naloxone and counsel on responding to opioid overdoses. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2019;59(4):550–554. doi:10.10 16/j.japh.2019.04.012

Integrated Pharmacy Research and Practice

Publish your work in this journal

Integrated Pharmacy Research and Practice is an international, peerreviewed, open access, online journal, publishing original research, reports, reviews and commentaries on all areas of academic and professional pharmacy practice. This journal aims to represent the academic output of pharmacists and pharmacy practice with particular focus on integrated care. All papers are carefully peer reviewed to ensure the highest standards as well as ensuring that we are informing and stimulating pharmaceutical professionals. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/integrated-pharmacy-research-and-practice-journal

