REVIEW

21

Patient perspectives on factors associated with enrollment and retention in chronic disease selfmanagement programs: a systematic review

Samantha R Paige¹ Michael Stellefson¹ Briana Singh²

¹Department of Health Education and Behavior, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; ²College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Correspondence: Samantha Paige Department of Health Education and Behavior, University of Florida, PO Box I 18210, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA Tel +1 352 294 1815 Fax +1 352 392 1909 Email paigesr190@ufl.edu

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PI.S70185

Background: Challenges exist when enrolling and retaining chronic disease patients in self-management programs. Exploring patient perspectives on participating in self-management programs may enhance study enrollment and retention and thereby improve health outcomes. Limited review research has synthesized patient perspectives on intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors influencing participation in chronic disease self-management programs.

Objective: To synthesize empirical qualitative research exploring intrapersonal (ie, predisposing) and sociocontextual (ie, predisposing, enabling, need) factors influencing patient enrollment and retention in chronic disease self-management programs.

Method: A systematic literature review was conducted using Garrard's Matrix Method to retrieve articles published between 1997 and 2015 from electronic databases (PsycINFO, CINAHL, MEDLINE). Andersen's Behavioral Model of Health Services Use was used to synthesize data according to intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors impacting participation in self-management programs.

Results: Thirteen (N=13) qualitative studies met inclusion criteria. Most studies focused on cardiovascular (n=4; 30.76%) and chronic lower respiratory (n=3; 23.07%) diseases. Predisposing factors such as limited disease-specific knowledge, negative outcome expectations of self-management, and confusion about comorbidity self-care negatively influenced the decision to participate. Enabling factors, including opportunities for social support, positively influenced the decision to participate in self-management programs. Scheduling conflicts negatively influenced patient participation. Beliefs that current health care was sufficient deterred patients from participating in self-management programs.

Discussion: Making perceived benefits of participating in chronic disease self-management programs more salient to patients and their health care providers has the potential to enhance patient enrollment and retention. Researchers and clinicians may begin to improve patient participation in chronic disease self-management programs by implementing patient-centered education to increase disease-specific knowledge and an understanding of the recruitment, enrollment, and retention process in research. Future research should explore the intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors influencing patient participation in self-management programs that offer enhanced accessibility and social support from peers and caregivers.

Keywords: chronic disease, self-management, patient enrollment, patient retention

Introduction

Chronic diseases, including heart disease, cancer, type 2 diabetes, and chronic lower respiratory conditions, are the leading causes of death worldwide.¹ The rising prevalence of chronic disease poses a major threat to public and financial health on a global scale. Worldwide estimates suggest that 60% of deaths are attributable to chronic

Patient Intelligence 2016:8 21-37

The control of the second seco

disease annually,² with total global economic losses due to chronic disease expected to reach \$7 trillion dollars by 2025.³ Although the health care burden of chronic disease exists worldwide, vulnerable populations such as those who are older,⁴ belong to racial/ethnic minority groups,⁵ who live in a rural or remote geographic locations,⁶ and who possess limited health literacy skills,^{7,8} report a higher prevalence of chronic disease and experience greater difficulty managing their symptoms. It is estimated that ~\$11.2 billion will be required to implement systematic programs that alleviate the burden of chronic disease.¹

Advancements in health care technology have increased the availability and accessibility of services designed to assist patients in self-managing their chronic conditions and associated symptoms.9 Chronic disease self-management programs are defined as planned learning experiences intended to enhance patient self-efficacy, health status, and behavioral skills necessary to effectively manage lifestyle changes, such as medication adherence, physical activity, and making healthy dietary decisions.¹⁰⁻¹² Self-management programs have reduced the frequency and need for emergency room visits and hospital admissions.¹³ Within chronic disease self-management, emphasis is placed on the patient's role in treating his or her own disease through symptom monitoring and management, which is often individualized, goal-oriented, and facilitated in collaboration with health care providers.^{10–12} Health providers and health educators are often involved in developing, delivering, and evaluating chronic disease self-management programs designed to improve health behaviors, psychological health status, and self-efficacy for symptom management.¹⁴ The availability and accessibility of comprehensive and high-quality chronic disease self-management programs that actively involve patients in the health decision-making process is important to reduce health inequities caused by poorly managed, severe chronic disease symptoms.15

Research suggests positive associations exist between participation in chronic disease self-management and perceived social support and self-efficacy.^{16–18} However, current literature provides conflicting evidence regarding the long-term benefits of participating in chronic disease self-management programs.^{4,19–22} Patients with advanced stages of chronic disease (eg, type 2 diabetes, chronic lower respiratory conditions, cancer) generally experience severe symptoms and high rates of premature mortality,^{10,23} which partially contributes to small sample sizes (eg, 20–30)^{19,24,25} and high attrition in self-management programs.^{23,26,27} However, researchers argue that understanding reasons for patients choosing to enroll/not enroll and participate in self-management can be determined by exploring patient attitudes and beliefs on factors that affect recruitment and retention.^{28,29}

Existing research exploring reasons for low enrollment and high attrition in chronic disease self-management programs has primarily focused on quantitative measures^{30,31} to draw conclusions based on associations between patients' demographic factors, patient/provider time spent participating in self-management programs, and using patient feedback at follow-up. Verevkina et al³⁰ found that low baseline self-efficacy to self-manage chronic disease, younger patient age, and weekday program sessions were significantly associated with high patient attrition from chronic disease self-management programs. Laws et al³¹ found that high psychological distress and an unemployment status predicted high patient enrollment and retention, and scheduling conflicts were significantly associated with high attrition rates. Although these findings are important to understand patient enrollment and retention, qualitatively exploring the sociocontextual factors that influence patient participation in self-management programs may better inform recruitment and program strategies that optimize patient enrollment and retention.

Andersen's Behavioral Model of Health Services Use (BMHSU)^{32–34} posits that there are a number of intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors influencing a patient's decision and ability to use health care services, such as chronic disease self-management programs. Figure 1 illustrates the BMHSU, which attempts to explain "why" and "how" patients use health care services using three explanatory, intrapersonal (ie, predisposing), and sociocontextual (ie, enabling, reinforcing) factors. In the BMHSU, "predisposing factors" are biological and contextual variables that prompt or prevent an individual to enroll and/or participate in health services. "Enabling factors" are financial and organizational variables that influence an individual's ability or decision to obtain health services. These factors include access to health insurance, geographic location, and family support. The final set of factors, "need factors", involves both a patient and provider's perception about the status and diagnosis of the patient's illness or health concern (eg, patients' perceived symptoms and health-related quality of life). Ultimately, the BMHSU postulates that need factors are the most immediate causal factor influencing a patient's decision to enroll and use health services. The BMHSU has been used as a framework to: 1) understand how and why uninsured patients with chronic disease use hospital services and medications;³⁵ 2) explore relationships between use of health care services among medically underserved and uninsured patients living with chronic disease;³⁶ and

3) identify factors that contribute to patient recruitment and retention in type 2 diabetes self-management.³⁷

It is unclear what challenges and opportunities exist with regard to enrollment and retention in self-management programs for patients simultaneously living with multiple chronic conditions. In order to optimize patient enrollment and retention in self-management programs, there is a need to consider the voice of patients when exploring the factors affecting participation in chronic disease self-management. By actively engaging and eliciting feedback from patients about their perspectives on participation in chronic disease self-management programs, there is potential to create higher-quality interventions that are more sustainable over long periods of time.^{38,39} However, understanding which attributes of self-management programs are most conducive to patient participation is currently unknown. Because the economic burden of poorly managed chronic disease is expected to continue to increase over the next decade, it will be important to identify up-to-date intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors that promote patient recruitment and retention in chronic disease self-management.

Unlike in quantitative research, qualitative methods aim to understand select phenomena and associations between variables by proposing open-ended questions, discussions, and observation in naturalistic settings.⁴⁰ Synthesizing qualitative research can provide researchers and clinicians with rich, comprehensive data on complex causal mechanisms explaining how and why patients living with chronic disease choose to enroll and participate in self-management programs.⁴⁰ A systematic literature review that synthesizes intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors of participation in chronic disease self-management programs can result in recommendations for researchers and clinicians to secure high rates of patient enrollment and retention, thus enhancing the rigor of research exploring the effectiveness and quality of chronic disease self-management programs. Therefore, identification of intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors affecting participation in chronic disease self-management is important for improving both enrollment and retention.

To our knowledge, no systematic review has used Andersen's BMHSU as a theoretical framework to explore patient perspectives on the process of enrollment and participation in chronic disease self-management programs. Moreover, no systematic review has evaluated the literature on patient perspectives on factors associated with enrollment and retention in chronic disease self-management programs. To fill this important gap in the literature, the current systematic literature review examined patient perspectives of the predisposing, enabling, and need factors that influence the decision to participate in chronic disease self-management programs. The following three research questions were of primary interest during this review:

- 1. Which demographic factors, social structures, and health beliefs predispose patients to enroll and participate in chronic disease self-management programs?
- 2. How do patients perceive social support and community support as enabling factors in their decision to participate in chronic disease self-management intervention programs?
- 3. What specific health care need(s) do patients and providers report as having an immediate effect on patient participation in chronic disease self-management programs?

Method

Garrard Matrix Method,⁴¹ a framework used to synthesize health science literature pertaining to a specific research goal or purpose, was used to conduct the systematic review.





Approximately 2 decades ago, de Boer et al⁴² used the BMHSU as a framework to guide a systematic literature review that explored factors predicting hospitalization and use of hospital-based services among individuals diagnosed with a chronic illness.

The following three electronic databases were used to search for and retrieve empirical chronic disease selfmanagement studies published in the English language between 1997 and 2015: PubMed (MEDLINE), CINAHL, and PsycINFO. The following keywords and Boolean operators were entered into the database search engines: ("heart disease" OR "cancer" OR "COPD" OR "type 2 diabetes") AND ("patient perspectives" OR "patient views") AND ("self-management" OR "support") AND ("recruitment" OR "retention"). "Patient perspectives" or "patient views" were defined as attitudes and beliefs about participating in self-management programs possessed by patients living with chronic disease. "Self-management" was defined as a program intended to improve a patient's ability to manage chronic disease symptoms, including physical and psychosocial consequences and lifestyle challenges.¹⁹ Participation in self-management programs was operationalized according to recruitment and retention; where "recruitment" was defined as patients formally choosing to enroll or enter into a chronic disease self-management program, and "retention" was defined as patients actively participating in a chronic disease self-management program until the end of its term.⁴³

The following content was extracted from each article meeting the inclusion criteria: 1) study country of origin, 2) chronic disease(s) focused on in the study, 3) chronic disease self-management program description, 4) research design, 5) sample characteristics, 6) attrition rate, and 7) predisposing factors, enabling factors, and need factors associated with patient enrollment and retention in chronic disease self-management programs.

Study selection

Once all potentially eligible studies were retrieved, one researcher examined the title and abstract of each article to determine whether or not the study met inclusion criteria. Figure 2 is a flowchart depicting the study selection procedure used during the systematic review. Articles were included if they were: 1) primary studies that used qualitative



Figure 2 Flowchart depicting article retrieval, review, and selection processes

methodology, including semistructured interviews, narrative interviews, focus groups, and open-ended qualitative responses on structured surveys; 2) available in full-text from institutional library resources; 3) focused on selfmanagement programs addressing at least one chronic disease (eg, heart disease, cancer, chronic lower respiratory conditions, type 2 diabetes); and 4) reported patients' attitudes or beliefs regarding decisions to enroll or participate in a chronic disease self-management program. Articles were excluded if they were: 1) editorials, commentaries, or opinion pieces (n=66); 2) not an investigation of chronic disease self-management (n=275); or 3) not directly reporting qualitative accounts of patients' attitudes and beliefs that are related to their enrollment and participation in a chronic disease self-management program (n=181). Articles available in full-text were excluded if qualitative patient feedback or perspectives about enrolling and participating in chronic disease self-management programs were not reported (n=12). The reference listing of each remaining article was then perused to identify additional studies that met inclusion criteria (n=6).

To ensure reliability of the article selection process, a second member of the research team independently reviewed the title and abstract of each retrieved article to determine whether or not the article met inclusion criteria. In the case that the reviewers could not reach consensus, a third member of the research team was available to resolve any discrepancies. Disagreements regarding one questionable article were discussed, leading to the removal of the article from the final list.

Measures

A code sheet was developed based on operationalized definitions of predisposing, enabling, and need factors described in the BMHSU. Table 1 presents the operational definitions

Table I Operational definitions of Andersen's BMHSU factors adapted for participation in chronic disease self-management programs

BMHSU factors	Operational definition of individual factors
Predisposing factors	
Demographics	
Age	Patient discusses how their age (in years) has implications for participation.
Sex	Patient identifies how sex serves a role in their decision to participate.
Marital status	Patient discusses the implications of being single, divorced, widowed, or separated on participation.
Race/ethnicity	Patient discusses how their racial and/or cultural identity impacts participation.
Social structure	
Income	Patient identifies how financial status influences their participation.
Education	Patient identifies how their education level (eg, high school, college, GED) impacts participation.
Occupation	Patient discusses how their job influences participation.
Location	Patient discusses that their geographical residence (rural, urban, suburban) impacts participation.
Health beliefs	
Knowledge	Patient discusses how/what they know about their disease and/or its self-management, and how this affects program participation.
Attitude	Patient expresses favorable or unfavorable evaluations of participating in self-management programs.
Cultural norms	Patients discuss how their cultural values and beliefs influence program participation.
Enabling factors	
Social support	
Family assistance	Patient identifies that their health care support is dependent on family member(s) assistance.
Health insurance	Patient identifies how health insurance enrollment (or lack thereof) influences participation.
Social/emotional support	Patient discusses the implications of having (or not having) social or emotional support from family and/or friends, and how the support level has implications on their participation.
Conflicts	Patient discusses the implications of other health care appointments or prior engagements in facilitating or hindering their participation.
Community support	
Regular health care source	Patient implies that their usual/regular health care source (eg, nurse practitioner, family doctor) influences their participation.
Access to health source	Patient discusses the distance to/from self-management programs, and the availability and accessibility of self-management programs.
Affordability of programs	Patients discuss the implications of the financial cost as a facilitator or barrier to participation.
Need factors	
Patient judgment	Patient discusses the perceived severity of and susceptibility to their condition, the perceived susceptibility
	of the condition to worsen, and health-related quality of life implications for participation.
Provider judgment	Patient discusses how their health care provider (eg, nurse practitioner, physician) judges their health status and its implications for participation.

Abbreviations: BMHSU, Behavioral Model of Health Services Use; GED, general educational development.

			~				
Source	Country of origin	Chronic disease	Qualitative method	Study purpose	Attrition rate	Sample size	Available demographics
	9 10 10					2412	acting a prince
Hallberg	Sweden	Cardiovascular disease	Semistructured	Aimed to understand patient perspectives	Not reported	n=49	37–81 years old; 53%,
et al ⁵²			interviews	of participating in phone-based systems to			male: 51.2%. at least a
				support self-management hebaviors			high school adjucation.
							77.5%. married
Wermeling	Germany	Diabetes	Narrative	Explored patient perceptions of participating	Not reported	n=35	59 years (min =35:
et al ⁵³			interviews	in diet counseling and engaging in self-	-		max =77). average age:
				management behaviors over time			54%. male
Coventry	United	Cardiovascular disease.	Semistructured	Explored patient and provider's perspectives	Not reported	n=20	62.4 (min =52: max =88).
et al ⁴⁵	Kingdom	chronic lower respiratory	interviews	on factors that facilitate or hinder patient			average age
	þ	disease, type 2 diabetes,		participation in chronic disease self-			D
		osteoarthritis, depression		management programs			
Jolles et al ⁵⁴	Canada	Cardiovascular disease	Semistructured	Explored barriers and facilitators to patient	Not reported	n=26	57 years (SD =16),
			interviews	participation in hypertension self-management			average age; 48%, male
Flynn et al ⁴⁷	United	Cardiovascular disease	Focus groups	Explored barriers and facilitators of patient	Not reported	n=18	30-82 years old; 33.3%,
	States of			participation in hypertension self-management			male; 93%, at least a high
	America			among urban African Americans			school education
Jackson	United	Cardiovascular disease	Narrative	Explore why patients do not use cardiac	Not reported	n=27	40-89 years old; 62.9%,
et al ⁴⁴	Kingdom		interviews	rehabilitation or heart disease self-help groups			male
Rankin	United	Diabetes	Semistructured	The purpose of the study was to explore	Not reported	n=30	36.1 years (SD =11.6),
et al ⁴⁹	Kingdom		interviews	patient perceptions of experience in			average age; 46.7%, male
	I			DAFNE, which is a structured educational)
				program adapted from the Diabetes			
				Treatment and Teaching Program			
Sohanpal	United	Chronic lower respiratory	Semistructured	Explore why patients with COPD participate	n=26 participants were	n=16	69.5 years (min =49;
et al ^{so}	Kingdom	disease	interviews	in the BELLA program, which addresses	recruited for the interview;		max =89), average age;
				skills (ie, define the problem, decision-	n=16 completed the		43.75%, male
				making, resource accessibility, social	interviews (ie, 9 "high		
				networks) to enhance patient self-efficacy	attenders" and 7 "poor		
				for self-management	attenders'')		
Willis	Australia	Chronic lower respiratory	Semistructured	Explore chronic disease patients' motivation	Not reported	n=19	40-70 years old; 31.6%,
et al ⁴⁶		disease	interviews	to participate in the CDSM program, which			male
				includes group learning through peer leaders			
				trained to motivate and empower patients to			
				self-manage their condition and symptoms			
Gucciardi	Canada	Type 2 diabetes	Mixed method:	The program was hosted at a Diabetes	n=267 participants were	n= 8	56.80 years (SD =11.8),
et al ³⁷			(semistructured	Education Center where patients received	enrolled; n=149 (55.8%)		average age; 50.8%,
			interviews)	one-on-one health assessments with a	completed the program;		male; 46.7%, at least a
				dietitian and nurse to develop a tailored	n=118 enrolled but		high school education;
				action plan to self-manage symptoms	prematurely withdrew		68. 1%, married
				The study explored factors that contribute	from the study		
				to participants' (n=118) attrition			



Lavery et al ⁵¹	United Kingdom	Chronic lower respiratory disease	Focus groups	The study explored obstacles and sources of support in the self-management of bronchiectasis	Not reported	n=32	18+ years old; 44%, male
Bower et al ⁵⁵	United Kingdom	Arthritis, asthma, type 2 diabetes, depression, heart disease, others	Narrative interview	REPORT, similar to CDSM, explores cost-effectiveness, self-management, and health-related outcomes The study aimed to explore chronic disease patients' preferences and motivations for recruitment and retention in REPORT	Not reported	n=28	49 years, average age (min =25; max =75); 32%, male
Carlsson et al ⁴⁸	Sweden	Gynecologic, breast, and prostate cancers	Mixed method (open-ended items on a structured survey)	The study explored cancer patients' perspectives on factors that motivate recruitment and retention in cancer management and patient recovery associations, which provide patients with support and information about their cancer and its self-management	n=1,800 were recruited; n=1,576 (87%) enrolled; n=1,400 (88.8%) completed the study	n=1,400	64 years, average age (min =23; max =91); 42%, male
Abbreviations: deviation; COPD	DAFNE, dose ac , chronic obstruc	djustment for normal eating; BELLA ctive pulmonary disease.	λ, better living with long ter	m airways; CDSM, chronic disease self-management; RE	EPORT, research into expert patients	s outcomes in a	randomised trial; SD, standard

Dovepress

for each intrapersonal and sociocontextual factor identified in Andersen's BMHSU.^{32–34,37}

Data analysis

A descriptive analysis of qualitative data from patients on enrollment and retention was conducted for each reviewed study. Study characteristics (eg, study of origin, chronic disease type, research design/method, program description/ study purpose, sample size, and attrition rate) were compiled and are summarized in Table 2. Summaries of qualitative data describing BMHSU factors were extracted from each article and are summarized in Table 3.

Results

Characteristics of reviewed studies

Thirteen (N=13) studies from six different countries (United Kingdom, Germany, Sweden, Canada, United States, Australia) met the inclusion criteria for this review. Studies were conducted in the United Kingdom (n=6; 46.15%), Sweden (n=2; 15.38%), Germany (n=1; 7.69%), Canada (n=2; 15.38%), Australia (n=1; 7.69%), and the United States (n=1; 7.69%). Reviewed studies primarily focused on self-management of cardiovascular disease (n=4; 30.77%), chronic lower respiratory diseases (n=3; 23.08%), and diabetes (n=2; 15.38%). Two (15.38%) studies focused on self-managing several chronic diseases (eg, arthritis, type 2 diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], mental health, cancers) concurrently, and a final study (n=1;7.69%) reported on gynecologic, breast, and prostate cancers. Table 3 provides descriptive summaries of how each reviewed study included Andersen's BMHSU factors and whether or not the factors influenced patient enrollment and/or retention in self-management. The following sections provide a synthesis of descriptive summaries from each factor.

Predisposing factors

Demographic and social structure

Few studies presented results identifying demographic or social structure factors that influence chronic disease patients' enrollment and participation in self-management programs; however, in two studies, older age,⁴⁴ low income,^{44,45} and one's commitment to their job⁴⁴ were identified as factors negatively affecting patients' enrollment and continued participation in self-management programs.

Health beliefs

Disease-related knowledge, attitudes, cultural norms, and current comorbidities were identified as factors affecting the

Chronic	Source	BMHSU	Description of each BMHSU factor	Factor affecting
disease		factor		enrollment,
				retention, or both
Cardiovascular	Flynn et al ⁴⁷	Predisposing factors	Limited knowledge about hypertension delayed the onset of	Enrollment
disease		lactors	Presence of comorbidities reduced patients' motivation to	Enrollment/retention
			participate in hypertension self-management programs.	Linoimentretendon
		Enabling factors	Patients with strong family support networks reported greater	Enrollment/retention
			participation in self-management programs.	
			Patients identified God as a source of support in self-managing	Enrollment/retention
			Patients reported trusting the current care from health care provider, but identified long appointment waiting times as a barrier to carrying	Enrollment/retention
			out self-management.	En en lles an é
			Free nealth-related events (eg, blood pressure screenings, skill	Enrollment
			building seminars) sponsored by community organizations increased	
		Nood factors	patients motivation to participate in seit-management programs.	Enrollmont/rotontion
		Need lactors	outcomes increased patients' perceived need to participate in	Linoimentretention
Cardiovascular	Hallberg et al ⁵²	Predisposing	Opportunity to increase knowledge about hypertension increased	Enrollment
disease	Tianbel g et al	factors	patients' interest to participate in self-management programs	Linoiment
discuse		Enabling factors	Self-reporting self-management behaviors served as a means of	Retention
			social support to sustain the behavior.	
			Opportunity for follow-up and feedback from program facilitator(s)	Enrollment/retention
			increased patients' motivation to participate in self-management	
		Need factors	Patients who had stabilized blood pressure or perceived their	Enrollment/retention
			symptoms as less severe did not feel the self-management program was relevant to them.	
Cardiovascular	ackson et al44	Predisposing	Older age was perceived as a limitation that prevented patients	Enrollment
disease		factors	from participating in cardiovascular disease self-management. Limited financial and transportation resources inhibited patients	Enrollment
			from participating in self-management programs.	
			Work schedules inhibited patients from participating in self-	Enrollment/retention
			management programs.	
			Limited knowledge influenced patients' abilities to make informed	Enrollment
			decisions about participating in self-management programs.	
			Complex instructions prevented patients from participating in self-management programs.	Retention
			Negative perceptions about group self-management programs inhibited patients' participation.	Enrollment
			Perception that only a medical or surgical intervention could	Enrollment
			promote better health (not self-management).	
			Presence of comorbidities negatively influenced patients' physical	Enrollment/retention
			ability to attend and participate in self-management programs in	
			a social or group setting.	
		Enabling factors	Minimal social support from family and friends increased	Enrollment
			patients' motivation to participate in self-management programs	
			where they could share experiences with peers and health care	
			providers.	
			Difficulty understanding how an additional self-management	Enrollment
			program would benefit patients beyond the treatment from health	
			care providers inhibited patients' participation in self-management	
		NI 16	programs.	N 1/A
		ineed factors	IN/A	IN/A

Table 3 Studies included in the review (N=13) of BMHSU factors impacting participation in chronic disease self-management programs

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued)

Chronic	Source	BMHSU	Description of each BMHSU factor	Factor affecting
disease		factor		enrollment,
				retention, or both
Cardiovascular	Jolles et al ⁵⁴	Predisposing	Patients received education from health care providers, but	Enrollment/retention
disease		factors	received additional education from pharmacists and specialists.	
		Enabling factors	Limited support from family members reduced patients' motivation	Enrollment
			to participate in hypertension self-management behaviors	
			(eg, physical activity).	F
			conflicts between patients schedules/routines and hypertension	Enrollment/retention
		Need factors	Motivation to participate in self-management programs increased	Enrollment
		Need lactors	only when patients experienced exacerbated symptoms	Linoiment
			(eg, headache, dizzy).	
			Presence of family history and fear of worsening symptoms	Enrollment
			increased patients' motivation to participate in hypertension	
			self-management programs.	
Chronic lower	Lavery et al ⁵¹	Predisposing	Limited knowledge about lower respiratory disease and its	Enrollment
respiratory		factors	self-management lowered patient motivation to participate.	
disease			Conflicts between patients' schedules/routines (eg, holidays,	Enrollment/retention
			minimal time in schedules) inhibited participation.	
		Enabling factors	Family support influenced patients' self-management.	Enrollment/retention
		need factors	Absence of pain or discomfort decreased patients motivation	Enrollment/retention
			Presence of disease severity negatively influenced the ability of	
			patients to participate in self-management programs.	
Chronic lower	Sohanpal et al ⁵⁰	Predisposing	Patients were interested in participating in self-management	Enrollment/retention
respiratory		factors	programs to learn more about the condition and help others	
disease			living with COPD.	
			Negative perceptions about self-management limited comfort	Enrollment/retention
			with other participants.	
			Negative perceptions of lengthy and time consuming self-	Enrollment/retention
		Fachling forter	management programs reduced interest in participation.	F
		Enabling factors	Opportunity to socialize was one of the greatest motivating	Enrollment/retention
			l imited family or social support for patients inhibited patient	Enrollment/retention
			participation in self-management programs.	
		Need factors	Perception that COPD was not negatively affecting the health-	Enrollment/retention
			related quality of life or lifestyle of patients inhibited self-management	
			participation (even among those diagnosed with moderate-to-severe	
			COPD).	
Chronic lower	Willis et al ⁴⁶	Predisposing	Limited knowledge and understanding about the value of COPD	Enrollment/retention
respiratory disease		factors	self-management program above and beyond standard of care	
			from health care providers reduced patient interest to	
			participate.	Enrollmont/rotontion
			program facilitator(s) enhanced patients' interest to participate	LIII Oliment/Tetendon
			Perception of altruism and helping others living with COPD	Enrollment/retention
			motivated patients to participate in self-management programs.	
			Presence of comorbidities enhanced patients' interest in	Enrollment/retention
			participating in COPD self-management programs, because	
			patients could have a better overall sense of their holistic health	
			and self-management needs.	
		Enabling factors	Opportunity for social support with peers, health professionals, and	Enrollment/retention
			menuors motivated patients to participate in COPD self-	
		Need factors	N/A.	N/A

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued)

Chronic disease	Source	BMHSU factor	Description of each BMHSU factor	Factor affecting enrollment, retention. or both
Diabetes	Wermeling	Predisposing	Limited knowledge or skills about type 2 diabetes self-management	Enrollment
	et al ⁵³	factors	reduced patients' interest to participate in self-management programs. Perception that type 2 diabetes self-management cannot be easily	Enrollment
			Perception that type 2 diabetes self-management programs do not take into account patients' cultural preferences (eg, diet) reduced	Enrollment/retention
		Enabling factors	interest to participate. Health care provider referral of patients to type 2 diabetes self-management resources decreased patients' motivation to	Enrollment
		Need factors	participate in self-management programs. Absence of pain or discomfort attributed to type 2 diabetes decreased patients' motivation to want to participate in self-	Enrollment
Diabetes	Rankin et al49	Predisposing	management programs. Limited knowledge or confidence in the ability to independently	Retention
		lactors	Opportunity for follow-up and feedback from program facilitator(s)	Enrollment/retention
			Negative perceptions existed on group self-management and reduced interest in participation (one-on-one and individualized	Enrollment/retention
			treatment was most desired). Negative perceptions that programs did not address existing comorbidities, which reduced patients' motivation and interest	Enrollment/retention
		Enabling factors	to participate in disease-specific self-management programs. Opportunity for social support and alleviating social isolation	Enrollment/retention
			Conflicts between patients' schedules/routines (eg, work schedule)	Enrollment/retention
		Need factors	N/A.	N/A
Diabetes	Gucciardi et al ³⁷	Predisposing factors	Limited knowledge about self-management programs decreased patients' motivation to participate in type 2 diabetes self-	Retention
			management programs. Increased self-efficacy to independently learn type 2 diabetes self-care behaviors reduced patients' interest to participate in	Retention
			self-management programs. Conflicts between patients' schedules/routines inhibited participation. Existence of comorbidities made participation in type 2 diabetes	Retention Retention
		Enabling factors	self-management programs very challenging. Limited support from family and friends inhibited patient	Retention
			participation in type 2 diabetes self-management programs. Satisfaction with self-management care provided by health care providers decreased patients' interest to participate in an	Retention
		Need factors	additional type 2 diabetes self-management program. Limited perceived severity of type 2 diabetes reduced patients'	Retention
			motivation to participate in seit-management programs. Health care providers deemed patients' type 2 diabetes as moderate or not severe, which reduced patients' motivation or	Retention
Multiple chronic conditions	Coventry	Predisposing	immediate need to participate in self-management programs. Limited financial and transportation resources contributed to lack	Enrollment/retention
	ct ai	lactors	Presence of comorbidities reduced patients' motivation to	Enrollment/retention
		Enabling factors	Support from family, friends, and community/religious organizations increased patients' motivation to participate in self-management	Enrollment/retention
			programs. Satisfaction with care provided by primary care providers decreased patients' motivation to participate in an additional	Enrollment/retention
		Need factors	sen-management program. N/A.	N/A
				(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued)

Chronic disease	Source	BMHSU factor	Description of each BMHSU factor	Factor affecting enrollment,
				retention, or both
Multiple chronic conditions	Bower et al ⁵⁵	Predisposing factors	Perception of altruism and helping others living with COPD motivated patients to participate in self-management programs.	Enrollment
		Enabling factors	N/A.	N/A
		Need factors	N/A.	N/A
Cancer (gynecological, breast, prostate)	Carlsson et al ⁴⁸	Predisposing factors	Opportunity to increase knowledge about cancer (gynecological, breast, and prostate) self-management and treatment motivated patients to participate in self-management programs.	Enrollment
		Enabling factors	Opportunity for social interaction with others living with gynecological, breast, and prostate cancers increased motivation to participate in self-management programs.	Enrollment
		Need factors	N/A.	N/A

Abbreviations: BMHSU, Behavioral Model of Health Services Use; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; N/A, not applicable.

health beliefs of patients. Each of these factors differentially influenced patient participation in chronic disease selfmanagement programs.

Knowledge

Limited disease-related knowledge was identified as a prevalent factor that negatively influenced patient enrollment and participation in chronic disease self-management. For example, patients living with chronic lower respiratory conditions reported limited knowledge about the purpose of self-management programs, the potential benefits derived from such programs, low expectations for each program session, and unclear goals that could be achieved through participation.⁴⁶ Patients in other studies reported that limited knowledge about self-management programs and how to carry out recommended self-care behaviors made it difficult to make an informed decision about participating in a self-management program,^{37,44,46} which led to delayed enrollment in some studies^{44,47} and eventual drop-out in another.³⁷

Enrollment in self-management interventions was also negatively influenced by patients' limited knowledge about the pathophysiology of their disease, possible benefits of participating in self-management, and understanding the best self-management behaviors for improving symptom management.^{37,44,46–53} Additionally, patients were less likely to enroll and participate in self-management programs if the content and instructional methods were perceived as too complex or difficult to understand. Interestingly, patients living with cancer reported accessing patient education resources available both online and offline about their condition.⁴⁸ Lack of patient understanding of program guidelines led to low self-efficacy, frustration, and selfdoubt to engage in the health promoting behaviors in two reviewed studies.^{44,49}

Attitudes

Both positive and negative attitudes toward enrollment and retention in self-management programs existed among patients participating in the reviewed studies. Patients also reported unfavorable attitudes toward enrolling and participating in guided self-management programs due to already high preexisting knowledge of how to best self-manage their own chronic condition.^{37,54} However, in two studies, patients living with COPD and hypertension reported several positive attitudes toward participating in self-management programs, including the opportunity to learn more about their condition.^{50,52} Patients living with various chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, chronic lower respiratory conditions, and type 2 diabetes, reported not enrolling, because self-management programs would not teach them anything new and different from what they already learned from their general practitioner.44,45 Some patients specifically believed that they would not benefit from self-management in any meaningful way as compared to medical or surgical intervention.44

Patients with type 2 diabetes in one study did not identify self-management as a priority for their health and general lifestyle.³⁷ Patients with chronic lower respiratory conditions also reported negative attitudes toward participating in selfmanagement programs where sessions last over 3 hours.⁵⁰ This finding was similar to what was found among patients living with cardiovascular disease⁴⁴ and type 2 diabetes,⁵³ who reported that self-management instructions are too complex and time consuming, making the recommendations too difficult to understand and integrate into their everyday lifestyles. Finally, patients living with chronic disease (ie, chronic lower respiratory conditions, diabetes, heart disease) in three studies reported negative attitudes toward group selfmanagement programs, because they did not know or were not comfortable around other patients due to concerns about the potential of violating patient confidentiality.^{44,49,50}

Cultural norms

Patients in several studies reported that the decision to enroll and participate in chronic disease self-management programs was due to altruistic and cultural/religious values. Patients believed that it was their duty or calling to participate in selfmanagement programs and research, because they would be helping themselves and those currently or eventually suffering with the condition.^{46,50,55} Also, patients living with type 2 diabetes⁵³ stated that they were not likely to participate in self-management programs, because learning modules did not take into account the value that patients place on the social and cultural aspects of cooking, the pleasure of eating tasty foods, and the relationship between food and one's identity.

Comorbidities

Patients in several studies reported that comorbidities negatively affected their decision to enroll in chronic disease self-management programs.^{37,45,47} These patients stated that self-management programs for one specific chronic disease would not benefit them, because additional comorbidities were present and not addressed in programs focused on managing only one condition.44 Other patients believed that living with multiple chronic diseases and participating in a self-management program would result in confusion about recommended self-care behaviors, including medication regimens prescribed by health care providers for various conditions.^{47,49} Another study reported contradictory findings, noting that patients with chronic lower respiratory conditions and comorbidities participated in self-management programs, because these programs allowed them to have a better sense of their health status and self-management needs.46

Enabling factors

Social support

32

Patients in several studies identified social support as a significant factor impacting their decision to enroll and continue participating in chronic disease self-management programs.^{46,49,50} Strong social support from health care providers and self-management program facilitators predicted patients' interest in enrollment and continuous participation.⁴⁶ In one study among African–American adults with hypertension, God was identified as a significant source of support in their self-management participation.⁴⁷ However, patients reported that enrollment and participation in chronic

disease self-management behaviors was complicated by their dependence on family members,^{44,50,51} especially those who required informal care and assistance.^{45,47,54} Although patients reported that social and emotional support from family and friends was important in facilitating their self-management behaviors,⁵¹ participants also reported poor family support as precluding self-management.^{37,44} Some patients believed that asking for assistance with self-management would become a burden on their family and friends.⁴⁸

Patients generally reported receiving chronic disease self-management support from their health care provider or pharmacist.^{37,44,47} While some health care providers referred patients to organized group self-management programs,⁵³ others did not refer patients for reasons that were unknown and undisclosed.^{44,51} Some patients living with type 2 diabetes reported they simply did not want to enroll or participate in a self-management program that fell outside of the care and support provided by their primary care provider.³⁷ Patients with cardiovascular disease, who reported trusting the selfmanagement care by their health care provider, suggested that frequent calls about appointment and medication reminders kept them on track with their self-management, but extended wait periods to see their health care provider served as a significant barrier to carrying out self-management behaviors.47

Community

Transportation was also a significant barrier associated with poor patient participation in chronic disease selfmanagement.^{44,45,50} However, some patients simply did not want to be away from their home to participate in selfmanagement programs for an extended period of time, even if transportation subsidies or services were available.⁵⁰

Personal conflicts

Conflicts arising in patients' personal lives were also identified as influential factors negatively affecting enrollment and participation in chronic disease self-management programs. Patients reported that they would not participate or would eventually drop out of a program if it interfered with personal issues such as employment, hobbies, social life, and family vacations.^{37,49–51,54}

Need factors

Most often, participants did not enroll in self-management programs because they perceived their disease status to be of minimal severity and they did not feel susceptible to potential disease-related complications.^{37,50} However, in two studies, perceived severity of disease and family history of hypertension were associated with greater motivation and perceived need to participate in self-management programs.^{47,54} Two other studies indicated that patients without debilitating symptoms who did not require immediate medical attention were less likely to participate in self-management behaviors and programs.^{51,53}

Discussion

This review synthesized qualitative research to understand patient attitudes and beliefs on the intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors that influence the decision to enroll and participate in self-management programs. This systematic review used Andersen's BMHSU framework to explore patient perspectives of predisposing, enabling, and need factors that facilitate or hinder patient enrollment and retention in chronic disease self-management. The findings of this review identified several predisposing and enabling factors reported by patients as influencing their enrollment and retention in chronic disease self-management. Although need factors were represented across the reviewed studies, patients rarely discussed their health care provider's perception about their need to participate in self-management; rather, the need to participate in self-management was most often presented according to the patient's own judgment.

Predisposing factors

Limited knowledge about chronic disease negatively influenced the ability of patients to make informed decisions about whether or not to enroll and participate in a self-management program. It is not uncommon for patients with chronic disease to have limited knowledge and understanding about how to self-manage their symptoms for better health-related outcomes and an enhanced quality of life.56,57 Research suggests that sufficient knowledge about disease-specific risk factors, disease diagnostics and treatment, and the importance of self-managing symptoms to prevent detrimental health outcomes are important for patients to make an informed decision about whether or not to participate in chronic disease self-management.32,37,58-60 Findings from this review suggest that patient enrollment and retention was negatively influenced among those patients who did not fully understand the pathophysiology of their condition, the impact that their condition will have on their health-related quality of life, and how to coordinate chronic disease care and treatment, especially when living with comorbidities.

Chronic disease self-management programs that are easy to use and not burdened with complex, technical instructions

were reported as most appealing to patients in the current review. Patients with chronic disease typically have low health literacy skills,⁷ which is defined as insufficient skills to effectively locate, appraise, and act upon health-related information.61 Limited health literacy contributes to poor diseaserelated knowledge, low perceived disease self-management skills, poor quality of life indicators, and detrimental health outcomes, including premature mortality.7,25,62 The impact of low health literacy on self-management program enrollment and retention has yet to be fully explored, especially among patients living with chronic lower respiratory conditions.⁶² However, the use of health literacy strategies to increase patient access to understandable and actionable self-management information has been shown to increase disease-specific knowledge62 and activate patient health care decision-making.63

Negative attitudes toward chronic disease self-management programs were associated with little interest in enrollment. Patients in several reviewed studies believed that chronic disease self-management programs would not provide additional benefits beyond the care and instruction from their primary health care provider. Health care providers are considered to be their most trustworthy source of health information.⁶⁴ Also, research suggests that patients are more willing and more likely to enroll in a chronic disease self-management program if a general practitioner or nurse endorses the program.^{31,65} Therefore, health care providers and clinicians play a critical role in enrolling and retaining patients into self-management programs. Despite this research, health care providers rarely refer their patients to participate in health care research and rarely discuss the process and implications of enrolling, which include potential participation in ongoing clinical trials.⁶⁶ Researchers who are interested in recruiting chronic disease patients to participate in self-management programs and interventions should develop collaborative, working relationships with health care providers to assist with enrolling patients for the recommended programs. Developing these collaborative relationships can assist health care providers to translate and communicate the importance, benefits, and process of enrolling and participating in chronic disease self-management programs to patients in a way that is understandable, actionable, and sustainable.

Enabling factors

Patients in the reviewed studies reported receiving little social and emotional support from family members or friends with regard to chronic disease self-management. The primary motivator for patients choosing to enroll in self-management programs in this review was to receive support from health care providers, facilitators, and peers who they could relate to and learn from. Chronic disease self-management should be patient-centered, where instruction is tailored and goal-oriented with customized feedback and follow-up from program facilitators who can devote more time than health care providers to support and provide recommended standards for quality chronic disease self-care.^{62,67,68} Positive associations exist between perceived social support and participation in chronic disease self-management, even among culturally diverse populations with a variety of chronic disease diagnoses.^{16,18,19} However, the mechanism by which social support enhances chronic disease self-management and associated outcomes is less understood.¹⁷ Social support is broadly defined, but includes both social integration (ie, reciprocal communication) and functional support (eg, instrumental, emotional support).69Although patient preferences for the type and amount of social support vary according to their individual circumstance,69 research suggests there is a need to explore which types of social support are most effective for chronic disease self-management and if the type of effective support mechanisms vary by the chronic disease and specific selfmanagement behaviors.17

Patients reported that the duration and time of day or week that self-management programs are offered is an important enabling factor affecting enrollment and retention. Patients in the reviewed studies reported that it was not possible to engage in recommended self-management behaviors for more than 3 hours at a time or every day of the week due to schedule conflicts. This finding is consistent with recent research suggesting that low enrollment and retention in selfmanagement programs may be due to a patient's inability to incorporate program sessions and recommended behaviors into their daily routine.⁷⁰ However, with the rising adoption of community-based participatory research and advancements in technology, chronic disease self-management programs are now widely available through community centers and on the Internet, where patients can experience flexible and continuous interaction with program facilitators, peers, and health care providers.27

Patients in the reviewed studies reported avoiding or withdrawing from in-person group self-management programs, partially because they were concerned about their privacy and confidentiality. For example, patients reported losing interest or not feeling comfortable participating in a group setting self-management program if they did not know other patients on a personal level. Confidentiality in health care service delivery has been identified as a significant barrier to the use of both in-person and eHealth services and programs.^{32,33}

Internet-based and eHealth technologies allow patients the opportunity to access patient education resources and communicate with peers and providers at a time that is convenient for them and their schedule, rather than attending an in-person session with an established date and time. However, there is limited evidence-based research that has evaluated the feasibility or effectiveness of eHealth technologies.⁷¹ Few published studies have reported patient preferences or perspectives on why and how they would use eHealth to participate in chronic disease self-management.9,72 In one study, the usability, feasibility, and acceptability of emerging health technologies in chronic disease self-management was reported as generally high among both patients and providers;9 however, robust research with adequate sample sizes must be conducted to determine the effectiveness, quality and cost-effectiveness of eHealth self-management services.

Need factors

Among those studies reporting need factors, patients only discussed their personal judgment and perception about the need to participate in self-management. Patients who reported little interest or motivation to participate in chronic disease self-management programs believed their disease was not severe enough to justify participation and consequently reported not feeling susceptible to negative health-related outcomes. Low perceived severity and susceptibility to symptoms caused by a condition, otherwise known as perceived threat, is associated with both delayed self-management and poor self-management program adherence.⁷³ Need factors, which are the most immediate causal factors of enrollment and use of health programs, are comprised of both patient and provider perceptions of a patient's health status.^{32,33} Findings of the current review suggest that patients may not consider or be aware of their provider's judgment about participating in chronic disease self-management programs, which may be caused by unsatisfactory patient/provider communication.74

A defining feature of patient-centered care, which has been shown to have positive effects on health-related outcomes and patient satisfaction,⁷⁵ is effective patient/provider communication where patients and providers work alongside one another as allies in coordinating health care.⁷⁶ In patientcentered communication, health care providers facilitate shared decision-making by explaining health care/treatment options with patients, actively eliciting patient perspectives about health care options, understanding patients' unique

personal and cultural attributes, and ensuring that health decisions are shared and effective.75 If patients are not well informed about their condition, health care options, and their provider's judgment of their current health status, then they may have a difficult time engaging in the shared decisionmaking process and may have limited confidence to process and appraise information to make an informed health decision about participating in self-management. Health care providers and researchers should use health literate and patientcentered approaches to recruit and enroll patients in chronic disease self-management interventions,67 and specifically use strategies that consider relevant beliefs and attitudes, such as perceived threat associated with their chronic disease(s). Future research should explore how providers discuss implications of participating in self-management programs with chronic disease patients, and why providers do or do not endorse health care research for self-management to their patients.

Strengths and limitations

This systematic review was conducted with a widely used systematic review framework, Garrard's Matrix Method. Moreover, Andersen's BMHSU was used as a framework to guide the synthesis of patient perspectives about the intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors influencing their enrollment and retention in self-management. A variety of chronic diseases were represented in the current review, including cardiovascular disease (ie, hypertension, cardiac disease), diabetes (ie, type 1 and type 2), and chronic lower respiratory conditions (ie, COPD, bronchiectasis). Although a sound systematic approach was used to search for empirical studies that met inclusion criteria, the final sample of studies was somewhat limited (N=13). Also, this review did not evaluate the quality or methods used to verify the validity of qualitative research methods applied in each study, including triangulation and respondent validation.77

Conclusion

This systematic review used Andersen's BMHSU to synthesize results from 13 empirical qualitative studies exploring predisposing, enabling, and need factors that affect patients decision to enroll and participate in chronic disease self-management. Findings of the review suggest that predisposing (eg, low disease-specific knowledge), enabling (eg, insufficient social support, scheduling conflicts), and need (eg, low perceived severity of disease) factors can influence the decision to enroll and participate in chronic disease self-management. Health care providers can begin securing patient enrollment and retention in chronic disease self-management through effective patient/provider communication, which can be achieved by practicing patientcentered communication and collaborating with researchers to translate information that reinforces patient understanding of chronic disease(s), the health threat(s) they face due to their condition, and the health-related and social benefits of participating in self-management. Future research should explore intrapersonal and sociocontextual factors in using eHealth technologies for chronic disease self-management services, where patients can anonymously receive social support, real-time follow-up from providers or group facilitators, and patient education resources that do not conflict with personal or work commitments.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases prematurely take 16 million lives annually, WHO urges more action [Published January 19, 2015]; 2015. Available from: http://www.who.int/ mediacentre/news/releases/2015/noncommunicable-diseases/en/. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- World Health Organization. Integrated chronic disease prevention and control. Available from: http://www.who.int/chp/about/integrated_cd/ en/. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- World Health Organization. Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases, 2013–2020; 2013. Available from: http://www.who.int/global-coordination-mechanism/publications/ global-action-plan-ncds-eng.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- Chodosh J, Morton SC, Mojica W, et al. Meta-analysis: chronic disease self-management programs for older adults. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;143(5):427–438.
- Shaw SJ, Huebner C, Armin J, Orzech K, Vivian J. The role of culture in health literacy and chronic disease screening and management. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008;11(6):460–467.
- Brundisini F, Giacomini M, DeJean D, Vanstone M, Winsor S, Smith A. Chronic disease patients' experiences with accessing health care in rural and remote areas. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser.* 2013;13(15):1–33.
- Gazmararian JA, Williams MV, Peel J, Baker DW. Health literacy and knowledge of chronic disease. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2003;51(3): 267–275.
- Villaire M, Mayer G. Low health literacy: the impact on chronic illness management. *Prof Case Manag.* 2007;12(4):217–218.
- Hamine S, Gerth-Guyette E, Faulx D, Green BB, Ginsburg AS. Impact of mHealth chronic disease management on treatment adherence and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2015;17(2):e52.
- Lorig KR, Ritter P, Stewart AL, et al. Chronic disease self-management program: 2-year health status and healthcare utilization outcomes. *Med Care*. 2001;39(11):1217–1223.
- 11. Lorig KR, Sobel DS, Stewart AL, et al. Evidence suggesting that a chronic disease self-management program can improve health status while reducing hospitalization: a randomized trial. *Med Care*. 1999;37(1):5–14.
- Effing T, Monninkhof EM, van der Valk PD. Self-management education for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007;17(4):CD002990.

- Bauer UE, Briss PA, Goodman RA, Bowman BA. Prevention of chronic disease in the 21st century: elimination of the leading preventable causes of premature death and disability in the USA. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9937):45–52.
- Brady TJ, Murphy L. Sorting through the evidence for arthritis self-management program and the chronic disease self-management program [Published May 2011]. http://www.cdc.gov/arthritis/docs/ ASMP-executive-summary.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- Perez B, Cummings L, Schrag J, Mead H, Jewers M. Facilitators and barriers to providing patient-centered chronic disease care to patient populations at risk for health and healthcare disparities in safety net settings [Published December 6, 2013]. Available from: http://www. pcori.org/assets/2014/01/PCORI-Facilitators-Barriers-Providing-Patient-Centered-Chronic-Disease-Care-120613.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- Brooks AT, Andrade RE, Middleton KR, Wallen GR. Social support: a key variable for health promotion and chronic disease management in Hispanic patients with rheumatic diseases. *Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord*. 2014;7:21–26.
- Gallant MP. The influence of social support on chronic illness selfmanagement: a review and directions for research. *Health Educ Behav*. 2003;30(2):170–195.
- Greene JA, Choudhry NK, Kilabuk E, Shrank WH. Online social networking by patients with diabetes: a qualitative evaluation of communication with Facebook. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2011;26(3): 287–292.
- Barlow J, Wright C, Sheasby J, Turner A, Hainsworth J. Self-management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2002;48(2):177–187.
- Franek J. Self-management support interventions for persons with chronic disease: an evidence-based analysis. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser. 2013;13(9):1–60.
- Nolte S, Osborne RH. A systematic review of outcomes of chronic disease self-management interventions. *Qual Life Res.* 2013;22(7):1805–1816.
- Warsi A, Wang PS, LaValley MP, Avorn J, Solomon DH. Selfmanagement education programs in chronic disease: a systematic review and methodological critique of the literature. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164(15):1641–1649.
- 23. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Evaluation design for the chronic disease self-management program implemented in AoA funded settings [Published February 22, 2011]. Available from: http:// www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/final-reports/aoa/aoachronic-apb.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- Norris SL, Engelgau MM, Narayan KM. Effectiveness of selfmanagement training in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Diabet Care*. 2001;24(3):561–587.
- Sanders K, Schnepel L, Smotherman C, et al. Assessing the impact of health literacy on education retention of stroke patients. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2014;11:130259.
- Forjuoh SN, Ory MG, Jiang L, Vuong AM, Bolin JN. Impact of chronic disease self-management programs on type 2 diabetes management in primary care. *World J Diabet*. 2014;5(3):407–414.
- Stellefson M, Chaney B, Barry AE. Web 2.0 chronic disease selfmanagement for older adults: a systematic review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2013;15(2):e35.
- Benoit SR, Ji M, Fleming R, Philis-Tsimikas A. Predictors of dropouts from a San Diego diabetes program: a case control study. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2004;1(4):A10.
- Griffin SJ. Lost to follow-up: the problem of defaulters from diabetes clinics. *Diabet Med.* 1998;15(3):S14–S24.
- Verevkina N, Shi Y, Fuentes-Caceres VA, Scanlon DP. Attrition in chronic disease self-management programs and self-efficacy at enrollment. *Health Educ Behav*. 2014;41(6):590–598.
- Laws RA, Fanaian M, Jayasinghe UW, et al. Factors influencing participation in a vascular disease prevention lifestyle program among participants in a cluster randomized trial. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013;13:201.

- 32. Andersen RM. Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: does it matter? *J Health Soc Behav.* 1995;36:1–10.
- 33. Andersen RM. *Behavioral Model of Families' Use of Health Services*. Chicago, IL: Center for Health Administration Studies; 1968.
- Babitsch B, Gohl D, von Lengerke T. Re-visiting Andersen's behavioral model of health services use: a systematic review of studies from 1998–2011. *Psychosoc Med.* 2012;9(11):1–15.
- Shepherd JG, Locke E, Zhang Q, Maihafer G. Health services use and prescription access among uninsured patients managing chronic disease. *J Community Health.* 2014;39(3):572–583.
- Gotsadze G, Murphy A, Shengelia N, Zoidze A. Healthcare utilization and expenditures for chronic and acute conditions in Georgia: does benefit package design matter? *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2015;15:88.
- Gucciardi E, DeMelo M, Offenheim A, Stewart DE. Factors contributing to attrition behavior in diabetes self-management programs: a mixed method approach. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2008;8:33.
- Coulter A, Ellins J. Effectiveness of strategies for informing, educating, and involving patients. *BMJ*. 2007;335(7609):24–27.
- Evangelista LA, Shinnick MA. What do we know about adherence and self-care? J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2008;23(3):250–257.
- Curry LA, Nembhard IM, Bradley EH. Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. *Circulation*. 2009;119(10):1442–1452.
- Garrard J. Health Sciences Literature Review Made Easy: The Matrix Method. 3rd ed. Missauga, ON: Jones and Bartlett Learning, LLC; 2011.
- 42. de Boer AG, Wijker W, de Haes HC. Predictors of health care utilization in the chronically ill: a review of the literature. *Health Policy*. 1997;42(2):101–115.
- 43. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Staying healthy through education and prevention (STEP) [Published October 2014]. Available from: http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/education/curriculum-tools/ stepmanual/step5.html. Accessed August 10, 2015.
- 44. Jackson AM, McKinstry B, Gregory S, Amos A. A qualitative study exploring why people do not participate in cardiac rehabilitation and coronary heart disease self-help groups, and their rehabilitation experience without these resources. *Prim Health Care Res Dev.* 2012;13: 30–41.
- 45. Coventry PA, Fisher L, Kenning C, Bee P, Bower P. Capacity, responsibility, and motivation: a qualitative evaluation of patient and practitioner views about barriers to self-management in people with multimorbidity. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2014;14:536.
- 46. Willis KF, Robinson A, Wood-Baker R, Turner P, Walters EH. Participating in research: exploring participation and engagement in a study of self-management for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Qual Health Res.* 2011;21(9):1273–1282.
- Flynn SJ, Ameling JM, Hill-Briggs F, et al. Facilitators and barriers to hypertension self-management in urban African Americans: perspectives of patients and family members. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2013;7:741–749.
- Carlsson C, Baigi A, Killander D, Larsson US. Motives for becoming and remaining member of patient associations: a study of 1,810 Swedish individuals with cancer associations. *Support Care Cancer*. 2005;13:1035–1043.
- 49. Rankin D, Cooke DD, Elliot J, Heller SR, Lawton J. Supporting selfmanagement after attending a structured education programme: a qualitative longitudinal investigation of type 1 diabetes patients' experiences and views. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:652.
- Sohanpal R, Seale C, Taylor SJC. Learning to manage COPD: a qualitative study of reasons for attending and not attending a COPDspecific self-management programme. *Chron Respir Dis.* 2012;9(3): 163–174.
- Lavery K, O'Neill B, Elborn JS, Reilly J, Bradley JM. Selfmanagement in bronchiectasis: the patients' perspective. *Eur Respir J*. 2007;29(3):541–547.
- 52. Hallberg I, Ranerup A, Kjellgren K. Supporting the self-management of hypertension: Patients' experiences of using a mobile phone-based system. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2016;30(2):141–146.

- 53. Wermeling M, Thiele-Manjali U, Koschack J, Lucius-Hoene G, Himmel W. Type 2 diabetes patients' perspectives on lifestyle counseling and weight management in general practice: a qualitative study. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2014;15:97.
- Jolles EP, Padwal RS, Clark AM, Braam B. A qualitative study of patient perspectives about hypertension. *ISRN Hypertens*. 2013;2013: 1–10.
- 55. Bower P, Kennedy A, Reeves D, Gately C, Lee V, Rogers A. Recruitment to a trial of self-care skills training in long-term health conditions: analysis of the impact of patient attitudes and preferences. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2006;27(1):49–56.
- 56. Barr VJ, Robinson S, Marin-Link B, et al. The expanded chronic care model: an integration of concepts and strategies from population health promotion and the chronic care model. *Hosp Q*. 2003;7(1):73–82.
- Hurtado M, Spinner JR, Yang M, et al. Knowledge and behavioral effects in cardiovascular health: community health worker health disparities initiative, 2007–2010. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2014;11:130250.
- Heisler M, Piette JD, Spencer M, Kieffer E, Vijan S. The relationship between knowledge of recent HbA1c values and diabetes care understanding and self-management. *Diabet Care*. 2005;28(4):816–822.
- 59. Tian M, Chen Y, Zhao R, et al. Chronic disease knowledge and its determinants among chronically ill adults in rural areas of Shanzi province in china: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2011; 11:948.
- Daly J, Hartz A, Xu Y, et al. An assessment of attitudes, behaviors, and outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2009;22(3):280–290.
- Institute of Medicine. Health literacy: a prescription to end confusion [Published April 2004]; 2004. Available from: https://iom. nationalacademies.org/~/media/Files/Report%20Files/2004/Health-Literacy-A-Prescription-to-End-Confusion/healthliteracyfinal.pdf. Accessed January 10, 2016.
- Roberts NJ, Ghiassi R, Partridge MR. Health literacy in COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2008;3(4):499–507.
- Iverson SA, Howard KB, Penney BK. Impact of Internet use on healthrelated behaviors and the patient-physician relationship: a survey-based study and review. JAm Osteopath Assoc. 2008;108(12):699–711.
- 64. Hesse BW, Nelson DE, Kreps GL, et al. Trust and sources of health information: the impact of the internet and its implications for health care providers: findings from the first Health Information National Trends Survey. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005;165(22):2618–2624.

- 65. Institute of Medicine Committee on Health Research and the Privacy of Health Information. The value, importance, and oversight of health research. In: Nass SJ, Levit LA, Gostin LO, editors. *Beyond the HIPAA Privacy Rule: Enhancing Privacy, Improving Health Through Research.* Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2009.
- Baer AR, Michaels M, Good MJ, Schapira L. Engaging referring physicians in the clinical trial process. J Oncol Pract. 2012;8(1):e8–e10.
- Hudon C, Fortin M, Haggerty J, Loignon C, Lambert M, Poitras ME. Patient-centered care in chronic disease management: a thematic analysis of the literature in family medicine. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012;88(2):170–176.
- Ostbye T, Yarnall KSH, Krause KM, et al. Is there time for management of patients with chronic disease in primary care? *Ann Fam Med*. 2005;3(3):209–214.
- Reblin M, Uchino BN. Social and emotional support and its implications for health. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2009;21(2):201–205.
- Yu R, Yan LL, Wang H, et al. Effectiveness of a community-based individualized lifestyle intervention among older adults with diabetes and hypertension, Tianjin, China, 2008–2009. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2014; 11:120333.
- Merolli M, Gray K, Martin-Sanchez F. Health outcomes and related effects of using social media in chronic disease management: a literature review and analysis of affordances. *J Biomed Inform*. 2013;46(6):957–969.
- Zulman DM, Jenchura EC, Cohen DM, Lewis ET, Houston TK, Asch SM. How can eHealth technology address challenges related to multimorbidity? Perspectives from patients with multiple chronic conditions. J Gen Intern Med. 2015;30(8):1063–1070.
- DiMatteo MR, Haskard KB, Williams SL. Health beliefs, disease severity, and patient adherence: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*. 2007; 45(6):521–528.
- Liddy C, Blazkho V, Mill K. Challenges of self-management when living with multiple chronic conditions. *Can Fam Physician*. 2014;60(12):1123–1133.
- 75. King A, Hoppe RB. Best practice for patient-centered communication: a narrative review. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2013;5(3):385–393.
- Barry MJ, Edgman-Levitan S. Shared decision-making the pinnacle of patient-centered care. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(9):780–781.
- Mays N, Pope C. Assessing quality in qualitative research. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7226):50–52.

Patient Intelligence

Publish your work in this journal

Patient Intelligence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that characterizes and measures the central role of patient behavior and intention in optimizing healthcare management in all areas of disease and complaint types. An improved understanding of patient intelligence coupled with predictive analysis helps an organization contribute more effectively to achieving better outcomes.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/patient-intelligence-journal

Dovepress

The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research, methodologies, analytics, modeling, clinical studies and patient surveys across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.