

Modafinil: a novel alternative to non-invasive ventilation in hypercapnic respiratory failure?

Paulo Matos¹
Antonio M Esquinas²

¹Pneumology Department, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ²Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Morales Meseguer, Murcia, Spain

Dear editor

Nowadays non-invasive ventilation (NIV) is a common therapeutic option in chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure, especially in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).¹ Even though there is not robust data showing mortality or morbidity benefits, it appears to improve quality of life and reduce admissions for exacerbation.^{1,2} However, there will always be a group of patients where NIV is not an option, because of poor compliance or side effects.

Parnell et al used modafinil, out of label, in a very small heterogeneous group of hypercapnic respiratory failure patients unwilling to carry out NIV, with good results (benefic effects in blood gases, exacerbation admissions, with no adverse effects).³

This pilot study open a new door to control hypercapnic respiratory failure in noncompliance patients with NIV. We understand limitations of this brief study, but consider, however, some key aspects need to take into account to a proper clinical extrapolation.

First, mechanism of acute and chronic hypercapnic are numerous and complex interaction, not only during daytime and night time period, muscular weakness play a central role in this pathways and respiratory central breathing could be influenced to chemical and mechanical properties of lung and upper airways.^{1,2}

Second, regarding limits of hypercapnic and how monitoring response to modafinil therapy is a key cornerstone.

Third, other co morbidity as chronic renal failure or neurologic conditions influence by hypercapnic could difficult interaction of modafinil as coincident psychiatric drugs like antidepressive or anxiolytic, that in some cases are associated in chronic respiratory insufficiency.⁴⁻⁶ Additionally, coexistence of COPD and sleep breathing disorders determinate more complexity in this prescription.^{7,8}

In our opinion, the good results shown in these six patients justify the conduct of extended studies on the action of modafinil in hypercapnic respiratory failure. However, if this drug may improve the quality of life, reduce health costs and safe alternative to non-invasive mechanical ventilation are still open questions.

Disclosure

The author have no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Ambrosino N, Vaghegghini G. Non-invasive ventilation in exacerbations of COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2007;2:471–476.
2. Khilnani G, Banga A. Noninvasive ventilation in patients with chronic obstructive airway disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2008;3: 351–357.

Correspondence: Paulo Matos
Pneumology Department, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Rua Fonseca Pinto, 3000-075 Coimbra, Portugal
Tel +351 239 400 400
Email pmsotto@hotmail.com

3. Parnell H, Quirke G, Farmer S, Adeyemo S, Varney V. The successful treatment of hypercapnic respiratory failure with oral modafinil. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2014;30:413–419.
4. Ongel EA, Karakurt Z, Salturk C, et al. How do COPD comorbidities affect ICU outcomes? *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2014;17:1187–1196.
5. Brenes GA. Anxiety and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: prevalence, impact, and treatment. *Psychosom Med.* 2003;65:963–970.
6. Kunik ME, Veazey C, Cully JA, et al. COPD education and cognitive behavioral therapy group treatment for clinically significant symptoms of depression and anxiety in COPD patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychol Med.* 2008;38:385–396.
7. Stege G, Vos PJ, van den Elshout FJ, Richard Dekhuijzen PN, van de Ven MJ, Heijdra YF. Sleep, hypnotics and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med.* 2008;102:801–814.
8. Struik FM, Lacasse Y, Goldstein RS, Kerstjens HAM, Wijkstra PJ. Nocturnal noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in stable COPD: A systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *Respiratory Medicine.* 2014;108:329–337.

Authors' reply

Helen Parnell¹

Ginny Quirke¹

Sally Farmer¹

Sumbo Adeyemo²

Veronica Varney¹

¹Respiratory Department, ²Pharmacy Department, St Helier Hospital, Carshalton, Surrey, UK

Correspondence: Veronica Varney
Respiratory Department, St Helier Hospital, Wrythe Lane,
Carshalton, Surrey SM5 1AA, UK

Dear editor

Thank you very much for a copy of the letter from Dr Matos and Dr Esquinas for our comments.

We wish to thank them for their feedback and we agree with all their points.

Hypercapnic respiratory failure as discussed under Point No 1 does have many factors that may not yet be fully understood but may contribute to both acute and chronic hypercapnia as Dr Matos and Dr Esquinas suggest. We found the published symposium on sleep-induced hypoxemia in patients with COPD very thought provoking and supportive of their current suggestions,¹ and we have included ideas from it in our planned further study of modafinil.

We plan to commence shortly a further study of 60 patients with chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure who will be openly randomized to standard care or standard care with modafinil 200 mg. We hope that this will give information about modafinil's action and time course that may increase our understanding as mentioned under their Point No 2.

Modafinil is metabolized by the liver and liver disease is an important contraindication along. Renal function including severe chronic renal failure (creatinine clearance <20 mL/min) is not reported to significantly affect the pharmacokinetics of modafinil at 200 mg, although modafinil acid is increased ninefold. However the manufacturers have limited data in severe renal failure.

The comments by Dr Matos and Dr Esquinas with regard to possible benefits to quality of life and health costs compare with standard NIV are important questions that need to be answered. Following our published case series on modafinil we were very interested to read the published study in Thorax.² This examined the use of nocturnal NIV in patients with prolonged hypercapnic respiratory failure in COPD. Interestingly this showed that randomization to standard care with nocturnal NIV or standard care alone, showed no difference in re-admission or death rate after 1 year of treatment. With 65% of the NIV arm either dying or being re-admitted compared with 64% receiving the standard care. Since NIV has cost implications and COPD is increasing considerably, treatments used should be cost effective and worthwhile.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Zhang J, Wang Y, Feng J, Sun X. Sleep induced hypoxaemia in patients with COPD. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*. 2013;74(9): 497–502.
2. Struik FN, et al. Nocturnal non-invasive ventilation in COPD patients with prolonged hypercapnia after ventilatory support for acute respiratory failure: a randomised controlled, parallel-group study. *Thorax*. 2014; 69:826–834.

International Journal of COPD

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of COPD is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies and reviews in COPD. Special focus is given to the pathophysiological processes underlying the disease, intervention programs, patient focused education, and self management protocols.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-journal>

Dovepress

This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.