

Irrigation, incision hydration, and eye pressurization with antibiotic-containing solution

Kazuki Matsuura¹
Ryu Uotani²
Shin-ichi Sasaki²

¹Department of Ophthalmology,
Nojima Hospital, Kurayoshi, Tottori,

²Department of Ophthalmology,
Tottori University, Yonago,
Tottori, Japan

Dear editor

We congratulate Schelonka and SaBell for their recent article and would like to make a few comments on the application of their findings to the intracameral injection of antibiotics.

Schelonka and SaBell¹ suggested that cataract surgeons who use vancomycin for irrigation should consider performing incision hydration and eye pressurization with an irrigating solution containing vancomycin, instead of using a plain balanced salt solution. In general, there are two methods for the administration of intracameral antibiotics.

The first method involves adding antibiotics to the irrigation bottle. However, many practitioners are skeptical about the effectiveness of this method. Because of the fast turnover in the anterior chamber, the intraoperatively secured antibiotic concentration may be insufficient. Furthermore, as Schelonka and SaBell¹ indicated, even if the antibiotic solution in the irrigation bottle fills the anterior chamber during surgery, it is diluted by the time of pressurization and hydration.

The second method involves the injection of a small amount (0.05–0.2 mL) of highly concentrated solution at the end of surgery (the small-volume bolus injection method). However, small-volume bolus injections of antibiotics often disrupt self-sealing of the wound. The pressurization and hydration with plain balanced salt solution are often required, which dilutes the concentration of antibiotics in the anterior chamber. Thus, the final concentration of antibiotics becomes unclear and unreliable.

Compared with the widely conducted small-volume bolus injections, the technique of intracameral injection while irrigating, pressurizing, and hydrating the anterior chamber with a relatively large volume (1.0–3.0 mL) of solution (the large-volume irrigating injection method), is logical.² This technique makes it possible to achieve a stable concentration of antibiotics, as the anterior chamber is replaced with diluted antibiotics and self-sealing is possible without any additional procedure.

In 2012, we reported favorable results in a retrospective survey on intracameral injection with large-volume irrigating injections using moxifloxacin (MFLX).³ Table 1 shows the results as of the end of 2014. Without MFLX, postoperative endophthalmitis occurred in 10 of 22,828 eyes. With MFLX, the occurrence of postoperative endophthalmitis significantly decreased to 3 of 47,058 eyes.

After intraocular lens (IOL) implantation and the removal of the viscosurgical device, the anterior chamber was irrigated with diluted MFLX (100–500 µg/mL) using a 5 mL hydration syringe. In practice, a cannula, through which solution was already flowing, was inserted via a side port, and the anterior chamber was irrigated

Correspondence: Kazuki Matsuura
Department of Ophthalmology, Nojima
Hospital, 2714-1 Sesaki-Machi, Kurayoshi,
Tottori 682-0863, Japan
Tel +81 858 22 6231
Fax +81 858 22 6843
Email matsuura.kzk@gmail.com

Table 1 Incidence of postoperative endophthalmitis with or without intracameral moxifloxacin

Without or with MFLX (antibiotic concentration, method)	Total cases (n)	Endophthalmitis cases (n)	P-value compared to "without MFLX"
Without MFLX	22,828	10	
With MFLX (all)	47,058	3	0.001
With MFLX (100–300 µg/mL)	10,705	1	0.36
(500 µg/mL)	36,353	2	0.001
With MFLX (flushing technique)	32,996	1	0.001
(500 µg/mL, flushing technique)	25,542	0	0.001

Notes: In all patients, the large-volume irrigating injection method was employed. The anterior chamber was irrigated with diluted MFLX (100–500 µg/mL). In patients for whom the BC flushing technique was used, the anterior chamber, including the area behind IOL, was intentionally irrigated through lifting the IOL edge. Two-tailed fisher's exact test was used for statistical analysis.

Abbreviations: MFLX, moxifloxacin; BC, bag and chamber; IOL, intraocular lens.

for several seconds. The edge of IOL was lifted, and the stream of solution was directed behind IOL. Once the anterior chamber had been irrigated for several seconds, the needle was removed while maintaining the flow of the solution. If necessary, incision hydration was added.

In our investigation, approximately 90% of the anterior chamber was replaced with the solution after approximately 10 seconds of irrigation. Because the anterior chamber was replaced with diluted antibiotics, a stable concentration could be attained and intraocular cleaning effects could be anticipated at the end of surgery.

Currently, vancomycin, cefuroxime, and MFLX are widely used as intracameral antibiotics. However, it is not realistic to conduct a prospective study to determine which of these is superior, as any of these solutions is likely to be highly effective and safe. In recent years, even in cases in which intracameral injection of antibiotics is not performed, the occurrence rate of postoperative endophthalmitis has significantly dropped. Furthermore, there are many factors involved in the onset of postoperative endophthalmitis, including perioperative disinfection or eye drops, the types of IOL, and surgical procedures. Therefore, an extremely large study sample would be required for accurate statistical analysis to compare all these factors.

Similarly, it is very difficult to compare differences between intracameral injections performed using the small-volume injection method and those performed using the large-volume irrigating injection method.

As proposed by Schelonka and SaBell,¹ irrigation, pressurization, and hydration using diluted antibiotics offer the same advantages as intracameral injections performed with the large-volume irrigating injection method which we proposed. Considered theoretically, even if its superiority is difficult to prove, the large-volume irrigating injection performed at the end of surgery makes more sense than the widely used current technique of intracameral injection performed using the small-volume injection method.

The method of irrigation, pressurization, and hydration using diluted antibacterial agents is worthy of consideration not only by practitioners who use antibiotics in the irrigating bottle but also by practitioners who perform intracameral injections using the small-volume bolus injection method at the end of surgery.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Schelonka LP, SaBell MA. Postcataract endophthalmitis prophylaxis using irrigation, incision hydration, and eye pressurization with vancomycin. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2015;9:1337–1345. doi:10.2147/OPHT.S79119.
- Matsuura K, Suto C, Akura J, Inoue Y. Bag and chamber flushing: a new method of using intracameral moxifloxacin to irrigate the anterior chamber and the area behind the intraocular lens. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2013;251(1):81–87. doi:10.1007/s00417-012-2098-1.
- Matsuura K, Miyoshi T, Suto C, Akura J, Inoue Y. Efficacy and safety of prophylactic intracameral moxifloxacin injection in Japan. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2013;39(11):1702–1706. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2013.05.036.

Authors' reply

Lee P Schelonka¹

Margaret A SaBell²

¹Department of Ophthalmology, ²Department of Infection Control, Kaiser Permanente Lone Tree Medical Offices, Lone Tree, Denver, CO, USA

Correspondence: Lee P Schelonka
Department of Ophthalmology, Kaiser Permanente Lone Tree Medical Offices, 10240 Park Meadows Drive, Lone Tree, Denver, CO 80124, USA
Tel +1 303 649 5431
Fax +1 303 649 5405
Email leeschelonka@msn.com

Dear editor

We agree with Matsuura et al that bag and chamber flushing with moxifloxacin (MFLX) shares the pharmacokinetic advantages of vancomycin irrigation, incision hydration and eye pressurization. The crucial point is to reliably place a sufficient concentration of antibiotics in the anterior chamber at the end of surgery. Matsuura's very low rate of postcataract endophthalmitis using MFLX bag and chamber flushing is commendable. In Japan, the high prevalence of *Enterococcus faecalis* endophthalmitis¹ may make MFLX a more effective prophylaxis antibiotic than vancomycin. However, our concerns over the high prevalence of MFLX-resistant

coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* endophthalmitis in the USA² and the safety issues of cefuroxime anaphylaxis^{3,4} led us to continue using vancomycin. For the many surgeons who already irrigate with vancomycin,⁵ using the irrigating solution for eye pressurization and incision hydration (instead of plain balanced salt solution) is likely to increase the efficacy of endophthalmitis prophylaxis.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Matsuura K, Miyoshi T, Suto C, Akura J, Inoue Y. Efficacy and safety of prophylactic intracameral moxifloxacin injection in Japan. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2013;39(11):1702–1706.
2. Harper T, Miller D, Flynn HW Jr. In vitro efficacy and pharmacodynamic indices for antibiotics against coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* endophthalmitis isolates. *Ophthalmology.* 2007;114(5):871–875.
3. Villada JR, Vicente U, Javaloy J, Alio JL. Severe anaphylactic reaction after intracameral antibiotic administration during cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2005;31(3):620–621.
4. Moisseiev E, Levinger E. Anaphylactic reaction following intracameral cefuroxime injection during cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2013;39(9):1432–1434.
5. Leaming D. Results of the 2012 ASCRS Cataract Surgery Survey; 2015. Available from: www.analey.com/NEWanaley%20ASCRS%202012.htm. Accessed August 26, 2015.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.