

Refining the Paradigms of Early Recognition for Secondary Asthma [Letter]

Shuai Qi^{1,*}, Yi Wang^{1,*}, Chong Zhang^{1,2}

¹School of Public Health, Gansu University of Chinese Medicine, Lanzhou, Gansu, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Clinical Laboratory, Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child-Care Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Chong Zhang, Email zhch1972@163.com

Dear editor

We recently reviewed an article focusing on the early recognition of secondary asthma caused by lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) in children.¹ The authors aptly emphasize the importance of early detection and the distinction between primary and secondary asthma, which is crucial for targeted therapy and better patient outcomes. However, the study's methodology and analysis still require further refinement.

Firstly, the retrospective design of the study inherently limits the strength of the conclusions that can be drawn. While retrospective studies can provide valuable insights into disease patterns, they are susceptible to biases, such as selection bias and recall bias, which can undermine the validity of the findings. This is particularly important considering findings from a meta-analysis of 150,000 European children,² which suggest that early-life LRTIs are associated with lower lung function and a higher risk of asthma at school age, emphasizing the need for rigorous study designs to confirm these relationships.

Secondly, the diagnostic criteria for asthma and LRTIs used in the study are not clearly defined. This omission raises concerns regarding the accuracy of case identification and the generalizability of the findings to different populations and settings. Standardized diagnostic criteria are crucial for ensuring that studies are conducted rigorously and that their results are reliable. The authors should have employed internationally recognized guidelines, such as the Global Initiative for Asthma criteria for asthma diagnosis and the World Health Organization criteria for LRTIs,³ to ensure consistency and reliability in their diagnoses.

The statistical analysis also merits a critical examination. The authors report a significant association between LRTIs and the development of asthma using a simple chi-square test. However, they neglect to account for potential confounding factors, such as genetic predisposition, environmental exposures, and comorbid conditions, which could significantly influence the risk of developing asthma.⁴ A multivariate analysis, incorporating these confounding variables, would have provided a more robust assessment of the relationship between LRTIs and asthma.

Moreover, while we concur with the authors' perspective, their conclusion that "early recognition of secondary asthma can lead to improved management and outcomes" is premature and lacks empirical support. The authors fail to provide data on the specific management strategies utilized or the outcomes attained in their study population. A more comprehensive analysis, encompassing details on management approaches, treatment responses, and long-term outcomes, is necessary to substantiate this assertion.

Despite these criticisms, the study does have its merits. The authors' emphasis on the importance of a detailed medical history, including a thorough review of past infections, is commendable and aligns with current best practices in asthma management.

Looking forward, future research in this area should focus on prospective, multicenter studies with larger sample sizes and rigorous methodological designs. The incorporation of advanced diagnostic tools, such as molecular biology

techniques for pathogen identification and biomarkers for asthma, could provide deeper insights into the mechanisms underlying the development of secondary asthma.⁵ Additionally, studies exploring the role of targeted therapies and personalized medicine in the management of secondary asthma would be of great interest.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Rao Z, Zhang S, Xu W, Huang P, Xiao X, Hu X. Early Recognition of Secondary Asthma Caused by Lower Respiratory Tract Infection in Children Based on Multi-Omics Signature: a Retrospective Cohort Study. *Int. J Gen Med.* **2024**;17:6229–6241. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S498965
2. van Meel ER, Mensink-Bout SM, den Dekker HT, et al. Early-life respiratory tract infections and the risk of school-age lower lung function and asthma: a meta-analysis of 150 000 European children. *Eur Respir J.* **2022**;60(4):2102395. doi:10.1183/13993003.02395-2021
3. Li Y, Wang X, Blau DM, et al. Global, regional, and national disease burden estimates of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in children younger than 5 years in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet.* **2022**;399(10340):2047–2064. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00478-0
4. Mukherjee AB, Zhang Z. Allergic asthma: influence of genetic and environmental factors. *J Biol Chem.* **2011**;286(38):32883–32889. doi:10.1074/jbc.R110.197046
5. Habib N, Pasha MA, Tang DD. Current Understanding of Asthma Pathogenesis and Biomarkers. *Cells.* **2022**;11(17):2764. doi:10.3390/cells11172764

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the International Journal of General Medicine 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the International Journal of General Medicine editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

International Journal of General Medicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group