

A Comment on ‘Musculoskeletal Ultrasound Assessment of the Clinical Efficacy of the Combination of Acupressure and “Three Methods of Neck Movement (TCM)” Therapy in the Treatment of Cervical Spondylosis: A Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial’ [Letter]

Yi Cheng¹, Wenliang Xie², Ling Xu³

¹Nanchang Hongdu Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330038, People's Republic of China; ²Jiangxi Mental Hospital, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330029, People's Republic of China; ³The Second Affiliated Hospital of Jiangxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanchang, 330012, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Ling Xu, Email xulingjiangxi@outlook.com

Dear editor

We have read with great interest the study protocol titled “Musculoskeletal Ultrasound Assessment of the Clinical Efficacy of the Combination of Acupressure and ‘Three Methods of Neck Movement (TCM)’ Therapy in the Treatment of Cervical Spondylosis: A Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial” by Zuo et al.¹ While we appreciate the authors’ innovative approach in combining traditional therapy with modern assessment methods, we would like to offer several suggestions to enhance the clinical relevance of the findings.

Firstly, we noticed that the age range restriction (40–60 years) might limit the generalizability of the study results. Recent epidemiological data indicate that the prevalence of cervical spondylosis is rising among younger populations due to modern lifestyle factors.² Additionally, excluding older patients (>60 years) overlooks a critical group that often experiences concurrent degenerative conditions. Physiological responses to manual therapy and exercise interventions can vary across age groups, particularly considering age-related changes in muscle regeneration and tissue adaptability.

We also observed that a 6-month follow-up period may not be sufficient to evaluate the long-term efficacy of treatments for chronic degenerative conditions such as cervical spondylosis. Previous studies have demonstrated an association between cold environments and musculoskeletal issues, with individuals exposed to low temperatures showing a higher incidence of musculoskeletal symptoms.³ Therefore, it is essential to consider the potential impact of seasonal temperature changes on treatment outcomes. Additionally, given that cervical spondylosis is a chronic degenerative condition, a longer observation period would be valuable for assessing the durability of treatment effects.⁴

The single-center design raises concerns about external validity, as treatment protocols and levels of expertise may vary significantly between medical institutions. Findings from specialized hospital settings may not be directly applicable to primary care or community healthcare environments. Adopting a multicenter approach in future research could improve the generalizability of the results. Moreover, although musculoskeletal ultrasound has advantages in muscle assessment, its accuracy depends on the operator’s expertise. Integrating objective measurement methods, such as surface electromyography (sEMG) and quantitative analysis of cervical kinematics, would enhance the assessment of muscle function.

Another issue is the limited evaluation of psychosocial dimensions. Although the McGill Pain Questionnaire and the Neck Disability Index (NDI) have been validated as primary outcome measures, they mainly address pain intensity and functional impairment without adequately considering broader psychosocial contexts. Studies have shown that psychological factors, particularly symptoms of anxiety and depression, can influence pain perception and treatment outcomes in cervical spondylosis.⁵ Therefore, we recommend incorporating validated psychological assessment tools, such as the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS), and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), into the evaluation protocol.

In conclusion, we commend Zuo et al for their innovative integration of TCM with modern assessment methods in treating cervical spondylosis, marking an important step toward building evidence-based evaluation systems for complementary and alternative medicine. We look forward to future high-quality studies that further validate this therapy and support its evidence-based application and wider adoption.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Zuo J, Zeng X, Ma H, et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasound assessment of the clinical efficacy of the combination of acupressure and “Three Methods of Neck Movement (TCM)” therapy in the treatment of cervical spondylosis: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *J Pain Res.* 2024;; Volume 17:3651–3665. doi:10.2147/jpr.s469511
2. Wang C, Tian F, Zhou Y, He W, Cai Z. The incidence of cervical spondylosis decreases with aging in the elderly, and increases with aging in the young and adult population: a hospital-based clinical analysis. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2016;11:47–53. doi:10.2147/CIA.S93118
3. Pienimäki T. Cold exposure and musculoskeletal disorders and diseases. A review. *Int J Circumpolar Health.* 2002;61(2):173–182. doi:10.3402/ijch.v61i2.17450
4. Dijkman MD, van Bilsen MWT, Fehlings MG, Bartels R. Long-term functional outcome of surgical treatment for degenerative cervical myelopathy. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2022;36(5):830–840. doi:10.3171/2021.8.SPINE21651
5. Castelnuovo G, Giusti EM, Manzoni GM, et al. Psychological considerations in the assessment and treatment of pain in neurorehabilitation and psychological factors predictive of therapeutic response: evidence and recommendations from the Italian consensus conference on pain in neurorehabilitation. *Front Psychol.* 2016;7:468. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00468

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research ‘letters to the editor’ section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S505936>