Anesthetic Influence on Electroconvulsive Therapy: A Comprehensive Review
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Abstract: The prevalence of severe mental disorders has been rising annually. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is considered a valuable treatment option in psychiatry for conditions such as schizophrenia and medication-resistant depression, especially when other treatments have proven insufficient. ECT rapidly improves patients’ mood, alleviates symptoms, and demonstrates significant therapeutic effects. Currently, the form of ECT used in clinical practice is modified electroconvulsive therapy (mECT), which is administered under general anesthesia. Accumulative evidence has confirmed that different anesthetic drugs, anesthetic-ECT time interval, anesthetic depth, and airway management can impact the outcomes of ECT. Therefore, this review aims to summarize the current impact of anesthesia factors on ECT, providing reference for clinical anesthesia during ECT procedures.

Keywords: mental disorders, ECT, anesthesia factors

Introduction

The prevalence of mental disorders such as depression is steadily rising, indicating a worsening trend.¹,² These conditions not only cause subjective distress to patients, impacting their quality of life, but also disrupt family harmony, increase societal burdens, and pose a threat to the sustainable development of human society, thus becoming one of the foremost global public health issues.³,⁴

Currently, conventional pharmacological interventions for various mental disorders are limited in their effectiveness, requiring time to take effect, and exhibiting relatively low rates of relief and efficacy.⁵,⁶ Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) refers to the method of treating diseases by stimulating the patient’s head with a specific amount of electric current, inducing seizure-like discharges in the cerebral cortex.⁷ It is a valuable treatment option for depression, including severe and resistant forms.⁸ ECT can rapidly control patients’ symptoms and improve their mood.⁹,¹⁰ When conventional drug therapies and psychological interventions fail to significantly improve the patient’s symptoms, ECT should be considered an important treatment option.¹¹

Initially, ECT was conducted without anesthesia, and the electrical stimulation and seizures during the procedure could potentially cause traumatic injuries to patients, such as fractures or dental damage.¹² With technological advancements, modern ECT has evolved into modified ECT (MECT), which is conducted under general anesthesia. Administering anesthesia and muscle relaxants can reduce patients’ fear of treatment and the associated risk of muscle spasms caused by treatment.¹³ Compared to the original non-anesthetic method, this approach is safer.

Currently, accumulated evidence has focused on the relationship between anesthesia and ECT. A more appropriate choice of anesthesia helps improve the quality of seizures and thus enhances treatment effectiveness.¹⁴ Different anesthetic drugs, anesthetic-ECT time interval (TI), depth of anesthesia, and airway management can influence the effectiveness of ECT. Therefore, we review the current study status, summarize the impact of anesthesia on ECT, and hope that anesthesiologists can provide better protection for patients during the ECT process.
Potential Mechanisms of ECT

To date, multiple studies support the efficacy of ECT in treating various mental disorders, but its specific mechanisms remain not fully elucidated. Extensive experimental data suggests that ECT may be associated with changes in the central nervous system, including synaptic plasticity, neurotransmitter activity, receptor and cytokine hypothesis.

According to the neuroplasticity hypothesis, a study shows that the levels of plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) increase in patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia after ECT. ECT can treat mental disorders by inducing BDNF production to affect neuronal proliferation in the dentate gyrus and the sprouting of its efferent fibers.

ECT also improves depressive symptoms by affecting neurotransmitters. After ECT, for instance, there is an increase in glutamate (Glu) /glutamine (Glx) in the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex (sgACC), a decrease in Glx in the left hippocampus (ICA), and an increase in gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) concentration in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). These changes may be associated with clinical improvements in mental disorders.

After ECT, the reduction of 5-hydroxytryptamine1A (5-HT1A) receptors in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), along with the decrease in 5-hydroxytryptamine2A (5-HT2A) receptors in the right hippocampal parahippocampal gyrus (rCA), right lingual gyrus (rLIN), and right medial prefrontal gyrus (rmPFC), may be associated with the improvement of depressive symptoms. There is an increased affinity of $\alpha_2$ adrenergic receptors in the frontal cortex (FC) and hippocampus (CA) in patients with depression. The affinity of $\alpha_2$ receptors at the aforementioned sites decreased after ECT, which might be one of the mechanisms by which ECT improves psychiatric symptoms. Simultaneously, ECT can modulate the expression of dopamine receptors’ encoding genes, causing an upregulation of D1 receptors in the hippocampal CA3 region, thereby helping the treatment of severe mental disorders.

The cytokine hypothesis suggests that alterations in the levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) after ECT might be one of the therapeutic mechanisms. Activation of the inflammatory response system (IRS) in patients with depression may lead to an increased release of these cytokines, while the levels of these inflammatory factors significantly decrease after ECT. This indicates that cytokine may be one of the reasons ECT contributes to improving mental disorders (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Potential mechanisms of ECT. To date, there are four main hypothesis: neuroplasticity hypothesis, neurotransmitter hypothesis, receptor hypothesis, and cytokine hypothesis.
Influence of Anesthetic Factors on ECT

Multiple studies indicate that anesthesia factors have a significant impact on ECT. During the process, the management of anesthesia can affect the treatment’s efficacy and the safety of patients. The quality of seizures during ECT is regarded as a pivotal factor and a potential indicator of treatment effectiveness. Seizure duration is an important indicator for the quality of seizure. Although the view that seizure duration is a primary determinant of treatment efficacy is changing, seizure durations of less than 25s or 15s are still believed to be less effective. If the motor activity lasts at least 20s or the EEG activity lasts at least 30s, it is considered sufficient. For patients over 70 years of age, seizure duration is considered appropriate if motor activity exceeds 15 seconds or EEG activity exceeds 25 seconds. Furthermore, central inhibition (concordance or the postictal suppression index), amplitude, interhemispheric coherence and autonomic activation are common seizure parameters that can reflect seizure quality.

Influence of Different Anesthetic Drugs on ECT

Different Intravenous Anesthetics

Propofol is a widely used intravenous anesthetic agent in general anesthesia. Due to its excellent general anesthesia and sedative-hypnotic effects, and its ability to induce patients into unconsciousness quickly and smoothly, it has become one of the most commonly used intravenous anesthetics in ECT. The anesthesia process induced by propofol is stable, with stable hemodynamic parameters and fewer complications during the recovery period, making it safer in patients with hypertension, cardiovascular disease, hyperthyroidism, and other diseases. Compared to thiopental sodium, propofol can reduce acute cognitive impairments after ECT. These are significant advantages of propofol over other intravenous anesthetic drugs. However, the anticonvulsant effect of propofol may raise the seizure threshold, resulting in shorter seizure duration during ECT than thiopental sodium or etomidate. Nonetheless, this study demonstrates that the seizure duration of ECT under propofol anesthesia still exceeds 25 seconds, thus maintaining the efficacy of the treatment. Therefore, propofol remains the most commonly used anesthetic drug in ECT due to its demonstrated superiority in several aspects.

Thiopental sodium is an ultra-short-acting barbiturate intravenous anesthetic. It reduces cerebral metabolic rate and contracts cerebral blood vessels, thereby lowering cerebral blood flow and intracranial pressure, which is beneficial for protecting the central nervous system during ECT. In comparison to propofol, thiopental sodium has weaker anticonvulsant effects, leading to significantly prolonged and improved quality of seizures during ECT. Meanwhile, patients who use thiopental sodium anesthesia exhibit better memory function. However, other researchers have reached the opposite conclusion when studying the effects of thiopental sodium and propofol on the efficacy of ECT, thiopental sodium may pose greater risks of cognitive impairments and its recovery period is relatively long. Therefore, the effects of sodium thiopental on the central nervous system still need to be supported by further findings. In addition, thiopental sodium was once used for lethal injection and is no longer available for use in the United States due to this association.

Etomidate is an imidazole derivative, which can rapidly induce unconsciousness and exhibits brief anesthetic effects. It has been widely used in ECT. Compared to ECT under propofol anesthesia, the use of etomidate as an anesthetic resulted in higher overall quality of seizures and longer duration of motor seizure activity. Furthermore, etomidate can reduce cerebral blood flow, intracranial pressure, intraocular pressure, and cerebral oxygen consumption, making it the preferred drug for patients with cardiac insufficiency. The study has shown that the use of etomidate may reduce the occurrence rate of cognitive impairments related to ECT. Notably, etomidate can inhibit 11ß-hydroxylase and cholesterol metabolism, thus blocking the synthesis of corticosteroids. Study showed that the effects of etomidate on adrenocortical function in ECT were transient. Based on the transient suppression of adrenocortical function by etomidate, it is recommended that etomidate should be administered at an interval of more than 48 hours during ECT.

Methohexital, a barbiturate salt with a specific methyl substitution at the C-2 position, is one of the commonly used intravenous anesthetic drugs in ECT. In comparison to etomidate, methohexital achieves a shorter time to maximum sustained coherence (MSC) and lower systolic blood pressure after treatment. Compared to propofol and thiopental sodium, methohexital is less likely to interfere with the seizure activity induced by ECT. Particularly, when using right unilateral electrode placement, patients receiving anesthesia with methohexital require a lower number of treatments.
than those under propofol anesthesia.\textsuperscript{51} This suggests that methohexital may be a superior choice to propofol in the management of anesthesia in ECT.

Ketamine has long been used as an alternative anesthetic induction agent in ECT. In recent years, due to its inherent antidepressant properties, its role in ECT has gained increasing attention.\textsuperscript{52} Some studies suggest that the clinical efficacy of ECT under ketamine anesthesia may be superior to that under thiopental sodium anesthesia.\textsuperscript{53} Compared with propofol, ketamine anesthesia has a longer duration of ECT seizures and is most advantageous in central inhibition.\textsuperscript{42} However, ketamine may lead to adverse reactions such as hallucinations, delirium, and deterioration in verbal memory.\textsuperscript{42,54} The duration of verbal memory deterioration remains unclear, and the impact of ketamine anesthesia on autobiographical memory also requires further study.\textsuperscript{53} In future studies, we still need to focus on the effects of ketamine on patients’ cognitive function when applied to ECT to better guide clinical anesthesia protocols.

Different Inhalation Anesthetics
Sevoflurane is an inhalation anesthetic with low airway irritation. Due to its ability to shorten anesthesia induction and emergence times, and its milder suppression effects on the respiratory and cardiovascular systems, it’s widely used for anesthetic induction in patients undergoing ECT.\textsuperscript{55,56} For patients with severe needle phobia or intolerance to intravenous anesthetics, sevoflurane may be more suitable for inducing general anesthesia.\textsuperscript{56} Compared to propofol, sevoflurane can prolong the duration of ECT-induced seizures.\textsuperscript{57,58} Nevertheless, it may increase blood pressure, heart rate, elevate the risk of arrhythmias, which can be mitigated by reducing or discontinuing administration post-induction.\textsuperscript{56,58} In conclusion, sevoflurane is a relatively suitable drug for anesthesia induction in ECT. But when using sevoflurane, it is necessary to pay close attention to the patient’s status, detect complications in time and take appropriate measures.

Nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) is a slightly sweet-smelling inhalation anesthetic that is relatively safe and easy to manage. N\textsubscript{2}O can induce central sympathetic nervous system stimulation similar to ECT and trigger the release of endogenous opioids, potentially benefitting improvement in psychiatric symptoms for treatment-resistant depression patient.\textsuperscript{59} Considering the antidepressant and sedative-analgesic effects of N\textsubscript{2}O and its mild inhibitory effect on the respiratory system, it can be used as an adjunctive drug during ECT for patients with severe anxiety, psychological disorders, difficulty with intravenous injection, or needle phobia.\textsuperscript{60} Although N\textsubscript{2}O is beneficial for improving mental symptoms, more clinical studies are needed to evaluate its impact on seizure time, efficacy, and adverse reactions in ECT.

Different Muscle Relaxants
Succinylcholine, due to its rapid onset and short duration of action, is considered the preferred muscle relaxant for ECT. It can achieve the desired muscle blocking in most patients.\textsuperscript{61} Compared with the cisatracurium group, the succinylcholine group had a significantly shorter duration of induced seizure, time to return of spontaneous respiration from seizure ending, and duration of apnea.\textsuperscript{62} This is due to the different pharmacokinetics between the two muscle relaxants.

For patients with contraindications to succinylcholine (such as pseudocholinesterase deficiency, hyperkalemia, family history of malignant hyperthermia, glaucoma, spinal cord injury, paralysis, or mobility problems due to other conditions), non-depolarizing muscle relaxants should be considered.\textsuperscript{63} Comparing the hemodynamic changes and serum potassium levels during ECT, it has revealed that the incidence of tachycardia is lower in the cisatracurium group, and the levels of elevated serum potassium are significantly lower.\textsuperscript{62} Therefore, the non-depolarizing muscle relaxant cisatracurium is a safer choice for patients who are unable to use succinylcholine.\textsuperscript{52} In addition, Sugammadex is a drug with a cyclodextrin structure that selectively binds to rocuronium, antagonizing the neuromuscular blocking induced by rocuronium. Compared to succinylcholine, the combination of rocuronium and Sugammadex can alleviate muscle pain and headache after ECT, and meanwhile, the recovery of neuromuscular blockade in patients is faster.\textsuperscript{64} For patients who cannot tolerate succinylcholine, the combination of rocuronium and Sugammadex is a safer and more reasonable option.

Combination of Propofol and Ketamine
In recent years, some studies have recommended the combined anesthesia of propofol and ketamine during ECT. Studies have revealed that their hemodynamic effects balance each other when used in combination, thereby aiding in maintaining hemodynamic stability. And meanwhile, the concurrent use of propofol and ketamine reduces ketamine-associated adverse effects such as hallucinations and delirium. Ketamine counteracts the anticonvulsant effect of propofol, thereby prolonging
the duration of ECT induced seizures and achieving better therapeutic effects.\textsuperscript{42,54,65} This suggests that propofol combined with ketamine anesthesia may be a better choice for ECT compared to single administration.

**Combination of Propofol and Dexmedetomidine**

The adjuvant medication for general anesthesia, dexmedetomidine, is an adrenergic receptor agonist that contributes to maintaining hemodynamic stability in patients. Compared to sole administration of propofol, combining propofol with dexmedetomidine prolongs the average seizure duration during ECT, reduces the incidence of patient agitation, and enhances treatment satisfaction.\textsuperscript{66} Additionally, the analgesic properties of dexmedetomidine alleviate patient discomfort during ECT, improving overall recovery quality.\textsuperscript{33}

**Combination of Propofol and Remifentanil**

Most of the anesthetic drugs used in ECT have anticonvulsant effects, which can shorten seizure duration.\textsuperscript{67,68} Adding remifentanil to propofol extends seizure duration, shortens recovery time after ECT, and concurrently reduces the occurrence of adverse reactions.\textsuperscript{42,69} This combination might serve as a viable alternative for ECT in patients requiring higher stimulus intensity to prolong seizure duration (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{70}

**Influence of anesthetic-ECT time interval on ECT**

TI refers to the duration between administering anesthesia drugs and applying electrical currents. As most intravenous anesthetics used in ECT have anticonvulsant properties, the plasma-brain concentration of anesthetics during seizure induction affects seizure occurrence.\textsuperscript{28} Variations in the TI indirectly reflect the concentration of anesthetics during stimulation, potentially impacting the intensity and quality of induced seizures, thus influencing the efficacy of ECT.\textsuperscript{29,71}

![Figure 2: Effects of different anesthetic drugs on ECT. Accumulative evidence suggests that different anesthetic drugs have different effects on ECT. At the same time, the combination has greater advantages.](https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S467695)
Anesthetic drugs require sufficient time to take effect, ensuring patients enter an unconscious state before receiving ECT. If the TI is too short, the effects of anesthetic drugs may be inadequate, leading to patient discomfort or consciousness during electrical stimulation, thereby increasing treatment risks. The longer TI, the levels of anesthesia in the blood and the brain are decreased at the time of seizure induction because of redistribution to other tissues, which may produce a reduction in anticonvulsant effects, an increase in seizure quality.

Within a safe range, the longer the time interval between the injection of anesthetic drugs and the application of electric current, the longer the duration of induced seizures and the higher the quality of seizures. Anesthesia given is thiopentone (2–4 mg/kg), with succinylcholine administered (0.5–1 mg/kg) for muscle relaxation. Long TI (>2 min) can induce better seizure quality compared to short TI (<2 min), and all measures (amplitude, postictal suppression, regularity and general seizure quality) have a better score. Besides, anesthesia given was propofol (1–2 mg/kg) and succinylcholine (0.5–1 mg/kg). Amplitude, regularity, stereotypy, post-ictal suppression and duration show a similar pattern. In general, greater than 2 minutes is a recommended tipping point.

The optimal TI may vary individually and can be influenced by the type and dosage of anesthetic agents used. Routine monitoring of the TI in clinical practice is recommended, optimizing it whenever possible to maximize the quality of seizures induced during ECT.

### Influence of Anesthetic Depth on ECT

The depth of anesthesia plays a crucial role in ECT. Sufficient depth of anesthesia can elevate treatment risks and side effects, while insufficient depth may lead to patient pain during electrical stimulation, resulting in muscle reactions and potential physical harm. To assess the depth of anesthesia, the bispectral index (BIS), a physiological measure that identifies a patient’s anesthetic state and level of consciousness by monitoring electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, is recommended. In clinical practice, BIS can be used to assess anesthesia depth during ECT, determining the optimal timing for seizure induction to enhance the quality of seizures.

The depth of anesthesia not only determines the safety and comfort of patients under electrical stimulation, but also influences the quality of seizures. Studies have indicated a significant correlation between the mean BIS value before ECT and the duration of seizures induced after administering anesthetics like propofol, methohexital, and others. Excessive doses of propofol can shorten the duration of seizures in patients. For instance, At doses greater than 1 mg /kg, propofol can reduce the duration of seizures by about 45%. In a comparison of two groups, one receiving anesthesia with 1 mg/kg propofol and 1 mg/kg succinylcholine, 20 patients exhibited a longer seizure duration with a mean BIS of 65 before ECT stimulation (46±10 seconds), compared to a BIS of 48. 5 before ECT stimulation (31±5 seconds). Furthermore, In patients anesthetized with sodium thiopental and succinylcholine, higher BIS values (65.7) before stimulation induced better seizures and required only a lower stimulation dose. And meanwhile, BIS score is also correlated positively with central inhibition, coherence and maximal heart rate, but not with midictal amplitude. These data suggest that the BIS score 65 is a good choice for stimulus. However, further assessment is needed to evaluate the relationship between BIS and seizure quality under a wider variety of anesthetic drugs.

In addition, patients’ BIS scores in the process of awakening from anesthesia after a seizure vary widely, so the BIS may not accurately predict awakening after an electric shock. Hence, determining anesthesia depth also requires a combination of clinical observation and the patient’s overall condition to ensure safe and effective treatment administration.

### Influence of Airway Management on ECT

In ECT, preoxygenation and hyperventilation can improve seizure quality. Hyperventilation has been associated with longer seizure duration, reduced need to increase charge across a course of ECT to keep seizure duration. Higher transtcutaneous partial pressure of oxygen (tcpO2) to transtcutaneous partial pressure of carbon dioxide (tcpCO2) ratio is associated with better seizure quality. Using laryngeal mask can provide controlled hyperventilation (CHV) through monitoring the levels of CO2 during hyperventilation and then to improve seizure quality. Besides, protocolized hyperventilation (PHV) reduces the patient’s tcpCO2 values throughout ECT, and prolongs seizure duration. This suggests that employing PHV and CHV in ECT may be a straightforward and effective strategy to improve efficacy without altering anesthetic dosages, making it more applicable in routine clinical practice.
However, some studies have suggested that this result may be related to TI rather than hyperventilation. The study used linear mixed effects models to analyze the effect of TI and hyperventilation on seizure quality and found that hyperventilation is not associated with better seizure quality. Therefore, the relationship between hyperventilation and ECT efficacy needs to be further evaluated. Currently, there are few studies on airway management in ECT, and more findings are needed to obtain optimal management protocols for better anesthesia management while improving the efficacy of ECT.

Standard airway management in clinical settings is achieved through mask ventilation, but difficulties arise during the induction of generalized seizures in ECT. Transnasal humidified rapid-insufflation ventilatory exchange (THRIVE) is a method that enhances apnea tolerance and permits apnea oxygenation. Some studies have revealed no significant difference in seizure duration between THRIVE and mask ventilation, indicating that THRIVE may not affect the efficacy of ECT. Additionally, THRIVE usage has shown no airway complications such as nasal injury, hoarseness or pneumothorax in patients, indicating it can be an effective alternative for difficult airway or patients with mask phobia. Simultaneously, it has been observed that THRIVE leads to a sustained rise in carbon dioxide levels after seizure termination. Given the unclear clinical consequences of short-term elevated carbon dioxide levels, further study is needed to assess their impact on ECT efficacy.

### Adverse Reactions of ECT

Although ECT has demonstrated significant therapeutic efficacy, it also accompanies certain adverse reactions, which can be attributed to anesthesia, anticholinergic drugs, muscle relaxants, electrical stimulation, or seizures.

#### General Adverse Reactions

ECT may induce nausea and headache. There are several theories concerning the etiology of headache after ECT, such as vascular changes, increased cerebral blood flow, stimulation of 5-HT2 receptors, succinylcholine inducing contractions, and changes in blood pressure. Headache can be relieved through non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) or anesthetics. Nausea may result from the effects of anesthesia and can be treated with antiemetic drugs such as dopamine blockers. Nausea and headache induced by ECT are usually mild and typically diminish within 6 hours after ECT.

#### Musculoskeletal System Adverse Reactions

Depolarizing muscle relaxants can cause muscle fasciculations and myalgia, which can be alleviated by reducing the dosage or using non-depolarizing muscle relaxants or analgesics. Seizures may result in forceful contractions of the trunk and limbs, potentially causing damage to muscles, joints, teeth, and bones. Pre use of anesthesia and muscle relaxants to ensure full effectiveness before treatment can help avoid these risks. During ECT induced seizures, the anesthesiologist should also pay close attention to the patient’s general condition, promptly detect and manage complications of the musculoskeletal system, and strive to minimize damage.

#### Cardiovascular System Adverse Reactions

Hemodynamic changes induced by seizures may increase the risk of cardiovascular events, particularly in patients with pre-existing cardiovascular diseases. Bradycardia and hypotension caused by ECT are associated with the excitation of the parasympathetic nervous system, which may lead to transient cardiac arrest, premature ventricular contractions (PVCs), and bradycardia. Anticholinergic drugs like atropine aid in preventing such complications. Another report suggests that the occurrence of PVCs may be associated with electrolyte disturbances and increased catecholamine release due to ECT, suggesting that PVCs may be a multifactorial outcome. Additionally, ECT may induce sympathetic nervous system excitation, manifested as tachycardia and hypertension. Administering β-blockers to high-risk patients during ECT can reduce these events.
Neurological System Adverse Reactions

During ECT, the body increases cerebral blood flow to meet the increased oxygen demand caused by epileptic seizures. The resulting increase in intracranial pressure can cause transient benign brain edema and temporary disruption of blood-brain barrier (BBB) function. In addition, ECT may cause structural and functional changes in the brain.

ECT may affect autobiographical and semantic memory. Autobiographical memory refers to the mixed memories of personal complex life events, while semantic memory pertains to general knowledge and rules. However, although the short-term cognitive impairment of ECT is well established, the relationship between ECT and persistent memory impairment, especially autobiographical memory, remains controversial. Patients’ memory status prior to treatment and the time of assessment after ECT treatment have an impact on the results of the assessment of autobiographical memory. Therefore, the evaluation methods need to be refined to further determine the effect of ECT on autobiographical memory.

Other Adverse Reactions

Apart from the aforementioned adverse reactions, using depolarizing muscle relaxants like succinylcholine may lead to life-threatening complications such as respiratory arrest and malignant hyperthermia. Postictal delirium (PID) is also a relatively common side effect following ECT. Pretreatment with dexmedetomidine before ECT significantly reduced the incidence of PID. Also, propofol is a safe and effective drug for the treatment of PID (Figure 3).

![Figure 3](https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S467695)

**Figure 3** The adverse reactions of ECT. ECT may cause some adverse effects, such as headache, nausea, myalgia, autonomic nervous response. For each adverse reaction, corresponding prevention and treatment measures are provided.
Material and Methods
For this review we systematically searched and screened PubMed for articles related to the influence of anesthesia factors on electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) from 2000 to 2023. Key words including “mental disorders”; “ECT”; “anesthesia factors”.

Conclusion
ECT is a crucial therapeutic approach for patients with severe mental disorders. The impact of anesthesia on ECT cannot be overlooked. It’s imperative to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the patient before treatment and tailor medication selection accordingly. Providing adequate respiratory support is essential while closely monitoring the onset of each medication and the time intervals between anesthesia and the electrical shock stimulus to ensure that the patient reaches a sufficient depth of anesthesia. The treatment should aim to optimize the balance between patient efficacy and safety, minimizing the occurrence of adverse reactions.

To sum up, future research on anesthetics in ECT should aim to optimizing treatment protocols through comparative studies, understanding long-term effects. We can improve the patient experience through personalized anesthetic selection. Interdisciplinary collaboration between anesthesiologists and psychiatrists will be crucial in advancing this field.
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