

Effect of Pericapsular Nerve Group Block with Different Concentrations and Volumes of Ropivacaine on Functional Recovery in Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Randomized, Observer-Masked, Controlled Trial [Letter]

Xinjie Wang¹, Hui Ma²

¹Department of Clinic Medicine, Jining Medical University, Jining, Shandong 272067, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Joint and Sports Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, Jining, Shandong 272029, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Hui Ma, Department of Joint and Sports Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, Jining, Shandong, 272029, People's Republic of China, Email 923134489@qq.com

Dear editor

We read with great interest the article by Huang et al¹ entitled “Effect of Pericapsular Nerve Group Block with Different Concentrations and Volumes of Ropivacaine on Functional Recovery in Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Randomized, Observer-Masked, Controlled Trial”. With a randomised, observer-masked, controlled trial, they aimed to test whether reducing the volume or concentration of ropivacaine was better for motor blockade after PENG blockade and concluded that 10 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine was recommended for PENG blockade in 20 mL of 0.5%, 20 mL of 0.25% and 10 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine. We recognise the work of the authors and appreciate the objective discussion of their findings, including some of the inherent limitations noted. However, after extensive discussion with our panel of professional peers, we have several salient and pertinent questions that we hope you will address:

Firstly, this study aimed to assess the effectiveness of PENG block surgery with ropivacaine at different concentrations and volumes in patients undergoing hip surgery, and various factors were collected and analysed, including statistical analyses of age, gender, body mass index and duration of surgery in the subjects, with no significant differences found. However, considering only the above baseline characteristics without taking into account potential aspects, such as issues of surgical access (anterior or posterior) and surgical etiology (eg, osteoarthritis of the hip, osteonecrosis of the femoral head, hip dysplasia, or hip fracture) for total hip arthroplasty, are factors that may affect the accuracy and applicability of the conclusions. Previous studies have demonstrated that different surgical access routes for THA have different effects on hip muscle status and even recovery in the early postoperative period.² This is a key point as there may be significant differences in the effectiveness of interventions between different access routes or disease types and understanding these differences is essential for clinical practice. The trial would have been more convincing and clinically useful if the authors had further explored the effect of PENG block on postoperative functional recovery after THA in different access routes or aetiologies for subgroup analyses in a subsequent study.

Secondly, when assessing sensory blockages, more reliance is placed on the subjective sensations described by the patient. This subjectivity introduces the possibility of bias and variability in the interpretation of symptoms. We therefore recommend that the quadriceps muscle strength of participating patients be verified by electromyography or other electrophysiological examination techniques, which may yield more objective results.

In addition, results from recent years suggest that the extent of local anaesthetic diffusion for these interfascial plane blocks may be largely dependent on tissue compliance, with individualised variation, and independent of the precise

needle tip position within the interfascial plane.³ Imaging methods were not used in this study to assess the diffusion range of different volumes of ropivacaine. It is worth noting that most studies of local anaesthetic diffusion in the context of PENG block surgery have been performed using human cadavers, with very few analyses of living patients and/or volunteers. In the future, it may be possible to use MRI or 3D-CT strategies to better visualise the diffusion of local anaesthetics administered using radiographic contrast agents during the performance of QLB block procedures.

It's worth noting that we would like to draw the reader's attention to recent findings in the field that may broaden the understanding of this article. Wen et al⁴ conducted an important study to explore the appropriate volume of ropivacaine for peripheral nerve group (PENG) blockade after general anaesthesia in elderly people undergoing hip arthroplasty and concluded that the 20 mL 0.33% ropivacaine group had better motor function preservation than the 30 mL 0.33% ropivacaine group and better postoperative pain relief in the 20 mL and 30 mL volumes of 0.33% ropivacaine than in the 10 mL 0.33% ropivacaine group. It was concluded that the 20 mL 0.33% ropivacaine group had better preservation of motor function than the 30 mL 0.33% ropivacaine group and that the 20 mL and 30 mL volumes of 0.33% ropivacaine groups had better postoperative pain relief than the 10 mL 0.33% ropivacaine group.

Finally, we would like to thank Huang and his colleagues once again for an important study. We hope that our reflections can help researchers improve the design of subsequent studies and can help readers better interpret the results of the article, thus inspiring future scientific research.

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this communication.

Disclosure

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this communication.

References

1. Huang Y, Lu Y, Wang J, et al. Effect of pericapsular nerve group block with different concentrations and volumes of ropivacaine on functional recovery in total hip arthroplasty: a randomized, observer-masked, controlled trial. *J Pain Res.* 2024;17:677–685. doi:10.2147/JPR.S445000
2. Sarhan O, Megalla M, Imam N, Ren AN, Redfern RE, Klein GR. Improved patient reported outcomes with the direct anterior approach versus the posterior approach for total Hip arthroplasty in the early post-operative period. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2024;144(5):2373–2380. doi:10.1007/s00402-024-05271-z
3. Brixel SM, Biboulet P, Swisser F, et al. Posterior quadratus lumborum block in total hip arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesiology.* 2021;134(5):722–733. doi:10.1097/ALN.0000000000003745
4. Wen H, Zhang W, Wang Y, Lu M. Effects of different volumes of ropivacaine for pericapsular nerve group block on incidence of quadriceps weakness and analgesic efficacy following hip arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain Ther.* 2024;13:533–541. doi:10.1007/s40122-024-00590-w

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S481146>